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No. I.-1897.

Numismatic Notes and Novelties (Ancient and Mediseval India).-By Vincent A. Smith, I. C. S.
(With Plate I.)

## I.

INDO-BACTRIAN.
Theophilus.
I.

Olub type.
Square, copper, thick; diameter '75. Wt. 117 graing. [RAwLins.]
Obv. Bust of king to r., apparently bare-headed, in high relief. BAEIへE $\Omega \Sigma$ DIKAloY DEo[ФIへ○Y]

Rev. In field, upright olub (as in Menander's coin, B. M. Cat., p. 50, Pl. XII, 6), and a small square. Kharöqthì hegend, Maharajasa [dhramikasa] Theuphilasa. Some of the letters are peculiar in form.

This coin is a novelty, and at present unique. The only specimens of the coinage of Theophilus hitherto known are the two described in B. M. Cat., p. 167 (Supplement), Pl. XXXI, 3, 4, which were in Cunningham's cabinet. Of these, the silver coin, which is circular, has a bust resembling that of the coin now described, and on the reverse, Herakles crowning himself. The copper coin, like the new discovery, is square, but has on obverse the bust of bearded Herakles with club on shoulder, and for reverse device a cornucopiae.

The specimen of the cornucopiae type in the Lahore Museam (Cat., Part III, 2l), is " not genuine."
J. I. 1

Azes.
II.

## Horsoman and Pallas type.

Copper, narrow, oblong, 85 long, 45 broad. Wt. 47 gr . [Rawlins.]
Remarkable for its shape, which makes the coin look at first sight like a fragment, and for the distinct Brahmi, or old Nägari, legend on the obverse. The legend appears to be intended for maharajätiraja. The F ma (at r. lower corner), and तरब ti raja are plainly legible.

Gardner (B. M. Cat., p. 90), when describing the corrupt semibarbarous, billon coins of Azes, with horseman obverse, and tarreted personification of city reverse, has the note :-" On most of these is an appearance of various Indian [scil. Brahmi] letters in the obrerse field which are not here inserted, it being doubtful whether they are not mere blunders."

On the coin now described the Brahmi legend rans round the margin, and is intended as the equivalent of the Kharoşthi legend on the reverse, which includes rajasa Ayasa. I cannot read the word preceding rajasa.

A rudely executed coin. The metal seems to be copper, without admixtare of silver.

## II. <br> IMPERIAL KUSTAN.

## III.

 Kaniṣica.Large, thick, copper, diameter '95. Wt. 246 gr . [RAwlins.]
This coin resembles that depicted in B. M. Cat., Pl. XXVII, 5, but here only three characters are visible, and they look like Semitic characters. Probably, like the partly similar characters on the B. M. specimen, they are to be read from outside as [N]ANA. But the characters on this coin have lost all resemblanoe to Greek letters, and it is therefore worth while to reproduce them.

## IV.

## Hovisicu.

Gold dinär. Diameter 8. Wt. 110 gr. [Rawlins.]
Obv. The ordinary B form, that is to gay, bust of king to 1 . with jewelled helmet, of pointed shape. (See Num. Chron. for 1892, p. 98.)

Rev. Throned goddess (Ardokhso).
This coin is remarkable only by reason of its reverse. The goddesses on the reverse of Haviska's coins are ordinarily standing. The only instance of a seated goddess reverse yet published is that of Nano seated on a lion (Num. Ohron. for 1892, Pl. $\frac{\text { XII }}{\text { XXII }} 19$ ).

The coin now pablished presents, I think, the earliest example of the throned goddess device, which continned to be used by Samudra Gupta and by Candra Gupta II, in his early issues.

The throne, or state chair, was a foreign innovation. A crosslegged attitude is that natural to a native of India.
V.

## Huviska.

Copper, diameter 8. Wt. 61 gr. [Ruwlins.]
Obv. King squatted oross-legged ( $G$ obverse of Onaningham, Num. Ohron. for 1898, P1. $\frac{\text { IX }}{\text { XIX, }}$ ') as in B. M. Cat., PI. XXIX, 6. Legend lost.

Rev. Goddess (Lakspmi or Ardokhso), on lotus seat, with remsins of Sanskrit legend in Brahmi (Nigari) characters round margin. The syllables yapra are legible on right margin.

The coin is interesting both for the device and legend of the reperse, which have not been met with before in connection with any of the obverse devices used by Huvigka. The B. M. coins with similar obverse have a standing deity on the reverse. No coin of Huviska with a legend in Brahmi letters has previonsly been discovered.

## VI. ${ }^{1}$

## Huvişa.

Large copper, diameter 1.1. Wt. 195 gr . [Rıwlins.]
Obv. Elephant rider. Legend lost.
Lev. A tall male figare ( P Hercules) to r , resting with r . band on ( $P$ ) olub, and graeping with 1 . hand the tip of a bow, standing on end with the atring turned inwards.

Behind figure a trident, and outside bow on r. margin an imperfeot legend in Nāgari (Brahmì) characters, which look like ganaga, or gataga.

A very curious coin, the reverse device being quite unknown in the Huviska series. It became a favourite obverse device in the Gupta coinage. The unread legend is also remarkable.

## III.

## LATER GREAT KUŞANS.

In the Arumismatic Ohronicle for 1893, Cunningham discusses the coinage of the Later Great Kuşāns, as if it were an exclusively gold coinage.

1 [Another specimen of this coin exists in the Indian Museam Collection in Calcatta. See Ind. Mus. Cat., Vol. IV, p. 47, No. 13339, and plate IV. Unfortunately the photographs of both coins are nearly unrecognizable. The Cnlcutta epecimen is the better one. The obverse very closely resembles that of No. 2 on Plate XXIX of the British Museam Catalogne. It shows the letters NOPA (of the legend kenerano) in exactly the same position as on the B. M. C. specimen. The letters on the reverse are distinct enough, and are either garakha or gadakha. Mr. Rodrgers reading is quite wronk; there are onky three letters. The figure on the reverne seems to be throe-headed, and to represent Giva. I can see no "trident behind figure," bat there is a monogram in the field, above the proper right arm of the sigare, not clearly recognizable, but may be Haviska's four-pronged emblem. Ed.]

The coins of Class A, which claim to be issued in the names of Kaniska and Vasu [Dēva] (op. cit., p. 120) are certainly ordinarily in gold, but I have seen a fine brass example, nearly identical with Cunningham's No. 16, which Mr. Rawlins procured from Chitrāl.

Concerning Class B, Cunningham observes that "the coins of this olass are chiefly of gold. Some of the copper specimens are undoubtedly. ancient forgeries, from which the gilding has worn off."

In reality the brass coinage of this class was extensive, and numerous specimens have recently passed through my hands. The only copper specimens which I have seen are two. Of these one is in the possession of Mr. D. Ernst of Bombay, which is probably an example of Cunningham's No. 2 (Sita, Bha, Saka); and the second, a good specimen of Cunningham's No. 5 (Saya, Bha, Saka) is in my collection.

I either possess or have seen brass examples of the following types out of the 13 enumerated by Cunningham.

| No. 2 | Sita | Bha | Sãka. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| " | 9 | Peraya | Kapan | Gadahara, §a.

With regard to the above names I may note that the name under the king's arm on No. 9, presumably the name of the local ruler, which Cunningham reads as Peraya, is really either Pēyasa, or Pērayasa. Good specimens show the final sa very distinctly.

My brass specimen of No. 10 has Kirada or Kérada under the king's arm, bat has Kasa [na] and $S i$ or $\$ \bar{e}$ in the right and left positions respectively, instead of Cunningham's Gadahara and Kapan. The reverse character on my cain is peculiar, and is not either the Ghasa or Yaga of Cunningham. (See J. A. S. B., Vol. LXIII, Part I, p. 182.)

The reading of the r. legend on No. 12, as Pakalhdhi is really very nncertain, bat the monogram is open to various readings, and Cunningham's is as good as any. On No. 13, the king's name seems to me to be Basana, or Basana, and not as read by Cunningham.

The coinage in question also occúrs in silver.
Mr. Rawlins has a thin specimen of Cunningham's No. 9, (Bhu,

- $\$ a k a$ ), in silver, with (?) $\mathrm{Vi}_{i}$ in l. position instead of Te. He also has an unmistakably silver specimen, of thick, dumpy fabric, of No. 13 (Basana, Nu, Pakalhdhi).

Mr. L. White King, I. C.S., possesses an unmistakeable silver example of No. 12 (Bhadra, Pakulhdhi), and a second which is mainly of silver, but is slightly yellowish in colour, and may possibly be intended for


NUMISMATIC NOVELTIES
very base gold. The Bodleian coins, Nos. 741-746 (Bhadra, etc.), also appear to be of silver.

It thas appears that at present Canningham's 13 types are known to occur in the following metals:-

| Cold. | Silver. | Brass, | Oopper. |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nos. l-13. | Nos. 9, 12, | Nos. 2, 9, 10, 12, | Nos. 2 |
|  | and 13. | and 13. | and 5. |

The gold coins of the Later Great Kusãns, Class B, described and figured by Cunningham are all of the full dintir size.
VII. This is a beantiful specimen, in Mr. Rawlins' possession, of a minute gold coin of $P a \quad \$ a k a$, apparently one-fourth of a dinär (diameter $\cdot 55$, wt. 16 gr .), which corresponds to Cunningham's No. 8 of Pra Saka, except that in the new coin the sign for $r$ is wanting.

Mr. L. White King found Cherāt in the Peshāwar District a very gool hunting ground for the Later Kuṣān coins, and Mr. Rawlins seems able to obtain them readily in the Jhēlam District.

The most interesting discovery of Mr. Rawlins in this department is the coin now to be described.
VIII. Brass, mediam thickness, well executed, diameter 8 . Wt. 62 gr . [Rawlins.]

Obv. Basana, Nu, Pakalhdhi, exactly as No. 13 of Plate $\frac{\text { IX }}{\text { II }}$ in Num. Chron. for 1893.

Rev. Flaming altar, without attendants, as in No. 89 of Plate $\frac{\text { XIII }}{1 \mathrm{~V}}$, ibid.
When I first saw a drawing of this coin combining a common Knşăn obverse with a rare Sassanian reverse, I thought it must be a dealer's forgery. But Mr. Rawlins informs me that he obtained it thickly covered with dirt, under circumstances which preclude all suspicion. It is certainly not, as I at first suspected, a dealer's forgery. It is possible, as a friend suggests, that the fire-altar reverse may have been double-struck over the ordinary throned goddess reverse, and he fancied that he could see traces of the original impression, but I am not able to verify the conjecture, thongh it is probably correct. The coin, as we now have it, is certainly ancient and genuine, and forms an interesting link between the Kuşān and Sassanian coinages.

It seems to be the numismatic memorial of the fact that King Hormazd II of Persia (A. D. 301-310), who called himself "King of the Kuşāns," married a daughter of the Kuşān king of Kābul, who was sent to his court with costly presents and a splendid escort. Probably, as Cupningham suggests, the matrimonial alliance was the
result of a defeat of the Kusāns by the Persians, and was accompanied by cession of territory along the Oxns. ${ }^{1}$

Our coin, therefore, cannot be earlier than the reign of Hormazd II, and inasmuch as the altar on the reverse is nearly identical with that on a coin of Hormazd's successor, Shāhpur (Sapor)II, and also closely resembles that on a coin of Hormasd himself (Num. Chron. for 1893, Pl. $\frac{\text { XIII }}{\text { IV }}, 8,9$ ) ; the conclusion seems justifiable that the date of this curious piece cannot be very far from A. D. 310.

In this manner the date of the Kaşān king Basana is approximately fixed, and a definite starting point is obtained for the calculation of the chronology of the Later Great Kuṣān coinage.

The 13 types enumerated by Cunningham (op. cit., p. 124) do not I think, range over a very long period. The coins of King Sita, which be ranks as No. 2, certainly come early in the series, because they are well executed, and occasionally show traces of Greek letters. They may be dated about A. D. 250. Cunningham places the Basana coins last in the series, and he is probably right. Several of the princes whose names are preserved were probably contemporary in neighbouring provinces, the names of which may possibly, as Cunningham saggests, be recorded in the right hand legends of the coins, namely Saka, or Șàka (both forms occur), (?) Gadabara, or (P) Gadakhara, and (P) Pakalhdhi or (P) Pakandhi.

## IV.

## KAÇMIR, AND WHITE HUN.

IX.

$$
\mathbf{T} \text { ס[ñjĩna ?] }
$$

Copper, diameter ${ }^{85}$. Wt. 96 gr . [Rawlins.]
Obv. King standing to 1 ., eacrificing, dressed in Kusān style in long-tailed coat and loggings, grasping in 1 . hand a trident with bent prongs. Near 1: margin


Rev. Goddess soated on lotus, delinemated in a peculiar way. In r. field बब jaya. On extreme l. margin $\frac{\text { fien }}{\text { K }}$ Kidara.

This is a perfect specimen in brilliant condition of the very rare coin figared in Coins of Med. India, Plate III, 1. Canningham read the name as Taryamāna, and treated it as a variant of Toramāna. But Dr. Stein demurs, and rightly, to this reading. It seems impossible to

[^0]get Cunningham's reading out of the letters. Dr. Stein suggests Tninjina, which was another name of Ranāditya, to whom Cunningham (Coins of Med. India, p. 39) assigns the approximate date A. D. 580. I cannot read the conjunct character with certainty.

These coins differ widely from the coins of Toramãna (Ooins of Med. India, PI. III, 2), on which the king is depicted as wearing frilled breeches, and the reverse differs considerably in detail. The general appearance of the Töramãna coins is quite different. The contrast is mach more conspicaons, when the original coins are examined than it is in the plate.
X.

Narendra.
Wheel type.
Copper, thin, diameter '92. Wt. $50^{\circ} 5 \mathrm{gr}$. [RAwlins.]
Obv. Sassanian bust to r., with legend in front of face, बबतु ती बरेक्र, jayatu Çri Naröndra (imperfect in this speoimen).

Rev. Ornamental wheel or san with border occupying whole surface.
The commoner type of Narendra's coins has a Sassanian fire-altar with attendants on reverse (Cunningham, Num. Ohron. for 1894, p. 286, Pl $\frac{\text { IX }}{\mathbf{X I}}$,12). Cunningham had 9 specimens of that type, and Mr . Rawlins has a fine one. Cunningham notes that "a poor specimen with the king's head has an ornamental wheel on reverse," but he does not figure this wheel type. The reverse of the specimen now figured, the best of four belonging to Mr. Rawlins, is in good condition. Mr. Biawlins has a fifth specimen in poor condition, with the king's bust to 1.

## XI.

## Mihiraitola.

Copper, moderately thick, diameter 95 . Wt. 104 gr . [Rawlins.]
Obv. King standing to front, dressed in Kaşan style, and holding trident in r. hand. Legend on 1. margio, बाशि şähi; on r. margin मिषिरक्ष Mihirakula, the first two characters of the name being indistinct.

Rev. Blarred and indistinct representation of horseman to $\mathbf{r}$. with his $\mathbf{r}$. arm raised. Probably doable-struck on a 'seated Lakgmi' device.

The -kula form of the name is here, as in many other instances, associated with the prefix Șāhi. Fleet and Cunningham were mistaken in supposing that the -gula form of the name is always associated with the prefix $\$ a h i$, and the -kula form with the prefix Çri.

This coin now pablished differs both in obv. and rev. from any coin previously known. The style of the obverse recalls that of the large Yaudhēja coins.

## COINS OF PAŢHANKOTT (ODUMBARA.)

XII. Thin copper, ciroular ; diameter ${ }^{65}$. Wt. 27 gr . [Rawlins.]

1. Obv. Building, with railing at foot, and high roof with projeoting eaves, apparently of thatch, sapported on four pillars. Legend to 1 . in large early charecters in relief, पुरण purusa, bat the third oharacter is doubtful, as there is no orossbar.

Rev. Tree with harizontal branches ( $P$ débdär) in railing, with snake below.
XIII.

Weight 34 gr . [Rawlins.]
2. Obv. Building similar to that of No. 1, but with six pillars, and the coin being in somewhat more perfect condition, the long spike on the top of the roof is visible. The legend differs. It looks like [? भ ] थतजा. प [bha] yu ta ja. pa.

The pictares of buildings on these coins obviously connect them with the rare coins from Pathānkot bearing the legend Odumbara, which have been described by Cunningham. Two silver coins of the series are known, and are hemidrachms related to and associated with the hemidrachms of Zoilus. The one figured in Coins of Ancient India, Pl. IV, 1, has the Kharöşthi legend MahadZ̃vasa rajña Dhara Ghösasa Odumbarisa, and across field Visvamitra.

Cunningham's specimen was found in the Pathānkōt District; the other specimen (said by him to be in the Lahore Maseum), was found near Juāla Mukhi, in company with 3 silver pieces of the Kunindas, and 28 Philopator hemidrachms of Apollodotus II. The date is thus indicated as being approximately B. C. 100-A. D. 100.

The seven copper pieces known to Cunningham were all found at Pathānkrt. Their legend is also in Kharosthi, and includes the word Odumbara. ${ }^{1}$

Rodgers describes sevensimilar coins from Pathānkठṭ as in his collection, which is now in the Lahore Museum (Catal. of Lahore Museum Coins, Part III, p. 151,) and notes that "there are many other coins from Pathānkott in the collection, but they are mostly fragmentary and illegible."

He does not give readings of the imperfect legends on the coins catalogued. I presume they are in Kharosţhi characters. All these coins, like Cunningham's, are square or oblong. The weights range from 20 to $\mathbf{3 2}$ grains.

[^1]Mr. Rodgers once showed me a thin circular brass coin, diameter -65, which had on obverse a two-storied building ( $P$ temple), and a worn legend, perhaps in Brahmi (Nägari) characters, and, on reverse, tree in railing, with Kharbethi legend, whioli I did not read.

Prinsep (Thomac, PI. XIX, 7) gives a drawing of a large circular copper coin from Behat near Baharranpur, which exhibits the characteristic high-roofed building, and traces of letters, probably in the Brahmi alphabet, like those now described. The buildings shown on the coins have a considerable resemblance to the store-houses, or granaries, depicted on the Soligaura copper-plate from the Goralhpur District (Proc., A. S. B. for 1894, p. 84, Plate I), though the S $\delta$ hgaura gtructures have double roofs.

The coins now published may be safely ascribed to the Odumbara tribe of Kängrā, and dated somewhere aboat the beginning of the Christian era.

## VI.

XIV.

SAURASTTRA (? ARJUNA).
Silver hemidraohm, thick; diameter ${ }^{55}$. Wt. 45.5 gr . [RAWLiNs.]
Obv. Bust to $r_{r}$ mach defaced.
Rev. Socetika in centre. Legend ronnd margin in charaoters similar to those of the earlier Bearjatran coins, but difficult to read. It looks like पर्म]रrF पुुर्बििवेए चुष्ब. The legend seems to include the name of Arjnng, and the word putrasa, the genitive of putra, 'a son.'

The coin certainly seems to belong to the Sauräghtran series, but no other example of the svastika reverse device is known, and the legend seems to be different from that ou any published coin. No Rājā Arjuna appears to be known.

> VII.

## XV.

## A NORTHERN SATRAP.

Oircalar, thick, copper ; diameter 65 . Wt. $78 \cdot 5 \mathrm{gr}$. [Bıwinss.]
Obv. Horse to r. grazing. Marginal Kharösthi legend, read from outside ... mahachatrapasa.

Rev. Female to front, with r. hand raised, and I. on hip, standing in a rectangular frame, of which the right post springe from a railing. A crenellated ornament -a top of frame.

The borse connects this unpublished coin with the coins of the Satraps Hagāna and Hagāmāṣa (Ooins of Anc. India, p. 87). But the horse on those coins is to 1 ., and the legend is in Brahmi characters.

$$
\text { J. .. } 2
$$

## VIII.

XVI.

UNCERTAIN.
Thin copper coin ; diameter 65. Wt. $30 \cdot 5 \mathrm{gr}$. [RAwlivs.]
Obv. Elephant charging to l. marginal legend ... इषष, ... nüpava apparently, which is unintalligible. I cannot read the ohareotern preceding rimpa.

Rov. Goddees seated, perhaps on lion. Remains of legend on r. margin.
Like all Mr. Rawlins' coins, this was collected in the Jhēlam District I cannot guess to whom it should be assigned. The characters seem to be of early date, prabably not later than A. D. 500, and possibly much earlier.

# Meghéguara Inscription of Svapnęgara Dzra of Orissa.-By Nagelmba Nítea Vast, Editor of Viçvakōga. 

 (With Plate II).[Read January 1897.]
The inscription of which I give an account to the Society this night, is engraved on a large slab in the western wall of the court-yard of the famons temple of Ananta-Väsudēva in Bhuvanęgrara. Just on the right side of this slab is another, bearing inscriptions in eulogy of Bhatta Bhavadêra. Dr. Rājëndra Lāla writes about these two slabs as follows :-

- There are existing two large slabs stack on the western wall of the court-yard (of Ananta-Väsudēva), bearing Sanskrit inscriptions. One of these was originally intended for the temple of Brahmegrvara, and the other for that of Ananta aud Vāsudēva. Both of them had been removed from their proper places by General Stewart, and deposited in the Maseum of the Asiatic Society of Bengal at abont the early part of this century. When Major (then Lientenant) Kittoe visited Bhuvanęçara in 1838, the priests complained bitterly of the sacrilege, and he suggested the restitation of the stones. The Society readily permitted this, but in replacing them, through some mistake or other, the Major selected the onter wall of this temple for both of them, instead of their respective places. Before making the restitation, James Prinsep published transcripts and translations of both the records in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.'1

I went personally to inspect these two slabs, and made rubbings of both. Both the slabs now lie at the identical spot where Dr. Rajejondra Lāla saw them. I was assured by the old Păndās of the temple that they remained at that very spot from before the time of the Dootor's inspection, without suffering a change of place or alteration of any kind.

It is, indeed, surprising that there is no conformity at all of the Brahmëgrara inscription described by the learned Doctor with the inscription I inspected. In fact, there is no Brahmegreara inscription at

[^2]all in the temple. I am quite at a loss to determine how he identified this with the Brahméçvara slab.

As far as I am aware nobody has yet deciphered the inscription under notice.

This inscription was made under the order of Svapneçvara Dora, the founder of the temple of Megheçvara. The following occars towards the end of the inscription:-
'The poet Udayana has by his (Svāpnēçvara's) command composed hymns, ever delightful to the learned in consequence of their sweet collocation of words and plentiful figures of speech ( $\mathbf{V}, 33$.) Candradhafala, the son of Dhavala Vira, wrote this eulogy in letters resembling gems apon this slab on the door of Maghegrara ( $V, 35$.) Çivakara, a Sūtradhära, evgraved these letters like unto a garland of gems on this stone-slab ( $V, 36$ ).'

It appears, General Stewart had taken away this slab from its proper place in the temple of Maghegvara. Subsequently Major Kittoe, yielding to the entreaties of the Pāndās, placed it in its present position along with the inscribed slab of Ananta- $V$ äsudeva. As to the Brahmöp̧ara inscription notod above, I made a most searching enquiry. for it about the temples of Ananta-Väsudēva and Brahméçvara, without being able to find out any trace of it anywhere.

This Megheģvara alab measuring $3^{\prime} 8 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ by $1^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$, is incised with great neatness and care, and the lettere are in a state of almost perfeot preservation. The size of the letters is $\frac{3}{4}$ ".

The characters may be dencribed as Bangali of the Kuṭila type of the 12th century, similar to the inscription of Ananta-Väadeva, and very near to the characters of the copper-plate grant of N paimha Deva II with a somewhat archaic look. As in most other inscriptions of Bengal, Behar and Orissa, of that period, we find here no distinction between the letters $b$ and $v$; besides it may be noted that the superscript sign of $r$ is invariably placed on the doubled consonants $\mathrm{gg}, \mathrm{sen}$, e.g., in margga line 22 , sampürnpa, line 23 ; and $l l$ is sometimes used for $l_{0}$ in llalāta and llakpmi, line 2. Instead of the anusvära, we have the dental nasal in Vansottansa, line 3, and the nasal $y$ in vayper, line 6; and the dental sibilant is used for the palatal sibilant in vansa, line 3 ; .and the palatal for the dental, in crotal, line 1.

The language of the inscription is high-flown Sanskrit. Excepting the introductory blessing, the whole of the inscription is in verse. The inscription opens with an invocation of the god Çiva, Caudra (the moon), and of the sage Gantama. It then relates :-
' In that family of Gautama was born a prince (named) Dvīradēva, worthy of respect by the learned, the ornament of the world, possessed
of prosperity and great virtae, stable, like the goddess Lakgmi in the midst of the churning of the sea, in belabouring the enemy, whose good deeds like a creeper surrounded the earth, under whose influence the renown of the enemy was obscured as beneath the rays of the sun the petals of the lily close ( $V$; 3). From this Drāradē̃a, descended Müladivi, the crown of his family, holding the foremost place among the learned, patting an end to the prosperity of the enemy, smiling as the moon, and blooming on the creeper of renown (V, 4). From him was descended Ahirami, a mass of virtue, the object of adoration, the resting place of kindness and justice of whom unmeasured fame has sprung up in the same way as the moon rises on the rudayācala (V,5). His descendants were many in namber, among. whom was a son Svapnéciara and a daughter Surami, the former resembling the moon, and the latter the goddess of prosperity. Of them one became the ornament of the world and the stay of all people, and the other the alleviator of the heat of poverty, as Laķ̧mi is (in cooling the mind) of Cintāmaṇi (Vig̣̣a) (V, 6). There was a celebrated king named Copagayga, the flower of the lunar dynasty, adorned with the several faculties beginning with anima. ( $V, 6$ ). After that king of kings had adorned the abode of Indra his bountiful son, the illustrious Rijarīja, began to rule the world ( $\mathrm{V}, 10$ ). That best of men married Suramī devi ( $V, 13$ ). That king who was the ornament of royal families, after a happy career, had his younger brother Amiranicabilua installed on the throne ( $V, 14$ ). When the king of the Ganga family set about the conquest of the different quarters, Stapnectara deya himself did more service than a host of Caturajga. He dealt such mighty blows on his enemies with his sharpened weapons, that from the blood gashing out of their wounds eight oceans were formed ( $V, 18$ ). He built this temple of Means̄grara, the Lord of Kailāca, ligh as a mountain, with malerials at great cost (V, 22). The stone-built wall of (that) conqueror is so lofty that it appears to be ready to check the movements of the clouds ( $V, 24$ ). (Ladies) with ejes like those of the deer, the effulgence of the diamonds on whose bracelets brightens everything at the time of their dancing, were eng, gaged for the service of the conqueror of Tripura (Çiva) (V, 25). He has laid out a beautiful park in the abode of Mégerévara ( $V, 26$ ). The friend of that Lord of men had a beautiful tank, full of sweet water, excavated at the abode of MEGBEGVAra. This tank, when looked at, appears to be a brother of the ocean (V, 28). That conqueror, celebrated for his many achievements, had a beautiful mandapa erected by the side of the tank. Here people sought refuge from the inclemency of the hot weather ( $V, 29$ ). Haring built the temple of Ifa, he set up the
god Viẹnu along with the Sudargana-cakra (the beautiful looking discus), in it ( $V, 32$ ).'

From the inscription on this slab, we get traces of two royal dynasties, viz.: -

In the Gautama-gotra.

(the queen-in-chief of Rājarāja).
Various petty kings, it appears, reigned at different places in Orisss about the time of the rise of the kings of the Gayga dynasty. Prince DVíradē̃a is apparently one of them. The fact of none of those who came after Dváradiva-having enjoyed the royal or any other title of equal honour seems to point to the fact that they did not rule as independent kings, but were probably commanders of armies or Mahasämantüdhipatis. Rājaràja, the son of Cobpagazga the conqueror of Orissa, was married to Suramídév!, the sister of Svapniçiaba. Probably by means of this connection, Stapnégrara succeeded in gaining such a high position in the court of the Gajga king. We know from the 18th verse, 'that SVApneçvara himself did more service than a host of Caturajga.' He was looked upon as Mahasamantādhipati or the Commander-in-Chief of the forces of the Gayga king. The large temple of Megheçvara is the work of this Svapueģvara Deva.

None of the antiquarians of Orissa has referred to this celebrated temple, though it easily comes to the notice of every pilgrim of Bhuvanę̧ara. Dr. Rājēndra Lāla has mentioned a Mäghakuṇda from the Yātrā-paddhati of Bhuvaneçvara. He has made no reference at all to this celebrated temple of Mëghëçara. But the merits of Meghęgvars are described in the Ekāmra-parāna, the Svarnādri-mahödaya and many other works. Here is the mythical acoount on the origin of Meghegvara as related in the Ekāmra-purāṇa, Ch. 38 :-1
'(Parvati) with her eyes beaming with joy, said with a smile. ' Our past history, although frequently called to mind, cannot give me much delight. O Brahma, thou art possessed of all knowledge. My salutation to you, who are Prajāpati (literally the lord of the created

##  <br> 

beings), who are the incarnation of wisdom, and versed in all the Çästras. I have a desire to hear, $O$ Brahma, the connection we have with the sacred place of Svarnakūţa. Knowing that Rṣis have an unswerving devotion to God, sitting down for a while in meditation, Brahmã spoke the following words in reply:-
' Eight wise clouds wishing for the success of their desires presented themselves before Indra with folded arms, and spoke the following words; -

- We intend to go to a place called Ekāmraka to worship Çiva. We shall with your permission, bathe in the sacred tĩrtha of Vindūdbhava. Sages say, 'the merits of actions done here become everlasting.' We intend to build a palace and a temple of Civa with jour permission. Kindly give us therefore our desired boon.' Hearing. this Indra spoke, ' 0 , Virtnous clouds, depart soon and perform your religions rites according to your wishes.' The clouds, commanded by Indra, gladly departed for that sacred place, accompanied by Viçvakarman. When the clouds had got to the place, they looked around and selected the site in the N.-E. direction, 1,750 fathoms from Kalpavrksa. They then thus spoke to Vip̧akarman :-
' O Viçakarman, the site has been selected; be so kind as to act np to our desire. Then Viģvakarman personally collected stones from a hill and built the high and beantiful palace, having a ditch around it, the entrance gate, the göpura, and the homakunda. Then the clonds, who were proficient in the Giva-tantras, consecrated the temple. These eight clouds are respectively celebrated as Parjanya, Plāvana, Añjana, Vämana, Sampatti, Dröna, Jìmūta and Atimarẹana. Then thinking it imprudent to lose time they began to conciliate the god Çiva by gifte, worship, anstorities and sacrifice.
'Then Chira being highly propitiated appeared at the place and thus spoke to them :-
- I have appeared in the capacity of a giver of boon. Ask for the boon you intend to have. The clouds being highly glad to see Mabādeva personally present said,-' $\mathbf{O}$ god, if you have been satisfied with us and have got the best favour to bestow, then we pray for your presence in this place which has been well set up. Fulfil our desire by granting us this boon.' To this Mahädeva replied,- 'I shall remain here in the name of Meghegcrara (the Lord of clouds). This lake (now Vindusagara) of limpid and dear water will be a source of pleasure to me. Its waters will wash off all sins."... The clouds on hearing this made obeisance to Mahādēva and departed for beaven.' ${ }^{1}$

The famous temple of Mäghëequara is situated at a distance of 100

[^3]yards to the east of the site of Bhāskaregvara, mentioned by Dr. Rajjendra Lāla, in the northern part of the Bhuvanēȩvara Kpētra. It is built of basalt stone. Its former beauty has not yet departed, but with the der cline of the worship (ceremony) here, its beauty is nowadays on the wane. The artistic skill displayed by the temple seems to place it in the 12th century A. D. There is a tank to the north of the temple as mentroned in the inscription under notice ( $V, 28$ ). The people of that place generally speak of a village of Brahmapura, which was originally situated near the temple of Méghécrara. This village is mentioned also in the early settlement records. In the 31st verse of the present inscription this village is recorded to have been given to the Brähmanas by Svapnéçara dēva. There is no trace left of it save the abodes of a few husbandmen. The temple of Brahméçara and Brahmakuṇda is not far off.

 जाषिक्षे कम्नली ऐे

各

 घरिणनोरबापुक्यक्न समोपुर्या प्रतिर्टा तथ विसिका जिबवकािएक ते।
 पष्मन्पघार को बैं बघलो बामनख्या।
 बहो के तोबडाः धाता चाषिपत्साब्बर्टः।

 दानांबतपोयकेषोषयासो चौत्र्यम् ॥ प्रृतोष भมबाज् भウं धाश्चिध्यमभबत् बबन्।

तती बसाषका तहा जचु：प्राष्घप्यक्त त्।




मेघेग्वरो सहं बाब लार्ता निछु निन्बवे ॥

बक्षात् प्रोनिप्रदी नित्यं र्दोडयं विमझोरक：ः
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Megheguara Inscription of Dyapnéçaba-dêva.
q'ext:
I. 1.

11 बोम् नसः श्राषाय।




 खर्बों करोस बमi सत्वसिधाष जल्या।
यः साक्यस्नूयकारोचरोे डजिछषओं
जोयाव् स गौसममुनिमुनिक्टन्द्वन्दः।

मान्यः पुस्येकाधामा प्रतिवसजखधि-
L. 3.

च्चोममन्थावसंत्रोः।
 सुर्ज्तिजोभिय्रयम्बापतरिपुयक:कौरबो कारट्रेकः।
${ }^{6}$ समरनि विजवग्जोक्षन्मकर्मोर स्यो-
छ्वत निखिसविरोधिसुर्जद्योधिरष्नत्। दजितबनितमहो कोर्षिंक्
L. 4. मीविताण-
प्रसव'हसितचन्द्रो मू कटेवः छ्छतोन्रः ॥
${ }^{8}$ वस्मात् प् प्यैकरश्रिरमवदहिरमो नाम धामख़तोकामारामः सामनोतेखपरिमितयक्रःपूरचन्द्रोटयाश्रिः।
 प्रासादाये सुरम्ति स्फुरद-

- From impreasions and rpbbings, made by me.

1 Metre, Çärdūla-vikriḍita
8 Read बोत: ।
8 Metre, Vasanta-tilaka.

- Read JTVI

6 Metre, Srag-dharā.

- Metre, Milini.

7 This letter is very obsoure.

- Metre, Srag-dhari.
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L. 5.मनलयक्यों वैजयस्थोजयक्ट:[5]
9तसाद्वेक्तरा बभूक्तुरपामाथा दिवे्नुष्नियोएकः घ्यातबमयक्ञाय सक्नाधारसथान्या जग-${ }^{10}$ बसन्हर्पतिकिरोटको-[6]
L. 6.टिरलब्युत्मिटपोठश्याक्षापादफ्झः।रविमादियुत्षेन चोड़गफ्रः।[7]"यानावाणिसुरम्रारविसर्बू बिसमुत्रे सुर-तेलो माब्रारमब्बते चितिमुभान बंभ्रते विभरंटं।
L. 7.
का संतो-
[8]
"रे बालिए कुलाएड किसु भवतानुमिंच्च मायास्तीयोतधिं सतु सनरह म्लभुजां सर्गांव समध्यते।बस्सेति ग्रुति मा कबय्य समरे निन्मिंखवोरणिषा-बन्बूरी: परिपूरव户ित परित्म प्रेता कुन
L. 8. ..... [9]ल्लिम त्र् परन्द्रपरो तिलनाष्यानेदाशे सहु कृतमविष्टा क्तारोक्।सामाण्यभारबहनैब्धुरो बवाङ:थोराजराजन्यति हैितो क्षासास।1 बस्योधबानिराबी

I. 9.[11]
- Motre, Giddenarviloriçitan 11 Metre, ÇZ
10 Metre, Puspitigrā. 18 Motre, Vremata-tiontit;

14 इबभुवि यदि निबना़्हतः पून्रुसार्थः तुषित हरिधुजेष च्माभुजाडनेक छूवं। कायमिद्ध काजिकाबो कास्पिताक्पपाप-
I. 10. प्रययि-

कि स्रुस्टिः बहुर्यान्दिवि स्याष्।
${ }^{15}$ तोनोप़ा पुखषोत्तमेन सुमा देवो रमेवार्थतो वाघाडतःपरसुन्दरो चभशिरोरनाकरत्रोरियं। प्रत्याइप तुणाः प्रियेख सहसा यत् सर्संश्शैम्द्धा वेतेः झोतनराधरार्थिमिए जो जतार्थिनो केवषं।
L. 11. ऐत्र्रतिसक: बनिकाणकस्प-

घासो सखौधमनुमूय चिएं स राजा ।
स्बोऽुषं मगुणराजवसांत्रियुम्मं
इाज्येडभिषिम्लमकरोदगिष्द्यौमं ।
${ }^{15}$ स चोमाननियद्बभमव्टपतिः साजाज्यकाष्मोपति:
प्रत्यर्थिच्चतकिपाणमौधितिसकः त्तत्रारिका काज-
L. 12.

कः 1
संप्राप्येव बमुक्रमुत्रित्रम चक्रघ रायस्फुर-

15\% मोगोन्र किमाय दूर्म धर गोमाएः स तुछ्रो महान्
 देवेडस्निज्धिजबप्रयायरसिके प्रें-
L. 13.

खत्रारूपुर-


मं्वा ${ }^{17}$ दर सरोव वाधिख ${ }^{18}$ द प्रोति बदा घोरिषं।
बसिमेव पराधिनाचतिबके सेखंश्रवा बव्युक-
बौंयम्तय विजास साम्बत
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L. 14.
[ H ] तौ बाग्रव्यभ्य
 न्दियास्ं चतुरशिरोगेधिकतरः सेन्याव् स एको मबत् ।


19 बाई्योट्बाः पर्वर-
L. 15 .
[य] म घंने बंके बनिजिट्-


सस्मिग्धभ्भन्यपि चुपरिरेऐष विग्यम्भरोडभ्यत्।
${ }^{2}$ यदारविवगलहारि माट्काभूतमाटका।
भ्रहससम्पन्तिसम्भारो ौैंवरोगा मवृन्नश।।
L. 16. शैकैलासात्रिषिमाचजस्नतटबासश्रिमन्द्धाषितो

ब्योत्या शासमुखोपयोधिवसनाहुत्द्युतिम्मात्वजव्
काप्यस्याध्वि 『लम्र शेखर्पदाह्दोो मड़ाजोपतिः।
"भनिप्रप्रसराष्टरें्रविणयण्मौनिस्य रलाब-
L. 17.

उम्वापरपबंतो वहतरक्रथबययझ्र्बता


र्बावष्या परिचुक्मितोड्बश्रिखरो मान्बः सगैरो-
L. 18.

पष:।
रतबापि परामषस्तववब्यावं षलग्भन्दिरो-

"इए विभविना प्राबारभोर्मंहोपषविक्मिता।

[^4]

 दर

${ }^{23}$ सानाओंनी
पाद्यास सिभुवनवतिसम्भवं सभिधते।


हैडपवक्यथ को तेक मेत्ष०

1. 20. を

घविरतमकरन्दस्यत्राप्रूप्य

ع5वणनी

घुने: पुष्म
L. 21.
(6)





L. 22.

बते




${ }^{26}$ बपां पूरबामासाः पथि पथि तड़ागा: प्रति-
28 Metro, Mandäkriantà.
24 Metro, Malini.

25 Metre, Cikharing
26 Metre, Girdïla-rikariqita.
27 Read ूर्यक: 1
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L. 23.

परं
प्रदोपाः सम्पूर्साः प्रति प्रहम्टहं यस्य विमसाः।
मठा वेदादोवां fिज्युरविष्धारा पतिदिश्ं
विराभन्ते सक्षाएपि चै परितस्तुतुणिवहा: ।
हैंाराद्रचपरं हह्हस्पतिपर स्पर्द्रिस्मरारे सदा-
राय विष्बुपमिस्तुरकिशवरयामाय धर्मात्मरो।
दंज बेश सुरा बदोदि-

1. 24. 

> तम प्रारव्नधूमख्वज-

अनुणंड्रमचयेन बन्न स कालियाबः समुस्माइते।
श9तं प्रत्थविष्ठ fिघाराश्रमूज्यः
प्रासाइमोसस बमन्सकश्रो।
स्दर्भंने वान्वित एष विष्यु-
राथार्य्यराःः स प्टथान विद्योः।
${ }^{30}$ उद्वक्वांक्यासादेशात्र्रश्रसिखिनासिनों
स्बसिवपद्यासेः सम्ब्वर-
L. 95.
$\perp 26$.
हभ्नगोष्रो।

मविरवनवा श्रया यातां प्रलाधितथाणिमां।
${ }^{31}$ वावज्ज्योव्सासधांग्रधर युण पयिएतो यावदम्भोजबच्मतं

वार्स्षो बावरसिंचिरमत्रुवसतोज्येतह्पे-

> ख्य बोके

को ।


सून्रधारः क्रिवकरस्मड़्तामच्चरावसीं।
निक्टार्ब क्रिजामह्टे पुलामरणियामिः ।
al Metre, CTirdüla-riknidita.
al Metre Upajiti.
83 Metre ${ }_{4}$ Harini.

81 Metra, Srag.dhati.
\& Metre, Aryáa
88 Metre, Anuatubh.

Nadir Shâh and Muhammad Shah, a Hindi poom by Triox Dīs, contributed by Willian Irvine, late of the Bengal Civil Service.
[ Read February, 1897.]
The poem of which I send a transcript, transliteration and translation, accompanied by some notes, was found list year ( 1895 ) among the books of the late Mufti Sulṭān Hasan Khān of Bareli (Rōhilkhand). Access to these books was obtained by my agent, Maulvi 'Abdu-l-'Aziz, through the good offices of C. Rustomjee, Esq., C. S., at that time Judge of the district.

In the exemplar found at Bareli the verses are wrongly placed, being given in the following order, 1-6, 77-93, 7-76, 9t-103. This mistake I have corrected. The numbering gives 103 verses, but apparently they ought to be reckoned as one hundred aud five. Verse No. 7, as it has eight lines, ought, I fancy, to be counted as two verses of four lines each; and the Doharā, No. 103, is given as one verse of four lines, whereas Dohard meaning 'a couplet,' the four lines form properly two couplets. After making this correction, I find the kinds of metre used are :-52 Dohara (104 lines ), 11 Söratha ( 22 lines), 1 Kabit Dohara (2 lines), 18 Kabit ( $17 \times 4$ and $1 \times 2,70$ lines), 2 Savaiya Kubit ( 8 lines), 9 Savaiya ( 36 lines), 9 Aril ( 36 lines), 3 Ohaupāi ( 12 lines), giving a total of 105 verses and 290 lines.

Our copy is in the Persian character, as was perhaps to be expected owing to the Muhammadan source from which it has been obtained. I have made further enquiry, but no Nägari original is now forthcoming. But we may assume that the work was originally written down by the author, as all other Hindi poems are, in the Nägari character. The free use of purely Persian and Arabic words (suggested, no doabt, by the nature of the sabject), is to be noticed, making of this poem an early specimen of the Hindi mixed with Persiar, which the late Rajaj Shiva Parshäd advocated as the true literary language (see Grierson, "Modern Vernacular Literature," 1889, No. 699, p. 148).

I know nothing of the anthor beyond his name, Tilok Dās, which appears in the last line of verse 7. The work is not dated and no patron is named. But since rerse 103 contains a mention of Nädie

Shāh's death in 1160 H. (May 1747), the poem cannot be earlier than that year, and judging from internal evidence, such as the number of real names and events given with tolerable correctness, I think it would be safe to give as the latest probable limit of composition a period of ten or fifteen years from 1747. The poem is not likely, in my opinion, to be later than 1757-1760 A.D.

From the place at which the work is found we may assume that the author was a native of Röhilkhand. The language, of which the grammatical forms seem to be somewhat unstable, appears to me to show affinities both to the dialect of the upper part of the GangesJamnä dūabba and to that of the country between Farrukhābād and Qannauj, the latter called by Kellogg, I think, Kanauji. I am more or less familiar with both these dialects, and the language of the poem contains something of both. I suppose it should be classed as written in the Braj variety of the Hindi tongue.

As for the matter of the poem, it must be confessed that it is of no historical valae, although, if no other account of Nādir Shāh's invasion had come down to ns, this might have been otherwise. We might then have been forced to construct out of such materials a history of what really happened. The result would, I think, have been that the outlines of the story would have come out fairly true to fact, but in details there would have been equal redundancy and defect. The story of the faqir's second sight would have been at once rejected by any critic: while he would have mourned over the absence of reasons for the sudden collapse of the Moghul defence, or for the apparently unprovoked slaughter of the unoffending inhabitants of Dibli. In short, this poem shows us how rapidly in the East, even in modern history, fact and fiction are blended. We see, as it were, myth in the making.

I am no judge of the technical merits of the work as poetry, but it seems to me to prosent a brisk, lively and interesting narrative, far from devoid of local colour, and at times exceedingly graphic. The poet assumes as a matter of common knowledge that Nādir Shāh was invited into India by Nizàmu-l-mulk. The true solution of this question is one of the most difficult problems presented to us in the history of that period. The accusation was current at a very early date (see Fraser's " History of Nādir Shāh," published in 1741, pp. 69 and 129, and Rustam 'Ali’s Tärį̄h.i-Hindi, written in 1154 Ḥ. (1741-2) [B. Mnseam, Oriental MS. No. 1628, folio 281 b$]$.) The anthor of Risalah-i-ifuhammad Shäh, however (B. M. Or. 180, foll. 106 b, 107 b), who wrote between 1161 H. and 1167 H. (1748-1754), puts all the blame npon Sa'ādat Khān, Burhānu-l-mulk, Nāzim of Audh. But J. 1. 4
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Jonas Hanway's judicious remarks (" Revolutions of Persia," 3rd edition, 1762, II, 352) convey the wisest and safest opinion on this very disputable point. "It seems to me highly probable that Nadir did not "stand in need of such instruments for the execution of his ambitions "designs." In short, Nādir Shāh could not look on himself as the world-conqueror that he wished to be, a veritable equal of Changer and Taimūr, without an invasion of Hindūstān, and, as I hold, such an incasion was inevitable, invitation or no invitation.
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II, Transliteration.

## Doharã.

1. Sri Ganpat Gorēs ke G $\quad$ bind oaran manāe,

Ahwāl Shāh Nādir kahã, jagat kabit banāe.
2. Muḥammad Shāh Bādshb̄̄h Dillī kā jō sar mör

Brhat khūghī thā, 'aish krỡā bāt nā orr.
3. Bandorbast mulk kā sớp-diya jo Shāh

Ghāzi Khān Wazir jō sab-hi knrat nibāh.
4. Majlis Rāe Díwàn kō sar-daftar tơ jạn, Mukhtār-kār sal fauj kā Khān Daurān mūn.
5. Nizamu-l-mulk amir thā, topan kā sardār, Bandơbast sab jang kā usi ké thā ikhtiyār.
6. Turrah Bāz Khān sūrmān, barā hōhh kā dbani, Hikmat ko jō hakim thā, 'Alwi nām yah ghani.

Kabit.
7. Malkah Zamāni jō ūs ki bēgam thi, tis kē bas hōgae Shāh apārā,
Wah şūratmand jo khūb thi, mān̄ candar ko diat wahi. ujiyārā.
Sabh rāj samāj ko kāj jōi, tah kō na hősh rahī ghar-bārā, Ab dēkh dasā jō narēs lakhō māno candar cakorr ki prit nahārā.

Kabit.
Sharāb aur kabāb khānā, 'aml rah dīwānā, dēkh mastānā, jo 'ataãi sabb āwahf,
Dhölkī, tambūrā, sār, bēn kō bajāwề bāj, dēkhō mahārāj, sabh Shāћ kōँ sunawe.
Jabai khūsh hūé Shāh tabai kare bs parwāh hirà, mōti, la'l, sab måg kē liyãwê,
Kab kahat hai Tilok̀ Dās ơr nā kachū ās, Shāh kō banā hai rāg, or nā pahāwahê.

## Savaíy.

-. 8. Prit ki rit kāchū nahí rākhat jāt na pāt, na naîl kal gān̄̄,
Prēm kē nēm kahứ nahł́ diat lāj, na kān lagiyo sabh kahāro.
Lēn phiyo sōnshah mãh Muhammad Shāh kō rūp rah matwārö,
Ak rāg aur rang binā nahl pahāwat, 'ą̄hiq hō-rahíyo Shāh apārō.

## Doharā.

9. Rōz aţhwê Shāh kō sab-hi karat salām, Āp apnī qā̉dah āwat rahat madām.
10. Amir Nizāmu-l-mulk jō gyā Shāh k̄ pās, Dēkhat hī hâsĩ karí, jān kè apnā dās.

## Kabit.

11. Dēkhō tum āwat' hai bōznah kē cāl jaisi khūb khūgh rang sabz pagri at saji hai,
Dękh kē anōkhī cāl khar khar hãs tabaé bê-hāl, jūtī ki awãz khūb paṭ pat kar baji hai ;
Hukm kiyā Bādshāh bahut lōg āwat hain, aisē cāl kisū ke na mērè dị lagì hai,
Amir hai, baro hai, siyãw bọznah kē ghakl mānō, dêkh dil khushi hōt, būndan kar pagi hai.
12. Amir hai, barō hai, siy̆̃ow bōznah kē shakl jānō, dēkhat khūgh hōt, jabh māuō susrāt hai,
Aur jō amir àwê aisi chab nā pāwế, cham cham kar culat cāl mānō aťrāt hai,
Dēkhiē parbīn sājan sōhat darg khūb ānjan, bhājan kē takōr - jaisi adhak ṭhankāt hai.

Aisō-hì amir yah Nizàma-l-malk nām jabh, sabh amiran sartāj jō kahāt hai.

## Aril.

13. Suniyō jabai yah bacan zabāni Shāh ki, Ochalī chāti andar àg juwāh kī, Ghar ki taraf wah haṭiyō Nizāmn-l-mulk jō, Thāpiyō man măh krōdh, lagiyō tah zathin sō.

## Chaupāi.

14. Tab jah bhākh sãnāi bāt, "Jō kuch hukm kiyā sō sāt, "Jō kōī din jīwat rahfin, isī àg số cbātī dhōfín.
15. "Sabh gangrē qila'h kē jānō, tap tap karē bōznah mānō, "Sō phal janam mērō hì tabai, țapat bōznah qila'h mế jabai."
16. Tab à kar mandar-sandar mê, nij hāth sỗ pāti likhī jō banāi ;
"Tum shāh jō Nādir nādir hō aur fanj rah tum pai ghat-chāi,
"Yah Dillī kā takht jō khālī parā, tum kō is ki likhì hī mé bar āi,
"Ham naukar hãi, tum mălik hō, is kō tum ā kary liyō samphāi.."
W. Irvino-Nadir giak and Mukamonad Mhah, a Hindf poem. [No. 1;

## Dọhera.

17. Bahnt pati likh die Amirn-l-mulk Nizam, Ek bāt kē kāran bhayā jō namak-liarām.
18. Li qāṣid pātī, qaliyo N Nàdir Shāh ke pās, Nizàmu-l-mulk arnir, jō rahīó shál kē dās.

## Kabit.

19. Shāh suni bāt na samāt ris kḥāi, ūṭhē Balkh Bulbhārā, di. naqära, あ jan ka,
Kābul aur Paghaur qōr pari thọr thor, Gajni Qandahāre jōri à mān kE,
Chariyo Nādir Shāh lini fanj bs-parwah, Irān mulk sóp diyā N®k Khān jān kモ,
. Otare daryāo Sindh, Panjāb mulk kiyā banda, lā̀khā rūpai liè kart khān pān ké.

## Savaiyā.

20. Tab Zakariyā Khāñ qūbah Lāhor nē nōc kanín apné man māhf, Yah fauj bari jō Durrē̃niyãn ki, is k® sam aur nahí jag māhf Cun kahāwat hai, "ādam kō kar daim nāk mê," na sak kare man māht;

Dohara.
21. Hāth jơr bintī karī Sūrat Singh, Dīwān, " Hukm hōe, main jät hifin, ab bidh hōe imān."

Soraţhā.
22. Tō bōliyõ sartāj, ṣūbs\% jo Lāhōr $k \bar{a}$, "Tum bin yah kāj aur kisū se nè saparē."

Doharā.
23. Rukhşat kiyā dīwān kó, bahū tuhfah diē sāth, Nādir Shāh ko jā mila, dōnơ bāndhé hāth.

## Savaiyā.

24. Nādir Shāh barē bādşhāh, nahl kachū cāh binā bādşāhi hai,
Sabh fauj jadāl qatāl bani, aur susthir rakhễ sabh jang ke āhi,
Tab 'ař karī jo Muhammad Khān, "Yah hāzir hai diwān jo ā hai,


Sonethes.
25. Hukm kiyā tab Shbāh, trubfah sabhi ūṭhāi,

Töqhatci pah jǟ, Khán Mabạnmad sóp dia.
Doharā.
26. Nadir Shhāh nā pūchiyō ; "Sūn, diwān apjān,

27. Kari 'arz diwàn nē, bahū binti kar jōr,

Kabit.
28. "Barō hai, bahādur hự jānat sabh jagat dān kō dēs mế parsäddh sör jang kō jō dhanī hai,
 bahū bāt sabai bani hai,
 nab ant ofs thanl had.
 way y巨tai an bani hai.

Savaiyā.
29. "Rām sūdashṭ südashṭ sabai, jab Rām kūdashṭ sūdashṭ na kōi, "Yāhi tai atak rabiyo man ṭhathak, na katak kare tumre sam kōi,



## Doharā

30. Sūn bātān diwān $\mathbf{k}{ }^{2}$, Shāh bhaỹ anand, Chorlyo Shä̀i Lishor, chor die sab bandh.
31. Khān Bạhādur jā milìyō āgẹ Nādir Shā̆h,

32. Lūtan lage Lāhōr kō Maghnl, Paṭban, Amir, Bahū daalat kō lūt kar, sīhū kie faqir.

Kabit.
33. Tab hi diwān.fo hà bart eqjila no hí ghan bahaman kè kare lăiq sabh jān-k̄,
 pahiwãn-ke
Campat anr Lakhpat, Dawad, Khān ko diwle, so Chāh jiū ke pàe gaé binti bahū fuān-kē,
 mān mann-ke.
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Doharā.
34. 'Arz-b̄̄g nē jāe kio 'arz Shāh ke pāe,

Par sūn, ūtar kachū nā kīyō, hukm qaid bhayō tās.
Savaiyā Kabit.
35. Tab dẹra Lāhōr se kūo kīyō aur ra'yat lūt liè tah sārī̀, .Sūn jargớ bhăg gaso jō Ãdinah Bēg Khān calīyō chor ke fanjdārī, Jáe lūkiyō sõ pahār mế Khān kiyō so amān bhai bahū yārī, Sabh rājā pahār kē mitr kiē tin se bhayo qaul-qarār bēcāri.

## Doharā.

36. Lūțan lage Paţhān sab shahr Jalandhar $\overline{\text { aje, }}$ Baīū daulat kō lūt-kar tarpat jō bhai agāhī.

Kabit.
37. Jab shahr Jalandhar lūṭ liya tab khabar bhaĩ so Ãdīnah Bēg, Tis-ne kachū sōc kari man mãh ḍhōh liē khanjar aur'tēgh, Kar jōr Bisambhar Dās kahiyo, "ham kס bakhsho yah sundar tēgh,
"Tum hukm karō, ham jāwat hain, yah Nādir Shāh barā hai beg."

## Kabit.

38. Khān-hớ sujān kah, "Sūn-hō, diwān piyãrē, tumrē tō̃ bas yah kām na āwē-gō,
"Wah to Shāh Nādir, bahādur, parsiddh, tū ke ḍhagah jā̄ kachū kahín na pāwah-gō."
Tabhi diwān kahī, "Sūn-hō, sujān Khān! namak-harām ham kaise jnbai kahāwah-gõ?
"Is jō pā-aũ ab fauj-hỡ lejā-aũ, sabh phal bani milf, nahF sis kāt liyāwah-gō !"

## Kabit Doharā.

39. Bahū parsann hae Khān, dinà̀ jo bahut in'ām,

Bidān kīn dīwān kō, jảniyó puran kám.

## Savaigā.

40. Tab āp dīwān sawār bhayō, aur fanj carhē bahū tai sang jāe, Pan āē-ke jūgat kari tinhó aur dēkhi sipāh sabh Nādir Shāhe,
Tin se bahū mêl kiyō tabai, pan lē-gayō Nadir Shāh pah tāhē, Shāh Walí aur Muhammad Khān kiyo bahūmān diwān jō āhe.

## Doharā.

41. Nādir Shāh puchīరठ, "Kahō Khān kỉ bāt, "Sun, dīwān sujān, tơ sabh bidh hì kō sarāt."
42. 'Ary karỉ dīān nē, bahū binti kar jorr, "Khān āp ke kirpā kar bahut hi khūshi par yah mōr.

Kabit.
43. "Pahār kē shikār mễ jō bahnt hi khūghi hai, wé ko dēkh ke faqiran kō jō barō hī bitās hai,
"Yāhi tai atak rahiya Khān hi sajān, jag kare bahū dān aur bandagi parkās hai,
"Yāhi tai mã āiyo ab fanj-hơ lī-yāyō sab otk lākh rūpai de paţhāyo tum pās hai,
"Aur jōi tuhfe mã-né bajả gini àp kō jō lāiq ho, qabūl kijiyo tās hai."

Doharā.
44. Hinkm hūā tab shā̄h kā, tuḥfe kie qabūl, Khüghi bhai diwān, parjăn, hoge kā mūl.
45. Díwān, sajān, fahāmản, 'ař kari kar jōr, "Lūt liȳa thā qila'th, sabh shahr Jalandhar or.
46. "Shāhan kō parwāh na kachū māl ke jān, " Jō ra'yat aur fauj ham sabai āp k® mān.
47. "Ham hāzir hãi, tum shē̄h jī jo kachū karo sō sâz,


## Mavaiya.

48. Hukm kiyā tāb Shāh jō Nādir, "Jo hamrī hī sipāh bulā̄, "Jō asbāb hठ, tan yah sabh diē-ke, diwān rasid likhā̄." Tarat-hl jāe diwān sujān nē 'arc kari, bahū bāt banāe ; Tab-hi parwảna likhāe dió, tum Khản dūāba ke bic sópāe.

## Dohara.

49. Bakū ūpmān dtwān k̄ likhē jo Nādir Shāh, Dēra apnā kūc kar, Satlaj likhiyō athāh.
50. Parmāna lē yā̧id gayã khām я̣āhib ke pās, Sünat kān ümagiyo, bhūr bhayo sva-tah haliar
51. Aa chahr Jalandhar m \% as thathi kere nawab Bahut diläsa tah king̃ bhör blaai wah äh.
J. 1. 6
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Aril.
52. Daryă ūn-pār jx ghahr base Surhand thā, Luţ liyā wah ghahr, jo bahut bulnnd thā, Bārah kōs mễ shahr ābād hō cukā, Nādir Shāh ke lūṭ, shabr sabh hūā mukā.

Aril.
53. Jāe ūtariyō wah shāh jō Nádir klıāt me, Pānipat maqām kiyā as haibat sé, Kbabar sunte hì ok amir jo Khān Daurān Khān nām tis sê parsiddh só.

## Doharā.

54. Sabh cāli shatranj kē, jō kōi kari bicâr, Jite-gñ, lā-sak, walı kadai na āwe hār.
55. Ek cāl sô bhūl kar, bāzi hāri jān, Míṭī bāt hì phūl $\mathbf{k} \bar{e}$, jab hãkār bakhān.
Aril.
56. Sūni khabar jab Muhammad Shāh nE, Nādir Shāh jō āyo Dillī, wah hâsí;
Khān Daurān Khān kō hukm hūā, "Ab jāh to, Kaisā lartā dēkhiyo Nādir Shāh kõ."

Kabit.
57. Calē dirg-pāl, bhū-păl, bhū-mandal kß, calè wach-kach, aur cals rāō rānā jō,
Calé manḍlé bakanţh hö kō, kalpiē rāh leṭe, phêrat sis tanak, harakh joriyó jānā jō,
Byākul dal miliyd, qatal kiyō dal shāhan kō, khēt tai jāठ liyo bai Nawãb Khān K̄ānān jō,
Kab kahat hai Tilōk Dăs, kahe tō karfí bakbān, phāg calīyo bādshāh-i-jang Khān Khānān jō.
58. Dilli dal-bādal nmag-umag āe, ""Alī" dini hai duhḡi, " dōnó ò mahmanḍ" ki,
Nau sai assi aswār pahār khãichiyō nau lákhan kō, barsiyo hai sūr sudh, phūli hai basant ki,
Kar binān kar larê, sis binā dhar larø̂, Ṣạhib bic rākhi hai àp Bhagwant ji,
Sāı® bādshāh̄ k® sipāhi karê, "Bāh, bāh, Khān Khãnān, khüb lariyd, bakhghigh bhai āp ki.
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59. Cațāka cär ciran, samundar sabh bharan, dahak, dhūl, dharan, $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{M a r}$ siss ān ko.
Kamān kar-karan, dămanī-dūt haran, dhäs bān pharan, bhyō bulwān ke,
Saṭā-kē jān caran, cūké narāran, Mughal lāge maran, na mâge khān pān ke,
Arā-kē rödai pliāgan, saţa k̄ kar jāgan, tarā kē tir lāgan, nishāni Khān Khanān ke.
60. Dờdi lāgan bājan, mirdangì ḍhōl sājan, tōrhī nïßhān gājan, sūphirơ phākān kē,
Tölơ ki gōli "ganan," zambūre jangi "sanan," tōpak "karkaran," guwārē gan bān kē,
Damak dal dhōkan, tamak tij cōkan, ghāơ mōl rōkan, lūkan Bäghwān kē,
Arā-kē rödai phāgan, saṭa ke kar jägan, tarā kē tīr lāgan, uishānī Khān Khānān kē.
61. Jigar phör rah-giyō gan nahl karat, kabūr té rah nahif, man nahĺ liyat, tej nahf rahat, pann kahã bhayó,
Sundar padmani pūralhh rakh na kara rat sūnat sarỗ zor tak kíyō Khān Khānān, bairam, balī, jadơ krōdh kar tang kiyō.

## Doharā.

62. Pãc kős pichē haţiyo derra kiyō Nādir Shāh,

Likhā Nizāmu-l-mulk kō, hār gā jō sipāh.
Kabit.
63. "Mō kō jō būlāyō tum Kābul sē, āyo ham kōn kāj kinā, jã tai lāj ham dhariyo hai,
"Ek lii amir āyō, karak karak dhāyó, jang kiyo Khān Daurān, mó-sế na sahāriyó hai,
"Aise amir bahū hōt bādshāhan pah, ék hi larāi mễ to aisi ūkalāyo hai,
"Tā tê mãi āe kar wilāyat se kharāb bhayo ; uamak-lıarām, tum khat ky t paţhāyo hai ? "

## Dohara.

64. Amir Nizāmu-l-mulk né likhiyõ jawāb banāa, Fauj haţī is taraf ko, jānē êk na pāe.
65. Dilli takht jor shbāh kā, tumha mubārik hōi, Lāj rahi merri tabai jānegā sab kōi.
66. Jab hat kar ăyo Khān Daurān jản, Diỹ palitā tơp ko fanj ūpā mān.

## Sorathhē.

67. Dilli tai panj kos, topó kā larā lagā,


Aril.
68. Sabhui ūrāi fauj Nizāmu-l-mulk né, Khān Daurān kē lāt ūḍi tab faiak mê, Nēzah pakrì hāth calā aswār sō, Jāe takht kē păe girá lē-tāb hō.
69. Jab sudh pāe āp shāh tab puchiyo, "Kaisā jang jo dēkliā Nàdir Shah ko ? " Tab bōliyō Khān, "San反, slā̆h, ek bāt tum, "Jō jìwat rahifi, şubḥ calūngā sāth tum,
70. "Nādir Shāh kuchū ciz nalif hớ,
"KaıE larāi khūb, jıng mề blagiyo,
"Tum kō milnā khūb, jang nā kijīy
"Harhān ghar mế be-itifáq na ko āo jitiyo."
71. Bhör bhai mar gayō naivāb amir jó, Miliyo Muḅammad Shāh jāe ke Shāh kō, Dilli pahứchiyo Shāh jo Nādir akhīe, Gyãra sai iky àwau sauh chaupyā kahie.
72. Hukm kiȳ̄ tab ba-zan zabānī àp nē, Qutal hūi tab Dilli, anat na janiē, Ek din tā sām qital jab hơ cukī, Hukm kiyā t万, "Bas!" khalq tabhi baci.

## Doharā.

73. Nädīr Shāh nē pūchiyo, "Kalıō, Muḥımmad Shä̈h,
"Buhū daulat tum puh sunē, us kā kahō jō thāh."

## Sornţhā.

74. Tab böliyo bādshāh, "Mujh kō knch sambāl ne, "Diwān jō Majlis us kō sabai sambhāl hai.,"

Doharā.
75. Dastak bhai dīwān par rapaiyah panc hazār, Rōz lihơ dıwān tai, hāzir karo darbār,
76. Jāē $k \bar{e}$ sipāhi kahễ "Sūn-h̄ō, diwān, shāh āēs jo bhai hama dihō rōzā̆ $\mathrm{k} E$,
 man ke."
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Tab-hì diwān sūn rāzināmah kiyō, ūn rapaiyah dié calō sèāth, Nādir Shăh jān'ké,
Jāo-kē salām kīnī, āge sh̄̄h mān līní, pūchiyo " He dī̄ān! tum kahర bāt thān k̄,
77. "Bliāi jo Muhammad Shāh kō darb hai athāh tum-ko ma'lūm hai, so kahóo bāt sāch ki,
"Jō kadāj jhūṭh bōliyo, abhī tōri kān khōlư, mār dālữ jản tai, na jảnō bāt kāj ki."
Tabai diwān kahiyō, "Bhēd nahł, jāe liyõ, bing dothi kighaz ki, jāno bāt pāp ki,
 bāt āp ki."

## Soratha.

78. Diwān jo Majlis Rā̄, namak-halal qadim, Kahé bāt sarojhā̄, "Sunō, Shāh Nādir jo tum."

## Doharā.

79. Hikm hūā tab shāh kā, "Tin rōz ke jān, " Kāghaz sabh ḥāz̧ir karó, tabai kusal kō mān."
80. Gayō dīwān sujāu ghar mế pan sōcí bāt, Bin säci böli abh kailhi na hai kūsarāt.
81. Namak jo khāfō sh̄̄̆h kã bahū muddat tak jãn, Apni khäs zabān tai kyā anmān bakhān?

Soraţhā.
82. Rōs tīrl àb, dīwān jo Majlis sỡ gayó, Andar ghar kē jā̄, khā̄ katāre mar gayo.
83. Khabar bhai jab shāh, bahū sỡci man mãh tabeī, Mard jö lāiq āh, maran lag namak-parwar aabai.

Dohara.
84. Bahū binti kinī tabai Shāh Muhammad jān, Nādir Shāh kō bhàkiye Shāh jó, "Sūnठ̃, mihmān,

Gavaiyā.
85. "Āp ke ba-daulat jo rāj karfi, yah maran lagè joi mard-hi jānō,
"Jō tumrē dil mế ūpje pan tāh karō, hamre sar mānō,"
Tab Nädir Shäh kahiyō "Suụ, Shāh! karō tó libass joxi ham țhānō,
"Tum ko bathehefi sabh riaj-ganain yah Dillị stak laghaiyat minho."

Doharā.
86. Tabai jō Mubammad Shāh n® kiyã libās jō aur,

Khalqā tōpi pahr kar, bhyâ Durrāni taur,
87. Pắc rơz bití, jabai adhì rât ke jān,

Dōnớ sḥāh calé gå sair jō Dihlì mān.
Soraţhā.
88. Ek al-mast faqir rahtā thā ©k kūtị mé, Tā kō dākh sarir Nādir Shā̆ pūchiyō.

## Savaiyā.

89. "Tum sālik hō aur mālik hō, karāmāt dēkliāo hama apní" Tab dakk faqir kahiyo, "Tum, Nādir Shāh, dākhāō kachū apni."
Tab Nãdir Shāh kahiyo "Aikh mic dēkh jōi cāhat hō ṭhapni." Ãikh mic lini to faqir dēkhā khūb fanj jo Nādir Shāh khani.

Dōharā.
90. Dillí so Atak tak fanj jō Nādir Shāh, Hathyār pahr kar hãi, khari 'umdah bani sipāh.

Savaiyā.
91. Tab khōl ke âkh faqir kahiyo, "Ab dēkhō, acambhā dākhāfir abai,
"Tum mic ke gikh dêklō." To Shāh ne mûd ke dêkhiyo tabai,
Jōi fauj faqir 11 dēkhi khari, ta ke sar kaţe gaè sabai, Tab Shāh kahiyō hai, "Faqir ! karō tum mihr ke nazar abai."

## Soraţhā.

92. Kiyā jō qanl faqir tơ "Cāhiye kūsarāt kōn,
" Mat karō zarrā na dhēr, calē jā̄ Kübul abhi.

## Savaiyā.

93. Tab Nādir Shāh būlāè sipāh kō ḥ̂km diyā, "Tum kūc karo," Joì la'l juwāhir khūb pakhiye ta àp lıé sōi sis dhariyo, Pão mōti kaṭā jarāo banā, qabzab talwăr kā khūb kiyo. Bahū daulat ןo kar lād lī̄, asbāb jō khūb thā sang liyס.

## Doharả.

94. 'Ulwī nãm hakim pan begam kō lenā sãth, Nā̃dir Shāh se pūchiyo shāh jo bāndhé hāth.
95. "Yah hakim mar jae râiste hì mê jān, " Dhūp lagi, ăg pari, is kó dushman mān."
96. Chór diyā tas kō, tabai calā jo Nādir Shāh,

97. Amr Singh eardār kō qaid kiyā tab jān, Paṭiyāla kā sardār aur mulk thāwah mān.
98. Jó 'aurat bhau khūb thi mānō camkat pahăn Bahut bảndhi kini tabai, Maghnl, Amir, Pathăn.
99. Satlaj ke patan jabai pūchiyō Nādir Shāh, 'Arz kari sardār pan khalqat chūţi athāh.
100. Diā khitāb Rājā kā Amr Singh ko jān, Cbōr diē khalqat sabai, Rājā kiyã amãn.

## Sorathā.

101. Pahtúchiyō jab Lāhōr, àe milisō umräè sabh Bahut bhayo tah shōr, khalqat ko jo 'azāb tha.
102. Sabai chōr dīe band, Lakhpat aur Campat, Dawåd, Bhayō jo bahut anand, Nādir Shāh jō nēki jo sunie.

## Doharā.

103. Chör dié khalqat sabai, Kābul pahfíchiyo Shāh, Sanh gyãra sau sāṭh tak kiyā jō rāj nibāh, Manzil Fathābād mê ädhì rāt so jān, Qatl kiyā bādghāh kō Amir Mahammad Khān.

## III, Translation.

## The Story of Nàdir Shài and Muqamyad Shāif.

1. Having worshipped the feet of Ganpat and Gobbind, I indite an epic on the deeds of Nādir.
2. Mnhammad Shāh, king of Dihli, who was our ruler, Was fond of pleasure, delighted only in numberless enjoyments.
3. The rule of the kingdom had the king confided To Ghäzi Khān, his Wazir, who fulfilled all theduties.
4. Know that Majlis Rā̄, the diwän, was chief official; Understand, Khān Daurān had power over all the army.
5. Nizima-l-mulk, a noble, commanded the actillery;

All the orders for war were under his control.
W. Irvine-Nadir criah amd Muhammed Shan, a Hinde poem. [No. 1,
6. Turrah-bāz Khān, a hero, riohly endowed with wisdom, The physician who presoribed was called 'Ulwi.
7. Malikah Zamāni, his queen, was idolized by the king ;

So lovely was she, you might say the moon drew its light from her face.
All business, public and private, was neglected.
See the state of the king, he gazed full of love like the cakor at the moon;
They ate roast-meat, drank wine, were mad with opinm. Seeing him drunk, they all came for largess.
Drums, gaitars, fiddles, flates were plajed. Behold, good sir, the king listened to every kind of music.
When pleased, the king beeded not his acts, sent for diamonds, pearls, and rubies to adorn her,
Tilok Däs sings this song, hopes for nought has made a tune for the king, and that is all.
8. The ways of love preserve neither house nor name nor tranquillity;
Love has no constraint nor shame, no obedience to any one.
Having tasted of the cap, Mahammad Shih was drunk from her beanty.
Never without song or dance, the king was beyond measure in love.
9. Once a week all men did homage to the king,

Each in his own fashion always used to come.
10. When the noble, Nizämu-l-mulk, came before the king,

On seeing him the king forthwith langhed, looking on him as his servant:
11. "Look you, how with a monkey's gait he comes, adorned with a nice, pretty-coloured, green i.urban."
Seeing his strange gait, they burat into hoarse langhter. His shoes sounded nicely " thamp, thump."
The king deolared, "Many come, but mo one's walk has so delighted me.
" A great noble, he looks like a black monkey; on seeing him my heart o'erflows with joy.
12. "He is noble and great, be looks like a black monkey; to see him is a pleasure, know this is my delight,
"No other noble ia so lovely, he goes tinkle-tinkle, his gait is a joy to behold.
"See, clever one, the shining of the lamp-black on his eyes, he sounds like drum-beating, how he jingles as he goes,
"Such is thie noble, named Nizāmu-l-mulk, he who is called the greatest of all the nobles."
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13. When he heard this speech from the lips of the king,

A flame of fire leapt up within his breast.
He went to his house, this Nināmu-l-mulk;
Anger beat in his mind, it hurt like a wound.
14. Then spoke he aloud these words,
"The king's commands are truth,
"If I live a little longer
"Of this fire I will cleanse my breast.
15. "When on all the battlements of the fort
"The monkeys leap hither and thither,
"Then will my life reach its fruition,
"When the monkeys bound into the fort."
16. Then entering his dwelling, with his own hand he prepared a letter:
"You are Shāh Nādir, the all-powerful, you have a formidable army,
"This throne of Dihli is vacant, this greatness has been recorded as yours,
"I am your humble servant, you are my lord, come and take possession."
17. A long letter was written by the noble Nizämu-l-mulk, Having by reason of one word become untrue to his salt.
18. The post runner took the letter, went to Nädir Shāh, From noble Nizāmu-l-mulk, the servant of the Shāh.
19. The Shāh listened to nothing, but fell into a rage, quitted Balkh and Bukhärā, arrived with drums beating.
Ruin fell on Kābul, Pashāwar and other places; Ghazni and Qandahär submitted,
Nādir Shāh led on his army never heeding; the realm of Irān was made over to Nēk Khāu.
He descended the river of Sindb, enslaved the Panjäb country, took lakhs for his sustenance.
20. Then reflected Zakariȳ̄ Khān, Governor of Lāhb̄r, in his mind,
"This great host of Durrānis, there is not its equal in the world;
"As the saying is 'it would bring the breath into a man's nose ;' of this there is no doubt.
"Whoever may be able to cope with it, I have not the capacity."
21. Joining his hands, Sūrat Singh, Dīwān, made petition, " If ordered I will go, in some way make peace."
22. Then spoke the ruler of Lāhōr province,
"By none other than you can this work be completed."
J. 1. 7
23. The Diwan was sent off, taking many presents with him. Before Nailir Shah he appeared, both hands joined.
24. "Nādir Shāh a great king with no greed but sovereignty, Makes his army fight, and stands firm in every battle."
Then petitioned Mubammad Khān, "Here is this Diwān who has come;
"His whole body trembles; are these presents accepted or not $P$ "
25. Then the Shāh gave this order; "Take up the presents !"

Khān Muhammad took them, and delivered them to the ward-robe-keeper.
26. Nādir Shāh asked, "Listen, you clever Diwān!
"Has Khān Bahādur the least intention of fighting?"
27. The Diwān replied respectfully, with many invocations,
"O polestar of the world! grant life, bestow on me this grace !
28. "He is great and brave, he holds all earthly gifts, the whole country knows he is rich in nll required for war.
"Khān Babādur is rery powerful, has a good army and all things ready;
"He has one defect, one thing is wanting; except that, my lord, everything else is at hand.
"He would fight, withont a doubt (I am a true man and no liar), my lord, if it had been nny other than jourself.
29. "When Rām is friendly, all are friendly; Rām adverse, there are no friends;
"On his he stack, this was his hindrance, no army could equal yours.
"When, my lori, one has your strength, there is but one lord and none other ;
"Therefore has Khān Bahādar made to jou these many entreaties."
30. Having heard the Dirān, the Sbāh was pleased. The Shāh quitted Lāhōr, released all the captives.
31. Khin Bahādur went and presented himself to Nādir Shāh. Taking gifts from many lands, he laid them before the Shāh.
32. Plundering began in Läliōr by Maghal, Paţhān and noble. Mach wealth was plandered, bankers were turned into paupers.
33. Then the Dīān, who was very clever, and the respected Kbān did what was for the good of all.
They cried aloud, "All the people are plundered, the incomparable city [to sīno pahīvãn ke P']
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Campat and Lakhpat, Dawād, the Khāu's diwā̀ns, went to the Shäh aird mado many protestations,
"All Lāhōr city is plandered, now only the name is left, this should now be stopped." Again and a, ain they prayed.
34. The "Arz-beg went and made petition to the Shāh;

But hearing he answered nanght; they were ordered to prison.
33. Then camp was struck at Lāhōr, all the people were plundered.

Hearing this, the chief men fled; Adinah Bēg bolted from his district;
He hid himself in the hills; the Khann made overtures greatly terrified.
He made friends of all the hill rājàs, entered with them iuto covenants.
36. Then begnn the Paţhāns to rob the whole town of Jalutidiar, Much wealth they gathered aud were contented.
37. When Jalandhar hail been harried, Adinah Beg learnt it.

He began to think of plans, cleaned his swords and daggers.
Bisambhar Dess petitioned, "Give me this lovely swo:rt,
'. If you pass the order, I go at once; this Nädir Shä'l is $\Omega$ great lord."
38. The clever Khān said, "Listen, 0 Diwān, my friend, this work, to be plain, will not prosper with you.
${ }^{\omega}$ He is king Nādir, a hero, famons, he will in no way succumb to deceit."
Then said the Disān, "Hear, O Khān, how can you in any case style me untrue 10 my salt.
"If now I am given troops, I will start and gain the fr-nit; if not, jou may beliead me."
39. The Khān was much delighted, gave many rewards,

Gave the Diwān leave to start, bearing full instructions.
40. Then the Diwān mounted, many troopers mounted and followed.
Then using clever devices, he saw all the army of Nādir Shāh,
Made good friends with them. After that he was taken to Nādir Shāh.
Shāh Wali and Muḥammad Khān announced the Diwān's arrival.
41. Nādir Shāh asked, "State the Khān's message,

Hear, clever Diwān, give details of the whole affair."
42. The Diwān made his statement with many protestations, "If you have mercy on the Khān, he will be highly pleased with me.
43. "He is very fond of hunting in the hills, he has much delight in visiting the holy men there,
"There the Khän lingered, and prayed, and gave many preo sents,
"Thus I have come with troops, and have brought for you one lakh of rupees,
"Also presents of value, thought worthy of you; be so good as to accept them."
44. Then the Shā gave his order, the presents were accepted. The Diwān was happy, that clever one, that root of wisdom.
45. The Diwān clever and full of understanding, then petitioned with joined hands,
"They have plundered the whole fort and city of Jalandhar.
46. "Know that kings should have no regard for wealth.
"On this people, on this army, on every one look as your own.
47. "We are ready, Lord King, for whatever you direct, "Wherever you choose to send us, we breathe not a sound."
48. Order then was made by Shäh Nādir, "Call back the soldiers,
"Whatever goods there are, give all to the Diwān, and take a receipt."
Forthwith the clever Diwān made prayer with many words.
Then a patent was written making over the Duäba to the Khān.
49. Nādir Shāh having written mach praise of the Dīwän, Marched off, and raised his tents on the Sutlaj bank. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
50. The messenger took the order, went to the Khān Ṣāhib.

Hearing it, his ears stood up with joy, in his mind he was highly delighted.
51. The Nawāb came to Jalandhar town, after making these pretexts,
He took his ease, was very resplendent.
52. On the further side of the river lay Sarhind town.

The city was plundered, a very high city,
The habitations thereof covered twelve kos.
From Nādir Shāh's plandering silence fell on that city.
53. Then went that Shāh, called Nādir, to the battle field, Made Pänipat his resting place in greatest state. On hearing this, there came one warrior and noble, Khān Darāan is the name by which he is renowned.
54. All the moves at chess, if one reflects well, Will be won without fail, never will a player be defeated;
55. But know the game as lost, if one move be thoughtless : Soft words flourish, when bawling overturns.
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56. When Muhammad Shāh was told, "Nādir Shāh is come to Dilili," he laughed.
Khān Daurān was told, "Now go thou;
"I will see how you fight with Nādir Shāh."
57. The lord of forts, the chief of many countries set ont, crowds followed him, with him went the princes,
Went towards the realm of death, took the road to grief, throwing their heads from side to side, know them to be full of joy.
In a mass they met the army, slaughtered the Shāh's troops; thus has the field been taken by Nawāb Khān Khānān.
Tilok Dās writes a poem, but words cannot express it, how hastened on that king of war, that lord of lords.
58. The mighty host of Dilli came leaping and bounding with cries of "God, the Faith, the Faith, and Muhammad,".
Nine handred troopers stood like a hill against nine laklis, perfeet valour was poured ont, yellow flowers sprang forth,
Hand fought without hands, bodies fought without beads, the leader was apheld in the midst by Holy Bhagwān,
All the royal soldiers cry, "Braro! Khān Khānān! you have fought well, the victory is due to you."
59. Limbs split with a crash, all the oceans fill, heat and dust collect, rise to the head of mount Mern,
The bows crackle, the lightning-messengers seize, with a whish the rockets whirl, to nffrny the strong man,
They strike and take life, thousands miss, the Mughals begin to die without calling for betel leaf,
The bowstrings are drawn with a hiss, the hand lays hold and sets in motion, the arrows resound as they strike their target, the Lord of Lords.
60. Drum-beating began to sound, short dirums, long drums, my beloved, trumpets, nighan, horns, brazen trampets blew,
The cannon boom, the war-swivels whistle, the matchlocks rattle, the rockets scream,
The army bows low before the blaze, abandons its pride and jields, deep wounds stop them, they behold Bhagwān,
The bow strings are drawn with $n$ hiss, the hand lays hold and sets in motion, the arrows resound as they strike their target, the Lord of Lords.
61. They lay with their vitals cut open, they had no advantage, the graves were filled up, oue cannot count them, no vigour was left, they may be likened to air,
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Lovely women could not save their husbands for their love, blood flowed fast from heads, when the Lord of Lords, the champion and strong man, raging, girded his loins tightly.
62. Retiring a distance of fire kōs Nadir Shāh encamped,

Wrote to Nizāmu-l-mulk; his men having been deferted,
63. "You have called me from Kābul, for what end did I come, to be thus disgraced,
"One noble only came, he rushed with great speed, Khān Daurā: fought, you did not aid me,
"Many such nobles must be with the king, from the attack of one I have been made uneasy,
"Thus have I come from Wilāyat to be defeated. Unfaithful man, why sent you the letter?"
64. Nizāmu-l-mulk, the noble, made up and wrote an answer,
"If the ariny comes this way, be certain of meeting no one,
65. "Congratulations to you on getting the king's throne at Dihli.
"The shame put upon me, then will it be known to all.
66. "Know that when he returns, Khān Daurān will be attacked,
"Know that the match will be put to the gans, his army will be dispersed."
67. Five kōs from Dihli was ranged the line of guns, A man lost his wits, when he saw that barrier.
68. Nizãma-l-mulk blew up nll the army,

Kbān Daurān's men like a pillar rose into the sky,
Seizing their lances, the horsemon used them,
Then went and fell worn-ont before the throne.
69. When the king learnt this, he asked, "What sort of fight have you shown to Nādir Shāh $P$ "
Then spoke the Khān, "Hear, 0 king, a word from me!
"If I live, to-morrow I will start along with you.
70. "Nādir Shāh has proved a thing of nought,
" When well fought with, he fled from battle,
" But better for you to visit him, do not fight,
" When there is strife within a house, no victory can be won."
71. When the morn came the noble Amir died.

Muhammad Shāh went forth and visited the Shāh.
When the Shāh Nädir had reached Dihlī,
The end of year eleven fifty-one (115l) bad come.
72. Then gare he the order with his own lips.

Then was Dihli slaughtered, know it was there only, In one day till sunset the slaughter was ended.
When he said "Enough!" then were the people spared.
73. Nādir Shāh enquired, " Listen, Mubammad Shāh! "l heard yon had much wealth, tell the place where it is."
74. The king spoke, "I have no recollection, "The Diwān called Majlis, he has all the details."
75. A written order issued to the Diwān for five thousand rapees, "Take them daily from the Diwān, bring him to darbār."
76. The sepoys went and said, "Hear, $O$ Diwān, be who is king of the age, has given us a daily allowance,
"Give ns every one of the five thousand rupees, come to the king, obey the order."
Forthwith the Diwān took an acquittance and gave the rupees, and went with the men from fear of Nalir Shäh.
He went and made obeisance before the Shāh. He anked, "Are you the Diwān, speak, be careful of your words,
77. "The store-house of Brother Muhammad Shāh's boundless wealth is known to yon, now tell the truth.
"If in the least you speak lies, at once I will open your ears, will kill you outright, such words will not avail you."
Then said the Diwan, "I have not the details, I will fetch them, without seeing the papers my reply would be wrong,
"I will have search made, will reflect for three days, after that I will answer your lordship's question."
78. The Diwān called Majlis, an ancient loyal servant, Spoke, having nnderstood, " Hear, 0 king Nādir!"
79. Then the Shāh's order was, "You have three days, "Bring all the papers, know this is your only safety."
80. The well-minded Diwān went to his house, then thought it out, "Unlees the truth be now told, there will be no salvation.
81. "Know, that for many a day having eaten the king's salt, With his own very tongue how make revelation"
82. When the third day came, Majlis, the Diwān, Went into his honse, stabbed himself with a dagger and died.
83. When repart was made to the king, he thought much in his mind;
"The men of worth begin to die, all true to their salt."
84. Know; that then Muhammad Shāh urgently entreated; The king spoke to Nādir Shāh, " Listen, my guest,
85. "Behold, those true men by whose help I rule, begin to die,
"Do whatever arises in your heart, and be it on my head." Then said Nādir Shāh, "List, 0 king, apparel yourself like me, "Behold, then will I grant you this realm from Dihli to Aţak."
86. Then did Muhammad Shāh change his raiment, Put on a coat and light cap, turned himself into a Durrāni.
87. Know, after five days had passed, at midnight, Behold both kings went forth to visit Dihli.
88. One Almast, a holy recluse, lived in a house. Seeing his body, Nādir Shāh enquired,
89. "You are an ascetic and holy man, show me your miracles." Then the faqir looked and said, "Nādir Shāh, first display your own."
Then Nādir Shāh said, "Shut your eyes, behold whatever you like."
Shutting his eyes the faqir saw a fine army with Nadir Shāh.
90. From Dihli to Aţak was that army of Nādir Shāh, Standing fully armed, a splendid array.
91. Opening his eyes then said the faqir, "Behold, I will now show wonders,
"Shut your eyes and look!" Then when the Shāh had closed them, he saw
The soldiers the faqir had seen arrayed, all lying headless.
Then said the Shāh, "Holy man! look favourably on me."
92. Then spoke the faqir, "If you wish to be preserved,
"Delay not one moment, at once set out for Kābul."
93. Then Nādir Shāh sent for his men, ordered them to march.

What rubies and stones were best, he took and put on his head,
Five pearls were cut and mounted to make a lovely swordhilt, Much wealth was laden, all of value was carried away.
94. The doctor called 'Ulwi and a princess were to be taken away;
The king spoke to Nādir Shāh with joined hands:
95. "Be sure this doctor will die on the journey, "The hot sun and the heat are his deadly enemies."
96. Having released him, Nādir Shāh departed.

Going on his way, he came to the side of the Jamna.
97. Know, that he made a captive of Amr Singh ; Know, that he was chief and ruler of Patiala.
98. The good-looking women, who attracted them, They made slaves of, did the Mughuls, and nobles, and Paţhāns.
99. When Nādir Shāh reached the towns near the Sutlaj, The chiefs petitioned, then were the people released.
100. Know, that the title of Rājā was given to Amr Singh. He released all the people, pardoned the rājā.
101. When he reached Lahnr, all the nobles attended, There was much noise, much oppression on the people.
102. All the bonds were undone of Lakhpat and Campat, Dawād.

Nādir Shāh was much pleased on learning their good qualities.
103. All the people were released, the Shāh reached Kābul.

Up to the year eleven hundred and sixty he ruled.
At the camp of Fathābād, at the hour of midnight,
The emperor was slain by the noble, Mnhammad Khän.

## Notes.

3. Ghazi Khan.-This title did not belong to Qamru-d-din Khän, I'timadu-d-daulah, the chief minister, but is no doubt adopted owing to the difficulty of getting the true names into the line.
4. Majlis Rā̄̄.—Rā̄ Majlis Rā̄, Brāhman, the dīwān of I'timadu-d-daulah Qamru-d-din Khān, being oppressed in the troubles raised by Nādir Shāh, took his own life at Shāhjahānābād in the end of Muharram 1152 H . (Tärikh-i-Muhammadi). The 29th Muharram=8th May, 1739, but for the exact date see note to verse 82 .
5. Khän Daurän.-Khwājah Muhammad 'Āṣim, first A\&hraf Khān, then Şamṣāmu-d-daulah, Amiru-l-umarā, Khān Daurān, Bahādur, Manşūr Jang, son of Khwajah Qāsim, Naqshbbandī, was wounded on the 15th Zu-l-qa'dah 1151 H. (23rd Febrnary, 1739), in a battle with Nādir Shāh. He died on the 19th of the same month (27th February), aged 68 years, (T-i-Mhdī).
6. Nizàmu-l.mulk's appointment as Mir Atash could only have been a recent one. On his last visit to Court he reached Dihli on the 16th Rabi‘ I, 1150 H. (13th July, 1737). Five days afterwards, on the 21st Rabi' I, 1150 H. (18th July; 1737) Mḥd. Muqim, entitled Muqimu-d-din Khān, dāröghah of the Töpkhanah, died at Shāhjahānābād, aged about 70 years ( $T-i-M h d i ̄)$. It was to this man that Nizāma-l-mulk mast have saccoeded. At the moment, I can find no aathority for his having become Mir Atash, but the statement is, I think, correct.
7. Turrah-bāz Khän.-Khwājah Mnzaffar, entitled Zafar Khān, Bahādur, Rustam Jang, Ba-wafā, son of Khwājah 'Abdu-lqādir of Pānipat, died in 1148 H. (1735-6), (T-i-Mhdi.) Turrah-bār was a nickname, due to the way in which this J. 1. 8
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noble and his men wore their turbans, the protruding end being likened to a falcon's crest ( $t u r r a h-i-b \bar{a} z$ ). The populace knew him as Tharrah-bāz Khān.
8. 'Ulvi Khann. - Mirzā Mnhammad Hāshim, second son of Hiakim Hādi, was born at Shireāz in Muḥarram 1080 H. (June 1669). He emigrated to India in 1111 H. (1699-1700), and died at Shāhjahānābād on the 5th Rajab 1162 H. (20th June, 1749.) Bayan-i-vāq'i, my copy of the text, 196. Gladwin, 218, has the 29th Rajab.
9. Malikah Zamani, daughter of Farrulkhsiyar, married to Muhammad Shāh in 1135 H.. (1722), Beale, 237. Francklin, "Shāh Aulum," 205, says she died in 1203. H. 1 (788-9).
10. This story of Nizāmu-l-mulk's having been likened to a monkey was in circulation at a very early date. Fraser, p. 68, who wrote as early as 1742, attributes it to Khān Daurān and his friends. They are supposed to have said, "Observe how the Deccan monkey dances;" and he places the event after Nizàmu-l-mulk's last return to Dihli, which happened in July 1737. Hanway, another contemporary writer, II, 351, says Khān Daurān, styled Nizāmn-l-mulk, a maimūncī " a quack doctor or cheat;" but this word must be the same as that in Steingass, 1864, maimūnbāz, "a leader of performing monkeys," from maimün, baboon, ape, monkey.
11. Nādir Shāh stormed Qandahār on the 3rd Zu-1-Hijjah 1150 H. (23rd March, 1738), "The Compleat History "of Thamas Kouli Kan... written in French and rendered into English..." 12 mo., London, n. d. (about 1745), Part II, p. 5. He started from Qandahār for Kābul on the 8th Maharram 1151 H. (27th May, 1738), Anand Rām, Mukhliş, in Elliot, VIII, 77, (Jonas Hanway, II, 357, " middle of year"). He arrived at Käbul about the end of Safar 1151 H. ( 29 th $=17$ th June, 1738), Anand Kām, l. c (Hanway, II, 357, "month of Jane." He left Kābul against the Sāfis, 12th Rabi‘, II, 1151 H. (29th July, 1738), Raverty, "Notes," 106, (founded on the Nädirnämah), and see a letter from Nādir Shāh in "Compleat History," part II, p. 27. He returned to Kābul and moved to Gandāmak 20th Jumādi I, 1151 H. (4th September, 1738), Raverty, 1. c. He reached Jalālābād, 20th Jamēdi, II, (4th October, 1738), id. 110. The defeat of Nāṣir Khān, governor of Käbul, took place on the Indian side of the Khaibar, 13th Sha'bān 1151 H. (25th November, 1738), Elliot, VIII, 78, and Nādir Shāh's own letter
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12. Zakariyā Khän, usually called Khān Bahādur, was Saifu-d-daulah, Z. K., Bahādur, Daler Jang, son of Saifu-d-daulah, 'Abdn-ę-Samad Khān, Bahādur, Daler Jang. He succeeded his father in 1150 H. (1737) as s sübahdar of Lāhōr and Multān. He died on the 12th or 13th Jamādi II, 1158 H. (llth or 12th July, 1745), aged about 56 years ( $1-i-M h d i)$.
13. Nak me durn and, to be at the last gasp.
14. Zakariyā Khān formed a camp on the Rāvi outside Lāhōr on the 17 th Ramazān 1751 H. (28th December, 1738), Elliot, VIII, 79. Nādir Shāh was at Pul-i-Shāh Daulah, some miles north-west of Lāhōr, on the 9th Shawwāl (19th January, 1739), and reached the Shālihmār garden east of Lāhōr on the next day. There was some fighting that day and again on the llth. An agreement was then patched up.
24 and 40 Muhammad Khann, or 25, Khän Muhammad. This man is most probably the M. K. "Beglar-begi" who brought the third letter addressed by Nādir Shāh to Muhammad Shāh, see $\$$ Saľīfah-i-iqbäl, B. Museum, Oriental Ms. 3281, fol. 71 $a, 72 b, 73 a, 74 b$.
15. Zakariyă Khān visited Nādir Shäh on the 11th and 12th Shawwāl (21st, 22nd January, 1739), Elliot, VIII, 80.
16. Campat and Lakhpat. I do not know the meaning of the epithet appended to their names, which 1 read Dawdd or Daroad. It occurs again in verse 102 with the alternative form of $D u \bar{u} d a h$. I take it to be the name of some branch of the Khatri caste, but I cannot find it in Ibbetson, "Panjab Ethnology." According to B. M. Or. M. 2043, fol. 5la, Lakhpat Rā̄ was dīvān to Zakariyā Khān, suubahdar of Lāhōr, and Campat was his brother. The same work, fol. 50a, asserts that Dalpat, son of Lakhpat Rā̄, was seized as a hostage by Nādir Shāh.
17. Adinah Beg Khän, a Hindu convert of the Aräin caste, was born at Sarakpūr Pattị near Lāhōr. When Nādir Shāh took Lāhōr, this man was faujdār of Sultānpur in the Jălandhar düäba (B. M. Or. 2043, fol. 50a). A. B. K. died in Muharram 1172 H. (3rd September-2nd October, 1758), while faujdar of Sirhind, (T-i-Mhdi,) year 1172. His tomb is at Khānpur near Hoshyārpar (Beale, 34).
18. According to B. M. Or. 2043, fol. 50a, the dǐoan of A. B. K. was Bhawāni Dās, DLēr, a caste which, according to Ibbetson,
para. 605 (Dher), is on a par socially with the camärs. But I. see that Rien, "Catalogue of the Persian MSS," copying from one of the manuscripts of the Khuläsatu-t-tawärikh, styles its author Sujān Rāe Dhir; and as we know that he was a Khatri, the epithet may denote here a sub-division of the Khatri caste. I do not find the word, however, in Tawärīkh-i-qaum-i-khatriyan by Amin Cand (Dihli, Fauq Kāshī Press).
19. Muhammad Khān, see ante, 24 (3). Shāh Walī was, I think, the chief minister of Ahmad Shāh, Durrāni, and not of Nādir Shāh. There seems some mistake here.
20. Sirhind.-Nādir Shāh reached Sirhind about the 7th Za-l-qa'dah (15th February, 1739), Ghalām 'Ali Khān Muqaddamah-iShäh 'Ālam-nāmah, B. M. Addl. 24,028, fol. $59 b$.
21. Pānīpat.-Nādir Shāh's last march, before the fighting with the imperial army, was made on the 15th Zu-l-qa‘dah (23rd February, 1739), Elliot, VIII, 81. His last camp was at 'Azimäbād Talāwari (or Tarāori), between 9 and 10 miles N.-W. of Karnāl. Pānipat is altogether a mistake. It lies about 26 miles south of Karnāl, the place where Mahammad Shāh was encamped, and Nādir Shāh could not have passed beyond the emperor's position without a pitched battle.
22. Khän Daurän, see note to verse 4. His attack was made on the day that Nādir Shāh had moved his camp from Talāwari nearer to Karnāl, namely on the 15th Za-l-qa‘dah (23rd February, 1739), Elliot VIII, 81, Fraser, 156.
23. Alī dini duhai dons ò mahmand ke.-The perversion does not seem greater than we find in our own early writers, for instance, at Baksar (October 1764): "Our seapoys gave the enemy a ding or hazza," Caraccioli, "Clive," I, 59, and Orme writes of " ding Mahomed," "Military Transactions," II, 339.
24. Khan Khninan, that is Khān Dararan.
25. Narārän.-As I can make nothing of this word, I read hazārān, thousands. [Perhaps a barbarous plural of nar ' man.' Ed.].
26. Nighan.-From its position in the line, I take this to be some kind of musical instrument; and suphēr I suppose to be a corruption of nafīr.
27. This retreat and the letter to Nizana-l-mulk are both, I should say, unhistorical.
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66. The distance of five kos from Dihli is quite impossible, seeing they were all, Nizāmu-l-mulk included, some seventy miles north of Dihli at this time.
67. There is no foundation for saying that Nizāmu-l-mulk attacked Khān Daarān's men, though the two nobles were, no doubt, on very bad terms and intensely jealous of each other.
68. Khān Daurān died on the 19th Zu-l-qa'dah (27th February, 1739).
69. Muhammad Shāh's first visit to Nādir Shāh in his camp took place on the 20th (28th February, 1739), Fraser, 162.
70. Nādir Shāh's entry into the palace at Dihli took place on the 9th Za-l-Hijjab, 1151 H. (19th March, 1739), that is, twenty days before the end of the Hijri year 1151.
71. This general slanghter lasted from 8 A.m. to 3 p.m. of the 11 th Za-l-Hijjah (21st March, 1739才, Elliot, VIII, 88, Fraser, 181.
72. Majlis Rāe, Brāhman, was dīucãn to the wazir, Qamru-d-din Khān, see back note to verse 4. Fraser, 199, says that on the 6th Muharram 1152 H. (14th April, 1739), his ear was cut off in open darbar. He committed suicide on the 12th (20th April, 1739).
73. I know not what trath there is in this story of Muhammad Shāh adopting Persian attire, but Anand Rām, Makhliģ, tells us, Mirätu-l-Isțilăh, B. M. Or. 1813, fol. 108a, that in 1157 H., after Nādir's invasion, Persian customs were copied by the fashionable youth of Dihli. He refers especially to a fashion of carrying a stick called a khundī.
88-92. I think that I have seen this marvellous story told in prose somewhere, but I cannot find the reference to it at present.
74. 'Ulwi Khān was not released, see his story in the Bayän-iwäqi' of Khwājah 'Abdu-l-Karim, Kashmiri, and translation thereof by F. Gladwin, Calcutta, 1788. The hakim was not allowed to leave, until the 16th Rabi‘ 1I, 1154 H. (30th June, 1741),Gladwin, 95. He went from Kazwin to Mecca, and finally reached Dihli again on the 10th Jamādi II, 1156 H. (31st July, 1743), having come from Jeddah by sea to Chandernagore.,
75. Amr Singh. Butā Shāh, Tarikh-i-Panjab, places him in the time of Ahmad Shāh, Durrāni, about 1761, and speaks of the title of Mahārāja being conferred by this later invader.
76. Nādir Shāh reached Käbul on the lst Ramazān, 1152 H. (1st December, 1739), Gladwin, 13.
77. The Bayäroi-wäqi', Gladwin, 166, calls the place Qūjūn, not
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Fathābād, and locates it three stages from Maghhad. An anonymous history of Nādir Shāh, lately received by me from India, names Fath̄̄̄bād, two farsakh's from Khyūghān, as the place of assassination. Qūjūn and Khyūghān are no doubt the Koochan of Keith Johnston's Royal Atlas, about 100 miles north-west of Maghhad. Ernst Beer, "Das Tärikh-i-Zendije" (Leiden, 1888), XIV, spells the name Khabüshän, and this in popular use became Khujän, and finally Qüchän.

The Antiquity of the poet Nagari Das and his concubine Rasik Bihäri alias Banì Thanì.-By Pandit MōHanlīl Vishnolāl Pandia, M.A.s.B., M.R.A.S., M.G.V.S., \&c. Late Prime Minister of the Partabgarh State in Rajpūtana.
(Read February 1897.)
It is generally believed by the native vernacular scholars of our country, that there lived in Braj four Bhāshā poets bearing the name Nāgari Dās. The first of them is said to be a disciple of Vallabhācārya, the second, the successor of Svāmi Hari-dāe, the third, the follower of the Gösvàmi Hit Harbans sect, and the fourth is one who is noticed by Dr. Grierson in his well-known work 'the Modern Vernacular Literature of Hindūstān' and Çivasiminh in his 'Sarōj' and Bābū Rādhā-kppna Dās of Benares in his little brochure the 'Life of Nāgari Däs.'

Leaving the first three Nāgari Dās for some other occasion, I take this opportanity to give a more extended notice of the foarth, who is well-known as a good poet, and also of his concubine, poetess Rasik Bihāri alias Bani Thani, who is still known to the public as some male poet. In the present paper, I have to endeavour to establish satiafactorily the antiquity of this conple of poetic talents.

For the sake of easy reference, I give the following summary of the enquiries of the previous researchers:-
(I) Dr. Grierson has the following notice in his work 'the Modern Vernacular Literature of Hindūstān,' at Nos. 95 and 638, pages 33 and 138:-"The poet Nāgar, b. 1591 A.D. Haj. Possibly the same as a Nāgari Dās mentioned in the preface to Rāg."

And in the same work at Nos. 405 and 638, pages 101 and 138, he says :-" Rasik Bihāri, b. 1723 A.D. Rāg."

Both notices are taken from Çivasimh's work, as quoted below.
(II) Givasimh, in his Hindi work 'Saroj,' has the following notices at pages 441 and 491 respectively :-

२२ गागर बवि सं० ३द8С में उ० ॥ हारा में हू के बवित्त है। २७श बला।

E- रसिक विद्धारो सं• ₹e्e में उ०॥

And he quotes the following specimens of Nāgari Dās' metrical composition.
(1) भादौं को कारी बँध्यारी निश्या बखि बादर मन्द पुछो बरसावे । स्यामा-जो बपने ऊँचे बटा पे कवो रस रोति मलार है गावै। ता समे बागर के दृग दूरिते चातक साति को मौज में पावै । पौक मया करि घंधुट टारे दया करि दामिगो दोप दिखावै।
i.e., Seeing the darkness of the very dark night of Bhād\% clonds are showering a slight mizzle. Cyāmā-jil (sitting) in her lofty attic contentedly sings after the manner of Malar. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Then the oyes of Nāgara, looking, like (those of) Cātaka, ${ }^{8}$ at Svāti ${ }^{*}$ from a distance, get intensely delighted.

The wind pitifully anveils her face, and the lightning kindly illuminates it.
(2) गाँस गसोणो ये बतैं रिपाइये इरक का गाइये गाइये होलिसाँ। गँँद बहाने ग बोरा चलाइये सूध्वै गुणाल उड़ाइये भोलियाँ। बोग घुरे चतुरे बखि पावैंगे दाबे रही दिल प्रोति कलोलियाँ। पाह परौौ जो डरो टुक नागर हाइ करी जिनि बोलियाँ ठोलियाँ।
i.e., Do not atter these piercing words and do not sing love, bat sing the Hōli songs. Do not throw Bị̄ās ${ }^{6}$ ander pretext of throwing the ball, but throw the bags of Gulā16 in a straightforward manner. The men are clever and vicious, they will perceive it ; hence sappress the frolics of this heart-felt love. Being afraid, $O$ Nägara! I fall at thy feet. Ah! Do not fling these jeers at me.
(3) देवग की सो रमापति को दोज धाम को वेटन कोग बहाई। भूर्य चक्र गदा पुनि पदम सहूप चतुरमुज को बधिकाई। बम्टत-पान विमानग बैठिवो कागर के जिय नेक ग भाई ।

i.e., The Vedas have sung the praises of the Dēvas and Ramāpati and also of both the worlds. They have over-praised Chaturbhuja (Viṣnu) who holds in his four hands a conch shell, discus, clab, and

[^5]lotus. The drinking of nectar and sitting in the car of the gods is not pleasing to the heart of Nāgara. When there is not Hölī in Svarga or Vaikuṇtha (heaven), then our getting the mere Thakurāi (or chiefship) there, would be of no use.
(III) Babū Rādhā-kyp̣̣a'Dās, in his 'Nāgarì-dās-ji k̄̄ Jīwan Charitra,' says:-
(1) चौथे बामरीदास-जी हमारे पश्य के वायक महाराज असवन्तसिंह्रो
 माचार्य संप्रदाय के श्रिब्य थे । Page 5.
i.e., The fourth Nāgarī Dās-jí, the hero of our work, was Mahārāja Jasvant Siph-jī, king of Krsp̣agarh (Rājpūtānā) alias Çri Nāgari Dās-jī. He was a disciple of Mahāprabhu Vallạbhācārya's sect.
 है। बह्र संबत <-
i.e., The first of all his works, which has been found, is entitled the Vihāra Candrikā. It was composed in Samivat 1788.
(3) तथापि यटि दसे प्रथम मो मान बोजिए तो इस मै तो सन्देह वरों कि रस समय हू को wवस्या वोस वर्ष से कम व रहो होगी, बतरव हून के चम्म का समय संबत शeर्द्ट को बगभग मानिए। Page 10.
iee., Even if we accept it to be the first work, then there is no donbt that his age at this time was not less than twenty years. Hence the year of his birth should be taken to have been about 1768.
(4) माघ संवत pęc मैं 'बन-बन-प्रशंसक' यन्य बनाया चिस का बय्या हम उपर कर जुके हैं। इस के पोछे का कोरे यू्य वरीं मिकता यदि संवत
 थ2 वर्ष बो घवस्मा पायो। Page 22.
i.e., He has composed his work, Vana-jana-praçamsa in Mägha of Samivat 1819, as we have stated above. And no work, composed after it, has yet been found. Thus, if we take his birth to have been in Samivat 1768 and his death in 1819, then it proves that he lived to an age of about 51 years.
(5) वहां घ्रोवांके विहारो-जी (श्रो-खामो हरिदास-जो के सेय्य ठाकुर) का दर्शंन किया बैार वहों रसिक-विशरी काप दे बर कर् पद बनाए। Page 16.
i.e., There (at Brindāban) he visited Çri Bāgkē Bihārīji, the god J. 1. 9
workhipped by Swami Cri Haridāa-ji, and compossd several verses bearing the poetic name Rasik Bibari.

Now, first of all, it is worth consideration whether the poet Nagari Dās who is the subject of this paper, and Nägar, noticed by Dr. Grierson and the author of the Saroj, is the same, or someone else, his name-sake. I believe, he is the same poet, because I have been able to identify the above mentioned specimens of the poet's metrical composition in the manascript in my possession, which contains 57 works of this Nāgari Dās. They are identified thus:-
A. There is an incomplete work in the manasoript in my possession at No. 38, and page 192, entitled Barkhā kē kavitta, which contains eight kavittas only. The first specimen of the Çivasimh Saroj is to be found there, at No. 7, with slight differences in its reading. It reads thas:-

भादौं को कारो बँध्यारो किसा भुकि वादर मन्द पुहीं वरसावै। स्याँमा छू घापनो ऊँची घटा पै छंकी रस मोंत भबा रहि गावे। तां समें मोहण के प्रिग ट्रितिते बातुर दूप को भोष सें पावै। सौग मयर कारि घूँघट टारे दया करि दामवी दोप दिखावै :०।
The great difference in the above reading is the word Mōhan instead of the name of the poet Nāgar in the third line.
B. There is a complete work in the MS. at No. 35, and page 184, entitled the Hori ke kavitta, containing 19 kavittas in all. The second specimen is found there, at No. 19, the reading of which is as follows:-

गाँब कसोलो ने बावैं रिपाइये हल ब गाइये याइषे होजियाँ।
 बोग तुरे चकुरे बकि पावैंगे दवैं रहो रिख प्रोति कबोजियाँ। पाय पऱौ जी ढरौ टुव भावते दाय करो मति बोजियाँ ठोजियाँ ॥ \}ै।

In this also the word bhsoate occurs instoad of the name of the poet Nägar in the fourth line.
C. And there is another complete work, called the Phāga Bihāra, in my MS. at No. 41, and page 256, in which the third specimen of the Sarbj is mentioned at No. 8, as a Savaiyā. The following is a reading thereof:-
ऐर्वकि के ₹ रमापवि को दोज धाम को रेवरि कोलो खहार्ए।
सन्र घो बत गरा बर पघ्म सरूप चतुर्मंज को बधिकार्ं।

## बमतन-पाल विमार्भवि वैठ बोलो केती करी वेतो एक ब भार ।

सर्ग वैकुखड में होरी नो गहों तौ कोरी कहा ले करे ठदुराहां $1=1$
The latter part of the third line greatly differs in reading from that of the specimen. In this work the poet has signed only the concluding stanzas, No. 43, 45,46 and 48 with the name of Nägariyā, Nāgar, Nāgari and Nāgari Dās and the rest are without his poetic name.

Having made the identification of our poet's metrical compositions, I now proceed to show the result of my independent researches. I have apared no trouble in checking my inquiries by reference to the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rspana }}$ garh State, in Rājpūtāna, of which the poet was really a ruling Chief. Krguagarh is a petty Native State under the Jaipur Residency. And the Räthaur clan which rules over it, is an offshoot of the illustrious House of Jodhpur. The founder of the State was Mahārāj Krạ̣a-simíh, second son of Mahārāja Udrya-simih of Jōdhpur.

Our poet, Nāgarī Däs, was the eighth in descent from the founder of the Kresnagarh State. He was the third son of Mahärāja Rāja-simh. His royal name was Mahārāja Sẵrat-simh, and the Vaiṣnava appellation was Nägarī Das. He has signed his verses by his poetic names, such as Nāgar, Nāgariyā, Nāgarī, and Nāgari Dās, \&cc. Bābū Rādhā-kŗ̣̣a Dâs, in his Hindì book, wrongly names him Mahārāja Jasvant-simh of Krepagarh. For, he is generally known throughout Rājpātānā as Mahārāja Săvat-simh of Krọpagarh, and we find this name in all the biographical treatises of the Kranagarh family extant in Rājpūtānā. The genealogical table on page 68 will show that there had been no such ruling chief as Jasvant-simh in the Krguagarh family from its commencement.

He (Nagāri Dās) was born in Vikrama Samivat 1756 on the 12th of Panşa Vadi=1699 A.D., was installed on the throne inV. S. 1805 on the 15 th of Vaiçākha Çudia 1748 A.D., and placing his son Sardār-simh upon the Gadii on the 10th Açvina Çadi of V. S. 1814=1757 A.D., retired to lead the life of a Vaiẹnava devotee at Brindäban, and finally died there on the 3rd Bhādrapada Çukla of V.S. $1821=1764$ A.D., thus living to an age of 64 years 8 months and 6 days. When these dates have been confirmed by the Darbār's office of Krapagarb, I do not see any reason why we should believe Sambat $1648=1591$ A.D. of the Sarōj and that conjectured by Bābū Rādhā-krọ̣a Dās of Benares to be the date of our poet.

The poet's exploits of his early dnys are thus related in the memorandum received by me from the Krgnagarh State:-
(1.) In the V.S. year 1766, when he was only ten years old, he was once coming back from the Imperial Darbār at Dehli. In the way he

met a rabid elephant, who in his fury defied all control of the Mahants (drivers) who were loudly warning people to get out of the way. But he did not care a bit about it, and undanntedly faced the infuriatod animal, which rushed upon him, but was driven back by a stroke of his sword upon the trunk. The picture representing this scene is kept at the Kronagarh Darbār, together with a photograph of it.
(2.) In V. S. 1769, when 13 years old, he received some wounds in slaying Hārā Jaita-simh of Bündi.
(3.) In 1771 V. S., at a grand dancing party in which were present his father Mahārāja Rāja-simih, Mahārāja Bhima-simih of Kōtā, Mabārāja Gaja-simh of Çivapur, and Mahārāja Gōpāla-simih of Bhadöriyā, \&c., a venemous serpent somehow made its way into his jäma (garments). He did not cause excitement by revealing the fact, but simply crushed out the fangs of the reptile, and leaving the hall on some excuse, threw it off at some distance. This was afterwards told by his servants. He was at this time only fifteen years old.
(4.) In V. S. 1774, an expedition conducted by the Mahārājas of Jaypur and Kotā, \&o., was sent by the Emperor against the Chief of Thän, and although fighting continued for some time, it was not conquered. Afterwards the poet was sent there. He no sooner reached the place, than he stormed the fortress, and the gate of it was broken open by his clephant.
(5.) When he was only twenty years old, he alone killed a lion while hanting.
(6.) In 1792 V. S. when the Dakhani Malār went from Gujarāt into Mārvär, the poot did not pay him any tribute, though there was a deal of fighting between them. Thereupon Bājīrē̄v said to Malār the following couplet :-

## रोषा। बालो-राब मलार संँ कहतो गयो कथाए। क्षोर राव खब राव है साँवत बात बथाए।

i.e., 'Thus said Bāji-rāva to Malār—All other Rāvas are simplyRāvas in name, but Sא゙vat's case is too deep to be fathomed ' (i.e., he is a really powerfal prince).

It is said, the old father of our poet, Mahārāja Rajja-simin, had entrusted him with the administration of the state, a few years previons to his death. Accordingly Nägari Dās, then the Mahārāja Kumār S\%vat-simh, managed the affairs with great prudence and kept nninterrupted tranquillity during this period, until his father died. When, in 1804 V. S., he was attending the Imperial Court at Dehli, his old father died suddenly at Krepagarh. So he was formally installed there by the Emperor Ahmad Shāh on the 5th Vaiçākha Çudi, of V. S. 1805, to the Chiefship of Krynagarh. Having assamed for-
mally the royal title, he prepared to march to the capital, but in the meantime he received the unhappy intelligence that hia younger brother Bahādur-simh had usurped the throne. Thereupon he was sent to his principality with a small imperial force by the Emperor. On his return, a desperate fight took place between the two brothers, but Bahādur-simh could not be subdued. Being utterly routed he returned to Dehli and tried all he could to obtain fresh military assistance from the Emperor. But as Bahādur-simh bad secured the aid of the Mahārāja of Mārvār, and the Emperor himself was too weak a ruler at that time to side with him, so he declined. Being greatly disheartened, he left Dehli and came to Braj and lived there. From this place be again endeavoured his utmost to gain the favour of the Mahraţhas, and after a short time he sent his son Sardār-simh with their army into Rājpūtānā. Thus Sardār-simb, by the co-operation of the Mahrathas, regained the Kāj of Krenagarh. Then, in V. S. 1814, he proceeded to his capital and having placed his son, Sardar Simb, upon the throne, on the 10th Açvina Çudi, he finally returned to Brindāban to devote himself to the duties of asceticism. What a pity it is, that our poet's reign has been both unhappy and troublesome, from the beginning to the end!

The following is a complete list of the poet's Hindi works. I have very carefully compared it with the one received from the Kropagayh State. All the works can be had from the State Library at Krenagarh. The first fifty-seven works mentioned in the list I have with me. And the last two, namely, Baina-bilās and Gapta-ras-prakāpa are not available even at Krapagarh.

## List of Works.

1. Singār-sā̄a or Braj-lilā-padaprasanga.
2. Gōpi-prēma-prakāça.
3. Pada-prasanga-mālā.
4. Braj-vaikuṇṭh-tulā.
5. Briaj-sāra.
6. Bihāra-candrikā.
7. Bhōr-lilıā.
8. Prāta-rasa-mañjari.
9. Bhōjan-ānand-āstaka.
10. Jugala-rasa-mañjari.
11. Phūla-bilāsa.
12. Gödhan-āgamana.
13. Dōhn-änand.
14. Lagan-äptaka.
15. Phāga-bilāsa.
16. Grişam-bibār'a
17. Pāvasa-pacisi.
18. Gōpī-baina-bilāsa,
19. Rāsa-rasa-latā.
20. Raina-rūpa-rasa.
21. Çita-sāra.
22. Ishq-ciman.
23. Majlis-mandan.
24. Aril-ątaka.
25. Sadā ki mắjba.
26. Barkhā ki mãjha.
27. Hōri ki mãjha.
28. Krạa-jaumotsava-Kavitta.
29. Priyā-janmōtsava-Euvitta.
30. Sájhi kē kavitta.
31. Rasa kE kavitta.
32. Cāndni kē kavitta.
33. Divāri kē kavitta.
34. Gövardhana-dhāran.
35. Höri kē kavitta.
36. Phāga-gōkul-äọtaka.
37. Hindōrā kē kavitta.
38. Barkhā kē kavitta.
39. Bhakti-maga-dipikā.
40. Tïrth-ānand.
41. Phāga-bihāra.
42. Bāla-binơda.
43. Sujan-ānanda.
44. Bana-binōda.
45. Bhakti-sāra.
46. Däha-dasa.
47. Bairāga-bati.
48. Rasika-ratnāvali.
49. Kali-bairāga-ballī.
50. Arila-pacisi.
51. Chūtaka-pada.
52. Pārāyan-prakāsa.
53. Sikha-nakba.
54. Nakha-sikha.
55. Chūtak-kavitta.

56 Carcariy等.
57. Rēkhatā.
58. Manōratha-mañjari.
59. Rāma-caritra-mālā.
60. Pada-prabōdha-mālā.
61. Jugal-bhakti-binōda.
62. Ras-ānukram kē dōhā.
63. Çarad ki méjuha.
64. Sãñjhi-phūla-binana-samainsamivad.
65. Basanta-barnan.
66. Phāga-khēlan-samainānukram $\mathbf{k} \overline{\text { ē }}$ kavitta.
67. Ras-ānukram kē kavitta.
68. Nikuñja-bilāsa.
69. Gōvinda-parcai.
70. Bana-jana-praçamisa.
71. Chūtaka-dōhā.
72. Utsava-mālā.
73. Pada-muktāvalī.
74. Baina-bilāsa.
75. Gupta-rasa-prakāça.

According to the landable practice of the vernacular poets of our country of dating their works, the poet has dated some of his important works. I give here a list of those which I have seen and read in chronological order, and trust that it may be useful in many cases :-
I. Manōratha-mañjari, dated Āçvina Vadi 14th, Tuesday, Vikrama Seminat $1780=1723$ A.D.

## दोरा संवत सतरा से बसी, घोद्स मझ्रण-वार। प्रमट मनोरथ-सक्षरो, वटि घाद्र जवतार।

II. Rasika-ratnāvalī, dated Bhādö Çudi lst, Tuesday, V. S. $1782=1725$ A.D.

दोश। सक्षरे से बहयासिये, भादों हैदि ख्यु-बार। तिधि परिबा कौनो इह, बौने सन्त सुधार ॥
III. Bihāra-candrikā, dated Sãvan, V. S. $1788=1731$ A.D.

होर्हा सक्तरे से बध्यासिया, संवत साँवन मास। चव विदार बह्ह चभ्भिका; करो गागरोदास ।
IV. Nikuñja-vilāsa, dated V. S. $1794=1737$ A.D.
V. Kali-bairāga-balli, dated Sāvaṇ, V. S. $1795=1738$ A.D.

दोशा। सक्षरा से पथायवैं, संबव् सावया मास।
कणिव्धोवैरेगा को, बरी बागरोदास ॥
VI. Bhakti-sāra, dated Sāvan Vadi 2nd, Thursday, V. S. $1799=$ 1742 A.D.
कुष्डविया। सख. पायौ पूरश भबैँ, यग्य बु भाषा चार।
सतरा से निनाँववे, हैज घौस गुखार।
बेत्र घोस गुखवार माँस साबत मत भावत।

भीकि-सार उष्षार वियौ विज सब समुभायौ।
वागरीदास ग कहं विमुष काए छख पायै।
VII. Pārāyan-bidhi-prakās, dated Sāvan, V. S. $1799=1742$ A.D.
 पारायन नु प्रकास-विधि कियौ कागरोदास।
VIII. Braja-sāra, dated Pōga Çudi 9th, Sunday, V. S. $1799=1742$ A.D.

दोषा॥ सतरे से भिराँगवे, पोस नु सदि इवि-वार। नौमो वागरोदास यह कियो यन्य क्रण-बार ।
IX. Gōpī-prëma-prakūça-dated Jêţha Çakla, V. S. $1800=1743$ A.D.

दोश्र। संबत बठारे से सुकल पच्च केठ हु मास। गोरो प्रेम प्रकाश्य बह, कियौ नागरोदास ॥
X. Braja-baikunṭha-tulā, dated the Basant-day, i.e., Mägha Çukla 5th, which is called Vasanta-pañcami, V. S. $1801=1744$ A.D.

दोशा। संवत बठारे से जु हक, दिश वसन्त हम मास । ज्रण-वैकुषए-ुुबा कियौ यर्य वागरोदास।
XI. Bhakti-maga-dịpikā, dated Kvāra Krẹ̆a 3rd, Thursday, V. S. $1802=1745$ A.D., at Rūp-nagar (former capital of Krenagarb State).

## दोरा। संवत बष्टादस सत चु है, क्षार तोज गुख-वार। हूप-тगर विचि ध्धष्पपच्च भयौ मत्य विस्तार।

 A.D., on the banks of the river Gayga.

दोराः संबत बष्टदस सत जु पुग, बष्ट वर्ष मधु मास। यन्य गई-तटि छंध्य-पच्च, कियो गागरोदास ॥
XIII. Jugala-bhakti-binōd, dated Māgha, V.S. $1808=1751$ A.D., at Kamāt.

दो₹। बष्टदस सत बष्ट पुनि, संवत माघ सुमास। चुगष-्भश्ति-गुन यम्य यह, कियौ गागरोदास । निक्ट कमाऊं पर्वतनन, विकट विटप की भौर। तह्हाँ पत्य रचया अर्ह, गदौ कौसिकी तोर॥
XIV. Bana-binōd, dated Madhu and Kø̧̣̣̆a Pakṣa, V. S. 1809= 1752 A.D.

दोहाः समत बठार स चु गव, छृष्य-पच्ब मधु मास ।
बन बिनोट काल यू्य यह कियो नागरीदास ।
XV. Bal-binōd-dated Āçvin Çakla 6th, Thesday, V. S. $1809=$ 1752 A.D.

दोरा समत बष्टद्स सत जु गव, मास बखनि म्टगु-वार। तिथि षष्टो बत अुना पच्त्र रचौ प्रत्य विस्ताइ।
XVI. Tirth-ānanda, dated Mägha, V. S. $1810=1753$ A.D., at Brindāban.

दोहा। माघ घह्टस सत जु दस, विचि बद्दावक वाए। म्यश्य तोरथाबन्द बए, कियौ कागरोदास।
XVII. Sujan-ānand, dated at Barsānā in the Mathura District, V. S. $1810=1753$ A.D.

दोरा समत बष्टदस सत जु दस, बरसाने के वास। यश्श सु-सुजनानम्द यह, कियौ नागरी दास ।
XVIII. Bana-jana-praçaminsa, dated Mägha, V. S. $1819=1762$ A.D.

दोधा बहाद सत दस जु गव, संवत माघ सु मास। बन अग-प्रसम्ब यन्य यह, कियौ नागरो-दास।
It is clear that the poet was a stannch follower of the Vallabhacāryan sect of the Vaiṣnavas. So, all his works on religions subjects are pervaded by a spirit of the doctrines peculiar to that sect. They are written in the erotic style of poetry, representing his passionate adoreJ. I. 10
tion of Rādhā and Krgna. There are only two of his works, named the Pada-prasayga-mālā and 'Tirth-ānanda, from which we can extract a few threads of historical value. In the former he gives the legends of the previous Vaiṣ̣ava saints in connection with their metrical compositions, and in the latter an account of his own pious ramblings in and beyond Braj is related.

It is still wrongly believed by the vernacular poets of our country that the verses, bearing the poetic name Rasik Bihāri, which are found here and there in the works of this poet, are either of his own composition, or of some other male poet. During the long period of my services in Rājpūtānā, I have been able to make out that there was a concubine of this poet, named Baṇi TThaṇi (i. e., elaborately adorned or decked out). She was a poetess and signed her composition by the aforesaid poetic name. In support of this my discovery, I think it necessary to quote here the following text of a passage of the Hindi memorandum received by me from the Kraṇagarh State :-
 प्रन्येँ में हैँ सो इन साहि वों को खवास पासवान यानो उपस्नी थो। उब का नाम वखो-ठयो जो था। वह मी कविता वङत सुन्दर वनाते थे। रसिक-विह्हारो का भोग रखते थे। बैरू जहाँ महाराज अ्रो-वागरीदास-जी घपने प्रत्य में इन के पद या कवित्प लिखते वहाँ बान कवि ऐसा सड्देत लिखते हैं ।
i.e., 'The Kavittas and Padas, bearing the poetic name Rasik Bihārī, which are found in the works of this gentleman (Nāgarī Das), are (the compositions) of his Khavāsa or Pāsavāna (i.e., concubine). Her name was Baṇi Thaṇi. She also wrote very beautiful poetry and used to sign her verses with the poetic name of Rasik Bihāri. Where Mahārāja Çri Nāgari Dās-ji has introduced her padas or kavittas in his works, he has mentioned her name emblematically as $\bar{A} n$ Kavi (or 'another poet ').'

I am still trying my best to find out the real name of this woman, because I do not believe 'Baṇi Țhaṇi ' to be her true name. I think it to be what they call in English a sobriquet. It is said that this woman remained faithful and devoted to her lover even in the days of his adversity. It is evident from the following verses of the poet which occur in the Tirthānand, that she was with him, when be visited the shrine of Bāgkē Bihāri-ji at Brindāban in his pilgrimage through the Braj:-

## दो₹ा घ बनी विहारनि रससनो निकट वि₹ रो-लाल। पान कियौ इम दिगन तैं बनुपम हूप रसाल ॥

## पङ्दरी ॥ तहाँ पद गाये बौसर सज्नोग ।

 विचि रसिक-विहारी हो कौ मोग।i.e., 'She became a lively sensuous maiden with the deity Bihārī-lāl, and drank with her eyes the excellent juice of the sugar-cane of divine beanty. On this occasion we both sang there the verses, bearing the poetic name of Rasik Bihāri.'
'The following verses, occurring in the Utsava-māla, are a specimen of her metrical composition :-

छुज्न मध का मैं बाज राँ होरी हो।
पाग खेल में बना-बनो कोन्है रछी पटगठ ने री हो।
मुदितन्हे गारि गुषास उडावैं गावं गारी टुएँ घोरी छो।
दूस्र रसिक-विहारी सुन्द्र टुरहिनि नवल किसोरी हो।
i.e., 'To-day there is the merry-making of Hōli in the bowery palace In playing Phāga (or frolics of Hōli) the edges of the shawls of the Banā-Bani (i.e., bride and bridegroom) were tied together. The women, in pleasure, blow up Gulāl powder and sing abusive songs on both sides. The bridegroom is the beautiful Rasik Bihāri, and the bride is Naval-Kisōri, (i.e., the beautiful young maiden).'
[Read March, 1897.]
The name of Upagapta occurs incidentally in the scriptures and commentaries of the so-called Northern or Mahāyāna Buddhists, as the patronymic of the fourth member of the series of patriarchs of the Buddhist Church, in direct succession from the epoch of Çākya Muni's death. ${ }^{I}$ He is also referred to therein, as being the converter and spiritual adviser of the great emperor Açōka; ${ }^{2}$ and it is in this respect, as the alleged inspirer of Açōka's great missionary movement, which led to Buddhism becoming a power in the world, that Upagupta claims our special notice. Of such importance is he considered, that his coming is alleged to have been predicted by both Buddha himself ${ }^{8}$ and by his favourite disciple Ananda. 4 And of him Tāranātha, the Tibetan historian, writes : 'since the death of the Guide (Buddha) no man has been born who has done so much good to living beings as this man.' 6

In the scanty references to Upagupta by European writers it is generally stated that "he is not kuown to the Southern school of Buddhism." ${ }^{6}$ This statement, however, is probably not strictly true. For, I find that a great Buddhist arahat of the same name, and apparently this identical person, is well known to the Burmese. The circumstances, however, ander which he is known to them are peculiar. Although he is one of the most popular of the Buddhist Saints in Burma, and a special festival is held in his honor every year, as will
${ }^{1}$ Rockbill's Life of the Buddha, and the early history of his Order, 170 ; and the Chinese lists by Edkins, Chinese Buddhism, pp. 6-7, etc. ; Lassen's Ind. Alterth. II, 1201 ; also Beal and Eitel and my Buddhism of Tibet, p. 8.
${ }^{2}$ Beal's Si-yu-ki, II, 88. Burnonf's Introduction du Buddhisme Indien, pp. 118, 197, 336, 378.
${ }^{8}$ Barnouf's Intro., 336. Tāranātha's Hist. of Indian Buddhism, fol. 12.
${ }^{4}$ Rockhill's Life, \&o., 164.
${ }^{6}$ Beal's Si-yu-ki, I, 182. n. 48.
' 'Cäranātha's op. cit., fol, 12.

- presently be described, and his name is familiar to all the monks as well as the laity; still the former could not point ont to me any reference to him in their scriptares, either ancient or modern. The fact seems to be that Upagapta is not now an orthodox character in Burma, and his traditional worship or veneration is probably a survival of the Mahāyāna form of Buddhism, which prevailed in medævial times in both Burma and Ceylon. But why he should be regarded as unorthodox by the puritan modern Sthaviras or the so-called Southern Buddhists, is remarkable, seeing that Upagupta was himself a Sthavira and the leader of the Sthavira sect of primitive Buddhists, who followed the simple ethics of the original Vinaya code. Perhaps it may have been owing to his having been credited with disreputable magic powers, while he had not like his great wizard prototype, Māudgalyāyana, ('Mogalli') the saving fortune of being a personal follower of the Buddha.

In this connection it is noteworthy that Upagapta holds in most of the Northern chronicles, the identical position in regard to Açōka which the relatively vague and less trustworthy Ceylonese traditions ascribe to 'Mogalliputta Tissa' (Maudgalyiputra Tisya), a name which is anknown to the Northern authorities. So it is perhaps worth considering whether this latter name may not be merely a title of Upagupta, and formed possibly by fusing the names of the two chief disciples of the Buddha, Mandgalyi-putra, ${ }^{1}$ and Upatisya (or Çäriputrn), to bring him, as the great patron monk of Ceylon, as near as possible to Çākya Mani himself.

However this may be, as Upagupta seems a personage of considerable historical importance, I propose here to string together the notices of his life which I have gleaned from various soarces.

Legendary versions of his life are to be found in the Tibetan in the 3rd and 4th chapters of Tāranātha's History of Buddhism in India; and in the 47 th chapter of the Mongolian Dsay-Blun. ${ }^{8}$ Tāranätha, a Tibetan who never visited India and who wrote less than three centuries ago, makes Upagupta precede Açōka by about one generation, but the much more trustworthy Chinese traveller Hiuen Tsiang in common with the Nepalese accounts ${ }^{4}$ state that Upagupta was the chief monk and adviser of Açōka at Pāṭaliputra. In the
${ }^{1}$ He is often so-called, e.g, Beal's Si-yu-ki, I, 39, 40, 59, 61, 108, 180, 183, 187, 235 ; II, 6, 7, 9, 175 et seq. Also in colloqnial Tibetan where his name is shortened into 'Mongal-pu and Mohugal-pu'; while Çäriputra is called 'Shāri-pa.' Conf. also Csoma's Analysis of the Kah-gyur, \&ce, in Vol. XX. of Asiatic Researches, pp. 49, 52.

2 Translated into German by Schiefner.
8 Translated into German by I. Sohmidt as 'Der Weise und der Thor.'

- See preceding footnote No. 2.
following biographical account the details where not otherwise stated are taken from the original Tibetan text of Tāranātha.

Upagupta is said to have been the son of one Gupta, a perfumeseller ${ }^{1}$ of Benares, ${ }^{8}$ (or 'Chali' ${ }^{8}$ or Mathurā ${ }^{4}$ ) and he entered the Buddhist order at the age of seventeen, ${ }^{6}$ " one handred years after the Nirvāṇa of the Blessed one (Cākya Mnni)." ${ }^{6}$ This date is given according to the same generally consistent chronology of the Northern Buddhists which also places the great Açōka at one handred years after the Nirvāṇa, ${ }^{7}$ and which knows of no second Açōka or the Kālāçōka of Ceylonese tradition.

He was converted by the arahat Yaças or Yasheka, who seems to be the same as the president of the conncil of Vaiçali, which as both northern and southern accounts agree was held one handred and ten jears after the Nirvāna.

Three years after entering the order, he attained Arahatship, of an exceptionally high order, becoming 'a Buddha withont the marks,' (Alakṣanakō Buddhah) ; ${ }^{8}$ and he converted many to the faith.

Succeeding to the patriarchate of the Buddhist Church on the death of Çāṇavāsika, the third patriarch, in Campā (Bhagalpur) "he crossed the sunken Ganges (or 'the Bargal river') to Videha (Bettiah) in western Tirahuti (Tirhut) and went to the monastery erected by the householder Vasusāra." After a short stay there he proceeded to Mt. Gandha ${ }^{9}$ where he made many converts. Thence he went to "Matharā in the north-west of the Middle Country" and resided at the monastery on the top of Mount 'Shira' (Çira or Uçira or Urumaṇda ${ }^{10}$ or Muraṇ̣ha ${ }^{11}$ ) founded during the time of the patriarch Çāṇavāsika, by the two chief merchants of the place Nața and Bhatṭa. ${ }^{18}$ While here, he converted crowds of people who had been beguiled

[^6]by Māra in the shape of a dancer with attendants male and female. Upagapta overcomes these by magical means bestowing on them garlands which he turns into clinging corpses, from which he sets them free only on condition that they cease their wicked ways. In this regard it is curious to find that dancing girls are the subject of some very fine sculptures which were found at an ancient Buddhist site at Matharā. ${ }^{1}$ A slightly different and more dramatic version of this personal contest with Māra is given by Açvaghōşa as an Avadāna. ${ }^{8}$ According to this version "Māra found Upagupta lost in meditation and placed a wreath of flowers on his head. On returning to consciousness and finding himself thas crowned, he entered again into Samadhi to see who had done the deed. Finding it was Māra, he cansed a dead body to fasten itself round Māra's neck. No power in heaven or earth could disentangle it. Finally Māra returned to Upagupta, confessed his fanlt and prayed him to free him from the corpse. Upagapta consented on the condition that he (Māra) would exhibit himself under the form of Buddha ' with all his marks.' Mära does so and Upagupta overpowered by the magnificence of the supposed Buddha falls down before him in worship. The tableau then closes amid a terrific storm."

At Mathurā, both Hinen Tsiang and Tāranātha mention a large cave into which Upagapta was in the habit of throwing a chip of wood to register the number of individuals who attained Arahatship through him, until the cave ultimately became filled with the chips.

From Mathurā he proceeded to Aparānta ${ }^{3}$ (Sindh), during the reign of a king called Mahēndra and his son 'Chamasha,' and there the inhabitants of Bagal erected for him a retreat in 'the grove of the duck-herd,' which was called ' the Sayghäräma of the louck'-this certainly does not seem to be the Kukkuțārāma or monastery of dhe Cock, as Schiefner translates. ${ }^{4}$ Hinen Tsiang also states that "Upagapta the great Arahat frequently sojourned in this kingdom (Sindh)," ${ }^{5}$ a country which, he notes, was famous for its salt. And as

[^7]the word Sindh means in Sanskrit 'Sea-salt' it is possible that the Burmese legend which makes Upagupta reside in the salt sea, may have its origin in a too literal translation of this word. Hinen Tsiang records that " the places where he (Upagapta) stopped (in his explaining the Law and convincing and gaiding men) and the traces he left are all commemorated by the building of Sayghārāmas or the erection of stūpas. These buildings are seen everywhere." ${ }^{1}$

He visited 'Kha-chhe' (Kashmir), in a miraculous manner, says a Tibetan account, ${ }^{2}$ and there he erected " the long stone." This seems a reference to his planting of an Açōka-pillar. During his three months stay in that country, he preached the law, worked many miracles, and amid lightning and earthquakes he descended to the watery palace of the Nāga dragon-king of the lake of Kha-chhe, and afterwards "disappeared into the sky."

At Pātalipatra, his hermitage was, as in Mathurā, on a hill which is described by Hinen Tsiang as "a little mountain. In the crags and surrounding valleys there are several tens of stone dwellings which Açōka Rāja made for Upagupta and other arahats by the intervention of the genii." ${ }^{8}$ The ruins of this artificial hill now form the Chöti Pahārī or 'small hill' to the south of Patna, as was identified by me some years ago; "and this identification has been confirmed by the excavation of the ruined tower by its side, as described by the great Chinese pilgrim. Açōka's conversion to Buddhism according to the Chinese account was effected by Upagupta, who also, it is stated, advised the erection of monasteries and stūpas all over India. Amongst the first of these monasteries was the Kukkuṭārāma or 'Garden of the Cock,' erected to the south-east of the city and capable of holding a thousand monks. ${ }^{6}$ This building was the scene of the dialogues reported in the Divyāvadāna, in the Mahāyāna Sūtra entitled the Guna Karaṇ̣̣a Vyūha, purporting to have been held between Açōka and Upagupta, and translated in part by Barnouf. ${ }^{6}$ A Tibetan version also is said to exist.

Upagupta's first visit to Açōka, is made in the Indian Divyãvadāna to come some time after Açōka's conversion, and his erection of relicstūpas. But it is Upagupta who is associated with Açōka in the latter's pilgrimages to the sacred Buddhist spots, and his marking of them by the

[^8]magnificent monuments which later tradition ascribes to the agency of the genii. Interesting details are also given of the manner in which Açöka made these pilgrimages. It is related, ${ }^{1}$ how Açóka at the instance of Yaças, the elder, invites Upagupta who was at Mathura to come to his assistance at Pātaliputra, and the king provides the boats for this long river journey. On his arrival, Açôka receives him with the highest honours and exclaims: "You who resemble the Master! You who are the sole eye of the universe, and the ohief interpreter (of the Law) be my refuge Sir, and give me your commands! I shall eagerly hasten, accomplished sage, to obey thy voice!' The sage replied ' 0 great king, Bhagavat, the Venerable Tathāgata, the perfect and complete Buddha has entrusted to me as well as to you the depository of the Law. Let us make every effort to preserve that which the Guide of beings has transmitted to us, when he was in the midst of his disciples.' * * *. Then (the king) falling at the feet of the Sthavira Upagnpta cried out, 'This 0 Sthavira, is my wish : I wish to visit, honour, and mark ly a sign for the benefit of remote posterity all the spots where the Blessed Buddha has sojourned.' 'Very good, 0 great king,' replied the Sthavira, 'this thought of thine is good. I will go this day to show you the spots where the blessed Buddha sojourned'a * * * "Then the king equipped with an army of the four bodies of troops, took perfumes, flowers and garlands, and set out in the company of the Sthavira Upagapta. The latter began by conducting the king to the garden of Lumbini. Then extending his right hand he said to him: 'Here $\mathbf{O}$ great king, woas the Bhagavat born.' And he added 'Here (at this site), excellent to see, should be the first monament consecrated in honour of the Buddha' * * * * *. The king after giving a hundred thousand (golden coins) to the people of the country raised a stūpa and retired." ${ }^{8}$

Now it is remarkable that the words here used are almost the identical words which Açoka himself uttered at this place, as inscribed on his edict-pillar which has just boen found by Dr. Führer in the place which was first indicated by me, ${ }^{4}$ and by me also were made the arrangements for the recovery of this lost site. This inscription on the Apoka-edict-pillar at the actual birth-place of the Buddha is translated by Dr. Bühler in the Times of the 25th altimo (January), as recording

[^9]J. I. 11
that "king Piyadasi (Açōka), twenty years after his accession (literally 'anointing') himself came to this very spot and there worshipped saying 'Here was the Buddha, the Gākya ascetic born,' and that he erected this stone pillar which records that 'Here the Venerable One was born.' "

Thus it would almost appear as if Açōka had merely repeated the words put into his mouth by Upaguptr. However this may be, this remarkable coincidence seems to strengthen materially the historieal ralue of this part of the somewhat legendary Divyāvadāna, which in spite of the internal evidence of its having been composed much later than the epoch of Açōka, still Burnouf had already considered it to be semi-historical. ${ }^{1}$

This Açöka-legend goes on to relate how Upagapta conducted the king to most of the chief sites hallowed by Buddha and his chief disciples. Amongst these latter, especial prominence is given to Mandgalyāyana with whom as has been mentioned Upagupta seems possibly to have had his name associated. Certainly the following reference to Mandgalyāyana invests him with much the same attributes as those ascribed to Upagupta at Mathura and Kashmir; and these are also mentioned by Hiuen Tsiang ${ }^{2}$ and others. ${ }^{8}$ The Avadāna says :-
"The Stherira Upagapta showing afterwards the stāpa of the Sthavira Mahā Maudgalyāyana thus spoke, 'Here, O great king, is the stūpa of (the remains of) the great Maudgalyāyana; you ought to honour it.' 'What are the merits of this sage,' queried the king. The Sthavira replied 'He has been designated by Bhagavat as the chief of those who possessed supernatural power, because with the great toe of his right foot he shook Vaijayanta, the palace of Çakra, the Indra of the gods. He converted the two Nāga kings Nanda and Upananda.' And he uttered this verse: 'It is necessary to honour, all that one can, Kölita (i e., Maudgalyāyana) the foremost of Brāhmans, * * *. Who in this world could surpass the ocean of power of this sage in the perfect Intelligence-he who has conquered the serpents, those famons beings, so difficult to subdue?' The king having given a landred thousand (golden pieces) for the stüpa of the great Mnudgālyāyana ${ }^{4}$ exclaimed with hands joined in respect, 'I honour with bended bead the celebrated Maudgalyāyana, the foremost of sagen, gifted with supernatural power, who has freed himself from birth, old age, sorrow and pain.'" ${ }^{5}$

[^10]As to Upagapta's death, accounts differ. Some state that he died. and that this event occurred at Matharā ; ${ }^{2}$ bat I find no reference to his relic-stüpas. The Japanese legend relates, ${ }^{8}$ that "there was an earthquake and he transcended (or crossed over ;)" or it may read, "he went to 'Shin-tam.'" The Burmese tradition seems to make him yet alive like Mabākasyapa and a few other Arahats by getting outside the circle of re-births. His personal entity or Sattva while it still retained a body has by mystical means become liberated from the influence of Avidyā and the operation of the Causal Nexas, and in this way by his supernatural power or $\boldsymbol{R} d d h i$, he has secured immortality. ${ }^{4}$.

The residence in the sea, allotted to this immortalized Upagupta, as a sort of king of the Nāga or dragon-spirits, conld be explained by his reputation for supernatural power and his special association with Sindh or 'sea-salt,' his coming to Açōka by boat, and the connexion of his name with the conquest of Nāga-kings. ${ }^{5}$ And Açōka himself is also credited with having become reborn as a Nāga. A slightly different and more humourous rersion of the legend of the popular Burmese saint, is given by Mr. Scott in his charming book on the Burmese. He relates ${ }^{6}$ that 'Oopagoh' is condemued to existence as a water-god through having in his previous existence "carried off the clothes of a bather, and for this mischievous pleasantry is condemned to remain in his present quarters till Areemadehya (Maitreya) the next Buddha shall come. Then he will be set free and entering the Thenga (Saygha) will become a Rahan'and attain Neh'ban (Nirvāna). He is a favourite subject for pictures, which represent him sitting under his brazen roof or on the stamp of a tree, eating out of an alms-bowl which he carries in his arms. Sometimes he is depicted gazing sideways up to the skies, where he seeks a place that is not polluted by corpses." 7 This version, however, does not indicate why 'Oopagoh' should be worshipped with such zeal by Burmese Buddhists; while the version given me by a learned Burman, as above noted, relates that the hero is a great Arahat who by his magical power has secured long life or immortality, and can confer luck.

The Burmese festival in honour of this 'Upagu,' resembles some-

[^11]what the feast in honour of the great Indian Nāga king, Mahākāla, the 'Dai Koko' of the Japanese Buddhists who also celebrated this festival in a somewhat similar manner, a leading feature of which is the treasure-boat of the Nāga dragon-spirits. ${ }^{1}$

It is held on the last day of the Buddhist Lent or Varesa (Wās), at the end of the rainy season, about October, All the houses are ablaze with lamps and nearly every Burman builds a tiny boat, decorates it with flowers, illuminates it and then launches it on the river, with music, and the prayer that it may be carried on to 'Upagn,' and bring back to them the luck-giving saint-a procedure which recalls the incident of Açōka sending boats to bring Upagapta, the saintly interpreter of the Law, which. confers religions fortune. The effect of this miniature flotilla is often very fine. A thousand tiny specks of light dancing on the dark bosom of the waters. Daring the night all eagerly expect to have the good fortune of a visit from the 'Upagu' somewhat like the visit of Santa Claus (St. Nicholas) on Ohristmas eve; for those who are thus favoured are endowed with long life and good luck. On such occasions many clandestinely apriukle water on their door steps for good lack in pretence that the water-god has paid them a visit, Such seems to be the popular hero-worship in Burma, now-a-days, accorded to the great Bigh Priest of Agola.

1 W. Anderson's Catalogue of Chinese and Japanese paintings in the Britioh Мияит, p. 38.


Ancient Countries in Eastern India.-By F. E. Paraiter, Esq., B. A., C. S.

(With Map II.)*
[ Read March, 1897.]
The countries into which Eastern India was divided in ancient times consisted of four gronps, (1) Magadha, Vidêha and the small kingdom of Vaiçālī; (2) Ayga, Vanga, Kalinga, Puṇdra and Suhma, with Oḍra and Tāma-lipta; (3) Prāg-jyōtiṣa and the Kirātas; and (4) Utkala. The last three gronps are always recognized in Sanskrit writings, and were without doubt based on real ethnological differences; Gat Magadha and Videha denoted territory rather than races, for these conntries in their conditions resembled those to their west in Madiyadēeca, viz., Kosala and Käçi, and their inhabitants appear, especially in Magadha, to have been a mixture of the Aryas with earlier races, as in Madhya-dēça, the chief difference being that the earlier races do not seem to have been so completely sabjugated and incorporated by the Aryas as in Madhya-dęça.

I propose in this paper to collect and examine all the passages of any importance, that l have been able to find, bearing on these countries, and to determine their position as exactly as possible. In a map illustrating the paper on "Ancient Cēdi, Matsya and Karüṣa" in this Journal, Vol. LXIV, Part I, No. 3, 1895, I have shown nearly all these conntries in the positions which I proposed in the notes to the Translation of the Mārkandēya Purāna, cantos Ivii and lviii; but on fuller and more careful consideration I have been led to modify those views somewhat, especially with regard to Pundra, and would offer the present paper as a revised contribution to the ancient geography of Eastern India.

Most of the passages cited here are taken from that vast storehouse of information, the Mahā-Bhārata, and therefore in giving the references I have dropped the name of the book for the sake of conrenience and specified the Parvans only. For passages cited from other books the references have been given in full, and the Rāmāyana is quoted according to Gorresio's Edition.

* It is not fres from blemishes; e.g., for Modagiri read Mödägiri; for Tr-srotas read Tri-srōtas; \&c.
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## Magadea.

Magadha is too well known to call for mach notice here. It comprised the modern districts of Patna, Gaya and Shāhālād. Its ancient capital was Giri-vraja. (Sabhā-p., xx. 798-800; Hari-V., cxvii. 6598; and Rāmāyaṇa, Ãdi-k., xxxv. 1-9), which Cunningham has identified with the modern Giryek on the Pañcana river about 36 miles northeast of Gayā (Arch. Surv. Repts., I. 16 and plate iii). Rāja-grha appears to have been another name of the capital ( $\AA$ di-p., cxiii. 4451-2; and Āçvamēdh.-p., lxxxii. 2435-63), but Cunningham identifies it with the modern Raj-gir about six miles west of Giryek (Arch. Surv. Repts., I. 20 and plate iii). The people were called Magadhas and Mägadhas.

Magadha appears to have been the arena of many early conflicts. Its oldest name is said to have been Kikata, which occurs in Rg.V., iii. 53•14 (Muir's Snnsk. Texts, II. 362-3). In the Rāmāyaṇa, Viçvāmitra gives the youthful Rāma an account of Magadha and the countries near it. He says its old name was Vasu; Kuça, a great king who was sprung from Brahnī, had four sons who established four kingdoms; (1) Kuçāçva at Kançą̣̄vi (or, Kuçāmba at Kauçāmbì, according to another reading), (2) Kuçanābha at Mahodaya or Kānyakubja, (3) Amūrtarajas in Prāg-jyōtişa, and (4) Vasu at Giri-vraja (Ādi-k., xxxv. 1-10 and 35). This story professes to hand down what occurred several generations before Rāma's time, but presents many difficulties, and clashes in some of its details with the next event that I now cite. According to the Mabā-Bliārata, at a later time about half way between Räma's age and that of the Pāp̣davas, Vasu Upari-cara, king of Cēdi, conquered Magadha and established his son Vrhad-ratha as king over it (see paper on "Ancient Cédi, Matsja and Kariasa" mentioned above).

The later history of Magadha is well-known, and it played the leading part in Buddhism.

## Vidéta.

Vidēha derived its name from Māthava the Vidēgha who colonized it according to the Çata-P. Brāhmaṇa (I. iv. 1). The passage runs thus, briefly, according to Dr. Eggeling's translation. "Māthava, the (king of) Vidēgha, carried Agni Vaiçvānara in his mouth. The Ŗ̣̣i Götama Rāhūgaṇa was his family priest. When addressed 'by the latter) he made no answer to him, fearing lest Agni might fall from his month. [The priest continued to invoke Agni, and at length Agni Vaiçvanara flashed forth from the king's mouth and fell down on the earth.] Māthava the Vidēgha was at that time on the river Sarasvati.

He (Agui) thence went burning along this earth towards the east; and Götama Rāllūgaṇa and the Vidēgha Māthava followed after him as he was burning along. He burnt over (dried up) all these rivers. Now that (river) which is called Sadā-nirā flows from the northern (Himālaya) mountain; that one he did not burn over. That one the brāhmans did not cross in former times, thinking it has not been burnt over by Agni Vaiģānara. Now-a-days, however, there are many brālmans to the east of it. At that time it (the land east of the Sadā-nirā) was very nncultivated, very marshy, because it had not been tasted by Agni Vaị̧vānara. Now-a-days, however, it is very cultivated, for the brähmans have caused (Agni) to taste, it through sacrifices. Eren in late summer that (river), as it were, rages along; so cold is it, not having been barnt over by Agni Vaiçvānara. Māthava the Vidēgha then raid (to Agni), 'Where am I to abide ?' 'To the east of this river be thy abode!' said he. Even now this river forms the bonndary of the Kösalas and Vid̄̄has ; for these are the Māthavas."

Sadā-nīā meaṇ " the river that is always full of water." Sāyana says it is the river Karatōya, the modern Kurattee which flows throngh the Bogra district ; and Dr. Muir (Sansk. Texts, II. 419-422) and Dr. Eggeling (see note in his edition) prefer to identify it with the Gandaki, the modern Gaṇdak. Sāyana's explanation must be mistaken, becanse there can be no doubt Vidëha could never have been east of the Kurattee. Nor can the river be well identified with the Gandski, because they are mentioned as distinct rivers in Sabhā-p., xix. 794. This passage is noticed by Dr. Muir. Though it is hardly intelligible in its description of the route takon by Krsp̣a, Arjana and Bhims in going from the Kurus to Magadha, unless they took a zigzag course eastward, soath-westward and again eastward, in order to avert suspicion before fiually making for Magadha; still it indicates plainly that the Gandaki and Sadā-nirā were different rivers. Moreover the Gandaki flows through the country which has always been considered to be Vidzha, and could not therefore have been its western boundary.

It seems then that the Sadà-nirà must lie further to the west, and it should probably be identified with the Rapti. I have not been able to find any Sanskrit name for the Rapti. Lassen calls it the Ajita-vati (Ind. Alt., Map), but this name is not in the dictionary, nor have I met with it anywhere. This identification then fills up a blank and agrees with the well-known situation of Videha. It offers also an explanation of the marshy nature of ancient Vidēha, viz.; the Gandak flows through the middle of the country, it has always been liable to shift its conrse greatly, its numerous chanuels intersect the country, and its floods would have reudered the soil extremely marshy.

A further consideration of the facts will, I think, throw some light on this passage from the Çata-P. Brāhmana. Vidéha in ancient times must, like most other parts of India, have been more or less covered by forest, the remains of which sarvive at the present day along the foot of the Himālayas in the tract called the Terai, and was no doubt inhabited by aboriginal tribes such as inhabit the Terai now. The deadly malaria of such a forest is well-known, and only such tribes have been able to live in its climate. To this must be added the effect, which periodic floods from the Gandak during the rainy season must have produced in the rank vegetation of such a region. Very swampy and uncultivable would be moderate expressions to apply to it. No Arya could have ventured within it, and the only way in which Āryas could have colonized it was by felling and burning the forest down wholesale, and opening out the soil to the parifying rays of the sun. That is what (it seems to be implied) Māthava must have done.

Prof. Weber considers Agni Vaiģānara to be a personification of the sacrificial worship of the brāhmans, and Dr. Muir and Dr. Eggeling appear to acquiesce in this interpretation (loc. cit.); but I venture to sabmit that the wide import of the epithet Agni Vaigvañara, "the fire that burns for all men," hardly expresses the narrow view that the brāhmans would have of their own peculiar sacrificial fire. May it not rather mean "fire which is the common property of all men," that is, not sacrificial fire, but fire in its ordinary every-day uses as applied to human wants? It seems to me a distinction is implied between the Agni Vaiçvānara that Mäthava himself had and the sacrificial fire of the brāhmans.

The explanation suggested here, regarding Agni Vaiçvānara's going burning along the ground and his tasting and improving the soil, pourtrays with poetical force, how the fire seized on the forests and raged along devouring them with its flames, and how it licked and scorched the pestilential soil, and so laid the marshy ground bare to the sun's parching heat. ${ }^{1}$ The races who preceded the Āryas appear to have been forest tribes. Agni must have cleared away the primeval forests from the Sarasvati to the Sada-nira, and there the course of the colonizing Aryas stopped, until (as seems implied) Màthava carried Agni on to the east of the latter river. It seems highly

[^12]probable the forest tribes were not acquainted with fire. They shan the bright life of the open country, and would have retreated terrified and silent into the depths of the forest; and it is noteworthy that no mention is made of any fighting before Māthava established himself there.

It has pleased the brāhmans in this passage to ascribe the improvement in the land to their sacrifices, but the passage implies, as Prof. Weber observes, that they did not venture across the Sadā-nirā till Māthava with his devouring fire had cleansed the gronnd before them. I would therefore saggest that we have here described how Māthava, with no doubt his comrades, burnt the forest down and began cultivating. the land, and how the brähmans, finding the new tract developing into a good land, followed afterwards and soon appropriated the merit to themselves and their sacrifices. I venture to commend this explanation to those who know the country.

Videha then comprised the country from Gorakhpur on the Rapti to Darbhanga, with Kösala on the west and Anga on the east. On the morth it approached the hills, and on the south it was bounded by the small kingdom of Vaiçālī. Its capital was called Mithilā (Çānti-p., cccrxvii. 12233-8; and Rāmāy., Ādi-k., xlix. 9-16) ; and this name often designated the country itself, especially in the Rāmāyaṇa. The people were called Vidēhas, Vaidēhakas and also Mithilas (Vana-p., ccliii. 15243). Its kings traced their descent from Nimi (Rāmāy., Ādi-k., lxxiii. 2-12) and were generally called Janaka, which seems to have been the ordinary royal title (Vana-p., cxxxiii. 10637). They were often highly educated (Çānti-p., cocxxvii. 122]5-25; Kauṣitaki Up., iv. 1 ; Bṛad-A raṇ. Up., II. i. 1, and IV. i. and ii.). Canningham says the capital was Janakpar, which is now a small town just within the Nepal border, north of where the Mazaffarpar and Darbhanga districts meet (Arch. Surv. Repts., XVI. 34, and map), bat I have not met this name in Sanskrit worke, and it is not in the dictionary.

## The Kinadom or Vaiçìlī.

Between Magadha and Vidēha lay a small kingdom on the north side of the Granges, with its capital at Vaiçāli, for Viçvāmitra, when taking the youthful Rama from the slanghter of Tādak $\bar{a}$ in the Shābābād distriçt to Mithilā, stopped at Vaiçāli on the way. Their route appears from the Rāmāyaṇ to have been as follows: crossing the $\mathbf{R}_{\text {. }}$ Cōpa or Sone from the Shāhābād district and travelling north-east they reached the Ganges near the site of the present city Patna (Adi-k., xxxpii. 1-7) ; and then crossing the Ganges by boat, and travelling northwards towards Mithilà the whole of one day, they reached Vaiçāli
at evening (id., xlvi. 5-11; xlviii. 21-25; and xlix. 1-8). This agrees with the situation of the modern town Besarh, 27 miles north and a little east of Patna, which Cunningham has ideutified with Vaiçäli (Arch. Surv. Repts., I. 55, and XVI. 6 and 34).

No name appears to be given to this country and it plays a very small part in Sanskrit writings. Its kings claimed descent from Inspāku, the founder of the Solar dynasty of Ajodhya, and called themselves all Iḳ̣̄ākus (Rāmāy., Ādj-k., xlviii. 13-20). In the Buddhist writings the country is called Vrji (Mahāvamsa, early chapters; and Arch. Surv. Repts., XVI. 34), but this name is not in the Sanskrit dictionary nor have 1 met with it in any Sanskrit work. It played however an important part in early Buddhist history, for Buddha is said to have announced his approaching Nirvàna at Vaiçāli, and the second Buddhist Synod was held there.

## The Second Group of Fife Nations.

The Angas, Vangas, Kalingas, Pundras and Suhmas were habitual-: Iy classed together, and the first two, with the third often added, are generally found linked together, partly no doubt because they were meighbouring nations, but chiefly it seems becanse the names made ajingle. They are stated in the legends and genealogies to have beenthe descendants of five brothers of the same names, Anga, Vanga, Kalinga, Pupdra and Suhma, who were the sons of king Bali's queen Sudēspā by the rai Dirgha-tamas or Dirgha-tapas. The accounts vary somewhat, but agree in this-that the rgi (who had been blind from his birth in consequence of a curse) was abandoned on a mift in the Ganges, was carried down the stream and was rescued by king Bali, and that Bali who wanted children commissioned his queen and the rşi to raise up offspring for him. The story is told with mach circumgtantial detail, as if it was a well known event, and it is said these five snns were called "Bālēja-kß̄̄tra" and even "Bāleya brāhmans," though Bali himself is called a Dānava (Ādi-p., civ. 4179-4221; Hari-V., xxxi. 1682-97; Matsya Pur:, xlviii. 23-78; and Viṣ̣u Pur., iv. 18). Bali is styled "king of the East," and is shown in the genealogies as a descend. ant of Titikspa, king of the East; but Titikgn's descent is uncertain for the Hari-Vamiça traces it from Pūru king of Madhya or the Middle region (xxxi.), and the Viępu and Matsya Purāpas from Ana, king of the East (e. g., Matsya Par., xlviii).

Statements, like this one regarding these five brothers, that a cer-' tain person was the progenitor of a certain people, occur frequently in Sanskrit genealogies and legends. They do not invite ready belief, and their meaning is a matter of uncertainty and difficulty, but looked at ia
the light of history they may admit of one probable interpretation. It is a trite saying that history repeats itself, and certainly one feature that appears in all ages of Indian history is the course of conquest. A handful of strangers have invaded a part of the country, conquered the old inhabitants and established themselves in it, their leader as king and themselves as the aristocratic class; and the contest has rarely been an internecine one, unless religion or patriotism has been involved in it. The earliest struggles, in which the Aryas fought their way into India, seem to have been rendered fiercer by the strong religious and social differences between them and the aboriginal races; but after the time when the Āryas established themselves in Madhya-decca, and universal respect was accorded to them because of their chivalry and prestige, it is hard to find traces of a fierce struggle between them and the aborigines except in the story of Rāma and Rāvaṇa. The Äryak, being the dominant race, considered themselves, and were esteemed by the other nations, as the elite of India. Those nations gradually imitated them and adopted their customs. What happened then between the Äryas and aborigines may no doubt be compared to what is happening now between the English and the natives in South Africa.

Rpis and ascetics exerted a strong influence towards extending the Aryan supremacy. They wandered through every country in ancient India, and established themselves in every spot, where the bracing air of the hills or the cool temperature of a well-watered shady wood attracted them and induced them. to linger. In this way they became pioneers of the religion and civilization of the Āryas, and afford a strange parallel to the part which Christian missionaries have played in extending British influence over barbarons countries. Where the ascetics were received with favour and reverence, they soon brought the people of their new country more or less effectually within the pale of Aryanism, as in the case of the fsi Dirgha-tamas; but where they were harassed or cut off, their sufferings and eomplaints brought retribation on the offending nation, as in Rāma's slaughter of the so-called Rāksparas in Jana-stbāna on the Gōdāvari, which is commemorated in simple and grateful terms in Drōna-p., lix. 2226-7; and no doubt to somewhat similar incidents must be assigned the frequent yet vague mention of wars in which kinge aided the gods against the demons.

In the same direction tended ancient sentiments regarding marriage. In early times the rules restricting inter-marriage between different classes were very easy, if indeed it can be said there were any definite prohibitions of that kind. The Mahā-Bhārata is full of instances of mixed unions, which were manifestly regarded at the time as involving no slur or stain, and the resi Dirgha-tamas is said to have bestowed his
favours freely and indiscriminately, and to have left a numerous progeny of various ranks in Anga and Magadha, not only without incurring any reprobation but even with emphatic approral and blessing from Surabhi (Matsya Pur., xlviii. 60-63 and 79-84 ; and also Sabhā-p., xx. 802 with Adi-p., civ. 4193-4216). The result of such practices must have been an infusion of Aryan blood into the populace and the growth of classes, which (like the Eurasians of the present day) would have sought to connect themselves more with the Aryan aristocracy than with their aboriginal kindred; and it would not be an altogether unreasonable and extravagant assertion to say that, in countries where an extensive blending of that sort occurred, the people were descended from the invading leader who founded a new dynasty or territorial sovereignty.

Looked at in this way the story may therefore possibly be true that five brothers Anga, Vayga, Kalinga, Puṇdra and Suhma may have conquered five countries, established five kingdoms, and given their names to their countries and the inhabitants; but it cannot be pushed beyond this, nor can they have been the progenitors of the bulk of the people, because the genealogies make king Lōma-päda, who was the contemporary of Daça-ratha and Räma, only the fifth or sixth descendant of Anga; and becanse it is impossible that the country of Anga could have been uninhabited before Anga's time, or that his descendants could have extirpated the previous inbsbitants or filled the country themselves in so short a time.

There is, however, one serious objection to this explanation, vis., there is no mention that these countries had any other names prior to the age of these five brothers, and they bear the same names in the earliest allusions. The two most prominent of these countries in the most ancient times were Anga and Kalinga, and the earliest references to them occur, I believe, in the marriagee of kings of the Lunar dynasty with princesses of these countries (e:g.; Adi-p., xcv. 3772-80). From the general tenor of the old stories, and according to the genealogies if they are traced downward from Püru or Ann, it appears incontestable that those kings belonged to a prior time (e.g., see the two lines of Rceyu and Kakseyu in Hari-V., xxxi. and xxxii.) ; bat if the genealogies are reckoned upwards from the Pändavas' time, this inference is mach weakened, so that it does not seem absolutely impossible the founding of Anga and Kalinga may have been earlier than those kings, and thas the difficulty might disappear. Otberwise it may be, supposing there is truth in this story of the five brothers, that, if Anga was really the later name, it superseded the ancient name so completely that it was substituted for the ancient name in the genealogies; for it seems a
reasonable supposition that (apart from the deliberate fabrication of genealogies for the purpose of gratifying vanity, ambition or religions arrogance) all ancient genealogies and legends would be gradually modified by the substitution of current words in lien of obsolete words, in order to render them intelligible to new generations and without any intention to falsify them.

The estimation in which these five nations were held by the brāhmans of Madhya-dêça varied remarkably. The curious harangue in which Karna abused Çalya, king of Madra, and Çalya's retort (Karna-p., xl.-xlv.) disclose some strange and inconsistent remarks on the composition and character of various races in India, which no doubt represent the handiwork of different authors and ages.

Çalya asserts that it was the custom in Anga to abandon the sick and sell one's wife and children (xlv. 2112); and in one passage it is said that the Kalingas should be shunned as unrighteons, together with certain otber people picked here and there out of Southern and Western India (xliv. 2066-7). Yet in other passages it is said-the Angakas (Anges) and Mägadhes when old live according to the rules of righteousness which they have learnt (xlv. 2101); and Pauṇ̣ras, Kälingas and Mägadhas are held up to honour along with the people of Madhya-déca as being conversant with eternal righteousness (xlv. 2084-5).

Originally these nations did not belong to the Aryan stock; they appear to have been mlëcchas. The story of the five brothers no doubt indicates that these nations were brought within the Aryan pale, yet they did not rank high, for even at the time of the Great War the Agga prince is styled a mlēccha (Karna-p., xxii. 877 and 880 ), and if such a. term could be applied to him, when Agga was the foremost of these, mations, it is cortain the others must have been in a lower plight. The elevation of the Angas, Kalingas and Paundras to the same degree of esteem, which the nations of Madhya-déça enjoyed, must be a later development, and be the sign of some marked change which passed over Northern India. The alteration is most marked in the estimation of the Kalingas. Does it represent the opinion of the age when Brahmanism hard-pushed by Buddhism found a refuge in Orissa?

The Aitarēas Brāhmana says the Paundras, the Andhras and certain rude tribes in Central India are most degraded; they were Viçvamitra's descendants and were reduced to that state by his curse (vii. 3. 18). Manu says, the Paundrakas, Ödras and Drāvidas and various well-known nations on the nurthern and north-western confines of India were k the neglect of sacred rites and the absence of brāhmans ( $x .43-4$. ) J. I. 13

These statements seem to represent an intermediate opinion of doctrinaire speculation, or the dogmatism of a late and ignorant period.

We may now consider the position of these five countries and their extent.

## Anga.

The position of Anga is well-known and requires only a few remarks. It was at all times closely connected with Magadha. The kings of Anga and Vanga used to frequent Dirgha-tamas' or Gautama's dwelling at Giri-vraja (Sabhā-p., xx. 802-4; and the passages cited above regarding Dïrgha-tamas; and Çānti-p., cccxliii. 13183-5). The famous king Anga Vrhad-ratha sacrificed on Mt. Viṣnupada, which appears to be a hill at Gay (Çānti-p., xxix. 924-31). Jarāsandha king of Magadha bestowed the city Mälini, the capital of the Angas, on Karna as a fief (id., v). It adjoined Magadha on the east as appears from the description of Bhima's conquests in the Eastern region, which is quoted here as it will serve to elucidate the position of the other eastern nations considered in this paper.

Marching from Magadha, Bhima conquered Karna (king of Anga) in battle and brought him into subjection. Then he vanquished the kings who dwelt in the hills. Next he slew a very powerful king in battle at Mödāgiri. Then he conquered Väsudēva, the mighty king of Puṇdra, and the valiant king who dwelt in Kauçiki-kaocha, both powerful heroes, both fierce in prowess. He attacked the king of Vanga. Conquering Samudra-sēna and king Candra-sēna (who appear to have been kings of Vanga), and the Tämralipta king and the lord of Karvata, he vanquished also the lord of the Snhmas, and those who dwell beside the sea, and all the tribes (gana) of Mlécchas. Then he went to Lauhitya. He made all the kings, who dwell in the marshy tracts near the sea (sägaränūpa), pay tribute (Sabhā-p., xxix. 1094-1100).

This description appears nnusually clear and natural. Marching from the Patna and Gaya districts Bhima met and defeated Karna on the western limits of Agga, that is, in the west of the Monghyr or Mungir district; then turning aside and subduing the petty kings in the northern part of Chutia Nagpar, he marched on eastward to Mōdägiri. Lassen has identified Mödāgiri with the modern town of Monghyr or Mangir; this is extremely probable both from the resemblance of the names and from the situation and natural features of the town. Mōdāgiri or (nasalized, as so frequently happens in Indian vernaculars) Mōndāgiri might easily be corrupted into Mungir. The king of this place would then have been one of Karna's vassals.

The capital was called Mālini or Mālina at first, and this name was superseded by that of Campā bestowed on the city in honour (it
is said) of Lomma-pāda's great grandson, king Campa (Çānti-p., v. 134-5; Hari-V., xxxi. 1699-1700; and Matsya Pur., xlviii. 97) ; but the latter name is often used indiscriminately in regard to time, and the city is called Campā in an account of Lorma-pāda's reign (Rāmāy., Ādi-k., xvii. 23). It was situated on the Ganges (Vana-p., lxxxv. 8156; and cccvii. 17150-51), and is identified with the modern town of Bhāgalpur.

Cunningham says Mudgala-pari, Mudgalāçrama and Mudga-giri were the old names of Mungir; and an earlier name was Kasta-harangparvata (Arch. Surv. Repts., XV. 15 and 18) Madgarakas are mentioned as a people in Eastern India (Matsya Pur., cxiii. 44), and Madakaras (Märkaṇ̣.Pur., lvii. 42) ; probably the correct reading should be Mudagiras. The Mudgalas are cursorily mentioned in Drona-p., xi. 397. None of these names, however, can well be older than Modägiri in the Mahä-Bhärata.

Agga therefore comprised the modern districts of Bhagalpur and Monghyr, and also extended northwards up the river Kauçiki, the modern Kosi, and included the western portion of the district of Purnia. For it was on that river that Käçyapa Vibhäpdaka had his hermitage, there his son Reyaçrnga was brought up in the strictest seclusion, and from there the young rẹi was beguiled by a courtesan of Mālini into a boat and brought down the river to the capital (Vana-p., cx. 9990-10080; and Rāmäy., Adi-k., viii. and ix.). The forest in which Resyacrygg lived is said to have bordered on Anga (Adi-k., ix. 55-61), and the whole of this quaint story implies that he was living within the territory of Anga, for no embassage was sent to any other king for permission to bring bim away, as when Daça-ratha paid a special visit to Lōma-päda to invite the risi's attendance at Ayסdhyā to perform the sacrifice which was to bless the king with a son (id., x. 14-23).

The tract near Campā was called Sūta-vispaya, "the land of bards or charioteers." It was there that Karna, Kunti's illegitimate son, was adopted and brought up by the Sūta Adhi-ratha (Ȧdi-p., lxvii. 2764-83, and cxi. ; and Vana-p., cccvii. 17150-51, and cocviii).

Anga was a kingdom from very early times, for it is asid that Ariha, the eighth descendant from Pürn, married Angi or Āngi, and his fifth descendant who bore the same name married Āgési, both presumably Anga princesses (Adi-p., xcv. 3772 and 3777). And Vasuhoma is mentioned as king of Anga contemporary with the great Māndhātr, one of the early kings of the Solar race, and is eulogized in bigh terms (Çanti-p., cxxii). Passages such as these present diffculties as noticed above, and are also open to distrast as being fabrications of a later age, but there are these considerations in favour of their genuineness and authenticity, namely, that in all countries and especi-
ally in ancient times genealogies have been esteemed matters of very great importance and kept up with jealous pride, and that Anga lay at first outside the Aryan pale, so that no honour could be gained by alleging false alliances with its princes who were prior to the time of Aggan In Jarāsandha's time, Anga appears to have been an appanage of Magadha, and it was through his favour that Karna gained it as, his kingdom (Sabhā-p., xxix. 1090-93; Vana-p., cexlv. 15052; and Çānti-p., v. 134-5).

The ancient history of Anga appears to consist of two periods, first, the age before the eponymous king Anga, and secondly that which he inangurated. In the former age the country must have belonged to a pre-Aryan race and dynasty, and their rule must have ended with king Bali. Althongh his lineage is traced up to Anu or Päru, sons of Yayāti, so as to connect it with the royal Aryan lines of North India, yet he is called a Dānava (Matsya Pur., xlviii. 60), and it was no doubt a remembrance of its old non-Aryan stock that led to the application of the opprobrious term Mléccha to the Anga prince who joined in the Great war (Karna-p., xxii. 877 and 880).

The second period no doubt represents the change when the country passed under the influence of the Äryas, as they extended their dominion eastward. It has been mentioned already that Daça-ratha's contemporary Lōma-pāda was fifth or sixth in descent from Anga, hence the beginuing of this period may be placed some six generations prior to Rāma's time. There was a close friendship between Lroma-pāda and Daça-ratha, so genuine that the latter is said to have given his daughter Çāntā in adoption to the former (Rāmäy., Ādi-k., x. 1-10, and 23-27). The connexion between Ayodhyä and Anga at that time is shewn in such detail and referred to so often, that it appears to be a real historical fact ; and it is corroborated incidentally in a remarkable manner in the enumeration of the kings and princes who were invited to attend Daçaratha's sacrifice (id., xii. 18-24). That list differs surprisingly from similar lists in the Mahā-Bhārata, which describe all the kings and princes throngh the length and breadth of India, who assembled at Dranpadi's svayamerara (Adi-p., clxxxvi. and clexxvii.), at the opening of the Pāṇdavas' Court (Sabhā-p., iv.), and at the Rāja-sūya sacrifice (id., xxxiii.). The Rāmāyana list is short and is noteworthy as much. for its omissions as for its contents; it mentions the kings of Mithilā (North Behar), Kāçi (Benares), Kēkaya (North Panjab) and Anga, and then in general terms those of the East (prācya), of Sindhu (North Sindh) and Sauvira (West Punjab), of Su-räşra (Gujarat) and of the Dekhan, and "whatever other kings were particularly friendly" (Adi-k., xii. 18-24). Madhya-dēça is ignored with the exception of

Kāç; and the connexions of Kösala and Ayõdhyà were closest with Käq̧i, Mithilā and Anga, and after them with the distant kingdoms of the Panjab and Western India.

The Aitarøya Brāhmaṇa says that Anga was inaugarated with the Mahäbhipęka ceremony by Udamaya son of Atri, and in consequence conquered the whole earth; and that Anga gave him a thousand elephants in the country A racatnuka (viii. 4, 22). This passage probably refers to Anga Vrhad-ratha, one of the sixteen famous kings (Çanti-p., xxix. 924-31) who seems to be the same as Dharma-ratha, the great grandson of Anga, from the special allusion to Mt. Vispu-pada (HariV., xxxi. 1693-5) ; but I have not met the name Avacatnnka elsewhere, nor is it in the dictionary.

## Vanga.

Vanga or Banga is often mentioned and its people were called Vangas and Vangeyas ; but the allusions seldom yield any clear inform:ation. As shewn in the description of Bhima's conquests in the Eastern region quoted above, it lay beyond Anga, to the south-east, and was the original of the modern Bengal.

The ouly definite information occurs in a passage in the RaghnTamiça which of course belongs to a comparatively late date (iv. 36 and 37). The Vangas are described there as essentially a boating people, using boats for all purposes of life (nau-sädhana), and as growing rice for their staple crop, which they uprooted when seedlings and transplanted into their fields, as they do to this day. Ragha planted his columns of victory in the islands of the Ganges delta, ganga-srötontarlesu. It is difficult to say at what rate land has been forming. in the delta, yet it is clear from this description that, apart from its extent sea-ward, the delta must have different greatly from its present condition 1800 or 1500 years ago, The rivers which traverse it now are partially silted up, but at that time they must have been wide and freeflowing streams, dividing the lands into numerous distinct islands; in faet, the condition of the whole delta then must have been very much like what the extreme south-eastern portion is now.

Vanga must have comprised the modern districts of Marshidabad, Nadia, Jessor, and parts of Rajshahi, Pabna and Faridpur.

Vajga occupied a much lower position than Anga or Kalinga; I have found no mention of any marriages between its princes or princesses and the royal families of North India. Its kings are spoken of in general terms and names are seldom mentioned. A prince Candra-senna, son of Samudira-sēna, attended Dranpadi's svayaminara (Ãdi-p., clxxxvi。 6991), and it appears probable on comparing this passage with the description of Bhima's conquests quoted above, that these two persons
were princes of Vanga. It seems likely that this Samudra-sEna is the king of the same name who was famed as far as the confines of the sea (id., lxvii. 2690). No capital appears to be even alluded to.

## Kalinga.

Kalinga is generally ranked third in this five-fold group of countries, but resembled Anga in being a settled kingdom with a capital, and in having a longer history than the rest.

The fullest and clearest information is given in a passage of the Ragha-Vamça (iv. 38-43) describing Raghn's conquests. After conquering the Vangas, Raghu crossed the Kapiçā (or Karabhā, according to another reading) by bridging it with his elephants, and took the road pointed out by the Utkala kings towards Kalinga. He planted his own splendour on the summit of Mount Mahēndra as a mahant strikes his irou hook into the head of an unruly elephant. The Kalinga king with an array of elephants attacked him, but Raghn withstanding the atorm of arrows defeated his foes there (at Mt. Mahëndra). His soldiers made open spaces for revelry and quaffed fermented cocoa-nut juice out of betel-leaves. Observing the rules of fair warfare, he took from the lord of Mahendra his glory but not his territory.

Lassen has identified the R. Kapiçā with the modern Subarpa-rēkhā on the confines of the Miduapar and Balasore districts (Ind. Alt., Map), but I ventare to suggest that the Kapiçă is the modern Cossye or Kansai, which flows a little further north through Midnapar. The name Kansai is said to be modified from Kamsavati, but I do not know on what authority, nor have I met with this name anywhere, nor is it in the dictionary. Kansai or Kamsai may easily be a corraption of Kopiçā-vati ; names of this form are not uncommon, e.g., Amarā-vati, Utpalā-vati.

This passage shows that Kalinga lay sonthward of Vanga beyond the R. Kapiçā, and stretched sonthward so as to include Mount Mahēndra. The Mahendra Monntains were one of the seven chief ranges of India, and are the northern portion of the Eastern Ghats above the R. Godāvari, and the hills near Ganjam are still called Mahindra. It is not stated that the Kapiçă was the northern limit of Kalinga; rather the above passage suggests that Utkala jatted in between this river and Kalinga, and that Raghn secured the help and gaidauce of the Utkala kings in order to reach Kalinga. The northeru limit of Kalinga was approximately the river Vaiturani, the modern Bylarni; for the Mahā-Bhārata, doscribing the Pāndavas' pilgrimage to all the tirthas, says-After bathing at the junction of the Ganges and the sea, they travelled along the sea-coast towards the Kalingas, and reached that people and the R. Vaitarapi aboat the same time; its northeru bank is spoken of as
greatly frequented by rẹis (Vana-p., cxiv. 10096-10107). On its bank was Viraja-tirtha, the later Birajā-kpētra, the modern Jajpur (id., 1xxxv. 8148).

Kalinga therefore comprised modern Orissa about as far north as the modern town Bhadrak in the Balasore district, and the sea-coast southward as far as Vizagapatam; it does not appear to have reached as far as the Godāvari, because this river is never connected with Kalinge in any passage as far as I am aware. Its limits inland are not clear. Kalinga as a settled country appears to have consisted properly of the plain between the Eastern Ghats and the sea, yet its monarchs seem to have exercised a kind of suzerainty over the aboriginal tribes which inhabited the hilly tracts far inland, for the Amara-kantaka hills, in which the Narmada rises, are said to be in the western part of Kalinga (Kürma Pur., II. xxxix. 9). Lassen places Kalinga along the inner side of the Eastern Ghats from the Vizagapatam district. southwestward as far as the Karnul district.

The capital is called Rāja-pura, which however simply means "capital" (Çānti-p., iv). In the Raghu-Vamçęe it is placed on the sean coast, and the palace is described as being so near the sea, that the windows looked ont on the sea, and the deep roar of the waves drowned the bray of trumpets (vi. 56). This description can only apply to Kalingapatam, and that town was no doabt the capital in Kālidāsa's time. Kalingapatam and Chicacole (said to be corrupted from Cri-kākōla) are reputed to be the two ancient capitals, and the latter is said to be the more ancient (Arch. Surv. of S. India, by R. Sewell, I. 2 and 7), but I have not met the name Çri-kākolla anywhere, nor is it in the dictionary.

The people were called Kalinga, Kālinga and Kālingaka; and "all the Kalingas" are spoken of as if they were a numerons people (Bhiqma-p., xvii. 668; and lxxi. 3132). Their kingdom dates back to very early times. Three famous kings are named, Kqema, Agra-tirtha and Kuhara (Adi-p., lxvii. 2701), and their princesses married two of the early kings of the Lunar dynasty (id., xcv. 3775 and 3780). Daryodhana moreover attended a svayam-vara held by a Kalinga princess, and carried her off with Karna's help (Çānti-p., iv). Krọna is said to have crushed the Kalingas in a pitched battle at a place called Dantaküra (Udyoga-p., xlvii. 1883); it is not clear where that place was, but it may be noticed that Rāma Jāmadagnya slew the kęattriyas and the haters of the brāhmans and Dantakrüra (Drona-p., lxx. 2430-31).

Punpra and Paunpra,
The Puṇdras dwelt in the Eastern region as stated in the description of Bhima's Eastern conquests quoted above, though they are also
assigned sometimes to the Southern region (e.g., Markaṇ̣. Par., lvii. 45). The name occurs in various other forms, Puṇdraka (Sabhā-p, iv. 119), Pauṇdra (Adi-p., clxxxvii. 7020), Pauṇdraka (id., claxxvi. 6992; and Sabhā-p., xxxiii. 1270) and Panṇdrika (Sabhā-p., li. 1872). These names are used sometimes as if equivalent, thas, "Famous in the world is the mighty king among the Vaygas, Puṇdras and Kirātas, named the Panṇdraka Vāsudeva" (id., xiii. 584), and yet a distinction is made between Paundras and Puṇdras, for they are mentioned separately in the list of peoples in India (Bhisma-p., ix. 358 and 365 ), and Paṇdras, Puṇdrakas and Pauṇtrikas are all mentioned in one passage (Sabhā-p.i li. 1872-4).

This distinction appears also in the list of kings who attended the opening of the Pändavas' Court. Among them are mentioned "Agya and Vanga with Pandraka, the two kings of Pāpda and Udra (Pạdödra, rajau) with Andhraka" (id., iv. 119). The reading Panda here seems to be a mistake for Paundra, because there is no passage, that I know of, which alludes to any country or people called Pānda in Eastern India, and becanse the reading Paundra occurs in the same combination in the list of kings who attended Yudhiṣthira's rāja-sūya sacrifice, viz.; sa-paundrōdrän, " with Pauṇdras and Uḑras" (Vana-p., li. 1988). It seems clear then that there was a distinction between Pundras and Puṇdrakas on the one hand, and Panṇdras, Panṇdrakas and Panṇ̣rikas on the other; and yet the two people appear to have been but two branches of the same nation, for the Paaṇ̣raka Vāsudēra was king of the Pundras as stated in the quotation from Sabhā-p., xiii. 584 above. This inference is corroborated in the further passages which are now cited to elucidate their position.

Lassen places Pundra in the northern half of the modern Chatia Nagpar, and does not show Paunḍra as separate. The passages that I have found which give indications of the position of Pundra and Paundra are these. I will consider Pauṇdra first.

In the two passages last quoted the Panndras are linked with the Uḑas, and the second runs thus-" With Bangas and Angas (sa-baygãjgān), with Pauņ̣ras and Uḍras (sa-paundrōdrän), with Cōlas, Drāvidas and Andhrakas (sa-cōla-dräviḍandhrakinn)." These three groups denote real territorial proximity. The Cólas, Drāviḍas and Andhrakes occupied the whole of the east coast of the Dekhan; the Aggas and Vangas were near each other; hence it seems certain, the Paundras and Uḑas were neighbours also. The Paundras and Audras are placed together in the Bhisma-p. list (ix. 365). Udra, as will be reen further on, was in the extreme west of West Bengal. Again the Panndras are grouped along with the Utkalas, Mëkalas, Kalingas and Andhras
(Drōpa-p., iv. 122). The Utkalas were in Chatia Nagpur and the Orissa Tributary States. The Mēkalas were the inhabitants of the Mêkala hills, the modern Mekal hills, which bound Chattisgarh on the west and north. Kalinga has been already defined. Andhra, which is an old name of the Telingas and Telugas, comprised the eastern portion of the Nizam's Dominions and the sonthern portion of the Central Proviuces. The Paunḍras therefore were counected with the races situated west and south-west of Bengal proper.

The grouping of the Pundras was markedly different. In the first .passage cited (Sabhā-p., xiii. 584), they are linked with Vangas and Kirātas. Kirāta was the general name of all the tribes of Mongolian affinity which inhabited the hilly regions from the Panjab to Assam and Chittagong, as will be shewn further on. The Pundras are grouped with the Aggas and Varggas in Sabhā-p., iv. 119 cited above. There are other passages which yield no definite information, such as Ādi-p., cxiii. 4153 ; Sabhā-p., li. 1872-4, and Āçvamëdh.-p., lxxxii. 2435-65; but the inference appears to be that the Pundras occupied some intermediate position between the Aygas and Vaygas and the Himālayas.

From these conclusions we may proceed to consider the description of Bhima's Eastern expedition (Sabhā-p., xxix. 1094-1100) which has been quoted above in relation to Agga. As already remarked, it appears unusually clear and natural. Beyond the Monghyr and Bhägalpur districts reigned two kings who are spoken of together in the dual number as if closely connected. One is called Vāsudēva the mighty king of Puṇdra, but Vāsudēva is generally spoken of as the king of Paundra, see for instance, besides the passages cited already, Sabhā-p., xxxiii. 1270, and Hari-V., cxvii. 6580-84, and 6606-8. The other king is not named, but Kauçiki-kaucha where he reigned means the land bordering on the R. Kauçiki, that is on the east side, because Ayga lay on the west side; and stretching east of that river is a high tract of stiff red clay known as the Barind. This agrees with the position which has been already indicated for Puṇdra. Hence it seems unmistakable that the joint kings of Puṇdra and Pauṇ̣ra are meant. Vā̀isudēva was properly king of Paundra, but being the chief is spoken of as lord both of Puṇdra and Paundra, and the other would have been king of Puṇdra proper. Beyond these kings lay Vanga, says the passage.

From all these results it follows that Paundra mast have lain on the south side of the Ganges, and Pundra on the north side, between Anga and Vanga. Paundra must have comprised the modern districts of the Santāl Parganas and Birbhūm and the north portion of the Hazāribāgh district; and Puṇḍra the district of Māldah, the portion of Purnia east of the R. Kosi, and part of Dinājpur and Rājshāhi.
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From their name, the Pauṇ̣ras were presumably an offshoot of the Puṇdras; hence it would seem probable that, after the Puṇdras established themselves in the above-mentioned region north of the Ganges, a branch of them must have crossed that river, pushed their way into the hilly tract of the Santāl Parganas and its outskirts, and formed a sister kingdom under the name of Panṇdras.

## Suhma and Tàmalipta.

The last of the five kindred nations was Suhma. It is mentioned last, but was well-known and was grouped oftenest with Pundra. The evidence to fix its position is clear.

In the account of Bhima's Eastern conquests (Sabhā-p., xxix) which has been often cited above, the Suhmas and Pra-suhmas are mentioned between Vidēha and Magadha (1089-91), and again Tāmra-lipta, Karvaţa, Suhma and the sea-coast are named in close succession after Vanga (1097-99). In the account of Ragha's conquests it is said" marching east and subduing various countries, Raghu reached the neighbourhood of the sea which was dark with forests of tallier palms (tālī-vana), and the Suhmas submitted to him" (Raghu-V., iv. 34-35). Lnstly it is stated plainly in the Daça-kumāra-carita that Dāmalipta is a city among the Suhmas (Story of Mitra-gupta).

Dāmalipta is the same as Tāmaliptā. The Tāmaliptas were a well-known people and are often mentioned. This name is written in varions ways, viz., Tāmra-lipta, T'āmra-liptaka, Tamōlipti, Tamālikā, and Tamālini. Prof. Sir M. Monier-Williams treats Tāma-lipta (q. v.) as the proper form of the name, and the others as variations, which seemingly sotught to read meanings into it. Tāmra-lipta is, I believe, the form 'most commonly met with in Sanskrit writings. Their capital was called Tāmra-liptā, 'rāmra-liptī, or Tāmra-liptikā, and this name has been corrupted into the modern Tamlak, which is a well-known town near the mouth of the R. Rūpnārāyan in Midnapur.

From these data it appears that Suhma must have comprised the modern districts of Hooghly, Howrah, Bankura and Bardhwan, and the eastern portion of Midnapur. The first of the above allusions to the Submas and Pra-suhmas seems to be an error, for there do not appear to be any otber references to such people close to Vidēha and Magadha. Perhaps the reading should be Çōpas and Pra-çōnas, which would mean people living near the river Çōna, the modern Sone; and this suggestion may be compared with the name Çānavatyas which occurs along with Angas, Vajgas, Puṇdras and Gayas (Sabhā-p., li. 1872), and which seems to be a mistake for Çoparatyas, as it is not in the dictionary and I have not met with it elsewhere.

According to the passage cited from the Daça-kumāra-carita, Tāmalipta or Tāmra-lipta was part of the Suhma territory, but Tāmra-lipta is frequently alluded to as if it were a country by itself, e.g., Adi-p., clxxxvi. 6993 ; Sabhā-p., li. 1874; Bhį̣̣ma-p., ix. 364; Drọ̄qa-p., lxx. 2436 and Karna-p., xxii. 863. It would have comprised the modern district of Howrah and the eastern part of Midnapur. In fact Tāmra-lipta appears to occur oftener than Suhma, and this is perhaps because the town Tāmra-liptā was a famous sea-port, especially during the centúries of Buddhist activity.

Another name, which was equivalent to or was included within Tümalipta, is Välä-kūla, " the stream-bank," or better perhaps, "the sea-shore." It is said to be the same as the modern town Birkul, which is on the coast in the extreme sonth of the Midnapur district.

## Upra or Opra.

The Udras have been mentioned above in conjunction with the Pangdras; otherwise they are, I believe, rarely alluded to in Sanskrit writings. They are also called Ơdras (Sabliā-p., l. 1843), and Auḍrns (Bhisma-p., ix. 365), and they are also presumably the Uḑhras of Bhisqma-p., 1. 2084, and the Audrns of Açvamedh.-p., 1xxxiii. 2476-7. They have given their name to modern Orissa, i.e., Odra-dëça, and Lassen places them in his map more or less conterminous with Orissa, but this cannot have been their position, because it has been shewn that Kalinga comprised all Orissa except the narrow northern part of the Balasore district, and becanse the Udras play a very insignificant part in the early accounts of Eastern India, quite incompatible with the sapposition that they inhabited the fine extensive plain of Orissa; aud also because Orissa has not always meant what it denotes now.

The Uriya or Odiya language is spoken throughout Orissa and the Ganjam district, in the northern part of the Vizagapatam district and along the south-eastern limits of Chattisgarh (Maltby's Uriya Grammar, Preface)-a peculiarly curved area. A territory of sach size and sach a shape could hardly have been the ancient home of any tribe, mach less of so small a tribe as the Udras appear to have been. The allasions to Kalinga leave no doubt abont its position, and it will be seen that the Utkalas, who were more important in old times than the Udras, probably occupied the northern part of the Balasore district; hence it seems inpossible the Udras can have inhabited any part of Orissa. In the last centary Orissa incladed the tract of country between the rivers Rūpnärāyan and Subarna-rēkhā, which flow through the Midnapar district (see Bengal Administration Report, 1872-73, p. 40); that tract is now part of the Midnapur district and is considered part of Bengal proper.

These considerations give, I think, an indication where the Udra territory was in ancient times. The eastern part of Midnapur belonged to Tamalipta and Suhma, hence there remains only the western part of that district which no other nation appears to have occupied; and if to this be added the modern district of Mānbhūm, the eastern part of Siughbleũ, and perhaps the southern portion of Bankura, a well-defined tract is obtained, which no other tribe appears to have owned and which bordered on Pauṇ̣ra. I would suggest that this must háve been Uḍra in ancient times.

If this be a reasonable inference, it discloses how an insignificant early tribe developed and spread during tho confusion which prevailed in the dark times of medieval Indian history. I would suggest that the Uḍras must have pushed southward, over-ran the whole of Orissa and Ganjam, and driven the Kalingas downward into the Vizagapatam district, till their further course was checked by the Dravidian powers on the south; then they must have turned westward and forced their way round into the southern portion of Chattisgarh.

## Prãg-Jyōtiṣa.

Prāg-jyōtiṣa was a famous kingdom in ancient times and is often mentioned in the Mabā-Bhārata. The references to it are however rather perplexing, for in some passages it is styled a Mlēccha kingdom, in others a Dānava or Asura kingdom, and in others again the allusions seem mixed. These passages may be taken in order.

Präg-jyōtiṣa is placed in the North region (Vana-p., ccliii. 15240-42), but was also considered to be in the Eastern region (Märkaṇ̣. Pur., lvii. 44). In the account of Arjuna's conquests it is placed in North India and his course is described thus. After conquering all the kings who dwelt in Çākala-dvipa and in the seven dvipas, Arjuna advanced to Präg-jyōtiṣa. Bhaga-datta was the great king there, and Arjona had a great comflict with him. The Präg-jyotisa king was surrounded with Kirätas and Cinas and many other soldiers who dwelt in the marshy regions near the sea, and after a battle lasting eight days submitted amicably to Arjana. From there Arjuna marched to the North region governed by Kuvēra and conquered Antar-giri and Vahirgiri and Upa-giri (Sabhā-p., xxv. 999-1012). Similarly in the description of Karna's conquests it is said he conquered Bhaga-datta and ascended Himavat (Vana-p., ccliii. 15241).

The three names Upa-giri, Antar-giri and Vahir-giri in this place can only denote different tracts in the Himālayas, viz., the southern slopes, the middle valleys and the further region on the north respectively. Blaga-datta is called Çailālaya, "dwelling among the mountains" (Stri-p., xxiii. 644). It is stated in the foregoing passage and
again in Udyōga-p., xviii. 584-5, that his army was composed of Kirätas and Cinas. The Kirātas, as will be explained, were the Himalayan tribes of Mongolian affinity, and the Cinas were the Chinese; as they formed his army, they were his close neighbours. Hence it is clear that Präg-jyōtiga lay in the north-east of India and tonched the Himā: layas.

But as stated in the above passage from Sabliā-p., Bhaga-datta drew part of his troops also from the people who dwelt in the marshy regions near the seia, sāgarānūpa. (see also Karna-p., v. 104-5); ahd when he attended Yudhişthira's räja-sūya sacrifice, he was accompanied by all the Mlecchas who lived in the marslyy regions near the sea (Sabhā-p., xxxiii. 1268-9). This word can only mean the low alluvial tracts and islands east of Vagga, around the month of the R. Brahmaputra. That tract was peopled by Mlēcchas as mentioned in the description of Bhima's Eastern conquests quoted above. It is also stated definitely that Bhaga-datta dwelt at the Eastern Ocean (Udyōga-p., iii. 74). The Brahma-putra till last century flowed round the south side of the Garo hills, and then sonthward through the districts of Maimansingh and Dacca (Major Rennell's Atlas, 1781). Presumably therefore Prāg-jyōtisa stretched southward along both sides of that river as far as the delta, or even perhaps as far as the sea itself.

Lassen places Prāg-jyōtiṣa north of the R. Lōhita or Brahma-putra in Bhatan, bat this position does not satisfy the conditions already. mentioned. It is implied in the Raghu-Vamęa that Präg-jỹtişa lay cast of that river, for, when Ragha retarning from Himavat crossed the river, the Präg-jyōtisa king trembled (iv. 81); but I venture to think that Kālidāsa cannot have been entirely right in this allusion to distant geography, because if he is right the whole of North Bengal must be assigned to Punḍra, there being no other people of note mentioned who could have occupied all that territory. Paṇdra, however, hardly plays as important a part in the ancient stories as the possession of so rich and extensive a kingdom would have warranted, and Prägjyñtisa on the other hand would be relegated to hilly tracts of small fertility east of the old course of the Brahma-putra, whereas the allusions indicate that Bhaga-datta was a wealthy and powerful monarch. But see page 112.

Bhaga-datte is called a "warrior-king" and " the mighty king of the Mlēcchas" (Sabhā-p., l. 1834), and is seldom mentioned without some complimentary epithet. He alone of the northern kings is allowed to have maintained a long and equal contest with Arjuna (Udyōga-p., clxvi. 5805-6). He is dignified with the title "Giva's friend," and esteemed " not inferior to Çakra in battle" (Sabhā-p., xxv. 1005 and see

Karna-p., v. 104). He is also called specially "the friend of Pāndu" (ibid., 1008), and is referred to in terms of respect and kindliness by Krṣñ when addressing Yudhisthira-" Bhaga-datta is thy father's aged friend; he was noted for his deference to thy father in word and deed, and he is mentally bound by affection and devoted to thee like a fathor" (il., xiii. 579-80). His Cina and Kirāta troops glittered as with goldtasya cïnaih kirātai¢ ca kāñcanair iva savivrtam babhau bulam-unless the allusion be to their yellow complexions (Udyōga-p., xviii. 584-5).

For these reasons it seems that Prāg-jyōtiạa must have comprised the country along both sides of the Bralima-patra from the Himãlayns down to Tippera, that is the modern districts of Jalpaigori, Cooch Behar, Goalpara, Rangpar, Bogra, Maimansingh, Dacca, Tippera, and part of Pabna and also probably part of the east of Nepāl. Strictly speaking, the southern portion of Prāg-jyötiṣa as thus defined would have fallen within the Eastern region and would have included Lauhitya mentioned in the deseription of Bhima's conquests there quoted above, that is, the country beside the R. Lobita or Brahma-putra; but if Laulitya was only a portion of the Präg-jyōtisa realm, and the strength of that realm lay, as it appears it did, in the north, it was natural to treat Prāg-jyōtiṣa as situated in the Northern region.

The region assigned to Prāg-jyōtiṣa bordered on forests and hills where elephants still abound, and where a hardy race of ponies still exists; and the allusions to it notice this. Thus Blaga-datta is described as "the best wielder of the elephant-hook" among the kings assembled on the Pauravas' side in the Great War, and as " skilful with the chariot" (Udyogr-p., clxvi. 5804) ; and it would seem from this that in ancient days kings did not consider it unbecoming to excel in the manége of elephants. He gave as presents to Yudhiş̧̣hira " horses of noble breed, swift as the wind, an iron vessel, and swords with fine ivory hilts" (Sabhā-p., l. 18:35-6). The description of the horses is, of course, exaggerated according to our ideas, for the best horses in ancient India could not well have exceeded what we should call galloways, and the majority of them could not have been more than ponies.

No name is given to the capital in this class of passages but it is called Prāg-jyōtiṣa in the other classes. The people were Mlëcchas as indicated in some of the foregoing quotations; and this word, no doubt means here people of Mongolian affinity.

The second and third classes of passages occur, I believe, only in connexion with $\mathrm{K}_{\text {renna }}$ and relate to an attack which he is said to have made on Prāg-jyōtisa. In the second class may be quoted first a part of Krṣna's denunciation of Çiçu-pāla-"Çiçu-pāla, knowing that we had gone to the Prāg-iyōtiẹa city, set fire to Drārak̄̄" (Sabhā-p., xlvii,

- 1567). This almost belongs to the first class, but the iden is developed in a speech by Arjuna in praise of Krsp̣a-" Ihou didst destroy Mara's fetters (naurava pāça) and slay Nisunda and Naraka; thon didst render the path to the Prāg-jyōtiṣa city safe again" (Vana-p., xii. 488). Here we see the country Prāg-jyōtiṣa described in the first class of passages disappears and becomes a city, Krṣna becomes a hero and conqueror, and the suggestion comes in that the people were demons.

This suggestion is fully developed in the third class of passages, and the laudation of Krena grows falsome and hyperbolical as addressed to a divine personage. Thus Arjnna praising him says-There was a very strong fortress-city called Prāg-jyōtişa belonging to the Asuras; and Bhauma Naraka carried off Aditi's two jewelled ear-rings there; the gods could not cope with him, and therefore invoked Krspna's help to slay the Dasyus; Krṣna severed six thousand fetters ( $p \bar{a} \bar{c}_{\varsigma} a$ ), slew Bhauma Naraka and Mura, and recovered the ear-rings (Udyōga-p., xlvii. 1887-92). Vięnu prophesying about his incarnations saysWhile dwelling at Drārakā as Krṣ̣̣a, I shall slay Naraka Bhauma, who did wrong to Aditi, and also Maru and Piţha the Dānava, and destroy the charming Präg-jyōtişa city filled with all kinds of wealth (Çānti-p., cccxli. 12954-6). Vidura says-Naraka and the Dānavas tried to seize K rị!̣a, when he went to Präg-jyōtisa, and failed (Udyōga-p., cxxix. 4408-9).

This class of allusions occurs especially in the Hari-Vamiça. It - is said there-Krø̣a went to the Prāg-jyōtişa city and killed the Dānava Naraka in the sea, samudra-madhye (clxxiv. 9790). Närada speaking of Krenna's exploits says - The two Dānavas Mnya nnd Tāra delighted in Bhauma Naraka's city Prāg-jyōtiṣn (1v. 3116-7). It is said Naraka Bhauma, king of the Dānavas, seized Traṣtr's daughter Kaçōru; he was king of Prāg-jyōtiṣa; he made a fine city Maṇiparvata for the Gnndharva maidens and Apsarases, whom he carried off, upon the Alakā towards his own country of Mura-Alakāyām muroh sva-visayam prati (cxxi. 6791-6801). The Alak $\bar{a}$ seems to be the river Alaka-nanda, one of the eastern sources of the. Ganges, bat Maru seems to be generally the name of a Dȧnava prince. The climax of marvel is perhaps reached in the continuation of that story in Hari-V., cxxi to cxxiii, where Kiṣa's exploits are described at great length how at Indra's request he rode on Garuda from Dvārakā to the Prāgjyōtişa city, how he fought in a superhuman battle with Naraka's four wardens (dvära.päla), with the hosts of Daityas, Dānavas and Rākşasas and finally with Naraka, and slew them all, how he captured the city with its fabulous riches, and how the Earth gave up Aditi's ear-rings which Naraka had carried off. It was through this great victory that Krı̣̣a gained his wonderful bow Çārıga (Udyōga-p., clrii. 5353-8).

To the same class of passages belongs the allusion in a doubtful verse of the Rāmāyana, where Prīg-jyōtiṣa is mistakenly placed in the Western region-"A city made of gold called Prāg-jyōtiṣa is there ; in it dwells the evil-souled Dānava called Naraka" (Gorresio's Edition, Annotations to Kiṣk-k., xliii).

The difference which has taken place in the aspect of Prāg-jyōtisa from the first to the last of these passages is most striking. We have no longer a prosperous Mlëccha kingdom of barbaric splendour, but a nation of Asuras and Dānavas; no longer the noble and imposing figure of the warrior-king Bhaga-datta, the ally and friend of the Kuras, and the friend of Çiva, but malignant demon-kings Naraka and Mura, who were the foes of the gods and with whom the divine hero Kraṇa could have nothing but internecine war. There can be, no doubt, that this change marks very clearly the development of the Krẹne legend, from his rise as a new chieftain of great vigour and capacity to his deification as an incarnation of Vig̣nu.

There is only one other passage of importance that I am aware of, viz., Rāmāy., Ādi-k., xxxp. 1-9, which has been quoted above in connexion with Magadha. It says Prāg-jyōtiṣa was founded by Amūrtarajas, a son of a great king Kuça, who was apparently an Arya king in Madhya-dēça. It does not agree with any allusion that I have found, and can hardly be reconciled with auy of the passages already discussed.

A few words may be added about Kāma-rūpa, which is the modern district of Kamrup or Gauhaţi in Assam. The Kāma-rūpas were not an ancient nation, for their name does not occur, as far as I am aware, in the Mahā-Bhārata or Rāmāyaṇa or any early Sanskrit work; in fact tbey are rarely mentioned. They seem therefore to have sprung up only in medieval times, and to have developed as Prāg-jyठtiṣa had dwindled into a small state. Thas they are mentioned in the RaghuVaméa (iv. 83-84), where it is said Raghu conquered them after the Präg-jyōtisas, so that they were distinct from the latter. In ancient times their territory was probably included within Prāg-jyōtiṣa.

## The Kiràtas.

The word Kirāta is, no doubt, the same as the modern names Kirāti and Kirānti, which mean " a native of the Kirānt-des or mountainous country lying between the Dud-Kosi and the Karki rivers in Nepal. The term includes the Khambu, Limbu and Yākhā tribes; and the Danuār, Hayu and Thāmi also claim to be Kirānti;" but their claim is disputed by the first three tribes which are superior (Risley's Castes and Tribes of Bengal, I, 490). But formerly they had a much larger
range, or their name was used in a comprehensive way, for it was applied to tribes inhabiting the Himālaya range and its soothern slopes from the Panjab to Assam and Chittagoug.

Arjuna in the course of his conquests in the Northern region enconntered Kirātas in the army of Bhaga-datta king of Präg-jyōtiṣa (Sabhā-p., zxv. 1002; and see other passages cited below). Bhima, after vanquishing Vidēha in his Eastern expedition, proceeded from there against the Kirātas (id., xxix. 1089) ; and they were even further to the east (id., xiii. 584). They are spoken of as dwelling in the marshy regions near the sea (sägarānūpa-väsin) under Bhaga-datta's rule (Karua-p., v. 104-5), that is, as explained in discussing Präg-jyōtiga, in the low lands around the mouth of the Brahma-putra, so that they occupied all the eastern bounds of Bengal. Moreover Nakula in his Western expedition is said in general terms to have encountered Kirätas along with Pahlavas (Persians), Barbaras, Yavanas (Greeks), and Çakas (Scythians), (Sabhā-p., xxxi. 1199); and they are classed with those and other north-western nations (Çānti-p., lxv. 2429, and cevii. 7560 ; and Vana-p., li. 1990).

The Kirātas formed a series of allied yet distinct tribes or clans, for the Kirätas are mentioned twice in the Bhispma-P. list (ix. 358 and 364), and again "all the Kirätas" are mentioned (ibid., 376) ; and it is almost impossible that tribes so wide-spread could have been homogeneons. They were also intermixed with similar bill tribes called Tanganas and Pulindas, and all appear to have lived togather amicably (Vana-p., cxl. 10863-6). They dwelt in the fastnesses of Himavat (Drōna-p., iv. 121 ; and Ragha.Vamça, iv. 76), and their chief territory was among the ranges of Kailāsa, Mandara and Haima, that is, the region around lake Mānasa, the modorn Manasarowar lakes (Anuçās.-p., xix. 1434 ; Vana-p., cxxxix. and cxl). It was there that the great king Su-bāhu resided, who is called king of the Pulindas (ibid.) and also king of the Kirātas (Vana-p., clxxvii. 12349).

In that region they formed a settled kingdom and had a city (ibid. 12350). Eastwards they were also organized into chieftainships, for Blima conquered seven kings of the Kirātas on the confines of Vidēha (Sabhā-p., xxix. 1089). But the various tribes differed much in material condition, for some were civilized and open to friendly intercourse (Vana-p., cxl. 10865-6; and Udyöga-p., lxiii. 2470), and others were clad in skins, lived ou fruit and roots and were cruel (Sabhā-p., li. 1865). Their women were used as slaves, for large numbers of Kirāta slave-girls are said to have been presented to Yudhiṣthira (ibid. 1867). The Rāmāyaṇa describes the Kirātas as wearing thick top-knots (Kiąk.-k., xl. 30). They were looked upon with comprehensive disap-
J. 1. 15
proval, for they are called wicked and are said to have followed evil customs (Çānti-p., ccvii. 7560-61). Manu declares they were kspattriyas and became degraded becanse of the extinction of sacred rites and the absence of brahmans ( $x .43$ and 44) ; bat this appears to be the opinion of a rather late age, for there does not seem to be any indication that the Kirātas ever set much store by those privileges.

Considering their position and their affinities, it seems clear the Kiratas were tribes of the Mongolian family.

## Utikala.

The Utkalas were well-known, though not mentioned often in the Mahā-Bhārata. They are linked with the Mäkalas (Bhis̨ma-p., ix. 348 ; Drōṇa-p., iv. 122 ; and Rāmāy., Kiṣk.-k., xli. 14), and with the Mēkalas and Kālingas (Karṇa-p., xxii. 882). The position of Kalinga has been explained. The Mëkalas inhabited the Mekal hills in the west and north of Clanttisgarh. The Utkalas must therefore have occupied an intermediate position. From the passage quoted from the Raghu-Vameça with reference to Kalinga, it appears the Utkala territory stretched nearly as far as the R. Kapiçā or Cossye in Midnapur; that passage does not mean it reached that river, for it was only after he crossed that river that Raghu had occasion to accept the guidance of the Utkala kings. From these data and the positions assigned to Pauṇtra and Ödra, it may be inferred that Utkala comprised the hilly tracts from Balasore to Lohārdagā and Sarguja.

The Utkalas were a hill tribe of rude habits. They stood by themselves and were not considered to have any close affinities with the races around them, except probably the Mēkalas, because their origin was thrown back to the fabulous times of Ilā (Hari-V., x. 631-2) ; hence they seem to have been an aboriginal race. According to the Raghn-Vamica which speaks of the Utkala kings (loc. cit.), they must have consisted of a number of clans, each governed by its own chieftain:

Various derivations have been suggested of the name Utkala, but it is worthy of note that Utkala and Mēkala are linked together as if the two words possessed some element in common. These two tribes must apparently from their situation have been Kolarian tribes. Can it be that the termination in both names is to be identified with the word Kol?

## General Remarks.

In conclusion some conjectures may be put forward which the foregoing results tend to suggest.

The character of the four groups of nations may be summed up thus. Magadha, Vidēha and Vaiçāli seem to have been the outposts of Aryan conquest and colonization. Ayga, Vayga, Kalinga, Puṇ̣ra and Suhma with Tāmalipta and Oḍra were kindred nations, which were not of Aryan stock and were not subjugated by the Aryas, but passed under Aryan influences and became Aryanized. Prāg-jyōtişa was a nation of Mongolian extraction. Utkala was a congeries of Kolarian tribes occupying the hilly tracts where they are still found.

I venture to suggest that we have here the results of the immigration of four different races.

The Utkalas, being so-called aboriginal tribes, must of course have come into this region first; and their position among hills also suggests the same inference. They must have been driven into the hills by later invaders.

Next probably came the Aygas and their kindred nations. It seems most probable that they entered India from the Bay of Bengal, for their condition does not agree with the theory, that they were in the Ganges plain before and were driven eastward by the advancing Aryas. What happened in North America illustrates what we should expect to find, when one race invades and conquers others and takes possession of their territory on a large scale. As the pale-faces multiplied and forced their way westward, each tribe of Red Indians was broken and flung on the tribes behind it, and the tribes became involved in seething confusion. But nothing of that kind is to be perceived in the accounts of Anga and its kindred nations. On the other hand their possession of all the Ganges delta, their extension up the Ganges basin narrowing at their farthest limit, their spread along the Orissa sea-board, their occupation of the plains and their slight penetration into the hills-all these facts suggest that these nations came from the sea, settled on the seacoast and gradually carved out kingdoms inland. And if their names are not really derived from the alleged eponymons brothers but perpetuate original appellations, no doubt the termination of the names Anga, Vanga and Kalinga contains some common meaning.

Third came the invasion of the Prāg-jyōtisas. This seems the most probable order, because they did not push their way so far into India as the Angas, \&c., and because all the accounts make them out to have been a powerful nation from the earliest times, which would hardly have been their condition, if they had preceded the Aggas, \&c., and been driven back towards the hills by them. They must have descended from the Mongolian table-lands through the passes along the north-east. They held a strong position in ancient times, but gra-
dually disappeared so completely that no trace of their name, which is a singular one, seems to be discoverable. If, however, Kālidāsa is right in the passage quoted in page 105, it indicates how Prāg-jyötipa was dwindling away in his time. Pressed by the Pundras on the west, by the Vaygas on the south, by the new Käma-rūpas on the north-east, and probably by fresh Mongolian tribes on the north, the Präg-jyōtisas were forced to retreat to the east side of the Brahma-putra, into the Garo and Khasi hills and into the district of Sylhet; and it is probably in that direction that the kingdom must have perished.

Lastly came the invasion of the Aryas into Eastern India. Their conquering vigour seems to have spent itself by the time they subdned Videha and Magadha, for they had already passed through many generations in the plains of North India, and the enervating climate and easy conditions of life had surely, if slowly, modified the constitution which their ancestors had acquired in colder and hardier climes.

The Gauhati Oopper-plate Grant of Indrapala of Praggyötiga in $\bar{A}_{s}$ am. ${ }^{\text {L-By }}$ Dr. A. F. Rudolf Hoernle.
(With Plates III-V.)
This grant was given to me by Mr. E. A. Gait, C.S., in September 1893. It is the property of a Mandal (patwäri) named Dhairjyanāth, and was found some thirty years ago by that man's relation Tanuram Dēkā (deceased), while breaking up for cultivation a piece of high land in the village Bar Pānāreß, Manza Pāti Darrang, District Kāmrūp.

The grant consists of three copper-plates, which are joined together by a massive, pear-shaped ring. The ring passes throngh circular holes, in the middle of the narrower side of the plates; and attached to it is the king's seal.

Fach plate measures $9 \frac{7}{8}$ by 6 inches. They were originally about $\frac{1}{8}$ of an inch thick, bat they (especially the third) are now worn quite thin along their margins. The obverse of the first plate and reverse of the last plate are blank. The inscription, accordingly, covers only four sides of the plates. The first inscribed side bears 14 lines, the second and third, 15 lines each, and the fourth side has only 9 lines. The letters are as a rule $\frac{1}{4}$ of an inch long. They are clearly cut, though not very deep, and are very fairly legible, except just along the margins, where the metal is very much worn.

The seal is heart-shaped, measuring $4 \frac{1}{4}$ by 3 inches. Its area is divided into two parts, by a ledge running across it, about $\frac{1}{4}$ of an inch high. In the triangular space, above the ledge, is placed the figure of an elephant, showing in very high relief every line and feature of its great bulk en face. The figure measures from the back ground to the edge of the frontal bone three-quarters of an inch. In the semi-circular compartment, below the ledge, is the inscription of the king's name, in letters of a size slightly larger than in the grant. Round the edge of the seal runs a raised rim, nearly one inch in height, very little

[^13]ligher than the figure of the elephant, which is protected thereby. The whole looks just like a heart-shaped box, without a lid.

The language of the grant is Sanskrit. The formal part of the grant, describing the locality and its perquisites and boundaries, is in prose, vis., lines $3-11$ on the reverse of the second plate, and lines 1-9 on the obverse of the third plate. The remainder, detailing the genealogy of the donor and of the donee, is in verse.

The execution of the inscription is very slovenly and inaccurate. Not unfrequently one or two aksaras are omitted e.g., $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{l}^{2}$ kiva for kitava, $\mathrm{I}, 4 b$ anta for ananta, $\mathrm{I}, 11 \mathrm{~b}$ sajjair for sasujjair, $\mathrm{I}, 10 \mathrm{~b}$ bhäri for bharahari, and elsewhere. Sometimes single letters are omitted. e.g., I,10b lakṣmäh for lakṣmyăh, II, $3 a \operatorname{samvadhä~for~sambaddhä,~II,5a~}$ savea for sarven, and elsewhere. Once an akşara is repeated, vis., tō, in I,14b and II, $1 a$; similarly there is a dnplicate $u$ in III, $8 a$ and III, $9 a$; and there is a superfluons $y$ in vannyatye in II, $3 a$, and a superfloous $r$ in dhūmrair for dhūmair in II,5a. Many more such inaccuracies of the scribe or the engraver are noted in the footnotes to the transliteration. Curiosities are abhavata for abhavat in II, 9a, kalatravänä̀̀ for kalatràvän II, $9 a$ and II,10a, avan for abhavan or rather for $a b h u \bar{n}, ~ I I, 1 b$, paksasfa for paksastha in II, $6 b$, rakarasa for sakarana in II,7b (see footnote 11 to the translation), vaksena for vakrēna in III,3a, and the obscure, and probably blundered, daksi patfar in III, $8 a$ (see footnote 18 to the translation). Other anomalies of spelling may be due to provincial usage. Such are the occasional confusion of sibilants, ${ }^{8}$ as in amusya for amusya in II,la, esā for essa in II, 15b, käsi for $k a ̈ s i ~ i n ~ I I I, 5 a, ~ a t i d i s y a t e ~ f o r ~ a t i d i g y a t e ~ i n ~ I I, ~ 3 a, ~, ~, ~$ and elsewhere; also the ligature of $m$ with $v$, instead of anusvāra with $v$, or $m$ with $b$; e.g., amvu for $a m b u$ in II, $5 a$, prabhrtinām= vinivärita for prabhrtinä̀ vinivärita in II,11b, and elsewhere; and the confusion of $v$ and $b$ as in Vrahma for Brahma in I, $6 b, \mathrm{II}, 1 a$ and vabhūva for babhūva in II, 11a, and of $n$ for $n$, as in taranginiñāin for taraygininā̀i in II,14a. Similarly due to provincial usage are occasional prakriticisms or vernacularisms, as virijja for viryya in I,14b, jaçās for yaçăs in II,la, Prägjyötisa for Prägjyōtiṣa in II, $3 b$; perhaps also àyacarasya for aryäcārasya in 1I,13b, and the omission of final $t$ in $\bar{a}_{s i}$ for $a_{s i t}$ in $I I, 2 b$, and of final visarga before an initial $p$ and $s$, as in khafväjga for khafväggah in $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{lb}$, Rati for Ratik in II, 10a, and elsewhere.

[^14]From the palæographic point of view the following particulars are worth noting. The $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ (gattural nasal) is formed with a ringlet, which is usaally placed ou the line (as in khatvägga I, 16 , Gayga $\mathrm{I}, 2 b$, brahmäyga I,6b, bhujayga I,6b, payka I,7b, atitarajgini II,14a, tuyge II,1b), bat twice it appears above the line, resembling the anusvāra (viz., in payka $\mathrm{I}, 5 b$, and ajkura $\mathrm{I}, 8 b$ ). It is not attached to the body of the letter, as is now the case in the modern Bengall e.

An $r$ preceding a consonant is formed throughout above the line.
A final consonant is indicated either by the usual sign of the virama, or a special modified form of the letter is used. Thas we have the virama with final $t$ in asit $I, 5 b$, and abhavat II, $2 a$, and with final $n$ in yasmin II, 12a. In these cases the virama-stroke is placed a little to the left of the foot of the consonant, and detached from it, the letter itself being of the usual size. More frequent, however, is the use of a special form for final $t, n$ and $m$; viz., for $t$ it is the sign $\eta$, made of somewhat smaller size than the surrounding letters; for $n$ it is the aign $\boldsymbol{S}^{\prime}$, and for $m$ the sign $\xi$, both made of the same size as the surroundıng letters. Thus $t$ in äsīt II,11b; $n$ in valīyän I,13b, janapadän П, $7 b$, prabhrtīn II, $8 b$, and sarvvan II, $8 b$; $m$ in mudräm I,7b, sambhavām II, $9 a$, päram II, $15 a$, bhavatàm II, $9 b$ and iyam II, $9 b$. It is worthy of note, that all these special final letters also occur in the Badal pillar inscription of the time of Närāyapa Pāla, an excellent facsimile of which has been published by Professor Kielhorn in the Epigraphia Indica, Vol. II, p. 160. Thus the same final $t$ occurs there in kiñcit, line 24 , the final $n$ in grimän, 1.8 and 12 , sampitän and nidhin, l. 13, and final $m$ in amalam 1. 28. The final $m$ appears to have been suspected by Professor Kielhorn, as he has enclosed it in brackets, bat it is quite correct. A slightly different final form of $t$ is more frequent, and occars in vidhivat, 1. 11, abhavat, 1. 17, avadat, 1. 21, vyavrnöt, 1. 25 , and asmat, 1. 28. The origin of the curious form of the final $n$ is in this wise: the virama was attached to the middle of the right hand side of the perpendicular stroke of $n$, as clearly seen in the Badā plate grimann, l. 8, 12; next the head-loop was added, as in our plate, to enable the whole letter to be drawn by one stroke of the pen. The latter, therefore, is a more cursive form.

The anuswära is formed by a dot or ringlet, which is either placed above the line (as usually), or on the line. The latter is seen in san-
 oanisca III,4a.

The sign of avagraha occurs four times; viz., in 'tipavitō II,11b, 'çesha II, $14 b$, 'sfame II, $15 b$, also in III, la after kulam where it is out
of place, and where it may have been intended for the special sign of the final $m$ which it resembles.

The grant is not dated; but an estimate of its age may be made from its paleoographic characters. It presents a good example of the North-Eastern Nägari, at a time shortly preceding the establishment of the modern Bangāli. For the determination of the evolution of the latter, the $\eta$ and $r$ are specially serviceable as test letters. In Bangài the forms of $r$ and $v$ are practically ideutical ; and, as above noticed, the ringlet which forms part of $\eta$, is there attached to the body of the letter. The transition period from North-Eastern Nāgari to Bangāli may be fixed as about $1050-1200$ A. D. Two inscriptions of this transitional period are the Dōopāra Stone Inscription of the Bengal king Vijaya Sena, about 1180-1190 A. D., and the copper-plate grant of Vaidya Dēva, king of Kāmarūpa, about 1142 A. D. Both inscriptions show the characteristic form of $r(=v a)$; and the Deopara inscription is the first to show the nasal $\eta$ with ringlet attached to the body of the letter.4 In our grant, the form of $r$ is still the old one; and the position of the ringlet, as a mark of $\eta$, is still quite unsettled. Altogether the appearance of the writing in it is much older; and it may, therefore, with some probability, be referred to about the middle of the 11 th century A. D. (say, 1050 A. D.). This conclusion is confirmed by a comparison of the initial forms of the vowel $i$. In our grant it is made by a circumflex surmounting two ringlets placed side by side (thus $\tilde{0} 0$ ) ; while in the inscriptions of Vaidya Déva and Vijaya Sēna the circumflex is far more complicated.

In connexion with this, I may mention, that I have in my hands a copper-plate grant of Ratnapāla, lately sent to me by Mr. Gait. ${ }^{6}$ Ratuapāla, as will be noticed presently, was the grandfather of the Indrapāla of the Gauhați grant. He appears to have had a rather long reign; he outlived his son Purandarapāla, and was succeeded by his grandson Indrapāla. His plate may be placed about 50 years earlier. In conformity herewith, the palæographic characters of his grant are decidedly older than those of the Indrapāla grant. Thus the consonant $k h$ which, on the later grant, has practically the same form as in the modern Bangāli, shows iu the Ratnapāla grant the older post-gupta form. Further the nasal $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is formed without any ringlet, according to the older fashion.

The present grant professes to be one of Indrapāla, king of Prägjyঠtisa. His father is said to have been Purandarapāla, his grandfather

[^15]Ratnapāla, and his great-grandfather Brahmapāla. Purandarapăla, however, appears to have died during the reign of Ratnapāla, the latter being succeeded directly by his grandson Indrapāla. This is quite clear from the recital in the actual grant (see 2nd plate, reverse, lines 4 and 5) ; but the circumstance would seem to have been fully explained in the 17 th verse which unfortunately is mutilated beyond restoration. The death of the father (Purandarapala) and the transmission of the throne to the grandchild (Indrapāla) is, however, indicated in the existing remains of the verse.

Beyond Brahmapala the ancestry is carried, in direct line, though after an undefined interval, through Vajradatta and Bhagadatta to Naraka, who would seem (in verse 6) to be indicated as the founder of the town of Prägjyotisa. Naraka is said to have been the son of the god Hari, by the goddess Earth. ${ }^{6}$

The total ancestry, accordingly stands thas :-
1, Hari.

- 2, Naraka, founder of Prāgjyōtişa, son of No. 1.

3, Bhagadatta, son of No. 2.
4, Vajradatta, son of No. 3.
5, Undefined interval.
6, Brahmapāla of the line of No. 4.
7, Ratnapāla, son of No. 6 .
8, Purandarapāla, son of No. 7 (died as prince).
9, Indrapāla, son of No. 8 (succeeded his grandfather Ratnapāla).
The first two names on this list are those of well-known mythical personages. The third and fourth may have some claim to be regarded as having a historical existence. Vajradatta is said to have belonged ( $\mathbf{v} .8$ ) to the Kaumra dynasty. No dynasty of this name is otherwise known. Mr. E. A: Gait, who is the best authority on old Asām history, writes to me:
"I do not know anything about the Kaumra dynasty, mentioned in verse 8. The name does not ocour in any Buranji, Pathi, or tradition with which I am soquainted. Might not the reading be Kaumdra? The ruler of the country when Hinen Triang visited it, was Kumära Bhäskara Varma."

The reading is certainly Kaumra, not Kaumära, though as the grant is fall of errors of spelling, it is not impossible that the correct name should be Kaumara. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Mr}$. Gait's anggestion has a certain plansibility. The date of Hinen Tsiang's visit is 640 A.D. The date of our grant is about the middle of the 11 th century (c. 1050 A.D.), and,
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accordingly, that of Brahmapala about 1000 A.D. There is thus an interval of about 360 years between Hinen Taiang and Brahmapala; and it may have been somewhat longer. As will be shown presently, between Vajradatta and Brahmapāla there were twenty-one kings. At the rate of 20 years for a reign, these kings would take up 420 years, or at the rate of 15 years, 315 years. On the supposition, therefore, that the "Kaumra" line took its name from Kumāra Bhākara of Hinen Tsiang's time, it seems quite possible to accommodate Vajradatta, who is said to have been of the Kaumra line, together with his twentyone successors in the interval between Hinen Tsiang and Brahmapāla.

But there is another interesting point in our genealogy, vis., a curious discrepancy.

The usual Āsām tradition is that Bhagadatta and Vajradatta were brothers, both being the sons of Naraka, Bhagadatta apparently being the elder of the two, and he it was that succeeded his father on the throne of Prägjyotişa. See Mr. Gait's paper on the Koch Kings of Kämarūpa, in this Journal, Vol. LXII, p. 271. This account is borne out by the Tējpur copper-plate grant of Vanamāla pablished in this Journal, Vol. IX, p. 766. According to it the genealogy rans thus:

1, Hari, the God.
2, Naraka, founder of Prāgjyotiṣa, son of No. 1.
3, Bhagadatta and Vajradatta, sons of No. 2.
4, Undefined number of kings of the line of Bhagadatta.
5 , Sālastambha, of a new line of an undefined number of kings, ending with Çri-Harişa.
6, Pralambha, said to be again of the line of Bhagadatta.
7, Harjara, son of No. 6.
8, Vauamāla, son of No. 7.
On the other hand, the Gauhati grant makes Vajradatta to be the son of Bhagadatta; and in this it is in agreament with the Nowgong grant of Balavarman. ${ }^{8}$ The genealogy, as given in the latter, runs as follows:-

1, Hari (called Upēndra).
2, Naraka, founder of Prägjyötisa, son of No. 1.
3, Bhagadatta; son of No. 2.
4, Vajradatta, son of No. 3.
5, Undefined number of kings of his line.
6, Sālastambha, of a new line.
7, Palaka; Vijaya and others, descendants of No. 6, occupying an undefined interval.
${ }^{8}$ This is another Asim copper-plate grant, brought to light by Mr. Gait, which will be pablished by me in a subwequent paper.

8, Harjara, of a new line.
9, Vanamāla, son of No. 8.
10, Jayamāla, son of No. 9.
11, Virabähu, son of No. 10.
12, Balavarman, son of No. 11.
With No. 8, Harjara, a new dynasty commences: but here the Nowgong and Tejpar grants differ, the latter commencing this new dynasty with Harjara's father, Pralambha, whom the Nowgong grant ignores. Moreover the Tejpur grant seems distinctly to make Pralambha to belong to the (old, apparently restored) line of Bhagadatta. There is, however, in the genealogical wording of both grants sufficient looseness (probably intentional) to suggest the descensional connection of both the Sālastambha and Harjara (or Pralambha) lines with Bhagadatta.

In another point also these two grants differ. The Tejpar grant makes Bhagadatta to sucoeed his father Naraka, and omits all mention as to the further fortunes of his brother Vajradatta. On the other hand, the Nowgong grant makes Vajradatta to succeed his father Bhagadatta.

This matter of the relation of the kings and dynasties to one another is further complicated through the statements in the Ratnapāla grant. I have not as yet been able to thoroughly examine that grant, but so much seems to be clear from it, that Vajradatta was a brother of Bhagadatta (as against the Gauhati and Nowgong grants), and that Vajradatta succoeded his brother Bhagadatta (as against the Tejpur grant, which knows nothing about Vajradatta's succession, and against the Ganhaţi and Nowgong grants, which make him succeed his father Bhagadatta). Further the Ratnapāla grant fills up the undefined interval, No. 5 of the Ganhati grant, by stating that after Vajradatta a chief (adhipati) of the Mlecchas took possession of the kingdom, and a line of twenty kings now followed, beginning with Çālastambha. The twenty-first of this line was Tyāga-sidha, who had no sons, and he was sacceeded by Brahmapāla, the father of Ratnapāla. From this account (if I have gathered it correctly) it would appear that the Pāla dynasty of Āsām followed the kings Nos. 5-12 of the Nowgong grant. The latter names eight kings, from Çalastambla down to Balavarman. There may have been descendants of the Harjara line after Balavarman, but, in any case, the grant allows a number of nnnamed kings under No. 7. There is no difficulty, therefore, in accounting for the 21 kings, who are said, by the Ratnapāla grant, to have preceded Brahmapala. On palæographic grounds, too, there is a probability of the Çālastambha and Harjara lines having preceded the Pāla dynasty.

For palmographically the Nowgong grant is older than the Ganhati grant, and very closely resembles the Ratnapāle grant. Thus, it does not use the ringlet of the nasal $\eta$, and forms the consonant $k h$ in the old fashion, older even than in the Ratnapāla plate. It also uses the same initial form of the vowel $i$, as the latter plate, 'viz., a circumflex below two ringlets placed side by side ( 00 ), while the Gaubați plate reverses that position. Accordingly the Nowgong plate and its author must have preceded the Ganhati plate of Indrapāla. Whence it follows that, though the plate, palæographically, might be of the same age as the Ratnapala plate, its author king Balavarman must be placed before Ratnapāla, and, therefore, also before Brahmapāla. Whence it further follows that the Harjara line of kings mast have preceded the Pāla dynasty. For, to all appearances, they ruled over the same country of which Prägjyötisa was the capital. ${ }^{9}$

The Tejpur grant, being one of Vanamāla, the great-grandfather of Balavarman of the Nowgong grant, must be still older than the Pāla grants. Unfortunately it is not forthcoming, though Mr. Gait has made a careful search for it. From the specimen, published in this Journal, Vol. IX, the point of age is very difficalt to determine, though there is nothing in it to suggest its being younger than the Nowgong or the Pàla grants.

Unfortunately none of these Asām grants are dated. The paleographic guidance is, at best, uncertain and vague ; but as it is, I am disposed to refer the Gauhati plate to (say) 1050 A.D., the Ratnapàla plate to (say) 1010 A.D., the Nowgong plate to (say) 975 A.D., and the Tējpur plate of Vanamāla to (say) 925 A.D.

Another puzzle is that both lines, of Harjara (or Pralambha) as well as of the Pālas, trace their descent up to Bhagadatta, as if they were dynasties related to one another, and of the same tribe or race.

9 Prägjyotif-ädhipa or 'lord of Prägjyōtişa' is applied to all of them equally. So far as the land-grants which I have seen are concerned, the name Prägjyōtisa is only applied to a town (pura), but not to a country. In the Nowgong grant Naraka is said to have conquered (the country of) Kämarupa and to have taken up his residence in the town (pura) of Pragjyötisa. There is nothing in the land-grants to show that Prägjyōtisa had ceased to be the capital of the country in the time of either Balavarman or Indrapala; in fact, the title "lord of Prāgjyōtisa" rather negatives that idea. At the same time, it would seem that Indrapala ordinarily resided in the townlet (nagari, see v. 19) Cridurjaya, which was a strong fort; while, according to the Nowgong grant, Balavarman appears to have ordinarily resided in Härüppȩ̧̄ara, which is described as his paitünaha kaṭuka or 'ancestral camp.' I may ndd that, as Mr. Gait informs me, Prägjyötişa is represented by the modern town of Gauhați ; and that the modern Kämrūp is a district which forms only a small part of the ancient kingdom of Kamarüpa. See, however, ante, p. 104.

They also use the same emblem on their seals, a full-figure elephant, standing to the front. But the probability is that both dynasties are those of aboriginal tribal chiefs, who, aggrandizing themselves, adopted Hinduism and got invented for themselves a quasi Keratriya descent. All the genealogical details, therefore, before Brahmapāla, Cālastambha and Pralambha (or Harjara) are unhistorical, the real lines commencing with those names. The lineage of Bhagadatta seems to have been a favorite one for the chiefs of Kāmarūpa to adopt. "The so-called Rājās of Rānī," as Mr. Gait informs us (Journal, Vol. LXII, p. 272) also "claim to be descended from the lineage of Bhagadatta." 10

The preceding remarks, practically, dispose of the question of the connection of the Pālas of our grant with the Pālas of the well-known great Bihār and Bengal dynasty. On this subject, Mr. Gait writes to me as follows:-
"I do not think that there is any connection between the Päla kings, mentioned in the Garhatị grant and the great Päla dynasty of Bengal. The inscription contains no reference to any known king of this dynasty; and the Palas in the copper-plate claim descent from Naraka and Bhagadatta, the mythical Hindu progenitors of more than one of the royal families which formerly held sway in Kämarápa. Moreover they are described as Lords of Pragjyötisa, which is not a title claimed by any of the Pala kings of Bengal, althongh one of them-Dova Pila-is said to have conquered Kämarüpa. Lastly the title Päla is a very common ane not only amongst the kings of ancient Assam, bat also of the Bäro Bhriyàs and others, e.g., of the Brähman to whom the land-grant mentioued in the plate under discussion was made."

I fally agree with Mr. Gait.

- Besides the four Āsām grants, referred to in the preceding remarks (vis., the Gauhati, Tējpur, Nowgong and Ratnapāla grants), there is known a fifth, viz., the Benares grant of Vaidyadeva, published by Mr. Venis in the Epigraphia Indica, Vol. II, p. 347. Vaidyadeva was the prime minister of king Knmārapäla, of the great Bibār dynasty, who made his minister the tributary ruler of Kämarūpa, in the place of the original ruler who had rebelled. Kumāra Pāla does not appear in the ordinary genealogical list of the Bihār Pāla dynasty. That list concludes with a king Vigraha Pāla III. But as Kumāra Pāla's own genealogy begins with a Vigrahapāla, as the grandfather, and gives Rāma Pāla, as the father of Kumāra Pāla, Mr. Venis, with much probability, concludes the identity of the two Vigraha Pālas, and thus makes Kumāra Pāla to be the grandson of Vigraha Pāla III. The date of his grant is conjecturally fixed as 1142 A.D., placing it abont one centary later than the Gauhati grant, which agrees well enough

10 For modern instances of fictitions genealogies, see page 88 (§ 46) of Mr. Gait's Report on the Census of Asäm, 1891.
with the difference in the characters used in the two grants, though they belong to two rather different varieties of Nägari.

I may here state, that I possess a very excellently written and illuminated copy of the Ashṭa Sāhasrik̄ Prajũā Päramitā. It is dated in the 15th year of the reign of Rāma Pàla, on the 17th day of the dark half of Vaiçākha. ${ }^{11}$ Unfortunately, as usual in Pälą inscriptions, there is no reference to any era. The letters are those of the MSS. Nos. 1464 and 1688, and the numerals those of Nos. 1643 and 1683 (in Bendall's Catalogue of Buddhist Sanskrit MSS. in the Cambridge University Library), all dating in the llth century (1015-1065). Occasionally the letters resemble those of No. 1693, dated 1165 A.D. The difference between the letters of these two sets is, on the whole, infinitesimal. As Mahipāla is supposed to have reigned up to 1060 A.L., Rāmapāla, third in descent from him, would, on the usual average of a 20 years' reign, have been on the throne, from about 1100 to 1120 or 1125, and this would well accord with the characters of my manuscript of his reign. Kumära Pāla might have followed him from about 1125 to 1145 A.D.

As to the localities mentioned in the grant I can offer no identifications; indeed, I am not sure that I have understood all the terms correctly. Mr. Gait has been good enough to depate an officer to make local enquiries, but they have been unsuccessful. He says, however, that
"It has been suggested to me by Bäbü Bhōlānāth Däs, Sub-Dopaty Colleotor, that the Hapyōma district may correspond to the Barama Tabsil, and the hamlet of Käßi may correspond to the three villages Nāth Kncí, Naa Kaci and Rana Kaci which aggregate some 5 square miles. A river flows to the west of these villages which is now known as Timu (Ti or Di is the Kachäri word for water or river, and is a common prefix in the names of rivers in Assam and E. Bengal) which would perhaps be the Digumma of the inscription. As regards the Mikhi path on the East, it may be noted that there are two villages rather more than a mile to the South of Rana Kuci which are known as Bar Mäkhibähē and Khudra Mäkhibähā respectively ${ }^{18}$, and it is possible that a road formerly led to them along the eastern boundary of Nan Kaci and Rana Kaci. Adjoining Rana Kaci to the S..W. is the village of Ratanpur which may perhaps have some connection with Ratnapàla, but this may be only accidental as there are several Ratnaparas in the province. There is, however, no trace now of any tank to the North of Nau Kuci, nor of any embankment and pond to the North-Kast and South-West. No worship is now performed at Mäkhibāhā, but a Çraddhā ceremony is performed every year in commemoration of the death of one Gauri Näräyan Ohaudhari's father. This is known as the Mäkhībähä-sabha."

Il See Dr. R. Mitra's edition of that work in the Bibliotheca Indica, Introduction, p. XXIII.
${ }^{28}$ In order, however, to agree with the deacription in the grant, theme two villagen ahould lie to the North of Rana Kuci, For the Makhi-path rans north.

## TEXT. 1

First Plate: Reverse.
 mayà sarvvasvam jitam=adya nāma kiva ${ }^{4}$
2, pratyarppitain ${ }^{6}$ t̄ panah [1] prēpȳ kevalam=astu mē jala-vah $\bar{a}$ Gayg=ēti Gauri-girā Çambhor=dyūtaka-
 ādhināthō mahita-vapur-m-mahi-

4, mā mahā-varāhah $\mid$ iyam=api Bhagadatta-vatsa-mātā Dharaṇir =anta ${ }^{7}$-narādhipa-pratişţhā $\[2 \text { n }]^{8}$ Yad-vāri Räma-para-
5, çorr=n=nrpa-kant tha-kāpda-lävasya dhauta-ghana-lōhita-paukkam=āsit I Lanhitya ity=adhipatih saritām
6, вя бяя Vrahm ${ }^{9}$-änga-bhūr=n=nudatu vah kali-kalmaşani ${ }^{10}$ n [3 in] Valgat-khara kgubhita-bhima-bhujayga-sadmā kalp-ā-
7, vasāna-dina-bhinna-samudra-mudrảm 1 pātāla-panka-patal-ōdarasannilinnām krōḍ-ä-
 dharā-parirambha-garbha-samibhoga-sambhr-
9, to-ras-ālasa-mānasasya | tasy=ātmajō narapatir=n=Narak-äbhidhānah çrimān=abhūd=bhuvana-va-
10, ndita-pāda-mūlah [ $\left.\begin{array}{lll}1 & 5 & 1\end{array}\right]$ Ratna-prabhā-ruchiram=āspadam=ōva Lakṣmāh ${ }^{18}$ pupy-סpakanţha-vilasad-vana-bhāri ${ }^{18}$ [1]
11, Prāgjyठtişam puram=apāra-yaçāh ${ }^{16}$ sajjair=v=vak̨ah-sthalam=pitur =iv=aparam=adhyuvāsa N[6 1] Tasy=āpi
ceatwards from the hamlet of Käsi. Of course, it might be suggested that that path commenced at Mäkhibāhā and ran in a northerly direction to the Knci villages (or Kisi), and then continued in a north-easterly direotion, along the side of the land referred to in the grant, while its earlier portion (further sonth) did not tonch that land at all.

1 From the Original. In the photograph some of the componnd letters do not show entirely.

2 Metre: Cärdīla-Vilkriditā. Read khafväggak.
${ }^{3}$ Here is a flaw in the Plate which makes the akpara appear di.
4 Read kitava. The metre shows that an aksara is omitted.
${ }^{6}$ Read pratyarppitarin.

- Metre: Puppitägrā. Read Pagupatìị.

7 One akpara is omitted; read ananta.
${ }^{8}$ Metre of verses 8-8: Vasanta.tilaka.
9 Read Brahma.
10 Read kalmapäyi.
11 One akpara is missing; read Vasumatäin.
18 Read Lak; myäh.
${ }^{13}$ Here two akfaras are omitted : read bhdra-hd̈ri.
14 One aksara omitted : insert sa.

12, sūnur=abhavad=Bhagadatta-nāmā viçrāma-bhūmir=akhilasyą pitur

13, m=ūna-valē valīyān yah paksa-pätam=akarठt=kpata-vaira-pakẹa ${ }^{16}$ [ 7 7 ] Kaamr-ānvay-ōnnati-pada-pra-
14, thita-pratiş̧̧ah prthvi-bhajām vijayinām dhuri Vajradattah dor-v-vajra-virjja ${ }^{17}$-parito- ${ }^{18}$

## Second Plate: Obverse.

1, töşita-Vajrapāṇir=āsid=amusya ${ }^{19}$-muṣit-āri-jaçās ${ }^{20}=\operatorname{tanajuh}{ }^{\text {ai }}$ " [8u] ${ }^{28}$ Asm[i]n ${ }^{88}=$ éva nip-ānvayē narapatih Çri-Vrahms ${ }^{9}$ -
2, pāld 'bhavat tatmā ${ }^{\text {st }}$ bhuvi Ratnap ${ }^{\text {la }}$ iti ca khyātah kṣat-ārir=v= vaçi ${ }^{25}$ | asy=ānargha-guṇ-ākarasya mahimā rā-
3, jñas=tu kim=vaṇyyatyé ${ }^{28}$ yah clảghyair=atidisyate ${ }^{87}$ su-caritaih Rāmasya K ŗ̣pasya vā 11 [ 9 n] Samvadhā 83 vasudhā su-
4, dhā-dhavalitaih Çambhu-pratiṣth-āspadair=yasya ģrotriya-mandirāni vibhavair=n=nānā-prakārair=api | yūpair=yajña-
 bhir=arṇ̣av-ảmvu ${ }^{80}$ vijaya-stambhaiç=ca savvà ${ }^{81}$ diçah ! [10 I] 3s A.
6, sid=udära-kittir ${ }^{88}=\mathrm{d}=$ dātā bhōktā çucị̣ kalā-kuṣalah [1] tasya Purandarapēlah sūnuh çūraç=ca su-kavi-
7, ¢cca \| [11॥] Krtam=atikantukam=a-sakrn=mrgayā rasikēna yēna samare'pil ksap̣a-viracita-

15 Read sattv.
16 Read pakpah.
17 Read viryya.
18 Between pa and ri there is a gap in the original plate, apparently caused by a flaw in the metal. Cancel the duplicate akpara $t 0$.

19 Bead amufya.
${ }^{2} 0$ Read yaçäs.
81 Read tanijah, metri cansa.
28 Metre of versees 9 and 10 : Cārdïla-Vikriditã.
8 Bead asmin=n=ēva metri causa. The vowel $i$ is obliterated by the hammering of the rim.

26 Here one long aksara is omitted : perhaps read tat-sümura
25 Read vaci.
25 Read kin varṇyate or kim=barnnyatē.
27 Read atidiçyaté.
83 Read sambaddhä, metri causa.
29 Bead dhümair.
80 Read àmbu.
81 Bead sarvvā.
88 Metre of verses 11 and 12 : Aryd.
88 Read kirttir.
: 8, çara-pañjara-vaddhai ripu-rāja-çaddūlaiḥ̣ ${ }^{34}$ n [12 ॥ $]^{85}$ Jāmadagnya-bhaja-vikramäj=jita-prājya-rājya-nr-
9, pa-variça-sambhavām [1] Durllabh=ēti sa tu lōka-durllabhām präpya samyag=abhavata ${ }^{56}$ kalatravà-
-10, nām ${ }^{8 i}$ n $[13 \mathrm{n}]{ }^{38}$ Sac=iva Çakrasya Çiva ${ }^{89}$ Çambh Rati ${ }^{40}$ Smarasy=ēva Harēr=iva Çrīh [1] sā Rōhiṇ=iva Ķ̣aṇadăkarasya
-11, ta*y=ānurūpa-praṇayā vabhūva 41 [14 11] \&s Dēvah prācī pradípa *s prakata-vasumatī-maṇdalạ̣ khaṇ̣it-äri-
12, $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{tas}=\mathrm{tā} \mathrm{bhy} \bar{a}{ }^{45}$ jit-ātmā naya-vinavatām ${ }^{46}=a g r a n ̣ i r=I n d r a p a ̄-~$ lah| I yasmin simbāsana-sthe svayam=avani-bhr-

-13, tām vaddha-sēv-«̄ñjalinām=āvarjjan=mauli-ratnaih . phalitam=iva bhā ${ }^{46}$-kuṭtimami kiryamānaị̆ \[15 ॥] ${ }^{88}$ Su-vi-
 sarvva-vidyä-saritām=a-gādham=anta ${ }^{48}=$ n=nima-
:15, gnaç=ca gataç=ca pāram - [161] ${ }^{40}$ Svarggam gatē pitari yasya yaçah-çarir̄ [?] pautrasya putra-[`]na[- ৩.レ]

Second Plate: Reverse.
$1,[-\cup \ldots \ldots][-\ldots \cup \cup \cup \cup n a$ gaṇ-ānurūpam=atyarppita svayam=avan ${ }^{50}=$ nija-rājya-lakṣilh $\quad$ [17 in] Yasmin =nrpe vinays-vikrama-bhāji yga, ${ }^{51}$
2, samyag-vibhakta-catur-āçrama-varṇ̣a-dharmmāl anandini ${ }^{58}$ çakalå ${ }^{68}$-kāmadughā prajānāñ prthvi prthọ̄ punar=iva prathit-סday

84 Boad gírddillaih.
36 Metre: Rathoddhutā.
ss Read abhavat.
37 Read kalatravän.
${ }^{38}$ Metre : Indravajrā and Upēndravajrà.
sf One aksara omitted: read Civ=èva.
40 Bead Ratih.
4) Read bubhãva.
${ }^{4}$ Metre: Sragdharā.
48 Read pradipah.
4t Read täbhyäm.
ts One akspara is omitted ; read vinayavatärin.
4 One aksara omitted : read sabhē.
47 Read ätitaraygịimä̀m.
43 Read antar=n.
40 Metre of verses 17 and 18 : Vasantatilaka. Portions of this verse are illegible.
${ }^{60}$ Mutilated for abhavan ; but read abhz̈n, metri causa.
4 Here one akpara is missing : perhups read tuygē.
6* Read ànandini.
63 Kead sakala.
${ }^{5}$ Read àsit.
J. 1. 17

 ${ }^{66}$ Prāgjyo-
4, tis ${ }^{67}$ - ädhipaty -asamikhyāt - àpratihata - danḑa - kṣapit - acceesa - ripn -pakẹa-çri-vārāha-paramę̣̂vara-paramabhattcāraka-mahārāj-ād-hirā-
5, ja-çri-Ratnapāla-varmma-dēva-pādānudhyāta-paraméçara-para-mabhatţāraka-mahārāj-ādhirāja - gri-mad-Indrapāla - varmmadeva ${ }^{58}$
6, kuçali ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Uttara-kūl̄ Hapyóma-viṣay-āntahpāti-Kāsi-pñṭaka-bhavi-
 maul
7, tathā-pūrvva-samupasthita-vipaya-ra-karaṇa ${ }^{61}$ - vyāvahārika-pramu-kha-jānapadān rāja-rājñī-rānak-ādhikrtān=anyā-*s
8, pi rājanyaka-rājaputra-rājavallabha-prabhrtin yathākāla-bhāvinópi sarvvān mānanā-pūrvvakam samādi-
9, çati vēditam=astu bhavatām bhūmir=iyam | vāstu-kēdāra-sthala-jala-gōpracār-āvaskar-ādy-upētā yathāsamं-
10, sth $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ sva-sim-סddeça-paryantā hasti-vandha-nankā-vandha-caud-
 ąゥ-б-
 ¢̧āsanī-krtya ${ }^{65}$ Asit Käçyapa-gत̄tro 'tipavito ${ }^{66}$ mi-
12, tra-vatsalaḥ $\mid$ yajurvoēdi gun-ādhārō Haripāla iti dvijah n[1•n] Sūtaḩ Çavarapāl-ākhyātah sadma ${ }^{66}$-vimatsarah $\mid$ abhavad $=$ bhava-
13, niṣthasya ${ }^{66}$ dvijanmā māninäm ${ }^{67}=$ varah M[2 ॥] Saukhyāyik=ēti tasy $=\bar{a} b h u ̄ t$ paricary $\bar{a}$-sukha-pradā $\mid \bar{a} y$-ācārasya ${ }^{63}$ s-ācārā patnī gu-
b5 Here one aksara is missing : read kula.
66 From here pro:e.
67 Read Prägjyōtipā...
69 Read dëvah.
69 Read stha.
60 Read catuh.
61 Read sa-karasa.
62 One akpars is omitted : read anyän=a-
68 Read cauroddharana.
64 Bead prabhrtīnän cinivärita.
65 Metre of verses 1-5 : Clōka.
66 The reading is doubtful.
67 Read māninäní varah. Probably faulty for mauninain.
69 Read àry-àcārasya.
1897.] Dr. Hoernle - Gauhati Copper-plate Grant of Indrapäla. ..... 12714, p̣avati sati ॥ [3 ॥] Dęçapăla iti snigdha-vandhūnām krta-pālanah Itābhyām jātō dvijō 'ọę̨̄a-guṇa-ratna-nidhiḥ sudhi ${ }^{69}$ [4 4 1]Third Plate: Obverse.
kūntavita-khambhavā-satka-makuti-makkhi-yāna-hasi
3, na tad-bhü-simni vrhad-älih| uttara-ga $\mid$ paçcima-ga-vakgena ${ }^{\text {Tr }}$Svalpadyati-kaivarttānām bhöga-dirghā-kǫ̣thē ${ }^{78}$ bhū-
4, simni kß̄̄tr-āli| vaṃça-stüpa-trayañ=ca | dakṣiṇa-paçcimēna tad-
bhū-sïmni Digumma-nadi | uttara-ge-va-
5, krēna tad-bhū-simni s=aiva=nadi i pürvoa-ga i nttara-vakrênakס̨̣̄ţa-Kạ̣̄i ${ }^{79}$-pātaka bhū-simni kẹētr-äli I paçcima-ga-va-
6, krēṇa tad-bhū-simni vāstv-āliḥ 1 paçcimēna Digumma-nadipaçcim-סttarana s=8iva=nadi
bhū-sīmni kṣētr-ā-
$\mathbf{k} \ddagger$ atr-āliç=cal $n^{88}$.
9, nttara-pūrvvẹ̣a tad-bhū l kठ̨̣̣tha mākkhi-yāna l villa-pūrvvahkūlaĩ=c=ati in *
The Seal.
1, Svasti Prāgjyōtį̣-ādlipati-ma-
2, hārāj-ädhirāja-çrī-ma-
3, d-Indrapāla ${ }^{84}$-varmma-dēvah [n]

[^17]
## Transiation.

(First Plate : line 1) Hail !
(Verse l.) • Having won to-day the whole of thy property, (eiz.) club, axe, bull, crescent and the rest, oh gamester! I return it to thee again : only let me retain Gaygā to serve me as a water-carrier." ${ }^{l}$ At this speech of Gauri, Çambhi's head bowed for shame at bis. defeat in the game of dice. May he be glorious!
(2.) May Paçapati be glorions, the lord of the creation, (who is) the famous great Boar of a wouderful bodily form : and she also, the Earth, who is the residence of innumerable kings, and the mother of him (i.e., Naraka), whose son is Bhagadatta. ${ }^{2}$.
(3.) That king of rivers which is born of the body of Brahma and is called Lanhitya (or bloody), because its waters were stained with the copions blood (lōhita) that was washed off Rāma's axe after. it bad cut off heaps of necks of kings,-may it also wipe off your stains (contracted) in this sinful age. ${ }^{8}$.
(4.) Hari, in the shape of a boar, disturbing and frightening the abode of the Snakes with his bounding hoofs, uplifted the Earth which was stuck fast in the depths of the mire of the nether-world, after having sunk in the seas cleft asunder on the day of universal dissolation.
(5.) Of him, whose mind was faint with pleasure obtained from the embrace and sexnal enjoyment of the Earth as she was borne up' on the points of his tusks, there came to be an excellent son, the king named Naraka, the soles of whose feet were adored by all the world.
(6.) He, in boundless glory and with every circumstance of pomp, held court in the town of Prägjyotişa, which was (to him) like a second bosom of his father, and which, in its fine environment of delightfully dense woods, appeared like Lakṣmi's scat brilliant with luminous jewels.
(7.) Bis son was Bhagadatta, full of goodness, who was the repository of all the virtues of his father, and, being strong himself, always took the side of the weak.
(8.) His son was Vajradatta, who widely upheld, among the conquering princes, the prestige of the Kaumra dynasty,
(Second Plate, obverse) in that he pleased Vajrapāni by the adamantine strength of his arms, and gathered fame by his raids on his enemies.

1 Gauri and Ganga are the two wives of Çiva, and so they are jealous of each other.

8 See below verses 5-7.
8 Lauhitya is another name of the river Brahmaputra. The story of its birth is quoted in the new edition of the Cabdakalpadruma, from the Kälikā Puräpa (84th Adhyāya of the Jämadagnya Upalctyaima), under the word lauhitya. Amöghä,
(9.) It was his dynasty, to which belonged king Brahmapala, and his son Ratnapala who was known in the world as the mighty crusher of enemies. How is it possible to describe the greatness of this king, the possessor of priceless virtues, who emulated the renowned good deeds of Rāma or Krẹna :
(10.) Who studded the earth with white-washed temples enshrining Cambhu, the houses of learned men .with. various kinds of wealth, the sacrificial courtyards with immolating posts, the skies with the smoke of burnt-offerings, the waters of the sea with the dust of his marching armies, and all the quarters (of the earth) with the pillarmonuments of his victories?
(11.) His son was Purandarpala, a ruler of wide renown, liberal, jovial, pious, and accomplished in all arts, a hero as well as a peet :
(12.) Who being passionately fond of the chase, gave more than: once extraordinary proofs of it by the way in which he captured hostile kings, like tigers, in nettings of arrows improvised for the occasion.
(13.) He had the distinction of obtaining for wife the (princess) Durlablá; sach a one as is truly difficult to obtain in the world, who was descended from the royal races of the extensive kingdoms conquered by. the victorious arms of Jamadagni's son (Paraçurāma).
(14.) As Saci is to Çakra (or Indra), Çiva (or Parvati) to Çambhu (or Çiva), Rati to Smara. (the love-god), Çri (or Lakshmi) to Hari (or Viṣ̣a), and as Rōhipi is to Kẹanadākara (or Candrn, the moon), such a loving wife was she to him.
(15.) Of them was born Indrapāla, a king who kept a control over himself, and was foremost among the just and righteous, who vanquished (all) his enemies, and who like the light of the East (i.e., the sun). illumined the (whole) terrestrial globe: before whom, when he sat on his throne, the mosaic floor of his audience-hall looked like a fruitcovered tree by reason of the strewn-about jewels (that fell) from the

[^18]- The meaning of the name is 'difficalt to obtain.'
crowns of the princes as they voluntarily stood reverently bowing (before him) with joined hands :
(16.) Who dived into and passed across the deep and broad streams of all knowledgee, the dashing waves of which are the sciences of words, sentences, arguments and doctrines.
(17.) After his father had gone to heaven in a halo of glory of his grandchild the son...
(Second Plate: reverse).............the fortune of his own lingdom was voluntarily delivered, in accordance with bis virtues. ${ }^{5}$
(18.) During the rightenus and victorions reign of this king, the earth was happy and greatly flourishing, and became the cow that yields all desires to men, as in the time of Prthn, becanse the laws of the four acramas (or periods of life) and of the four castes were observed in their proper divisions.
(19.) This king had a residence of corresponding virtues, ${ }^{6}$ a town full of elephants, horses, and jewels, and impregnable to (the attacks of) any royal dynasty, whence it was named Çri-Durjayā.
(Second Plate : line 4) The Paramäguara, Parama-bhaţtāraka, Mahāräjadhiräja, the illustrious Indrapāls Varma-dēva, who medibates at the feet of the lord of Prägjyōtisa, the illnstrions Vārāha, ${ }^{7}$ the Paraméparra, Parama-bhatfā̀aka, Mahäräj-ädhiräja, the illustrious Ratnapāla Varmadeva, who overthrew and inflicted punishment on all his innamerable enemies, ${ }^{8}$ may he prosper!
(Line 6.) With reference to the land bearing four-thonsand (measures of) rice, and lying by the side of the land belonging to the Bhaviṣā of the hamlet ${ }^{9}$ of $K \bar{a} s i \overline{1}$, situated within the district ${ }^{10}$ of Hapyoma, in the northern part of the country, he sends his greetings and commands to all who reside near the afore-said fields, viz., the accountante, ${ }^{11}$ traders and other (common) people of the district, as well

[^19]as those who hold the rank of Räja, Räjnī, Ränaka, and others, such as Rajanyas, Rājaputras and Rajacallabhus, ${ }^{12}$ and all who may hold any rank from time to time.
(Line 9). Be it known to you, that this land, together with its houses, paddy-fields, dry land, water, cattle-pastures, refuse-lands, etc., of whatever kind it may be, inclusive of any place within its borders, and freed from all worries on account of the fastening of elephants, the fastening of boats, the searching for thieves, the inflicting of punishments, the tenant's taxes, ${ }^{18}$ the imposts for various canses, and the pastaring of animals sach as elephants, horses, camels, cattle, and buffalos, as set forth in this charter ${ }^{\text {it }}$ :-
(Line 11, verse 1.) There was a Yajurvedi brāhman, named Haripala of the Käçapa gotra, very pare, ${ }^{16}$ kind to friends, and possessed of every virtue.
(2.) That excellent man had a son, called Çavarapāla, who was unambitious of position, a (truly) twice-born man and most highly respected.
(3.) This noble man had a wife, called Saukhyāyikā, who was well-conducted, virtuous and chaste, who gave pleasure (to her hasband) by her devotion (to him).
(4.) Of them was born the brāhman Daçapāla, wise and full of every virtue, and mindful of services done to him by his friends and relations.
(5.) To that brāhman, who is austere and observes difficult ordinances, that land, as set forth in this charter, is given by me in the eighth year of my reign.
(Third Plate: first line.) ${ }^{16}$ Its boundaries (are as follows:) on the east, there are the Mākkhi-path ${ }^{17}$ to the granary with the pond in front of

[^20]it, and an embankment, also the 'Hasi of the Makkhi-path (established) by the still extant edict (engraved) on the Küntavita pillar, and the ridge of the fields. On the south-east of the land, there are the hamlet of Käsi on the Kūntavita Lakkhyava property, and, along the boundary of the land, the big dike. On the sonth, along the boundary of the land, is the big dike. At the bend to the north and west, there are the big granary on the property of the Svalpadyati fishermen, and, along the boundary of the land, the ridge of fields, also three clumpe of bamboos. On the sonth-west, along the boundary of the land; there is the river Digumma. At the bend to the north, along the boundary of the land, there is the same river. At the bend to the east and the north, there are the granary belonging to the hamlet of Kāsi, and, along the boundary of the land, the ridge of the fields. At the bend to the west, along the boundary of the land, there is a row of houses. On the west, there is the river Digamma. On the north-west, there is the same river. On the north, there are the Bhavipa a with the still existiug charter of the holy Ãditya (or Sun-god) made by Tathāgata, and, along the boundary of the land, a walnut tree ou'a dry spot on the ridge of the fields, on the south side ${ }^{18}$ of the tank made by Paçapati, as well as a ridge of fields. On the north-east of that land, there are the granary, with the Makkhi-path and the pond in front of it, as wellas an embankment.

## The Seal.

Hail! The lord of Prägjyđtişa, the Mahäräj-ädhiraja, the illagtrious Indrapēla Varma-dēa.
' maize'; hence makkhi-yäna 'the road lined with maize (-fields). But it may be questioned whether maize was known in Indis in those early times. Or the trae reading might be makkhiyäna-villa-pürva, '(the granary) with the pond of Makhana in front of it.' Makkhiyāna might be another form of makkhänä which is Euryale feros. 18 I can make nothing satisfactory of dakpi päffau. It may be a combined arror of the scribe and the engraver, and may be intended for dakpina-pärctē. The two aksaras ffau and çve are not altogether unlike one another.
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On some New or Rare Hindū and Muhammadan Coins. No. IV. ${ }^{1}-B y$
Dr. A. F. Rudolf Horrnle.
(With Plate VI.)

## I. Bengal Coin.

A notice of "a rare gold coin of Jalālu-d-din Mahammad Shāh of Bengal" has been published by Mr. Richard Burn, in the Proceedings of this Society for August 1896, p. 108. The coin is in Mr. Burn's possession. He writes to me as follows about its history: "It was obtained by me from the treasury of the Rajja of Tamkuhi, an estate in the east of the K ${ }_{8}$ rà district. The Rājā could give no information as to when it came into the possession of his family. He is by caste a Buinhār, and he has property in the Gayā district in Bengal. I think it may probably have been received from there, as I have never come across any other Bengal coins in the N.-W. Provinces. It is a custom in the family for each of the more important servants to present a nazr of a gold mohar on the death of the head. The treasury, however, contained, besides this, no coin older than the Murshidābād mohurs, struck by the East India Company." I fancy, it is unique, and therefore publish a facsimile of it on Plate VI, No. 1. Its weight is 160 grains. Neither the British Museum, nor any of the Museums in India possess a gold coin of this type, though silver coins are fairly common. ${ }^{2}$ The latter are of several varieties, differing in ornamentation and legends: the British Musenm possesses five varieties, of which variety $A$ is figured in this Journal, Vol. XLII (p. 267), on Plate VIII, No. 4, also Marsden, Pl. XXXVII, No. DCCLXV, variety B, in the Br. Mus. Cat., Pl. III, No. 77 and this Journal,.Vol. XLII, Pl. V.İI, No. 6, and variety D, in this Journal, Vol. XLII, PI. VIII, No. 5. The present gold coin, in its ornamentation, differs from any of these varieties. In its legends, it

[^21]J. 1. 18
agrees with variety $D$ of the British Museum, with the exception that, while the silver coin has the kunyat $\Delta b u l$ Muzaffar, the gold coin has Abul Mujahid.

Obv.
Lozenge-shaped area.



غوث الالديلام
والسـليني
Marginal sections illegible.

Bev.
Lettered surface.


The obverse marginal sections probably contained the names of the four Imāms. Traces of 'Ali and Abu Bakr appear to be distinguishable; but possibly they might have contaised the date of the coin.

Jalālu-d-din Muhammad Shāh probably reigned from 817-835 H, See ante, Vol. XLII, p. 266.

## II. Moghol Cons.

This is a dām of Akbar of the Mānikpär mint, noticed by Mr. R. Burn, in the Proceedings for August 1896, p. 109. It weighs 325 grains, and is dated 98* H. It was obtained by Mr. Burn from a Baniyà in the bazar of a village in the Farrukhābäd district, where it was in circulation. Information on the mint town will be fonnd in Mr. Burn's note in the Proceedings. It is now figured on Plate VI, No. 2.


Over the there are an asterisk and an arrow-head.

Rev.


Over the $\Delta$ of ate there is a quatrefoil.

## III. Coin of Timôr.

This professes to be a gold coin of Amir Timin. It is the property of the Chief Secretary of the Dhōlpar State in Räjpătānā, and was shown to me in March 1896, by Messrs. Cooke and Kelvey, Jewellers, of Calcutta. I could learn nothing about its history, and I doubted its genuineness; but I publish it both as a curiosity and to elicit the opinion of numismatists. It weighs $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ grains. See Plate VI, No. 3.

Obe．
In circular area ：－ 1新 $y_{1}$ 都

 وصي رصّل
山
Margin：－
مسين ومـعـاد وباقرو
جعفرو كط
و نقي وعسكحي و مهلاي

The date appears to be 801 H．The unit figure one is not quite distinct；it has become attached to the first ap－stroke of $\mathbf{v}$ in Sultā̈n． The mint seems to be clearly enough Hiipar．

The year 801 is that of Timür＇s raid（ $j i h a \bar{d}$ ）into India．On the 17th December 1398，he captured Delhi．He advanced from Multāa． From thence the direct route would have been by Bhatnēr，Sirsa， Hisịăr，Hānsì，Röhtak to Delhi．The Ghaggar river，however，followed a different course in those days．So Tìmūr marched from Sirsā （Sarsuti）by Fathābād，Töhārā，Kaithal to Pānipat，and thence to
 about 30 milea from Fathābād．At that time Hisār was a new town and fort；it had been fonnded， 44 years before，by the Delhi Emperor Firūz Shāh，in 1354 A．D．In Shēr Shāh＇s time（947－952 Ḥ）it became a favourite mint；but before him it has never been noticed as a mint town．It is curions that Timür in the diary of his raid，makes no men－ tion at all of the place and its fort，though he passed so very near it．${ }^{8}$

There is a mint－town Hişār in Bukhāra，of which two silver coins are known，of the Shaibāni line of kings．${ }^{4}$ These kings followed the Timurides．One of the last Timurides，Mas＇ūd，was the ruling Gov－ ernor of this Hişär in 872－873 H．，but no coins of his struck in Hieqar appear to be known．This Hięār，of course，might have suited Timür ； but the date of the present coin， $801 \mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ points to the Indian Higār，near which town Timūr was in that year．Moreover the date and the general style of the present coin point to India．Moreover，the letters of its legends，

8 See the diary of his raid in Sir H．M．Elliot＇s History of India，Vol．III， pp．428－483．
－See Britiah Museam Catalogre，Vol．VII，Nos．140， 141.
in the crudity of their formation, show a curious resemblance to the coinage of the time of Tinmūr's raid. Mahmū̃ Shāh, the son of Muhammad Shāh and grandson of Firüz Shāh, was the ruler of Delhi at that time. He reigned from 795-815 H. The crude form of $c$ in Muhammad on the obverse is especially noticeable, and is very much like that on Mahmad's coins.

There are some other curiosities and inaccuracies in the spelling. Thus, $\boldsymbol{J} r$ of the first rasill in the obverse legend is written across the $\boldsymbol{\sim}$, with the medial stroke of which it partially coincides. On the obverse the name of Timür is mis-spelled Timur withont the intermediate c. It is true that in Tarkl the vowels may be short or long, but, in actual use, I have never met with Timür spelled Timür. ${ }^{6}$ The title Kürkān is spelled $K \bar{u} k \bar{a} n$, the intermediate, $r$ being omitted. On the margin of the reverse the imperial titles are given in the inverse order al- $A^{\prime}$ anam as-Sultann instead of $a s-S u l d a n a l-A^{\prime} z a m$. The preceding title, of which only traces of the concluding letters (far ?) remain, may have been Abul Musaffar : if so, the inversion of the order of the titles is complete.

Perhaps most ourions of all is the fact that the proper name Timūr appears to be constructed with the article al, but see footnote 5.

Similar inaccuracies, however, though not in such an accumalation, occur on undoubted coinṣ of Timür. See, e. g., the spelling of ومول الله on coins Nos. 12, 19, 29, etc., in the British Museam Catalogue.

The accumulation of titles is a very unusual feature on Timūr's genuine coins, on which he never applies the imperial titles to himself. It is just possible, of course, that the missing portion of the reverse marginal legend may have contained the name of the nominal Sultan, Mahmād Kbān, though the rule of the latter is usually said to have terminated in 800H. The title al-Malik appears to be found occasionally on Timūr's genaine coins, see Br. Mus. Cat., Nos. 34 and 35. Al-khaqan is not found on any of them. It occurs apparently for the first time, on coins of the Timuride Abdullah (854 H.). The title Şähib Qirän, also, is not found on any of Timür's known genaine coins; but it is well-known that that title was given to Timūr on his accession to the Amirship in 771 H.

A further difficulty is that Timūr, on all his known genaine coins, professes himself an orthodox Sunni Muhammadan: they bear the Sunni form of the creed and only the names of the four orthodox

[^22]Khalifahs. On the other hand, the present coin shows the Shi'ah form of the creed, together with the names of the twelve Imàms. There is the strongest evidence that Timūr was a Shi'ah, ${ }^{6}$ and if this gold piece was made in India, the marks of the Shi'ah faith may have been put on, it to conciliate Timur.

Add to this that hitherto no gold coin of Timūr, so far as I know, has been discovered. Silver, copper and brass coins of his exist; but no gold coins have survived, if they ever existed. Moreover the weight of the coin does not agree with the contemporary standard of Indian gold coins.

All these circumstances inspire one with distrust. On the ather hand, it is difficalt to account for a forgery. An imitator would ordinarily require a model to work with. The very difference of the present coin from all known coins of Timūr makes against a forgery. Then, there is the curious resemblance, in the general style, to the contemporary coins of India. Also the date and mint agree with the time and circumstances of Timür's transitory invasion of India. The piece is probably not a coin at all, but may be a medal for commemoration or presentation. This may account for its irregular weight.

## IV. Gpaznin Coins.

In July 1896 a hoard of 739 Ghaznih coins were found near Edwardsābād in the Bannū district of the Panjāb. A notice of the find is published in the Proceedings for January, 1897. They were all coins of Sabuktagin, who ruled from 366-387H. $=976-997$ A.D., and most of them, (718) belonged to the well-known variety, which is shown on Plate V, No. 453, of the second volume of the British Museam Catalogae. This variety has the name of Sabuktagin on the reverse, and the words $a t-T a^{\prime} i y u$ li-l-lahi on the obverse.

There is another variety of the same type of coin, which reverses the position of those words: the name Sabuktagin is on the obverse, and at-Táciyu li-l-lähi on the reverse. This is very rare. I know only of the existence of two specimens, one in the Indian Musenm, No. 7847 in its Catalogue, and the other in the India Office, from the Masson Collection, noted in the Journal of the Rojal Asiatic Society, Vol. IX, page 305. In the Banna find there were eight specimens of this variety.

There occurs a third variety, which shows the name of Sabuktagin
6 See Sir H. M. Elliot's History of India, Vol. III, p. 393. The Tärikh-i-Timūri shows the fact clearly. Thus it relates that Timur was one day sitting with learned men of Halab. He asked them about their opinion regarding 'Ali, Mawiyah and Yasid. One of them whispered to his friend to be caraful what he replied because Timür was a Shi'ah.
on both sides, and omits the words at-Ta'iyu li-l-lahi altogether. This is also very rare. I know only of the existence of one specimen; it is in the Lahore Museum, No. 11 (p. 24) of the second volume of the Museum catalogue. In the Bannū find there were 13 specimens.

The British Museum, so far as I can discover, possesses no specimans of either of the two rare variates. One of each has now been given to it. The coin cabinets of the Asiatic Society of Bengal and of Bombay, and of the Museums in Madras, Lahore, Lucknow and Nägpār have also been supplied with one specimen of each variety.

So far as I know, no facsimile of either of the two rarer varieties has ever been published. Accordingly I do so now. Plate VI, No. 4 shows the second variety.
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The margins on all the specimens of the find are almost entirely clipped away, and do not offer sufficient traces to identify any mint or date.

## V. Early Indo-Scythian Coin (Kadphises II).

In the Proceedings for May, 1895, I published a notice of two coins of Kadphises II, with new Kharöphi legends on the reverse, They belonged to a hoard of 432 coins of Kadphises II and Kanishka, which was found on the Kalkā-Kasauli road in the Patiālä State. With the exception of those two, all the coins belonged to the ordinary, well-
known types. One of the two is too badly preserved, to deserve figuring; very possibly its legend, after all, may be the ordinary one. But the legend of the other is too distinct to be mistaken; and, therefore, I now publish a facsimile of it, on Plate VI, No. 6. The word apratihatasa is quite distinctly legible on the right-hand side of the margin, where, in the case of the ordinary legend, the words hima-kapigasa come in. The Kharögthi letters of these two sets of words could not be mistaken for one another.

The full legend appears to have run as follows :-
[Ma]ha(ra)[ja rajadhiraja](sa tradata)sa apra(tihatasa).
The akşaras, enclosed within angular brackets, are lost; those within round brackets are more or less distinct; the rest are quite clear.

The legend commences just to the right of Giva's head, though ma is lost. The ha of maharaja stands exactly over Giva's head. The tra of tradatasa stands under Çiva's proper right foot. The heads of some of the letters are worn away, thus rendering them, at first, doubtful; this is the case with data of tradatasa, and $t i$ and $t a$ of apratihatasa. As the head of $t i$ is lost, the letter might have been di. The vowelstroke of this letter has a rather unusual position, as it runs across the perpendicular stroke of the consonant $t$ (or $d$ ) instead of through to its horizontal top-stroke. Moreover the horizontal bottom-stroke of ha touches the foot of the letter $t i$ (or $d i$ ).

This is the first and, as yet, only coin of Kadphises II, on which the epithet apratihata occurs. It occurs, however, on the coins of Gondophares and Rañjabala who must have been nearly contemporary with Kadphises II. It also occurs, in the form apadihata, on the earlier coins of Lysias, Artemidorus and Philoxenus,

The obverse is altogether the usual, wherefore it has not been figured. The legend is almost entirely obliterated; only a trace of MEГ АС O (i.e., $\mu$ cүas oom $\mu$ ) is just recognizable near the proper right foot of the figure of Kadphises in its usual place.

## VI. Indo-Bactrian Cons (Abdagases).

I take this opportunity of publishing facsimiles of the two Abdagases coins, which have been noticed by me in the Proceedings for May 1895. See Plate VI, Nos. 7 and 8. The reverses only have been figured; the obverses do not differ from the usual type. As stated in the Proceedings, these two copper coins were obtained from the Gayā bazar with a number of others which offered nothing of interest.

The singularity of these two coins is that they are the first instance, and the only one, hitherto discovered, of the Kharösthi script, running from the left to the right.

In addition to this, they show another minor peculiarity. On the ordinary copper coins of Abdagasen, as well as those of Gondophares and other later Bactrian kings, the legend runs continuously all round the margin, and is to be read from the inside of the coin. This, however, is not the usual arrangement on Bactrian coins. As a rule the legend is not written continuously, bat in two sections. The shorter section of the Kharösthi legend (oh the reverses), giving the name, commences on the lower right of the margin, and rans along the bottom of the coin, from right to left, to the lower left of the margin ; and must be read from the outside of the coin. The longer section commences on the lower right of the margin, and runs up all round the top of the coin, down to the lower left; and must be read from the inside of the coin. Both sections, therefore, commence on the lower right of the margin, and read from the right to the left, in diverging lines. The same arrangement holds good for the Greek legends (on the obverses) ; only in this case, as Greek is read from the left to the right, the starting point of the two sections is the lower left of the margin. Now on our new Abdagases coins, there is a curious mixture of the Greek and Kharôet lii arrangements. The legend is written in two sections; the shorter section, giving the name, Avadagaçasa, begins on the lower right, and rans across to the lower left, and reads from the outside of the coin, from the right to the left. This shorter section, therefore, follows the usual system of writing and reading the Kharossthi script. But the longer section commences on the left side, where the shorter section ends, and then runs from the left to the right, round the upper part of the margin, down to where the shorter section commences; and it reads from the inside of the coin. The longer section, therefore, reads from the left to the right, like the Greek. In fact, that section is arranged and reads like a Greek legend, while the shorter legend is arranged and reads like a Kharösţhi legend, though both sections are wrritten in Kharosthbi oharacters. In other respects the legend is the usual one, viz.:Longer section : Maharajasa tradatasa. Shorter ditto: Aradagaçasa.
It is the longer section, therefore, which shows the singularity, above referred to, of a Kharōsthī legend, reading from the left to the right, after the fashion of the ordinary Indian $\operatorname{Bra} h m i$ script. The smaller section, on the other hand, shows the Kharosthi script in its ordinary fashion of reading from the right to the left.

On No. 7 nearly the whole of the legend can be seen. The point of junction of the two sections of the legend is on the left margin, just opposite the middle of the back of the winged figure. Here (reading from the inside of the coin) the two aksaras maha are perfect and
clear; below them (reading from the outside of the coin) stand succesaively sa and ça, both equally perfect and clear. Beyond ça, below the feet of the figure, comes a fragment of ga. The next two letters vada of the shorter section are quite gone; but of the first letter $a$, a small fraction is still visible. Again, of the longer section, above maha, there come ra and $j a$, on the left and right side respectively of the head of the figure. The akgara ja is quite clear; but the horizontal headline of ra is worn away; and, moreover, it seems to have been turned the wrong way ( $\Gamma$ instead of 7 ), perbaps induced by the inversion of the direction of the script. Then follow the letters $s a$ and tra, both rather crowded and mutilated. Next comes da, nearly perfect, and finally come tasa, more or less mutilated. The legend, therefore stands thus:-
Maha(ra)ja(sa tra)da(tasa), (A)[vada](ga)¢aba.

The angular brackets indicating lost, and the round brackets, mutilated letters.

In No. 8, ouly the left prrtion of the legend is preserved ; viz., maha of the longer, and gaçusa of the shorter legend. On this specimen the letter ga is in nearly perfect preservation.

It is a fortunate circumstance that the preserved portion happens to contain just some of the most distinct letters of the Kharösţhi alphabet. Most of the letters of that alphabet are, as a rale, most difficult to identify. But this is never the case with the letters $m a, s a, \rho a$ and $g a$, and in a lesser degree with ha and ja. These six letters are just those best preserved and quite distinct on both specimens. They together form (as will be readily understood from the arrangement of the legend, above explained) a continnons set of letters which make up, between them, the beginning of the longer and the end of the shorter sections of the legend. This set of letters is quite characteristic, and is sufficient to show how the whole legend must have run, even when it is not actaally, or completely, extant.

## VII. Gujarīt Coins.

The coins of the Muhammadan "Kings of Gujarat" are described in the British Masenm Catalogue, Coins of the Muhammadan States, pp. 132 ff., in this Journal, Vol. LVIII, for 1889, pp. 1 ff., and in Thomas' Ohronicles of the Pathan Kings of Delhi, pp. 350 ff . There still remain, however, many lacunae to fill up. Thus of Qutbu-d-din, the fourth Sultān, who reigned from $855-863 \mathrm{H} .=1451-1458$ A.D., no coin appears to have been discovered hitherto ; none, certainly, has been either noticed or published. Not long ago, I had the good luck to discover two copper coins of this Sultān, in fairly good condition, in a small collection. Which I was J. 1. 19
examining. They are now in my possession, by exchange. I publish them in Plate VI, Nos. 9 and 10. They are varieties of two different sizes.

No. 9. Weight 117 gr. Lettered surfaces. Date [8]5(6).

| Obv. | Rev. |
| :---: | :---: |
| قطب الدنيا | السلطا |
| والدبن ابو | احهد |
| المظفو | [ 1 ] 4 |

No. 10., Weight 77 gr. Lettered surfaces. Date 855.


Rev.
السلطان
احهد شا
ヘ00

The date of No. 10, is nearly perfect; that of No. 9, is mutilated; its unit's figure may be either 6 or 5.

These two coins are historically noteworthy as they disclose the regnal name of Qatbu-d-din, which appears to have been bitherto unknown. It is Ahmad Shāb, and he is therefore now to be counted gs the second of that name in the genealogical list, while be who hitherto stood in it as Aḥmad Shāh II, reigning from 961-969 Ḥ=1553-1561 A.D., must now be counted as the third Ahmad. I notice that the British Museum Catalogue gives two copper coins of this Ahmad Shāh III, .Nos. 435 and 436, on page 140. Neither of them are dated: nor are they figured in the plates: from the latter circumstance I conclude that they are not well enough preserved to be figared, and that their reverses, in the original state, probably bore a date. Possibly it may now tarn out that they are really coins of Ahmad Shāh II.

I may note that the coins of Abmad Shāh II, in type and execution, show distinctly the character of the early Gujarāt issues, as seen in the coins of Ahmad Shāh I, and Maḥmūd Shāh I. A centary later, in the time of Ahmad Shāh III, the coinage had considerably altered and deteriorated. This may be seen from his coins, published by Mr. Oliver, in this Journal, Vol. LVILI, p. 11, Plate III, Nos. XXVI and XXVII. They resemble, in this respect, the coins of his successor Muzaffar Shāh III, who reigned from 969-980 H. $=1561-1572$ A.D. A copper coin of the latter has been published by Mr. Oliver, ibidem, p. 11, Plate III, No. XXXII. To these I add now two other coins of Muzaffar III, of two different varieties.

Plate VI, No. 11, Weight 174 grains. Date 969.
1897.J Dr. Hoernle-Rare Hindü and Muhammadan Ooins.

Obv.
Lettered surface.


११9

Rev.
In marginal circle.
السماط الس
معهمود شاها
مظفرشالا[بن]

Plate VI, No. 12. Weight 174 grains. Date 96*.

Obv.


Rev.
少行 9 "
مظفو

The complete legends of No. 12, would be:-
Obv. الهوبه بتايد الرحهن شهس الدنيا والدين

After Muzaffar III, the Gujarāt coinage went on degenerating, aud pow, in one of its most degenerate forms, makes the coinage of the modern Native State of Navanagar, tributary to the State of Junāgarh, to which Muzaffar is said to have fled after his defeat by Akbar's generals (see ante, Vol. LVIII, p. 4). To this period belong the two silver coins, dated 978 H ., published by Mr. Oliver, ibidem, p. 11, Plate III, Nos. XXIX and XXX. I may note that the date 78 has been stereotyped on the whole series of these Navanagar coins, down to its modern issue. I publish here a set of characteristic specimens.

Plate VI, No. 13. Weight 117 grains. Lettered surfaces. Date 78.


Rev.


Plate VI, No. 14. Similar to No. 13.
Plate VI, No. 15. Weight 149 grains. Lettered surfaces. No date.

Obv.

कोगाष्र

Rev.
Illegible fragments. It may be read पति or مملطان or مظفر

Plate VI, No. 16. Modern issue, which adopts the type of No. 13.
Date 78. Weight 98 grains.
Obv. 78 (in corrupt spelling), गौबास.
Rev. The usual legend itc., reduced to meaningless scrawls.

## VIII. South-Indian Coins.

In November, 1896, I received 42 coins which had been found in the district of Angul in Orissa. My report on them is published in the April Proceedings for 1897. They consisted of 22 Babmani silver coins and 20 gold pieces. It is the latter, of specimens of which I now publish facsimiles. Among the former there were no novelties, excepting a slight new variety of the well-known type of Firuz Shāh's coin. The only difference in this variety is in the form of the ${ }_{c} j$ in Firun's title of Tāju-d-din. In December, 1892, I received two more gold pieces, found on the banks of the river Brähmini in the Tributary State of Dhanakanal. See Proceedings for April, 1897.

Of the 20 gold pieces from Angal, 18 have small gold loops attached, by which they were threaded on a piece of string, forming probably a small necklet. They are very small, thin pieces of gold, weighing, on the average, only 8 grains, though some weigh only 6.5 grains. Eighteen of them, as well as the two pieces from Dhenkanal, are coins, "locally (as I am informed) called hoons." In the International Numismata Orientalia, Vol. I, "Coins of Soathern Indis," by Sir Walter Elliot, there is one coin, No. 93 on Plate III, which bears some resemblance to the coins now described, but it is too imperfect for identification.

The obverse of all these coins is alike. It shows the figure of a recumbent bull to the right, with the conch shell in front, and another emblem of varying form over the back. Only in one specimen, No. 28, the bull is turned to the left. The reverse also is alike, with the exception of one specimen. The usual type of the reverse shows a kind of irregular oblong quadrangle, between two indistinct symbols. Below the oblong are one or two numbers, and above it a short legend of (apparently) three aksaras. This legend is in some cases obliterated by the loop or worn away, though in most cases it may never have existed at all. It is not very distinct, and seems to read grigaga, the meaning of which I do not know. It can best be seen on Plate I, Nos. 22 and 29 ; also partially on No. 18. 1ts existence on Nos. 17, 19, 20 and 21 , etc., is doubtful. Nos. 17, 18, 24, 27, 28,29 , and 30 show different varieties of the symbol over the bull. The numbers under the bull are the following: 3 on No. 19, 4 on No. 20, 9 on No. 21, 13 on No. 18,

19 on No. 27, 31 on No. 30, 33 on Nos. 17 and 28, 34 on Nos. 22 and 23, and 44 on No. 29. Of these numbers, 3 occurs on 1 specimen, 4 on 2,9 on 3,13 and 19 on one each, 31 on six, 33 on three, 34 on two and 44 on one. They would seem to indicate years, but whether of a reign or of an era, I do not know.

The single exception of the reverse, above referred to, is No. 29. Here the oblong is wanting ; the legend crigaga, in rather large letters, and the number 44, enclosed between two upright strokes, take up the whole face of the reverse.

There remain Nos. 25 and 26. Both have loops and belonged to the necklace, above noticed. I believe they are mere ornamental pieces, though they may be struck from coin dies. No. 26 shows a rosette, while No. 25 has the anthropoid figure of Garuda, standing to the left. Neither of them are ancommon devices on South-Indian Coins (see loco cit., Pl. II, 75, etc.). The reverse of both pieces is blank, only showing the conntersunk mark of the punch, used to punch out the raised obverse device.

Since the publication of my report in the April Proceedings, I find that coins of this kind have been described and figured by Dr. E. Hultzsch in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XXV, (December, 1896, received by me, however, only in May, 1897), Plate II, Nos. 30-33. They resemble my coins, Nos. 17-21, showing on the obverse the ball, and on the reverse, the oblong with date. Dr. Hultzsch ascribes these coins to King Anantavarman of Kalinga, who ascended the throne in 1078 A.D., and added Orissa to his dominions. He also takes the oblong to be the letter sa, an abbreviation of sa[mvat] or ' year,' and the numbers to signify regnal years. If this is correct, my No. 29 would be of the 44th year of Anantavarman, or the year 1121 A.D. As Anantavarman bore the surname Codda-gajga, I conjecture that the legend on the coins, Nos. 18, 22, 29, is intended for Çri-Ga[mi $] g a$, and refers to the surname Codaganga. What Dr. Hultzsch takes to be a crescent over the head of the bull, appears to me to be merely intended for the horns of the bull.

Pronominal Adjuncts in the Language spoken in the Western and Southern Parts of the Panjab.-By the Rev. Trevor Bompord, M. A., C. M. S., Multan.
[Read March 1897.]
These have two forms.
A. The Direct. In this form they are found in nearly every langaage which has retained any system for the conjugation of verbs, and their usual mode of use is in connection with the root of a tense to form the different persons. Except for the fact that there are one or two peculiarities in their use in Western Panjäbi, and one or two unusual forms, it would hardly be necessary to mention them, but as it is we will devote a short space to them.

Their forms are-

| 1st Person Siugular | $\cdots$ | Sindhi makes the 3 Plural in ane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd | كـ | Panjäbī " " 1 Plural in ${ }_{\text {E }}$ |
| 3 rd |  | 3 P |

1st Person Plural
(a)
(b) The 2nd form given for Ist Plu-

| 2nd " | " $\quad$ o ral is that in use in Shāhpur where |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd | in it is variously spelt, |

In reference to these forms we would remark.

1. That in Sindhi ${ }^{2}$ is the short nominative of 1st Pers. Pron. Sing. and that the instrumental form of lst Plur. is

2. Their original forms were in Prakrit, 1st Sing. àmi, 2nd Sing. asi, 3rd Sing. aī, 1st Plur. àmo, 2nd Plur. aha, 3rd Plur. anti.
In their simplest form, they are used in the Shāhpur district to express the ideas "I am," "Thou art," \&c., and Sir R. F. Burton gives them in this shape to represent the present tense of the Substantive verb in the extreme south of the Panjäb.

They do not however, as a rule, stand alone, bat are attached to some other word or par't of the root of a word.
(1) Thas they are attached to the root ' $h$ ' of the Sabstantive verb to form its present tense as -

| 18 | Pers. Sing. | HE\% or | 無, | I am. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | " " | 合 or | Tư | Thou art. |
| 3rd | " " | He or | Öh het, | He is. |
| 1st | Pers. Plow | Haf or | Ass\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | We are. |
| 2nd | , " | H\% or | , | You are. |
| 3rd |  | Hin or | hè hin | They 8 |

It will be seen that the 1st Pers. Plur. has in this case another form.
(2.) They are attached to nouns. In this case if the noun ends in a vowel it contracts with the affix or disappears thas-

Zāl mutư hoi jhugē, Withont a wife is any place a home, jhugē = jhugā $\overline{\text { e }}$.
Hik savi knnjé, There is a grey crane, $k \square n j \bar{\theta}=k u n j \bar{e}$.
Iwễ àpṇ̃ ghindà matlabê, In this way he gets his parpose.
Here one would expect 'āpñ̃ matlab ghind $\bar{a}(h) \bar{e}$.
Baikharã di ajab chālē, The profession of Baikhars is wonderful.
Hik māh khațaē, khāndē sārā sālē, One month he earns wageshe eats (lives on his earnings) the whole year.
3. They are attached to adjectives thus -

Ihō kharābē, This is bad.
Oh cange, That is good.
Uhè cangin, They are good.
Thulhā kaprā hanḍhdā caugē, Thick cloth wears well.
4. They are attached to present participles. Thus-

Karēnd
At first sight many would pronounce this merely a contraction for karendā h\%, butI do not think that it is. The simple form of these existed before the ' $h$ ' form, and further there is a slight difference in meaning for the shorter form has, in writings at all events, a more indefinite meaning thus-

Aukhe vēlhē dōst pakardin,-In times of difficulty friends are useful.-Pakardē hin would be rather "are being useful."
5. The third person singular and plaral at all events are found with Transitive Past Participles -

Kaĩ ihō jihã kam kitē, Who ever did such a deed as this.
Asbä̀ sārē pattē dasāin, All details were given by us.
6. They are found (or some of them) with the Past tense of Intransitive Verbs. Thus-

Āyã, I came. Giã, I went.
Nikatthe, He came out. Nikatthin, They came out.
Here too in place of these common forms, we find one (if not two) other forms representing the direct (i.e., Nominative) Pronoun.
(a) The first of these is the ending 'us' representing the first person pronoun (Singular).

So far I have only met it in a short story called the Four Fools where it occurs some 15 times.
(1) In connection with the root hā (of the Past Tense of the Substantive verb), thas -
Maî sutā piā hāns, I was sleeping.
(2) In connection with varioas Intransitive Past Participles, Thus-

| Nikatthas, | I came oat. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maĩ bolius, | I spoke. |
| Maĩ gius, | I went. |

(b.) The second of these is it or 8 which represents the 2nd Pers. Sing. as-

Kèdè giư, Whither didst thou go.
Tĩ jā̆ut, Thou wast born.
Jadaṇ tü undē sāmhṇē thiữ, When you were before him.
$T \tilde{x}$ vi salkht dukh vic piũ, You too have fallen into great trouble.
There is considerable difficulty in determining whether these forms represent a direct, i.e., a Nominative, pronoun or whether they are to be classed amongst the indirect pronominal affixes, which represent an agent or dative. This uncertainty arises from the character of the Past Participle of the Intransitive verb. This was I believe originally a Passive Participle (just as those of Transitive verbs are still), and as a Passive Participle when it took pronominal affixes it took them in the indirect forms. Even when expressed independently of the verb, they sometimes assume the same construction; especially when some other words intervene between the prononn and the verb. Thus
 him the act of coming was."

They are, however, also found constructed as Active Participles, with a true Nominative-not an Agent; thus ' $\overline{0}$ àyā' He came: and they are found with the direct affixes; as "āyã," I came, and "āyin," They came. Under these circumstances it is not easy to decide in dealing with rare forms whether such represent a Nominative or an Agont.

In the case of the ' s ' form for the lst person, I am nearly sure that it represents a nominative for the following reasons
(1) It is generally accompanied by the full form of the pronoun, thus-" Maí baith rihus" I remained seated.
It. is true the fall form of the pronoun is found also with the indirect forms; as "Maf mārium" which literally is "By me a beating was by me;" but they are rarely used in this way, for the meaning of the final ' $m$ ' is wellknown, and the full form not prefixed.
(2) There is a clear and distinct form for the agent (or indirect affix) of the lst Person, viz., ' m '; which is found in such forms as "Ayum" "Riham," and which has a form of its own in connection with ' hā' viz., 'hāim,' It was by me.
The first of these reasons holds good of the 2nd Person form ${ }^{8}$ or $\delta$, but not the second (except that one friend gave me hā̃l, as the 2nd Pers. Sing. form corresponding to hāns, but he could give no examples) ; for the Agent form for that is ōi or $\bar{e} \bar{i}$, when attached to the Past Participles of Transitive Verbs-and, further, these in proverbs or poetry sometimes drop the ' $i$ ' which is the true representative of the 2nd person singular. Thus "Paṭh ditō küwar kü," You sent the girl. Therefore when one finds such forms as $\bar{\sigma}$, 0 , đ̃ं attached to the uncertain Intransitive Verbs, it is impossible to say whether they represent an Agent form or a Nominative form of the 2nd Person Singular Pronoun. I am inclined however to think that, when we have ō simply, it represents an Agent (with of course a Nominative meaning), for it is the form sometimes adopted by the Agent with Transitive Verbs,-bat, when we have fí or \%, I should class it with the direct forms; for the nasal (which is however very uncertain in its coming and going) is a characteristic of the other direct form viz. $\begin{gathered}\text { en }\end{gathered}$

It is interesting to notice that ' I came' may be expressed in four different ways.

7. They are attached to the potential and future roots of verbs to conjugate those tenses. The full pronoun may be also (and generally is) expressed as well. Thus -

## Potentials.



## Futures.



The 3rd Pers. Sing. in the Future is as will be seen i not 6 .
B. The Indirect form which they take to represent the Agent or the Dative.

In this form they are not regularly used in Panjābi though an occasional instance may be met with, but are found both in Sindhi and Kāçmirí.

Sindhi uses them -
(1) With nouns, but as a general rule only with such nouns as have a personal relation to the speaker as, Nēpume, My eye.
Piuse, His father.
but we never find any suffix to represent the lst Pers. Plaral used with nouns,
(2) With postpositions thus-

Kanime, to me.
and (3) extensively with verbs. Suffixes which properly belong to nouns may also be attached to verbs.
Kąçmiri uses them only with verbs; but here, again, we find the curious exception of the lst Person Plural, which is never found represented by a suffix.

In the Western Panjāb they were not used with nouns-unless a case, which will be subsequently dealt with, may be reckoned as such-but are used
(1) with every part of the verb, even in many cases when one would more naturally expect them to be found with nouns, and
(2) with negatives.

The forms they take are-
lst Pers. Sing. ' m '
2nd Pers. Sing. i This is their form when representI ing a Dative, or an Agent (when
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ they are joined to the root by a connecting link which is either $\bar{e}$ or $\overline{0}$ ). The long form $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ is only found after the third Person Sing. of the


Being used for the Agent or the Dative, they carry a meaning of " by " or "to" with, as is the case with the direct adjancts, generally a verbal meaning attached.

Thas ' $m$ ' means that something "is by me" or " is to me."
But they cannot stand alone, they must be attached to something.
To represent the bare fact that something "is by (or to) me or thee or him, \&c.", they attach themselves, as do the direot forms for increased emphasis, to some part of the Substantive Verb;-to the root letter ' $h$ ' to represent the idea of 'is' and to the base ' $\mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ' to represent that of 'was' thas-

N.B.-Of these the forms for 1 st and 2 nd Plur. are often used in

152 T. Bomford-Pronominal Adjuncts in the Language spoken [No. 3, place of those which are formed with the direct adjunct as part of the present tense
B. from the base hă.


Of these the 1st and 2nd Singular, and the 1st and 2nd Plarals, are extensively used for what should be the direct forms of the Past Tense of the Substantive Verb, but are also used with their own peculiar signification.

These two tenses (if we may call them so) are in very common use in connection with the Past Participles of Transitive Verbs, to form the Perfect and Pluperfect Tenses of such verbs. In this case they represent the Agent and, often, the pronoun is fully written before the verb as well as expressed in the Suffix: but they are often found, especially when a Dative has to be expressed, independently of any other verb.

## Examples of lst Person Singular.

> 1st Tense as Agent.
> Maf kaṇak rihāi him, By me wheat has been sown by me.
> E sārē zēwar pātē him, All these jewels have been worn by me.
> 1st Tense as Dative.
> Itli tasalli thi gài him, So much comfort has come to me.
> Dū gālhê taï kañ̂̉ puchaniấ him, Two questions to be asked from thee are to me.
> 2nd Tense as Agent.
> Mal farmāish kiti hāim, By me the order was given by me.
> Taiyār kitā hāim, Preparation was made by me.
> Bigān jō suṇiā ham, The account which was heard by me.
> 2nd Tense as Dative.
> Kujh acchā na lagdā hāim, Nothing seemed good to me.
> Tir laggē huē hāim dil kữ, The arrows went home to me to the heart.
> Examples of 2nd Person Singular.
> 1st Tense as Agent.
> Taf tarah bādshāh dā sunihā mai kđ̂̀ pahuncāyā hēi, As the king's message was delivered to me by thee.
> Ikữ sunjātā hēī, To him has recognition been by thee.

1st Tense as Dative．
Hik gālh hēi，One word to thee．
Gardish hēi，Trouble is to thee．
2nd Tense as Agent．
E kanak kith告 rihāi hāvi，Where was this wheat sown by thee． T每 minnat kiti hāve，By thee a request was made by thee．
2nd Tense as Dative．
Yār āyā hāvi，Did thy lover come to thee．
Examples of 3rd Person Singular．
1st Tense as Agent．
Kapak mōl ghidi his，Wheat has been bought by him．
Kiyã quşur kitā his，What crime has been done by him．
Occasionally the Substantive Verb instead of being merely re－ presented by＇$h$＇takes its own plural form to agree with the Nomina－ tive，if that is plural ：thus

Assākũ nị＇amatê dittiô hinnis，By him blessings have been given to us．
Itli shai as sākuf khoāyá hinnis，So many things to eat have been given to us by him．
1st Tense as Dative．
Bukhār his，Fever is to him．
Dāḍhi sat lagi his，A severe blow was struck to him．
Qhairat dil vic anndi his，Jealonsy is coming into the heart to him，i．e．，into his heart．
2nd Tense as Agent．
Jērhā dinh muqarrar kitā hāns，The day which was ap－ pointed by bim．
Thō jihß̃ bāgh na suṇia hayā hāus，Such a garden as this had never been heard of by him．
2nd Tense as Dative．
Sabh kajh ghar vic hāus，Everything was in the house to．him．
Kahí shai di parwā na hāus，Care about anything was not to him．
Tōrē jo bānhễ vi rakh ditte\％hamis，although female slaves were appointed by me for him．
Occasionally instead of merely the root hā we find a modified plaral form，of the 3 rd pers．plur．of the Past Tense，to agree with a Nominative Plural．The full form is hā̄⿱丷丅⿵ or han，as

Jitlè zēwar hath lagde hānis，As many jewels as came into the hand to him，i．e．，as came into his hand．
 into his clothes．

Examples of 1st Person Plural.
1st Tense as Agent.
Banāyā hissē, It has been built by us.
Ûkî̀ qaid kar rakhiā hissē, Imprisonment has been given to him by us.
lst Tense as Dative.
Ikũ̃ na chōrāwap̣̃̃ hisse, It is impossible for us to desert him. 2nd Tense as Agent.

K $\quad$ ia k ām na kitā hāaē, Nothing was done by us.
2nd Tense as Dative.
Jiwễ hukm đittā hāsē, As order was given to us.
Examples of $2 n d$ Person Plural.
1st Terse as Agent.
E farmāyā hivve, Has this been ordered by you.
E vi şahịh farmāyā hivvē, This, too, was clearly ordéred by you.
1st Tense as Dative.
Kith hivvē Shāhzāda, Where is the prince for yon, i.e., where is your prince.
2nd Tense as Agent.
Faqï kŭ farmāyā hāvē, To the faqir an order was given by you. 2nd Tense as Dative.

Jiwể må farmāyā hāvễ, As by me was ordered to you.
Examples of 3rd Person Plural.
1st Tense as Agent.
Farmãigh kitī hinhễ, An order was given by them.
1st Tense as Dative.
Tuss䜽 sārē pattē dasāē hinhễ, Have you told to them all the details.
2nd Tense as Agent.
Nān di shādi kiti hānhễ, A nominal wedding .was gone through by them.
2nd Tense as Dative.
Jithẵ faqir hakm dittā hānhê, Where by the faqir the order was given to them.
Jērhē vệlhē bhnk trai lagdi hānhễ, When hunger and thirat came to them.
II. Sometimes, when in connection with nouns, they dispense with the ' $h$ '; and attach themselves directly to the noun : thus Multān gharam At Multān a house is to me.

| $\#$ | ghari <br> gharus | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ thee. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $"$ | ghar ahse | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ him. |
| us. |  |  |  |  |  |

The following example of Indirect pronominal affixes with nouns is from $\underline{S h} \overline{\text { anh }}$ pur.
Sing. 1 Kassim, I have fever Plur. 1 Kassissē, We have fever.
2 Kasseí, Thou hast fever. „ 2 Kabsinēhē, You have fever.
3 Kassis, He has fever. " 3 Kassinne, They have fever.
Of course in these the literal meaning is Fever is to me, \&c.
Such forms are more common towards Shāhpur and at first sight look like instances of Nouns with affixes. Thas "Multān gharam" looks as if it might be My house is at Multān, but this is not the case; for we cannot say "Gharam dūr hē," My house is far; but must say Médā ghar dūr he.

They are really instances of the fact that these endings, even without an ' $h$,' carry in themselves the idea of the verb.
III. They are attached to the Past Participles of Intransitive Verbs either as Agents (for the Past Participles of Intransitive Verbs were originally, as those of Transitive Verbs are now, Passive Participles), or as Datives.

The ' $m$ ' form as Agent.
Un dī hath $\bar{a}$ ginm, I came into his hand.
Zār zār ronam, I wept bitterly.
Jarthe velhe fārigh thium, When I became at leisure.
Piä riham, I remained fallen.
The ' $m$ ' form as Dative.
Sat jo lagginm, The blow which was given to me.
Bāt Rāvi dō carh juliam, My body has got up and gone to the Ravi.
Hosgh thikāne na rihum, Sense did not stand firm for me.
E dalil dil vic gazrium, This thought passed through my mind.
2nd Person Singular.
Agents.
Ар na āyd, You did not come yourself.
Datives.
Tars na àyō, Did not pity come to thee.
Rahm na āyó, Ditto.

Agents (rare).
Dhai piyus, He fell.
Datives (common).
Ālā kannế piyus, A voice fell on his ears.
Kujh pattā na laggus, No trace met him.
Jān vic jān āyus, Life came into his soul.
Tã gius siri khā, The whiteants ate it.
Kōi khiyāl dil vic na āyns, No thought came into his mind.
Kaprể bhā lagging, Fire caught his clothes.
Hik mahal vi nazar àyus, A palace too came into his sight.
N.B.-Many of the above look more like Genitives which have attached themselves to the verb instead of to their proper noun, but Grammarians explain them as being Dativus Commodi.

The First Person Plural.
(1) As Agent.

Uh kanũ asså thi cukōse, From to-day we have finished.
Dhrukiōsē āssã, We ran.
Gharũ nikathōse. We came out from the honse.
Watan kanfí bē watan thiōse, We became strangers to our' home country.
(2) As Dative.

Hik khiyāl piosee, A thought came to us. The 2nd Person Plural.
(1) As Agent.

Āp̣i khushi vic laggē rihōvē, You romained engaged in your own pleasure.
Kiy華 giōvè, Why did you go.
(2) As Dative.

Kith giōvē ūh jā, Where has that place of yours gone.
The 3rd Persou Plural.
(1) Agent.

Dil vic sōcōnhê, They thought in their heart.
(2) Dative.

Yād āyonhễ, Remembrance came to them.
N.B.-Those called Agents look like Nominatives with an Active Past Participle, but
(1) When a Nominative is desired it is expressed by the direct adjunct either with or without ' $h$ '; as-
Āy̆̃, I came.
Ayēhin, They came.
(2) They have the same forms as the undisputable agents attached to Transitive Verbs.
IV. They are attached to the Past (i.e., Passive) Participle of Transitive Verbs both as Agents and Datives.

## Examples of-

Sing. 1st Person as Agent.
E wada kitam, This promise was made by me.
Jō cā ghidium, Whatever was taken up by me.
Heàl na puchium, The condition was not enquired by me.
Jadan un di ghakal dithium, When his form was seen by me.
As Dative.
Un mārium, By him a beating was given to me.
Sing. 2nd Person as Agent.
E sabh kujh taiyār kitōi, Has all this been got ready by thee.
Faqī dā suwāl mandi, Has the faqir's request been granted by thee.
Jaindā aj man kitēi, Boasting aboat which has been to-day made by thee.
Paṭh ditō kũwār kfil, You sent the girl. (Here ditro has dropped the final ' i ' and has the Intransitive form.)
Us dā kam kitōi, Hast thou done his business. Shāhpur.
As Dative.
Sahibān mutēi khatt, Sahiban has sent a letter to thee.
Us kam kitōi, Has he done thy business.
K ${ }^{6}$ hakm dhēī, What order was given to thee.
Kaĩ sikhlā̄i, Who taught thee.
Sing. 3rd Pers. as Agent. (The commonest of all.)
Uhā topici cā litina, That hat was picked up.by him.
Biyān kitus, A relation was made by him.
Hik shalbhs ktí pathius, He sent a man.
Jō kujh bhānas sō kujh kitus, Whatever he pleased he did.
Un kanf puchins, He asked from him.
As Dative.
Un dì mà âkhius, His mother said to him.
Märins yär, Her lover beat her.
Kitus mōtă, He fattened it.
Plural lat Person.
As Agent.
Şalăh kitōeß, We took counsel.
As Dative.
Un māriōsē, By him a beating was given to us.
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Plural 2nd Person.
As Agent.
E patte kiwê dittōvhe, How have you given these particulars.
Cu sazā dittōve, What punishment have you given.
As Dative,
Kē māreān̄̄, Who beat you (or them). Shāhpur.
Towards Shāhpur the 2nd Pers., Plur. while retaining its own characteristic $\overline{6}$, has adopted as its connecting link the letter ' $n$ ' thus identifying itself with the 3rd Pers. Plur., which there, as in Bahāwalpar, is written nē.

3rd Pèrs. Plural.
As Agent.
Ṣalāḥ kitōnhế, They took counsel.
Jërbe vëlhè zamin kíi patiōnhê, When they dug up the ground.
Muhārể dihān kitōnhế, The fishermen made a complaint.
E gālh àkh paṭhionnhé, They sent and said this.
Tamāshā diṭhōnhẽ, They saw the tamasha.
As Dative.
Kal māriōnhés, Who beat them.
It will be noticed that there is sometimes a short ' $i$ ' before the endings of 1st Singular and 3rd Sing. and Plur. This is easily accounted for-

Past Participles are of two forms.
a. That of māriā, contracted probably from mārijā.

These should have the $i$.
b. That of kitā, the older form of Past Participle. These shonld not prefix an $i$ to the Pronoun ending.

But they sometimes do to assimilate their compound forms with those of the other form. Sometimes too, I think to agree with a noun feminine. And compounds of the first form occasionally drop the $i$.
IV. b. Past Participles of Transitive Verbs are found with two suffixes. One to represent the Agent, the other the object.
a. Agent lst Pers. Sing.

Jutamĩ jōrā-pāni lāyamĩ, By me the pair was yoked for thee, the water was laid on by me for thee.
Rapāe dittumis, The Rapees were given by me to him.
Rupā̄ ditteimis, (Shāhpar),
Rupāe dittumve, The Rupees were given by me to you.
Rapāe dittiōnum, The Rupees were given by me to them.
Or, in the Bār, Rupēiē dittemũ.
Cf. Käçuirí dyutmas.
b. Agent 3rd Person Singular.

Jitte zêwar hath lagde hãnis itte na lahiōnis, Though all these jewels came to his hands, he did not take them.
Lahionis has its first syllable shortened.
Muhrê kif bhakã satiōnis, As for the mohars he threw them away.
Unhê de hath dhōwionis, As for their hands he washed them.
Shukrānē khudā de kitōnis, Tbanks to God, he gave them.
N.B.-In the following the object is double.

Faqir panj cār tuhar kadh karāhê ditōnis, The faqir taking out 4 or 5 pieces gave them to him.
The following example has been given earlier, viz., when the pronouns with ' $h$ ' were given.

Tōrē jō bānhễ vi rakh dittiá hamis, Although female slaves too were appointed by me for him.
V. They may be met with attached, generally in the so-called Dative sense, to any part of the verb.

Here we will take our examples not according to the persons of the pronoun but according to the part of the verb.
a. The Infinitive.
 the father of treachery. Where should he go to learn treachery from.
b. The Imperative.

Vanjan deōs, Let him go.
Dhrakis pukaris, Bun after him and catch him.
c. The Potential.
lst Person with 2nd Pers. Sing. Aff.
Jiwê äkhāi, As I say to thee.

Here in both examples the pronoun is inserted before the final nasal. In example 2, too, the root is shortened.

1st Pers. with 3rd Pers. Sing. Aff.
Hāl puch ghiniāns, Let me enquire about his condition.
.E sazā bh̛̛ii his ki hakkil diwăis, This punishment is enough for him that I drive him away.
2nd Pers. Sing. with 3rd Pers. Aff.
T先 pitês sir kanfi, te maf pitesaf vakh, You may lanfent him (sitting) by his head. I will lament him apart.

3rd Pers. Sing. with lst Pers. Sing. Aff.
Töre mahina langh vanjim, Though a whole month pass for me.
Nazar yār āwim matay, Perchance my lover may appear to me
3rd Pers. Sing. with 2nd Pers. Aff.
Khair hōwi, Blessing to thee.
Allāh na ann̄̄i, May God not bring to thee.
Sātu pōwi, May paralysis fall on thee.
Putr ūhō jērhā pakri, As for a son, that one who is useful to thee.
Na dōh bēgāni gãa, māri lat tē bhani bâh, Don't milk a strange cow, lest she give you a kick and break your arm.
N.B.-The following seems to combine the lst and 2nd Affixes with the 3rd Pers. of the Potential.

Pir dā pākhar hōwim, May the blessing of the saint be on thee for my part.
3rd Pers. Sing. with 3rd Sing. Aff.
Jiwe jiwê nazdik pahunodā vanje, tiwe tiwê kujh rang nazar aundā vanjis, As she drew near, so some colour came into his sight.
3rd Pers. Plur. with 2nd Sing. Aff.
Marini samjhēnde, May thy advisers die.
Kai dalilê $p \bar{w} w i n i$, May some excuses occur to thee.
Future 1st Pers. Sing. with 2nd Sing. Aff.
Hath bhan ghat sāf, I will break your hand.
Hikbō gālh karēsā̃, One thing I will do to thee.
Future 1st Pers. Sing. with 3rd Pers. Aff.
Nērē àwan na disānis, I will not let him come near.
Future 3rd Pers. Sing. with 1st Pers, Aff.
Visar na vēsim, Forgetfulness will not come by me.
Future 3rd Pers. Sing. with 2nd Pers. Aff.
Kōi bia waqt asiā, Will a second opportunity come to thee.
Kitā karājā lōrh vasiā, All you have done will be thrown away for thee.
Lagā dāng na lahsiā, This stain will not otherwise come off for thee.
In these three the pronominal affix has been lengthened, coming after a vowel the same as itself, and in the first two the root vowel has been shortened.

Future 3rd Pers. Sing. with 2nd Pers. Plur. Aff.
Yaqin āsivé, Assurance will come to you.

Future 3rd Pers. Sing. with 3rd Plural, Aff.
Ş̧̃h khāsōni, The tiger will eat them.
Tuture 1st Pers. Plur. with 3 Pers. Aff.
Sabh hāl puch ghinsāftis, We will enquire into his affairs.
Māl chik ghinsāfíis, We will steal away his cattle.
n these forms a superfiuous a has been inserted possibly to represent sative.
Present Participle Sing. with 3 Pers. Aff.
Rihā pital dā hōndis, His hinder parts are of brass.
 it were an enemy, then ere this all the city would have been blown up by him.
?resent Participle Sing. (as Present Tense) with 2 Sing. Aff.
Na t* jhugē kứ bhā lēndāt, Otherwise I set fire to your huto ?resent Participle Sing. with 3rd Plur. Aff.

Jérhé vēlhē un dā ma'lūm thindōní, When its real condition became known to them.
Present Participle Plur. with 2nd Sing. Aff.
Vairi vasdêi saure, Thy enemies dwell near.
Past Participle Fem. Plur. (Sindhi ending) with 3rd pers. sing. Aff. U vēhē itli piê pii gifí is, At that time so many lice fell on him.
Atkhi kañí hanjhíi nikal ãytis, From his eyes tears came out.
They are used with the negative. This use is not found either äçmiri or Sindhi. The root of the negative is either na or nit 1ahĩ). The variety of forms taken by this when pronouns are hed is very great, but the following are some of the most non.

Multän. Shahpur.

> Thal The Btr.

Present. Past.

| ling. | Nimhe | Nāh | Nāhis | Nissif | The form lst Pers. Nisi, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Nisi <br> Nimbfif | 3rd Per. Nihā are given by O'Brien as met by |
| Sing. | Nivi | Nūh | Nāhẽ | Nihư | him in the Maltā |
|  |  |  |  | Nih\% | District. |
| Sing. | Nibi | Nahi | Nāh $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ | Nissfí | Of the 3 forms given |
|  |  | Nih第 |  |  | for the lst Sing. in |


| 1st Plur. | Nisse | Present. Past. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nāhẽ | Nāhsē Nāhā | Nisse <br> Nise <br> Niss馬 | the Bār, Nissit is used as a Nominative, Nimhif as an Agent. |
| 2nd Plur. | Nivoe | Nêbè | Nāhe | Nihe |  |
|  | Nivhe |  |  | Nihye |  |
| 3rd Plur. | Ninhé | Nahf | Nāhin | Ninne |  |
|  | or Nivve |  |  |  |  |

Notrs.-I expect the common Negative nahł originally represented the Negative, with a 3rd Pers. Plur. affix either Nominative or Dative.

The form Nimhế is peculiar. When it is used direct as a Nominative, it is almost the rule to express the pronoun, as well, in its Nominative form (see examples). This is either for emphasis or becanse the people are forgetting that the pronoun is expressed in the " m ," though they have so far remembered it, that they never use this form except with the lst Pers. Sing.

Its origin is uncertain, but can be accounted for if the ordinary form nahl did represent $n$ inhê. Then nimhê might be resolved into na $m$ ihê.

| Not I them | do know. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nimhế | jāṇdā. |

The only ones in common use round Multān are those for lst Sing. and lst and $2 n d$ Plur. and to them our examples will be confined.
a. They are used with a Nominative Sense. Thus1st Sing.

Maĩ nimhê jāndā, I do not know.
Nisĩ karēnda, I am not doing anything.
Kajh 'art nimbễ kar sagdā. I can make no excuse. 1st Plar.

Kam nisse karende, We are not doing any work. 2nd Plur.

Nive (or Nivhē) jānde, Do you not know.
b. They are used as Agents.

1st Sing.
Maī insān di bū nimhé singhī, By me a man was not 80 much as smelt.
Hik nimhế dittā ghat, One short was not given by me. 1st Plur.

Nisse saṇiā, Not by us was it heard.
Assã kōi nisse diţhā, By ns none was seen.
Sazà nisse ditti, Punishment las not by us been given.

2nd Pers. Plur.
Mişā nivles suṇi, Has this proverb by you not been heard. Jēkar mēḑa nṭh nivhē diţhā, If my camel not by you was seen.
c. They are used by Dative.

1st Pers. Sing.
Nimhe khatra jind dā, To me is no fear of life.
Nimhế ruh katay te, To me is no heart for spinning.
1st Pers. Plur.
 to death.
Aje hukm nisse dhēa, No order has been given to us. Sbāhpur.
2nd Pers. Plar.
Iwe nivhe karant, It is not for you to act in this way. But only when the pronoun so expressed is the prominent object in the sentence. We cannot say Un nisse àkhiā, He did not say to us.

# Soparation of Bansuara from Dungarpur State in Rajputana-By Mohanlac Vishnolal Pandia, M.B.A.S., M.A.S.B., M.G.V.S., and late Prime Minister of Pratabgarh. 

[Read November 1896.]
It is generally known that Bānswārā, Dūngarpur, Partābgarh and Udaipur States are at present under the Mēwār Residency. They are. all of the same Sisodiä clan of Räjpüts which claims to have descended from Rām.

There are a good many amusing traditions being related in connection with the separation of Bānswārā from Dūngarpur, but I give place here only to what the author of the Rājpātănā Gazetteer has written about it, taking his facts from some looal chronicle, I believe. In this quotation all the annals have been briefly related. He says :-
"The Rāwals of Bānswārā are a junior branch of that family of the Sisodiā clan of Rājpāts which is now ruling in Dūngarpar, from which they separated about the year 1530. At that period, and for many years previously, the whole conntry, which now comprises the two states of Bānswārā and Dūngarpur, was known as Bāgar and was under the dominion of the family of the Sisodiäs, which still hold Düngarpur, though the Chief's control over the lawless Bhils inbabiting the wilder part of his territory was merely nominal. Udaisingh, who came to power in A.D. 1509, had two sons, the elder named Prithviräj and the younger, Jagmäl. He himself marched under his kinsman Rānā Sāngā of Citōr, against the Emperor Bābar, and was killed at the great battle of Kanwa in 1528. After his death his territory was divided between his two sons, and the descendants of the two families are the present Chiefs of Dängarpur and Bānswārā. Whether this division was made amicably or by force is not clear. There is a tradition that Udaisingh ordered it to be made before he died. There is another legend that Jagmälsingh, his son, was left for dead on the battle-field, but recovered, and on returning to his country was disowned as an impostor. Thereapon be took refuge in the hills to the north of the present site of Bānswārā, and having collected a body of followers began to make incursions into his father's territory. This asylum is still

Jagmir. It is related that Jagmall's first acquisition of territory came about in this way. In those days there resided to the east of the Mahi river a powerful nobleman, who hardly deigned to acknowledge the authority of the ruler of Dūngarpur. His estate was known as that of Kuanya. With him Jagmāl speedily came into collision, and a protracted feud ensued. After harassing each other for a number of years, they at length, became reconciled, and on the death of the old Thakur of Kuanya, Jagmāl gained possession of his estate without opposition. Having thus obtained a firm foothold, he turned his arms against the Bhils, who held nearly the whole of the country now constituting Bānswārā. Where the town of Bānswārā now stands there was a large Bhil pāl or colony ander a powerful clieftain named Wasnā, and against him Jagmāl directed his principal attack. Wasnā was killed during the storming of his pāl, his followers were roated, and his land passed into the hands of his Rājpūt conquerors. The name Bānswārā is by tradition said to be a corruption of Wasnāwärā.

Jagmāl now transferred his residence to Bānswārā, whence he continued his forays against Dāngarpar and the Bhils. In Dūngarpur, Udaisingh had been succeeded by his elder son Prithvirāj, and the two brothers, finding their continual border war intolerable, agreed to abide by the arbitration of the Rājā of Dhär as to the partition of their lands. Accordingly in 1529, the river Mali was fixed as the boundary between the two states of. Dūngarpur and Bānswā̀ā which since that date have remained perfectly distinct and independent of one another.

Other records relate that the Chief of Bāgar, Uduisingh, divided his territory at his death between his two sons, Prithvirāj and Jagmāl.

There is little of note to be found in the history of Bānswā $\cdot \bar{a}$ till in 1812, nearly three centuries after its foundation, the then Chief, anxions to get rid of the supremacy of the Mahrattas, offered to become tribatary to the British Government. In 1818 a definite treaty was made, and soon afterwards the tribute formerly paid to the Mahratta Chief of Dhär was transferred to the British Government."

But the Persian historiang are quite clear on the doabtful points. Farishta while relating the events of Sulțān Bahādur Shāh of Gujarāt [see Appendix A] says :-
"He (Sultān Bahādur Shāh) struck the drum of intention towards Mohrāsa, and after reaching there, Khudawand Khān and other nobles came and paid their respects (to him). Then, after repeated marches, the King entered Bägar, and having taken possession of that country as far as desirable, he established watch-stations at every place. Parsurām Rājā of Bāgar had to present himself before the Sultān, and his son, discorering the excellency of Isläm, became a convert to MuhamJ. I. 22
madauism before Sultān Bahādur, and became one of the great men of the Court; and Jagmāl who was the brother of Parsarām with his followers wandered amid mountains and deserts, and despairing of his life went to Ratan Si , son of Rānā Sāngā, and made him a mediom to approach the king's presence. By chance, the Sultān, while hunting, arrived at Bānswā̄̄̄. Ratan Sì, son of Rānā Sāngā, as a token of respect and humility sent ambassadors and craved pardon for the fanlts of Jagmāl. The Sultān, having accepted his request, called Jagmāl, and building a magnificent mosque at Ghāt Karci, conferred that village upon Prithvirāj and divided the remainder of Bāgar between Pritivīiāj and Jagmāl equally, and remained there for some days hanting."

Also the Mirāt-i-Sikandari relates. [See Appendix B.]
"In A.H. 937 (the Sulttān Bahādur Shāh) invaded Bāgar in order to conquer it, and when he reached Khānpur, which is situated on the banks of the Mahandri, he ordered Khān A'zam Assaf Khān and Khudāwand Khān Wazir to proceed at the head of the army to Bāgar, and the Sultān with a big army turned his attention towards visiting Khambāyat and Dēō. He reached Khambāyat on Muharram 20th. Hence he reached Port Dēō in a boat. He purchased for Government the whole of the cargo which was in the ships. It is said that besides other things there were. 1,300 mannds of Rosewater. To the Romans who had come with Muştafã Rūmí, he showed a favóur and established for them in Dēō a place of residence. He entrusted the management of Port Dē̄ to the care of Malik Toghāi, son of Malik Ayyāz and he himself went back to Khambāyat. He reached Khambāyat on the 5th of Safar. He stopped there one day, and on the next started for Muhammadābād. He reached the latter city on the 27th of the same month. Fatḥ Khän, Qutb Khān, and 'Umar Khān Lōdi, relations of Sultān Bahlōl, who had sought refuge with the Sultan during the quarrels of the Maghals, paid their respects to the Sultan. The very first day three handred dresses of gold cloths, fifty-five horses and some lacs of Tinkas were bestowed on them for their expenses. Afterwards he started for Bāgar, and joining the army in the vicinity of Mohrāsa thence renched Bāgar, after continual marching. Prithvirāj, Rājā of Dūngarpur, came and paid his respects at Sītal. The son of the said Rājā became a convert to Islām. Afterwards leaving the army at the said place, he himself (Sultān Babādur) started alone towards Bānslā for hunting, and went on hunting till Ghät Karcī. Here the ambassadors of Rājā Ratan Sì and of the Rājā of Citōr, named Dūngar Si and Jājar Si , came and paid their reverence and offered presents. Afterwards the Sultān returned to the army and conferred the village
tal upon the newly converted Musalman, the son of Prithiraji, ave the one half of Bāgar to Prithirāj and the other half to āl."
Now we can fairly conclude that the account of the separation of אārā from Dūugarpur given in the " Rājpūtānā Gazetteer" and Chiefs and Leading Families in Rājpūtānā," cannot be regarded ictly accurate in all its details.
The Persian Historians show that the division in two equal parts aade by Sultān Babādur Shāh of Gujaràt in A.H. 937 (Vikrama at 1587 and A.D. 1531) between the two sons of Mahārāwal ingh of Ḍūngarpur, namely, Prithviraj, and Jagmāl. The Rānā Sì son of Rānā Sāngā of Citōr had sent his two Wakîls, Ḍūngar Si ajar Sī, with presents to apologise for Jagmāl's faults. A son of vīrāj had embraced Muhammedanism before the Sultān, and the e Siital was consequently conferred upon him. A magnificent e at Ghāt Karci was built by the Sultān. The country was at time known as Bāgar and Bānswārā as Bānslā.
believe there are some errors in Farishta's account, as it contains places the wrong names of the Rājā of Bāgar as Parsurām,
is one error more. Farishta has the reading 'Ghät Karci,'
is at present the name of a town in the possession of the vārā Chief, and is close to the town of Bānswārā, butanother copy
' Khat Kārā' which is said to be in the Dūngarpur territory, $k$ the latter to be the most probable reading.
We village Sital, which was bestowed by the Sultãn on the newly rted Muslim son of Prithviràj, is at present in the possession of üngarpur State. It must be left for further investigation, how illage passed into the possession of Dūngarpur Chief.
Where is another point, the truth of which is also doubted by the r of the Gazetteer. He says :-" There is little of note to be in the history of Bānswārā till 1812, nearly three centuries after undation." But if we look at the Akbarnāma and other Persian ries, there are some facts worthy of note in connection with the momedan period in the history of Bānswārā :-
Firstly, that when Akbar leaving Ajmir in A.D. 1577 passed gh the Mēwār territory on his way to Mālwā, Partāp Singh, son gmāl, showed submission to the Emperor, and he was awarded Cansab and other imperial favors in recognition of his due subon and military services.
In 1594 A.D. Rāwal Partāb Singh's grandson, Ugrasain, began to der the imperial villages on the border. Thereupon the Malwā dār, Mīrzā Shāarukh, attacked Bānswārā. The Räwal fled to the
hills and Mirza returned unsuccessful to his headquarters.
The next year Bānswārā was again attacked by the said Mirza. The Rāwal did not oppose him, bat offered presents for the Emperor, and so peace was preserved.

In 1639 A.D. Samar Si, grandson of Ugrasain, having proceeded to Delhi, presented to the Emperor thirty thousand rupees, in cash, three elephants, one pāndān, ie., betel-box and one Khanjar (sword). He also received the Mansab and other honors from the Emperor.

In 'Alamgir's time Mahārāwal 'Ajab Singh, grandson of Samar Sig, made incursions on the border of the Udaipar territory, and the Mahārānā Amar Singh, consequently, wanted to punish him. But Nawāb Aşad Khan, Wazir of the Emperor, mediated in the matter and had the dispute peaceably settled.

## APPENDIX A.
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The Bakhtiãri Hills, an itinerary of the road from Is $/$ ahãn to Shush/ar.By Richard Burn.
[Read November, 1896.]
My object in this note is merely to give a brief account of the little-known route from Işfähān to Shushtar across the Bakhtiäri Hills. The present state of our knowledge of the curious people inhabiting the country has been fully discussed by Curzon, ${ }^{1}$ and a hasty journey of fifteen days does not enable me to add much, except a precise description of the stages. I may note that Major Sawyer of the Intelligence Department has made extensive tours in the Bakhtiāri Hills, but his valuable report is at present treated as confidential by the Government of India.

October 30th, 1894. Our caravan consisted of Mr. C. N. Seddon of the Bombay C. S. and myself, one servant and two maleteers, with three riding and three baggage mules. Leaving Julfa, the saburb of Iṣfāhān in which most of the Europeans live, at 9 A.m., our road took us through a most fertile plain. At 2 p.m. we halted for breakfast by the Bāgh-i-Wahsh, where a mud wall alone marks the place where Shāh 'Abbās had his menagerie. Beyond this place, the land is low-lying and produces excellent cotton, then being picked. It was 7 P m., before we reached our halting place, the large village of Bistajān, ${ }^{2}$ where the only lodging we could get was in a long cattle shed which we had to share with our mules.

October 31st. This part of the Cahār Mahal is very beantiful. The road at first lies close to the Zendarūd, the river that flows past Işfāhān. It was fringed with canārs, in all the glory of autumnal tints, while rice and cotton were being harvested in the fields close by, and the number of villages dotted here and there by the river attested the fertility of the soil. Shortly after crossing the river, there is an ascent of some hundred feet, and on passing the crest the view is the

[^23]usual treeless plain of Persia. A little farther on, however, is a stream, and following it, we came to Kava Rakh, another village of considerable size, having a saraīi in which we got a room. A Lūr who had travelled to both Teherān and Mashhad was staying in the sarā̄, and gave us his views on things in general. According to him the Zill-as-Sultān has now little influence, while Isfandiār Khān the present Ilbegi or junior ruler of the Bakhtiäris is gaining in strength and popularity. He asked many questions about India and England, and finally requested us to take him with ns, and on our demarring said that a Russian had offered him a grove, land, and 4 tomāns (=Rs. 15) a month if he would settle in Russian territory.

November 1st. Starting at 9 A.m., we marched through a.very large plain, which is well watered and highly cultivated. To the west were two ranges of considerable hills, and beyond them was the snowy summit of the Kūh-i-Rang, (12,500 feet). At 11-30 A.m., we reached the village of Dih-i-Khurd, which I may note is not on the regular route, the cārcadär or muleteer having taken us there to pick up the rest of his caravan. Passing through the village to look for a lodging, we saw about 50 men taking leave of their friends before starting on their pilgrimage to Karbala, a long journey and not free from danger. Their friends kissed them on both cheeks, and then salāmed, but touched shoulders and chest also as if crossing themselves. The village was extremely dirty, and the ouly public buildings it possessed, a mosque and Imāmzāda, were mean and squalid. It was here we first saw the cattle of which Chardin speaks. ${ }^{1}$ They are a stardy breed; shorthorned, and considerably larger than those of southern Persia. We got a room in the house of a man who had been to Karāchi with mules, and was called Hàji in consequence, though he had never been to Mecca. The house was like an ordinary Indian house, with a porch, courtyard, and living houses round it. In the courtyard was a frame on which a pair of saddle-bags or kharjin were being woven.

November 2nd. The night was extremely cold, and when we began our march at 9 A.M., the water-courses were still covered with ice. Crossing the plain to the south and passing an Armenian village, with the usual large cemetery by it, we reached the edge of the plain and climbed a low ridge. In the valley beyond lies the village of Shamsabād, in spring surrounded by standing corn, but at this season the only green thing to be seen was fields of lucerne. We marched straight down the valley and through the defile at the south end where the little river is crossed by a stone bridge. The road lay for a short

1 Coronation of Solyman III King of Persia p. 147. "This Province furnishes Isfahan and the neighbouring parts with cotton."
distance along the stream in a very stony pass, and finally came ont in another valley where are two villages, the name of the principle one being Khariji. Crossing the river again we skirted some high ground and then passed over undulating hills rising gradually higher, and came into sight of the massive ranges Zarda Kāh and Safid Küh lying to the west and south-west. In the centre of the next valley lay the village of Shalamzār, ${ }^{1}$ the halting place we should have reached the day before. There were a few trees, and the whole valley is carefully cultivated, but the only crop we saw was lucerne. The cemetery contained as usual three or four graves on which stood rudely carved leopards, whether as a symbol of the qualities of the deceased, or to scare away wild beasts, is uncertain. There are no sarāis on this road beyoud Kava Rukh, and it was with some difficulty that at last we got an upper nom. As usual a curious crowd watched all we did, and prased us the qaliān, but the tobacco was rank and strong. In return 1 handed them a cigar, which was also handed round, but as they tried to inhale the smoke nobody got very far with it.

November 3rd. We soon reached the foot of the hills on the south-west of the valley and the climb was exceedingly steep. The summit of the pass is $8,600^{\prime}$ above the sea, and this is the highest point on the road. On the other side the descent of $600^{\prime}$ is extremely rough, though but little engineering would be required to make it practicable even for artillery. The valley in spring is covered with grass and flowers, but at this season there was nothing but gorse. The road followed the Thalweg till the stream tarned north when we crossed it and ascended the western hills. From the crest we saw the town or large village of Naghūn at our feet in the midst of cultivated fields well watered by a stream. The descent was again very rough; just at the bottom of the hill was the house of the Ilbegi, rather a rude structure built of stone, but possessing a high square tower like a Norman Keep that could be strongly defended. The Ilbegi resides here on his way from Cighakhur, the sardsir to Māl Amir, the garvsir. At the time of our visit he was at Ardal, the residence of the Ilkhani. We sent in a letter of introduction from the Governor of Iṣfāhān to whom the Ilbegi is (nominally at any rate) subordinate, and a room $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ in a building close by was allotted to us, and the naual "istiqbā " of a sheep, a quantity of rice and clarified butter (raughan) was sent. From our room we could see the snow-capped Küh-i-Gerra to the north-west. In the afternoon Hāji Ali Qali Khān, brother of the Ilbegi Isfandiār Khān, who is Sartip or general of the Bakhtiāri cavalry in the Persian army, visited us. He was a mosit intelligent and pleasant

[^24]n, and could read a little French. Daring my stay in Persia I only two Persians who knew any English. We asked the Sartip why $y$-preferred French, and he said that it was because more of the opeans, especially Rassians and Germans, who visited Persia, knew He expressed a high opinion of the English methods of Governat, and said that if we held Persia the province of Arabistän would as fertile as Egypt. When the conversation came upon Afghānistãn, what would happen there when the Amir dies he said "Urūs avage' ast, Inglis 'civilise.'" His view of the Russian administion in Central Asia was that though on the whole good, it was dlessly cruel, and the people were treasuring ap their wrongs, while re was no freedom for Muslims to follow their religion, and Afghans ag a free people would resent this. A suggestion that in this lity they resembled the Bakhtiaris pleased him. He thought that ruggle between the Rassians and English was inevitable, but that battle ground would be Persian more especially if the Russians d an advance on Herāt. A Sassanian coin and engraved seal were sented to me by the Sartip.
November 4th. As we both had fever and ague we had to halt for the , most of which was spent in receiving visits. Major Sawyer appears 1ave made a great impression on the people, and several men spoke im. The sons of Isfandiār Khān and the Sartip, each aged about came to see us. Like most Persian boys they were very grave and -possessed, but seemed keeu sportsmen; they had learnt a little nch in Teherän. The other brothers of the Ilbegi whom we saw not appear such good specimens. One of them came both evenings, begged some brandy. We obtained a box of the sweetmeat called ' here. It is prepared from the droppings of a tree also called e, a kind of tamarisk, extremely like, if not the same as, the Indian ish.
November 5th. The Sartip told off a "tufangci" to accompany and informed us that while we were in the hills we were the guests he Ilbegi. The road led down to a river called the Rūa Rūd, which trates admirably the method of irrigation in these hills. It differs $a$ that in other parts of Persia, as the surface water is so plentiful the underground channels with qanāts leading to the surface are necessary. On each side of the river the soil is terraced, the fields rule being oval in shape, and rising one above another. At the 1 of the valley a small canal takes out of the river on each side, water is taken from these as required. After fording the river began to ascend again. A curious flower, sometimes yellow and etimes parple, growing out of the stony ground with no leaves, J. 1. 23
and no stalk to speak of, was plentiful. There were also flowers resembling that called "Bachelor's buttons" in country places in Eugland, and hawthorn, now losing its leaves. The forest land also began here, most of the trees being chestnats or scrub oak. ${ }^{1}$ From the crest of the Hill we had a flne view of the Küh-i-Gerra with the Karun river coming through a gorge to the north and flowing south. The track as usual was very bad, and we slipped about a good deal before we reached the collection of hovels forming the village of Dupulān. ${ }^{2}$ The methods of our tufangci rather reminded me of a tahsili chaprāsi, bat the only lodging he could get for us was in a stable.

November 6th. We bought some rugs of local manufacture, and when I enquired for coins a man produced some brass forgeries of ducats. There was no coherent inscription; but there were Roman letters, some upside down and some sideways. Just below the village we crossed a stream by a rickety wooden bridge with a flooring of brushwood, and a little farther on crossed the Karun over the remains of a stone bridge repaired with branches of trees. Ascending the hill we caught up to a Persian travelling with a friend, and two or three tufangcis all being armed with Martinis in good condition. After a little conversation he asked us point blank to give him a present, and as wé refused, he left us. We halted for breakfast by a stream called the Sardāb, after which the road crosses an undulating plateau, almost bare of trees, and hence called Puzhmurda. ${ }^{3}$ The edge of the plateau is abont $7,500^{\prime}$ and then came a steep descent of several hundred feet, at the bottom of which was another stream, by the side of which were the white stones and heaps of rubbish murking the site of an encampment of the Iliāts who had left for the Garmsir. The place is called Gandumkal, or the village of wheat, and it was surrounded by stubble. The stream had dried ap, and crossing the bed and a dip beyond we ascended to a height of $7,550^{\prime}$ by an easy climb. The road led sonth along the crest and there was a magnificent gorge to our right, which we finally reached by a tiring descent of $2,500^{\prime}$. The valler was very beautiful with high well-wooded hills on either side, and a river flowing through it. The autumnal tiuts were brilliant, and we passed many little streams with wild vines clinging to the trees on their banks. As we reached the village of Sarkhūn, I heard a man call out "Ai! Farangi! Injā barāe shumä manzil nëst" (Oh! Farangi! you 'can't stay here); the first and only instance of incivility we experienced in these hills.

[^25]got a room with great difficulty, and even then the bites of the with which it swarmed, the stamping of the mules, and the jingling eir bells, and the talking of men outside kept as awake.
November ith. Fording the river we were involved in a thicket rab oak, but finally found the track and ascended about $1,500^{\circ}$. road was easy and the scenery grand, in places almost park-like; e place we saw the heap of stones and sticks with rags tied on marking the Qadamgāh of some Imām. The country nndulates, here is gradual descent with a slight rise at the west, on topping a we saw the valley of the Bazuft. The descent was extremely and bad, and in places we had even to lead the baggage mules great care. When we reached the bottom we found that the hats all deserted, and not a man was to be seen. The place is called 'lmārat, but we saw neither bridge nor building besides the usual hovels. Our experiences at Sarkhūn, however, had left ns not dised for solitude, and we had the somewhat rare luxury in these of a comfortable wash in the clear cool river. It was one of the beantiful valleys we had seen, the hills rising to the height of $1,000^{\prime}$ almost from the water's edge, while the river was about a red yards wide, with a greenish tinge in the deeper parts. It uch warmer here.
Vovember 8th. Ascending the valley for a short distance, we found $d$ by which we crossed, and soon after we struck west up a the road being extremely ragged. Before our halt for breakfast re pleased to come across an Iliāt family on the march, who ed us with bread. They were marching with their flocks and with their black tents packed on ponies and cattle. The ascent ng, and the last mile or so was over almost bare, slippery rock, $e$ again reached a stretch of the park-like country we had seen
From the edge of this we saw at our feet a large valley stretchway to the west and north-west, with two or three villages in sight. escent to Dih-i-Diz, a large village, made us very hot. We were sably received here, and spent the evening talking to the Khān's er. On our asking for coins they told us they had some curios ii) and produced three bronzes, an Apollo and a Hercules about each and a greyhound about 6 ins. long. I cannot say whether they genuine or not, bat the price asked, 200 tomans or Rs. 700 was d us. There can be no doubt that Alexander and his army passed gh these hills, but the spurious ducats had made us careful, and the es may have been fragments of modern candelabra. This village Garmsir, and the whole valley is very fertile, producing most but cotton. They grow figs, grapes, pomegrauates, cherries and
tobacco. We got some dried figs pressed into a ball. They are small, but of fine flavour.

November 9th. Our march lay by the side of a small stream we liad traced from its source. We saw a dead snake nearly $3^{\prime}$ long soon after starting, and on the road passed many pomegranate orchards with the marks of Iliät encampments, and saw several parties on their way to the lower plains. After about ten miles we tarned suddenly to the southwest and crossing a steep ridge a few hondred feet high we came upon the Karun which is here a very swift deep river $60^{\prime}$ to $80^{\prime}$ wide flowing between high rocky banks. The mules were unloaded, while the boat on which we and our belongings were to be ferried across was prepared. It consisted of a kind of lattice of boughs, about $8^{\prime}$ square, under which thirteen inflated goatskins were tied. A small boy with a bough five feet long with a piece of wood about half the size of the top of a bandbox tied across the end, sat on the front and paddled hard. The stream swept it rapidly down, but we got into a back eddy and landed safely, the mules being simply driven iuto the water and having to swim across. Our halting place, Gūda-i-Balūtakk ${ }^{1}$ is close to the other bank, and we got a room without difficulty. From Dupulān to this place the huts are of the same description. One wall is the rock of the hill side, the others being loose stones piled up, and roughly plastered on the inside, while the roof is made of branches of trees, barked bat not shaped and covered with plaster, small stones and earth, so that from outside it looks like the ordinary ground. In a large room there may be one or two pieces of tree trunks as pillars. The houses are in terraces, so that the roof of one row serves as the cattleyard of the one above.

Norember 10th. The road lay north-west through the dry bed of a river reminding one of the two kotals, the Pirzan and the Dukhtar on the road between Bushir and Shirāz. After a few miles we reached a sort of ridge connecting the hills on either side. From the top we saw a rather narrow valley with low hills on either side, and plunging into it, found the descent rough, especially at the end, where we came on two gorges branching north-west and north-hy-enst, there being a ruined fort called the Qil'ani-Mädar-i-Shāh, the usual halting place at the junction. We marched along the north-west gorge seeing numbers of partridges in the ravines running down to it. After a mile or two we turned west and came npon the wonderful canseway called the Jadda-i-Atābak, about which so much controversy has taken place. It is

[^26]ot $10^{\prime}$ wide, composed of large stones $8^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, put toher so as to form a kind of large stair, each step being about $12^{\prime}$ to long and $6^{\prime \prime}$ to a foot high. In the course of time the stones have ome rounded and very slippery, and an ordinary track is preferable, the work is oue that excites admiration. At the top we came on erfectly open tree-less plain, the forest ending here. At the end of plain was a steep descent of about $1,000^{\prime}$ over the remains of the sewny, and a succession of zig-zags of loose gravel. The view over plain of Māl Amir was very fine with the large lake Shat Band in north-west coruer. From the foot Māl Amir itself in 6 or 7 miles, the road is perfectly level, and in spring the view must be lovely. 1 Amir is a Garmsir, and consists now of a few thatched reed hats a mound, which appears to mark the site of an ancient city. To the th-west is the shell of a new fort in course of constraction, for this he winter dwelling of the Ilbegi. All spare hats were occapied by followers of the latter, who were accompanying his mother on a pilmage to Knrbula, and we had to sleep in a field with the shelter of of the black tents used by the nomads. It consisted of eighteen pes of a coarse cloth made from goat hair, each $20^{\circ}$ by one foot, in together, supported by two $7^{\prime}$ poles in the middle having a crossce between them about 4' $6^{\prime \prime}$ long. The front was held ap by four rt poles and the back was tied down to pegs within a few inches of ground. Two of the lady's grandchildren came to see us, one of om knew a little French. Owing to illness and the short time at our posal we were unable to explore the remains of the Shikaft-i-Sulaimān, ich have been fully described by de Bode, ${ }^{1}$ and Layard.' It is to hoped that the French who have received a concession from the rsian Government for exploration of its antiquities will not neglect 8 mound.
Niovember 11th. There are two roads from Māl Amir to Shushtar, by Qil'a-i-Yull, the usunl though longer, and that which we lowed which is shorter but more difficult. We crossed the plain to north-west corner skirting the lake, till we reached the village of 1 Mnetafa, a Garmsir of reed huts with remains of some of stone. e road then turned soath over slight elevations presenting no diffities till we reached a stream on the binks of which were several lages, of which Māl Saiygidi, our halting place, was distinguished a white Imāmzāda and a ruined fort. The old Saiyyid or head man the place gave us a new hut to stay in. It was only $7^{\prime}$ high in the

## 1 Travels II c XVII.

${ }^{2}$ Early adventures ( 1894 edition) CVIII. Layard has published the cuneiform ription.
middle with a door $3^{\circ}$ high, and the caves came down still lower. All the hats in the village were of the same kind of reeds cat in the riverbed close by, and thatched with similar reeds. The walls are smeared with mad and straw (kāh and gil). Oar host visited us after dinner and apologised for not being able to give us anything bat fowls.

November 12th. The muletoer greeted us with the cheerful news that our march would be the worst of the whole way, and though he had lied to us on almost every possible occasion hitherto, we found that he was correct. For the first hour or two, we wandered ap and down a labyrinth of small hills with hardly a track. In several places we passed over bare rock almost like the roof of a house, and once the mule our servant was riding went down such a drop, that, withont its falling or even stumbling, it shot him over its head. We crossed several streams fall of fish, and then began a very bad steep ascent, parts of which were the old causeway. The descent though not so bad was very steep, and we were glad to reach the bottom, where we found a few black teuts by the stream; the road lay down the Thalweg over .very rough and aneven ground, bat when we had completed about 20 miles we came out on a comparatively level plain, and the last four miles into Gūgird ${ }^{l}$ were eary. A stream we passed, called the $\bar{A} b-i-\underline{S h} \bar{h}^{\prime} r$, is largely impregnated with sulphur, which cansed it to smell badly. The low range of hills to the sonth of the road is called Asmäri, and an Innāmzäda close by the road bears the name of Sultān Ibrāhīm. Gügird contains 50 or 60 reed hnts mach larger and better made than the one we had.at Mäl Saiyyidi. This is the limit of the Bakhtiärì rale, so our tufangci took leave of us.

November 13th. The march was over fairly level, bat stony and barren country. According to Carzon's Map, ${ }^{2}$ we should have come to two villages named Tembi and Dara Knl, bat we could find no trace of these. After marching about 25 miles we renched a slight elevation covered with males belonging to a caravan on the march to Màl Amir. This place appeared to be the asual halting place and is called Läbäri. Three miles away to the south-west, we could see a village called Rāh Dāri. The only water we could get was brackish, and the mosquitoes were the most vicions I have ever known, except perhaps those at Pazeh near Persepolis. The only shelter we had was nnder a jujube bush.

November 14th. The rain and mosquitoes kept ns awake most of the night, and we made an early start at $5-30$ a.M. The road was easy, but every stream we passed was brackish, and aboat 10 A.m. I was attacked by ague and fever. Distances in the plain of Persia are most

[^27]ptive, and we were in sight of the two large domes of Shashtar for e hours before we reached the town, and crossed the stone bridge the $\AA$ b-i-Gerger, that wonderful irrigation channel cat through the

Shushtar and the Karun river from Shushtar to Muharrama been fully described by Curzon. ${ }^{l}$
The importance of the ronte I have described, lies in the fact that Isfāhān can be reached by a road journey of 250 miles instead of long road of 470 miles from Bushir via Shirāz, for steamers ran on Karan from Başra to Ahwāz and from Ahwāz to Shushtar. Rough the way, but little is needed to make the' worst parts as easy as the from Shirāz to Bughir. Even without this improvement, if sarāis built at the lalting places caravans would, quickly use the road. is, the trade which Lynch Bros. are trying to develop, increases slowly.

## TABLE OF DISTANCES.

|  | to | Miles. | From | to | Miles. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bistajān | 29 | Pul-i-'Imārat | Dih-i-Diz | 12 |
| jān | Kava Rugh | 21 | Dih-i-Diz | Gūda-i-Ba | atak 11 |
| Rakh | Shalamzär | 20 | Gūda-i-Balūtak | Māl Amir | 22 |
| zār | Naghūn | 12 | Māl Amir | Mā Saiyy | di 10 |
|  | Dupulān | .. 10 | Māl Saiyyidi | Gūgird | ... 24 |
| , | Sarkhün | ... 18 | Gūgird | Lābāri | . 25 |
| hūn | Pul-i-'Imārat or |  | Lābāri | Shushtar | 22 |
|  | Rūdāb | ... 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 248 |

These distances are if anything slightly under-estimated. Curzon the distance as 260 miles. I have omitted the détour we made Kava Rukh to Dih-i-Khurd ( 7 miles), as it is out of the way.

Persia II c. XXV.

On the Käcmiri Consonantal System.-By G. A. Grierson, C.I.E., Pe.D., I.C.S.
[Read May, 1897.]
The Kāçmiri consonantal system is based on that in use in most Aryan langaages in India. It can be well represeuted by the Çäradā Alphabet or by its congener the Dēvanàgari.

Kācmiri has discarded the aspirated soft consonants, $g h, j h, d h, d h$, bh. When such sounds originally occarred the corresponding unaspirated sounds are substituted. Thuq we have Käçmirī גेरण् gērun to surronnd, but Hindi छंरवा gher'nā ; K¢̣. mबि bø̌vi, he will be, corresponding to the Sanskrit भर्वति Lhavati.

Käçmirì has developed a new class of modified palatal consonants,
 fications of original palatals. Thus-

Skr.
जीर: cōrah, a thief.
बधित calati, he goes.
जछ्षर्षति chalayati, he deceives.
अलग् jalam, water.

## $K_{\text {ç }}$.

Sूर taūr, a thief.
यृि ta ali, he will go.
एि tshali, he will deceive.
ध.

So also we have the Skr. उस्लषवे utpadyaté ; Pr. जप्पष्जर uppajjai;
 born.

These modified palatals are also developed as secondary formations from dentals, followed by $\bar{u}$-matra, or by the semi-vowel $y$. Both these sonnds are palatal, for $\bar{u}$-mätrà represents an original long $\bar{i}$.

Thns, take the base रात् rāt-, night. Its nominative plural is


Again take the root बत् kat-, spin. The feminine singular of its Past Participle is formed by adding $\tilde{u}$-mâträ, and is $\frac{1}{\phi^{2}}$ kate ${ }^{3}$. Its

Participle is formed by adding बोष् yob, and is बहोप् katsov, the y ranging the $t$ to ta, and then being elided ander a subsequent rale. gain take the adjective $\frac{1}{\pi /[ } t a t$, hot. Its abstract noun is formed
 at तY: tatar, heat, the $y$ being elided as in the last case.
 t av worth, arise, Past Part., Fem. Sg., $\frac{1}{\text { an }}$ wrote $h^{\hbar}$, Aorist
 ss.
, पर् grand-, a counting: Nom. Pl. मेष्न grans; $\sqrt{ }$ ie lad-,
 high, घต! thazar, height.



te that as in the last instances, a final ■. $^{n^{\text {a }}}$, is usually written at this does not affect the pronunciation.
te, also, that the letter va $y$ is elided whenever it immediately one of these modified palatals. It is, however, retained in a Hos. These are given in my List of Käqmiri verbs (J. A. S. B., 6, p. 308).
sides the above, other instances of Palatalization occur. Thus,Cerebrals become Palatals before $₹ i$, च. $y$, but not before , or $\bar{u}$-matra. Thus-
se va. pat-, a tablet : Nom. Sg. Fem., formed by adding $\bar{u}$-mantra, , Ag. Sg. formed by adding F i, ven pact; Nom. Pl. formed ing ब $y^{4}$, पब pacy.
 Nom. PI. ait cache.


 J. 1. 24 - ख्बच्र् mठ̃̃jyar, bluntness.

Again, $\sqrt{ }$ पार phat, split: Aorist. Participle, पबो् phacyōo, but Past Part., Fem. Sg., परू phat* ; Nom. Pl. Masc. पІि phat.

मठ math-, forgotten : Aorist Participle, मबोत् manchyठ̃\% ; but Past

$\sqrt{ }$ लंड gaṇд, bind: Aorist Participle, मंब्योब् gañjyōv; but Past

(2) Gutturals become Palatals before $\bar{u}$-matra, and ब $y$, but not before $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i}$ or $\boldsymbol{i}$-mātrā.

Thus, बत्रु5 batuk", a duck: Fem. Sg., formed by changing $u$-matra to $\bar{u}-\mathrm{mä} t r \bar{a}$, बत्ष्प्, batqci".

 $\frac{d}{}{ }^{2} g^{i}$.

Again निक्ष nyukn, little : Abstract Noun, formed by adding यर् yare, fनधर् nicyar, littleness. 千िद्यु tryukh", clever; निब्बर trichyar cleverness :

Again, $\sqrt{ }$ बष that, be weary : Past Part., Fem. Sg., ai hack; Aorist Part. बबोष् thacyöv ; but Past Part., Masc. Pl., formed by adding

$\sqrt{ }$ बेता lakh, write: Past Part., Fem. Sg. बो li Rich ; Aorist Part. बेबोष् lēchyōv ; Past Part., Masc. Pl. \if līkhi.
 इब्बोब् dajyöv ; Past Part., Masc. Pl. $\frac{1}{\text { 'fa }}$ : $d a g^{i}$.
Exceptions are
 (iv, 48). ${ }^{1}$
 Abstr. Noun पूंब्त् khôkhyar (iv, 48).
(3) $l \boldsymbol{l}$ becomes $\overline{\text { I }} j$, when followed by $\bar{u}$-mätrā, or य $y$. Thus,

[^28]T. wal, a serpent's hole : Nom. Pl. बโणू wäj $j^{\bar{u}}$.

सुक्रू kumulu, delicate: छुणुज्ञात् kumujyar, delicateness.
poilu, fickle : बोब्बर् ioöjyar, fickleness.
पार pal, protect : Past Part., Fem. Sg. पाज $p \bar{a} j \bar{j}$. But Masc. final.
b) E $h$, becomes $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{q}$ before $\bar{u}-m a t r a ̄$, or घ् $y$. Thus,-
 : Nom. Pl. पोम्य pace.


f पि pin, pound: Past Part., Fem. Sg. पिश्य pig ${ }^{i}$; Aorist Part. pigyōv ; but Past Part., Masc. Pl. fut pili.
j) : shows a tendency to become © tosh before $\hat{u}$-mãtrā or य् $y$. ha case, also, the a $y$ is as usual elided. Thus,-


ब d bus, dwell : Past Part., Fem. Sg. $\frac{1}{\text { Ea AR }}$ bap $s^{\bar{u}}$, or (sometimes)
 बोष loos, be weary : Past Part., Fem. Sg. लूसू lūs or ल्रूक्र Fem. Pl. बोष loss or हों lota ${ }^{a}$.
ore that aa $\boldsymbol{y}$ is usually elided after $\mathrm{EP}_{\boldsymbol{8}}$. This is specially the n transitive verbs. A list of verbs in which صี $y$ is not elided n in my article on Kąęmiri verbs already alluded to, J. A. S. B. 96, p. 308.
inally,-in Kāęmiri, no word can end in an unaspirated hard ant. If an nnaspirated hard consonant falls at the end of a word, pirated. Thus,-
ease चक्, trak, a certain grain measure, Nom. Sg. वब्त् trăkh.

```
धख्ब्व ăkh.
का曹 kātghh.
    कठ् kăth.
    \nablaथ. häth.
```

Base शान् rät, night,亩亩 + Ti kar ${ }^{\mu}+t$, done by thee, ताप् tapp, heat,

Nom. Sg. राब् rath. dre. kearuth.
Nom. Sg. ताए् taph.

This aspiration does not occur if a final T् $t$, or $\boldsymbol{T}_{\text {. }}$ te forms part of a conjunct consonant. Thus,-

$"$ सद्ध mast, hair, $"$ बद्य mast.
This rule is commonly neglected when writing in the Persian character, in which,(for instance $\frac{1}{\text { a/ra }}$ karuth is represented by
mparative Vocabulary of the Gōndì and Kolami Languages.-By Daptann Wolselet Haig, Odficiating Deputy Commiesioner, Wun District, Berar.
[Read July, 1807.]
The following short comparative vocabulary of Göndi and Kolãmi sents the beginning of an unfortunately interrupted attempt to the Kōlàmi language. The only comparative vocabulary of these anguages to which I have had access is the long vocabulary cond in the "Notes" of the late Revd. S. Hislop, edited by Sir ard Temple when Chief Commissioner of the Central Provinces. Tislop's vocabulary is copions and instructive, but labours under than one serious disadvantage. One of these is the complete ce of any system in the representation of sounds by the Roman cter. Another is inaccuracy, the vocabulary containing in itself nce that it was never, as a whole, revised and corrected by Mr. p. The Kōlāms are an aboriginal tribe found in the Central Provinces in East Berar, more especially in the Wun District. They are od by Mr. Hislop and by Mr. Sherring (following him) as one of the Tribes. That they are a tribe of common origin with the Gonds can be no doubt, but inasmuch as they have, though interspersed in south-western portion of Göndwāns with the Gönds, preserved in distinctive customs, to say nothing of their langaage, which, gh allied to Göndi as may be seen from the vocabulary cannot be rstood by a Gōnd ; it is hardly safe to assert that they are a subion of the Göṇds. Kölāms differ considerably from Gönds in arance, and the Gönds, in Berar, at any rate, do not admit that they , Gönd tribe, while the Köläms on the other hand shew no anxiety considered so, but are rather inclined to repudiate the connection. Pradhāns (called "Pāţhāū" in Gōndī), a tribe whose langnage is ii, perform for the Göpds offices in many respects similar to those ned to Bhāts among Hindus, but they perform no such offices for ms and do not sing or provide music at their weddings and funerals ley do at those of the Gönds. It is not my purpose to attempt to
define the degree of relationship which exists between the Gropds proper and the Kölăms. I do not think that this can be done in the present state of our knowledge of these tribes. All that can be said at present. is that it does not appear that the Kolāms can be placed in the same category with Rāj Gönds, Dhadave Gönds and Kuţulvăr Göpds as a subdivision of the Gond tribe, or a tribe of the Gönd nation. What their exact position is with regard to such tribes is yet to be ascortained. I hope to publish, before long, some notes on the Gond tribes.

There are one or two points to be noticed with regard to the system which I have followed in representing Göndi and Kolāmi vocables by Roman characters and symbols. The term transliteration can hardly be applied to this process, both Göndi and Kolaàmi being anwritten languages. For the sake of convenience, however, I may as well say that I have followed as closely as possible the system laid down by the Societs for the transliteration of the Nāgari and allied alphabets ; i.e., the sounds represented by the Nagari letters are, as a rale, represented by the equivalent symbols recognized by the Society. Thus the cerebral sounds appear as $t, t h, d, d h$, while the sound of the nasal letter $F$ is represented by the symbol $j$, etc. I have devisted from this system in respect of the sounds represented in Marâthi by the letters - . and $\boldsymbol{m}$. These I have represented phonetically by the symbols ts, tsh and $d x$. The symbol $l$ represents the sonnd given in Maräfhi to the letter $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{2}$. I have used the short vowel mark ( $v$ ) over the letters $e$ and $o$ when those letters represent short sounds. I have also used it with other vowels when it has appeared to me to be necessary.

The capital letters in brackets, (H.), (M.) and (U.) signify Hindi, Maräthiand Urda, respectively. In the case of the last named language I have designedly drawn no distinction between indigenous words and those derived from Persian.

Vocabulary.

| English. | Göndi. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ant (black) | patter | simal. |
| Ant (white) | udri | sedal. |
| Antelope | kürs | pōtgörya. |
| Arm | kai | $k i y$. |
| Armpit | -kưtliz | - |
| Arrow | $t i ̄ r ~(U)$. | tī ( U. $^{\text {) }}$. |
| Ass | gärdi |  |
| Axe | mărs | gôllis. |
| Back | porreka | ven. |
| Bamboo | vyadưr | vetür. |

## Kölàmi.

simal. sedal. pōtgōrya. kiy.
tir ( $U$. .).
golli.
ven. vetür.
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English.
Basket
Bee
Bear
Beard
Bed
Before
Beginuing.
Bell
Bell (wooden clapper)

Belly
Blood
Blue jay
Blue ball (nilgao)
Bone
Bow
Box saldd, pzti (H. M.)
Boy
Branch (of a tree)
Bread
Brother (elder)
Brother (younger)
Buffalo (bull)
Buffalo (cow)
Ball
Bullock
Calf
Camel
Cheap (adj.)
Cheek
Cock
Consin (father's bro- tsulat tammür ther's son)
Cousin (mother's bro- mãvas tammūr ther's son)

| Child | pedal | bala (H. M.). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cow | mūra | kūto. |
| Crow | käkad | kōrr. |
| Dagger | sürī (M.), rügci | katār (H. M.). |
| Daughter | pêdgi | pilla ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
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| English. | Göndt. | Kōlâmi. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daughter-in-law | kōryal | lidrral. |
| Dawn | pahate (M.) | pethele (? H.), potiul. |
| Day | pödd, piyal | pate. |
| Deer (spotted) | teitra | tsitryalk. |
| Distant (adj.) | lak | $p \mathrm{Pr}$ dav. |
| Dog | nai | ate. |
| Ear | $k E v i$ (s.), kEvov (pl.) | kEv, (8.) kEeul (pl.). |
| Earth | dhartri (H. M.) | tūk. |
| Evening | pōddsutā, pōddbursmatā | potseddin. |
| Face | toddE | môkdm. |
| Father | babbal | ba, kivha. |
| Father-in-law | müryab | säsra (M.). |
| Fever | yērki | věssa. |
| Field | vãoar | vogad. |
| Fleeh | savi | näideul. |
| Fire | tarmi | $\boldsymbol{k i s .}$ |
| Fly (subs.) | vipi | nijal. |
| Food | dxihū | animbl. |
| Foot | kalk | gefta. |
| Forenoon | sakal (M.) |  |
| Forest | keda | arãoz. |
| Fort | killà ( U.) $^{\text {a }}$ | vada (M.). |
| Fox | kölyal (M.) | kolyak (M.). |
| Fruit | kadyā | pardd. |
| Girl | podiz | pilla. |
| Goat |  | meke. |
| Gold | soma (H. M.) | soñ (H. M.). |
| Grain | - | sonnal. |
| Grandfather | - | dobbalc. |
| Grass | $d x a d z$ | gaddi. |
| Hair |  | tir. |
| Hand | kai | kiy. |
| Heart | - | dsaden. |
| Heal | - | ubba. |
| Hide (subs.) | - | tol. |
| Hill | metta | male. |
| Hog | padì | turrè. |
| Honey | - | tones. |
| Horse | khōda (H. M.) | gurvam. |
| House | rö | clla. |
| Husband | muidō, mänasō | mãgvãn. |


| English. ckal | Gönd <br> koblyal | Kölamà. kölha (M.). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| war (sorghum ral- | dzönnd | sönnàk. |
| jare) |  |  |
| ngle | keda | $a r a v i$. |
|  | aki | yeg (s.) y ${ }^{\text {égul ( }} \mathrm{pl}$.). |
| ch | $d z E \bar{r} \bar{u}$ |  |
|  | kālk | getta. |
|  | privali | pěddèl. |
| d (adj.) | pisall $\quad$. | pisak. |
|  | mãnyă | pedda. |
| ternal nncle | mama (H. M.) | mama (H. M.). |
| ternal uncle's wife | - | appa. |
| nkey (red) | kōve | $k o ̄ t i$. |
| nkey (langūr) | gadde (m.), mündz (f.) | muĭ. |
| ther | mãya, baiye, aval | be. |
| ther-in.law | pöral | pōdal. |
| use | yělliz | clka. |
| ustache | mǐçal | misăl. |
| nth | ťdi̇ | mütī. |
| k | gŭrya | mak. |
| ght | narka | âle. |
|  | $n \bar{i}$ | nūne. |
| nther | karyãl | finnampul. |
| ot | dzätan | hōryäk. |
| rtridge | $k \begin{aligned} & \text { cal } k r a ̈ n d z ~\end{aligned}$ | - |
| ternal nncle | $k a ̄ k a ̄$ (H.) | kāko (H.). |
| acock | mal | namli. |
|  | padi | turre. |
| geon (green) | pönal | - |
| tain | kEr. (s.) kErēj (pl.) | kere (H. M.). |
| gh | narigyal | nȧrigar (M.). |
| - | vike | dzina. |
| mpkin | purka | burra. |
| tail (subs.). | uttī | säle. |
|  | yelliz | èlka. |
|  | sbvar | sŭpp. |
| mbar | sambar (H. M.) | kadãs. |
|  | vălū (M.) | vārū (M.). |
| 11 (adj.) | tsudōr | tsinnām. |
| ke (subs.) | pōya | pōg. |
| ke. | taräs | päm. |


| English. | Göndi. | Kölämi. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Son | mari | bala ( H. M.). |
| Spear | bartshi (M.) | barse (M.). |
| Stone | bainda | ghud. |
| Teak | -tEkd | tek. |
| Throat | ghöti | dodoro. |
| Tiger | burkāl | pull. |
| Tooth | palk | pall (s.) palkul (pl.). |
| Tree | mara | matk. |
| Tarban | pāgōfa (M.) | dzöde. |
| Village | nan. | ur. |
| Waist | nadĩ | tikanne, mullee (smaill of the back.) |
| Water | $y$ er | $i r$. |
| Wife | bäekō (M.), navari | kōläma. |
| Wine | kallū | sara. |
| Wolf | lã̀dgyal (M.) | larıdgä (M.). |
|  | NUMERALS. |  |
| One | uridì | dkk $\quad$ d. |
| Two | raid | indig. |
| Three | mūind | mūndī̀ |
| Four | nalù | nälìy. |
| Five | siyuy | aid. |
| Six | säruy | ar. |
| Seven | yerrug | satt (H. M.). |

For numbers above seven the Marāthī numerals are nsed. I have made careful inquiries on this point, and have always been told by Gōṇds that they have no numerals of their own above seven except nūr, which means "a hundred" and seems to be used as a collective noun. The Kōlāmi numerals go no higher than six.

POINTS OF THE COMPASS.

| East | pöddpaise | pallàm. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | phuräyìn | pōdēlāj. |
| South | talavadda | mētlã. |
| North | firayin | têlàj. |

There is little to be said regarding the vocabulary.
It is strange that the Gonds have not preserved their own names for the bow and arrow, weapons on which they probably relied for food and self-protection long before their speech conld have been influenced by the tongues from which the names now given to those
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ns are derived. I have satisfied myself by careful inquiry that万nds, in Berar at any rate, have no words for those weapons other hose given in the vocabulary.
ther curious point is the paucity of the numerals. I have made articular inquiries on this point. It is incredible that a people attained to the degree of civilization which the Gönds are to have reached, should have been unable to count beyond seven. uct that they have a word of their own for "a hundred," shews heir numeration was not so limited. The strange thing is that rds for the numbers above seven should have been lost.
he vocabulary which I have given is but meagre, and labours the disadvantages which must always attach to a mere list of

I hope at some future time to have an opportunity of further igating the Kōlāmi language.

The story of the Prodigal Son, translated into the Kurku Language.-By Rev. John Drake, Missionary to the Kurkus, Ellichpur, Berar.

[Oommunicated by G. A. Grierson, Pri.D., C.I.E., I.C.S.]

[Read Jaly, 1897.]
[The following translation is a specimen of another Aboriginal Langnage spoken in the Central Provinces and Berar. It has been prepared for Dr. Grierson in connection with his Linguistic Survey, but unfortunately reached him too late for that purpose. However, as trustworthy specimens of these Aboriginal Langnages are very rarely met with, the Editor readily accepted Dr. Grierson's suggestion to publish it in this Journal.

The Karkus, or Korkus as their name is spelt in the last Census Reports, are a Kolarian tribe living in different parts of the Central Provinces and Berar. We learn from Dalton's Descriptive Ethnography of Bengal (page 231) that.their name is said to be a plural of kūr " man." They are also spoken of as Muãsi, but this rather seems to be merely the name of one of their tribal subdivisions. The total number of Kurkns is entered in the last Census Reports as 96,218 for Central Provinces, and 37,126 for Berar, thus giving a total of $1,33,344$. The Berar Censuis Report states that "the primitive hill-men are beginning to find their way into the world, and as they do so their language will probably merge into that of the province." Under such circumstances, it is to be hoped that the following specimen of their language, however short it may be, will still be welcomed. 1

As to the Author's system of transliteration, no attempt has been made to adapt it to the Society's system, on account of its dealing with the sounds of a Kolarian language, a general standard for which does not exist. He distinguishes every short and long vowel by different vowel-marks (except in the diphthongs ai and au); thus a and a, $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { a }\end{gathered}$ and $\varepsilon$, etc. The letter $\mathfrak{J}$ means a sound much like the German ch in ich, dich, etc.-Ed.]

1 A description of the Karkns by W. H. P. Driver will be found in this Journal, Vol. LXI, for 1892, Part I, page 128 fi.

Mīā kōrōn bāri kōnkǐng dān. Dō shǎni, itai hějă bāţ̄kěn māndī-
 Ghōnōch dín dā dŭntē shănī kōn shăbōkā gzlākē, do kālăngkǎ dēshōn ôľ̌n. Dó dēn hějæ māl būrā chāltěn bY̌ké. Dó diy̆ shǎbō pār shiākēgātěn di dêshōn kād kāl bōchō̌n, dō dījĕn kămtYyū êndāĕn. Do di
 sǔkărikākěn gŭgŭpi ănť̌n dị̛kěn kǔlkěnẹ̛. Dō sŭkărikū jŭjŭm dān di sālītěn dīj lājo bībi tǎkū dān; do dị̄kěn yē kā īwěj băng dān. Dī̆
 ghǎtāūbā, dō sărā̄yū êtō ghătāūbā, do Y̌ng răngějă mār gǔjū lăpkěn !







 dó dija tīn mūndī üriki, do dijă nāngān kaure ưriki. Do ābūng jưjŭm,
 ghătå̌n. Do dikū aiyẫ lăplıěn.
 hādīrū lăpkěn, di khěndōn dijj bājā sādi dō chŭsŭn ānjūmkē. Dō


 dān. Iñī běre dijjă bātē dārūmĕn häen, dơ dījkěn b̌̌ntikènẽ̛j. Dō díj
 dā̄ lapkěn, dō ămă hǔkūm ing tõnē kā khěndōn dāj dŭn; mêtin ingy ${ }_{\text {a }}$ kY̌błlīkū gělěn íng aiyā̄̄ lăgĭn àm Yngkěn miā shY̌ri kōnkēn tai i dŭn.
 axm dijă ăntin bhānā ike. Do diy dī̧kěn māndīwěnȩ̌, kōn, ăm shăbōkā dǐn îng gělĕn pĕř̌ākū lăpkĕn, dō Yngy̌ shǎbōkā ămă kā. Aiyāū dō āriyū ăwăl dān, ămă bōkōte gọ̣̆n dān, dạ. êtā jitā̃n; dō ādjø̆n dān, dō ghǎtāěn.

Notes on the Vernacular dialects spoken in the District of Säran.-By Girindranart Dutt, Superintendent, Rāj Hatwà. [Communicated by G. A. Grierson, Ph.D., C.L.E., I.C.S.]
[ Read July, 1897.]
The political boundaries of the District Sāran are:-
North.-The sab-division of Bētia in the District Campāran and parganā Sidhwả Jobnāhā in Paraunā Tahsil of District Görakhpur.

South.-Parganā Sikandarpur and parganā Kharid of Baliā which is now a separate District having been detached from Ghäzipur and Azamgarh; parganās Arā and Bārahgā̃ of District Shāhābād and Dinapur and Bankipur side of District Patna.

East.-The sub-division of Mōtihārı. in District Camparan; the sub-divisions of Muzaffarpur and Hajjipur in District Tirhat.

West.-Parganā Salempur Majhauli in Deoriyā-Tahsil in District Gōrakhpur.

Its natural boundaries are the following :-
East, the river Gap̣dak; South, rivers Gögrā and Gangea ; North, rivers Chơtā-Gaṇaki and Khanwā ; West, Chōtā-Gandaki.

Both the natural and the political boundaries of the District have influence on the various dialects spoken in it, and these dialects though differing a good deal from one another are not such as to be unintelligible to their respective speakers.

The following is a list of the Parganās of the District:-


| Pargană. |  |  |  | Square miles. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11. | Marhal | ... | ... | ... | 101.73 |
| 12. | Narhan | ... | - | .. | 46.73 |
| 13. | Paclak | ... | ..0' | ... | 119.74 |
| 14. | Sipāh | ... | .. | ... | 116.36 |
| 15. | Cirand | ... | ... | ... | $42 \cdot 27$ |
| 16. | Kasmar | .0. | ..0 | ... | 116* |
| 17. | Maner | ... | ... | ... | 2. |

If we take the internal boundary of the District we find it is Bounded on the north by parganās Kuāri and Sipāh; the south, Andar, Narhan, Kasmar and Cirand ; the east, Dangsi, Marhal and Makēr ; the west, Caubār and Paclak.

On a close observation it will appear that the conterminous parganãs of the neighbouring districts speak a hybrid tongue, each adopting some of the vocabulary of their neighbouring parganās; and differing a great deal, say eight to twelve annas, from the language spoken in the district proper or in the head-quarters. Travelling from the north, for instance, we come across the Khanwapäri bōli of Mārar Tappä, which is an admixture of three dialects :-1. The Nawāpari dislect of pargană Salēmpur Majhaulī. 2. The Jobnāhā dialect of parganā Sidhwā Jobnāhā. 3. The Kuārīhā dialect of parganā Kalyānpur Kuāri in district Sāran. Of these the two first differ from each other by so much as eight annas, although the two parganās are conterminous; and though situated in the same district of Gorrakhpar, their difference from the Görakhpari language is still greater. Hence it is not right to call any of these three pargana dialects by the general provincial name of Kanaujiā or Görakhpuri.

Although these different pargana dialects prevalent in the district, have not been given any specific names, they are differentiated from each other either (1) by the parganā or Țappă names such as Kuārīhã̉, Jobnāhā and Nawāpāri, or (2) by the name of the rivers which separate them, in the same sense as 'cis' and 'trans' are used in English. For an instance the people of Balia district will call the dialect on the other side of the river DEwhaparị bölī and vice versa.

The differences in the various dialects can be best classified under the following heads:-
(a) Difference in affixes. (verbal nominal or pionominal).
(b) Difference in substantive.
(c) Difference in indeclinables and phrases.
(d) Difference in pronouns.

Commencing from the north we find the small rivulet Khanwa dividing the parganā of Kalyānpur Kuäri, the northern portion of the
district, into two parts flowing between the two Tappās, Mārar and Bhōre. A Khanwāpāri or trapps-Khanwā dialect differs from the cisKhanwā or Kuārīhā dialect by some fopr annas, and more than eight annas from the dialects of the neighbouring parganās of Salempur Majhaulī and Sidhwā Jobnāh $\overline{\bar{\beta}}$.in the Gōrakhpur district. The dialects of parganā Salēmpur Majhauli and parganā Sidhwā Jobnāhā differ from each other by eight annas, although the two parganäs are conterminous. The annexed comparative statement $A$ of these northern dialects will olearly show that three different dialects are spoken in the north of the district, viz. ; (a) The Khanwāpāri bolī, influenced by the dialects of two parganās of Görakhpur ; (b) The Kuārīhā dialect; (c) The dialect on the eastern boundary of Sipāh, influenced by the dialects of the conterminous parganās in Campāran.

From north we travel towards the west into the pargana of Caubar and Paclak. The pargana in the district conterminons to these is parganā Salēmpur Majhauli, the dialect of which has beeu recorded in statement A. Looking at the list B, showing the dialect of Paclak and Caubār we find that the dialect in the west of Sāran is an admixture of Knāribā dialect of Sāran and Nawāpārī and Jobnāhā dialects of Görakhpur.

From the west we proceed to the sorth which for convenience's sake we divide into three blocks, passing through (1.) the parganās of Audar, a portion of Caubär, Narhan ; (2.) Mājhī, Bāl ; (3.) Kasmar and Cirand. The districts conterminous to these are (1.) Baliā; (2.) Shāhābād; (3.) Patna respectively.

The corresponding parganās of Andar, southern Caubār and Narhan are Sikandarpur and Kharid in Zila Baliā on the trans-Gögrā side, and the dialects of the parganās of the two districts are therefore differentiated from each other by the Dēwhāpäri bōli. The annexed comparative statement $C$ will show their difference and affinity.

Proceeding on, we come to the parganās of Mājhi and Bāl, the corresponding parganās of which on the trans-Gōgrā side are parganās Arā and Bārahgā̄̃ in district Shāhābād. Statement C will show their difference and affinity.

The bordering parganās of Shāhābād do not speak the strict Bhōjpuri böli which runs पसाणा जात बहुंबे or बाबत्त बडुये, $\bar{\nabla}$ घटकारे आबता बाठे, and not as given in the statement. We then come to the extreme corner of the district in parganās Kasmar and Cirand. The corresponding trans-Gangetic parganās of which are Manēr and Phulwāri in Patna District. The sub-joined comparative statement $C$ will show their dialectical difference and affinity. From the sonth we proceed up to the east into the parganās of Dangsi, Marhal, Makēr. The comparative statement D will exhibit their kinship.

Iaving finished the principal pargana dialects prevalent in the et, I now come to racial and tribal dialectical differences. The sions of barber, oilman (वेष्षो), the washerman (धोबो), the milkman ), carpenter (बड़چ̃), are followed by Hindus and Muḥammadans forming themselves into a separate caste. The Muhammadan san is called aरी (Gaddi) and Muhammadan Baniyā रांको
). The Hindi spoken by the Muḥammadans is different from that a by the Hindus having an admixture of Urdũ, as statement E 10w.
f the aboriginal tribes the Maghaiwā Dōmss, the Natuăs and arwās (jackal hunter), (bat not the Musahars who speak Hindi n the same way as other Hindus) ase a distinct dialect of their n conversation among themselves, and these dialects are quite lligible to others. Appendices $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G} \& \mathrm{H}$ are specimens of their age.
it may be interesting to give a short account of these tribes, the liberty to deviate a little from my subject. The Bengal Code speaking of Maghaiwā Dōms says: "The Maghaiwā of äran and Säran are inveterate wanderers and thieves. They neither villages nor hats, bat shelter themselves under sirkis, s, and blankets, moving from place to place in search of plunder. extend their operations into Nepal. They enter houses at night y a mine) by the door and carry no light. They are armed with 3 which they freely use. Sometimes they strap them to their foreso that the blade projects at the elbows. When attempts are to arrest them they become dangerous and resist strenuously, ling themselves or threatening to dash a child to the ground, so deter the Police from acting. They occasionally use as accomthe bad characters of the locality in which they are working. are feared and detested by the people; but some of the less :table land-holders permit them to squat and share their plunder." Tatuass:-A low born type of Muhammadans who allow their ters to be professional prostitates, but not their danghter-in-law es whom they purchase when they are very young. Mr. Magrath bes them: "They are a vagabond race, seldom settling down and g as their nightly covering a small pent house of reeds commonly a sirki. They not unfrequently profess to be Muḅammadans and id to be regularly circumcised. They are most of them hard ers, and resemble so much the gypsies of Enrope, that it seems $t$ impossible not to identify the two. They have a secret age like the Gypsies besides the ordinary dialect used by them."
J. . 26
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Siarmarwās :-A wandering tribe of robbers settled by the Government of the North-Western Provinces on the borders of Görakhpar. Their profession is to hont jackals, hiding themselves in ambush and imitating their yells which makes the whole pack around come near the hanters yelling. They eat their flesh and prepare an oily substance from their fat and extract from them a substance called "Siär Singhi" which is used as a charm amulet for fever and ague. They are sometimes found wandering in Sāran, like the Maghaizoã Dōms or other tribes following a nomadic life.

I now conclude my note by one more dialectical difference. There are certain words, phrases and idioms peculiar to the fair sex and never used by males, as will be seen from the following examples:-

Nouns.
Males.

1. Cloth worn round the waist and between the legs ... धोति
2. Eatable vegetables
3. Son
4. Daughter
5. Forehead ... ... बपार
6. Maid servant ... ... बखंढ़ो
7. Plastered consecrated ground for keeping cooked food ... षोता

जोषा
8. Husband ... ... अर्
9. Complaint ... ... बाषौस

Females


सिबला
बेटबा
बोटिया
सिलार
बर्मािया

Pronouks.
10. My or mine ... ... तमरा
11. Yours or your ... ... तोरा
(a) बाी दाबो, (b) डुर तोर भक्षा डोबो, (c) माईर है, and abusive languages such as ( $d$ ) एढ़ापुता, (e) गुषोषा, $(f)$ पबरी कारो, ( $g$ ) पसिख्य ंतड़िया मारों are phrases used exclusively by females. The peasants call
 as obedient as a dog to his master's whistle, the bnll comes running from a distance to cover the cow.
(a) Very strange. (b) Away, be good to thee (an exclamation when any improper action is done). (c) 0 mother. (d) An abbreviation of an indecent abuec. (e) Eater of ordure. ( $f$ ) I burn your tarban. ( $g$ ) I beat you with black cooking-pot.
(a) Difference in Suffixes.

|  | Süran. |  |  | Gorambiur. |  | $\underbrace{\text { Campāran. }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Khanwāpāri Bōlī of Mārar Tappā (Trans-Khanwā.) | Kuāribā <br> Bỏli (Cis- <br> Khanwā.) | Sīpāh Bōlì. | Nawāpāri Bōli of Salèmpur Majhauli. | Jobnāhā Bōli of Sidhwā Jobnāhă. |  | 号 |
| (a) Is dinner ready? Yes. | a) रहोष्ट सैयार बाटे ? बाठे । | (a) रबोर सैखार सेख्न बा ? भैब्न बा 1 |  | (a) रहोट्र नैबार ? ? नैबार चे। | (a) ₹धोर नेयार सेढ ? भैष्ठ। |  |  |
| (b) Four ploughs are being employed in my field. | (b) इसा₹ बेतमें चाएएर चक्षत बाटे । | (b) इमरे बेतनें चार हर चका। | (b) बमरा बे <br> में बार तो- <br> एर बला। | (b) चमार बेलमें चार इर चलत बाटे। | (b) इमारे बेतनें चार हर घणनाबे। | (b) बमरा बेत में चार 5 बत्रा। |  |
| (c) Breaking thro' the demarcationline of my field (he made a water course) to water his field. I remonstrated, but he did not listen. | (c) ऐेलक उरांड़ तुरारा पानि पटाये बासे -मे से हस भमा केलिं ना मानले। | (c) बेतके डंडार त्रुरार षानि पहने वाक्षे श्रेमे लेन्र हम मने केनिहं न सनलेच्र । |  | (c) बेतके सेढ़ तोऱबार पाषि पर्वे वासे हे गेल्न षम मना केनिं नाहि मानले | (c) बेतके उंजार त्वुरकर पाषि वबेखे वासे लैगैन्न हम माना केज्ञि ना मानन्न । |  |  |

FORMA.-(Continued.)
Comparative Statement of the Northern dialects of Sāran and conterminous parganäs of other Districts.
(a) Difplerence in Suffixbs.


| Khanwīpāri, | Kuặihà. | Sipâhi. | Nawāpíri. | Jobnāhā. | Majhanwā. | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) टेंक्न | (a) डेंक्न | (a) उंकि | (a) ठेंक्ष | (a) 大ेंक | (a) हैंकि |  |
| (b) उnt and | (b) उगर and रसा but not पेंड़ा |  | (b) रा\% | (b) उगर |  |  |
| (c) मबर | (c) मबर |  | (c) जनेरा | (c) मबर |  |  |
| (d) घड़ा |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { गnरिरि } \\ \text { हैंार्ला } \end{array}\right\}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (d) गारिर } \\ \text { हैल्लि }\end{array}\right\}$ |  | (d) गगरि | (d) हैन्लि |  |  |
| (c) दिपा | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { (e) थरिया } \\ \text { किपा } \end{array}\right\}$ |  | (e) घटिशा | (e) ) रिप |  |  |
| (f) बंगुfe | (f) बंग़रि | (f) बंगुरि | (f) सन्दिया and | (f) बंगुरि | (f) बंदुरि |  |
|  | (g) घनकुरि | (g) बौब़र | not ब̇ंगfe |  | (g) कौष्र |  |
|  | (h) वाष ${ }_{\text {बाf }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (h) रुfि? |  |  | (h) रुरि; जबवर; |  |
|  | (i) कुरुम | (i) दामाद |  |  |  |  |
| (j) जुता | (j) जुता | (j) जुता | (j) पनशि |  |  |  |

[^29]FORM A.-(Continued.)

| Khanwāpārī. | Kuārìihà. | Sīpāhì. | Nawāpāri. | Jobnāhā. | Majhauwā. | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (k) नेढि | (k) जेंकि | (k) चेटि | (k) \ivি |  |  |  |
| (l) घाठो | (l) घठठो | (l) काठो | (l) बौर |  |  |  |
| (m) गोबो | (m) बोगि | (m) गोणन | (m) घबत़ा |  |  |  |
| (n) एंडा | (n) उंखा | (n) उंडा |  |  |  |  |

(c) Difference in Pronouls.
(a) Respect-
ful form
of "you."
(b) Undignified form of " your."
(a) तोष
(b) तुंखी
(a) तोरो
(b) नोषेक
$\left|\begin{array}{c}(a) \text { रौरा (not the } \\ \text { usual sound) } \\ \text { (b) नोषराके }\end{array}\right| \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { रौरा } \\ & \text { (b) तुं जके }\end{aligned}$

$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { तोरा } \\ & (b) \text { तोराक्ष }\end{aligned}\right.$
(d) Difference in Indrclinables.

| Come here. |
| :--- |
| Afternoon. |


| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} (a) \text { रधर बाब } \\ \text { or } \end{array}\right.$ | (a) नेने बाष | (a) एने बाब | (a) रष्र बाब | (a) श 1 T | (a) एने बाब |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| एरा बाष (b) जपरि बेरा or fतषरे परा | (b) चपरि बेरा and सिषरे पार | (b) fिपरि | (b) निसरे पष्र | (b) उपरि केता | (b) सिपषरि तिपष्श |

(k) Basket. (l) Big bamboo atiok. (m) $\Delta$ long slender atiok. ( $n$ ) $\Delta$ amall thick atiok.
G. N. Dutt-Notes on the Vernacular dialects of Sãran.

## FORMB.

## Western Dialect.

List showing the dialect of Paclak and Caubär Parganã.


> FORMC.

Southern Dialects.
Comparative Statement showing the dialects in Southern Parganäs of Säran and those of the trans-Gögrāand trans-Gangetic Districts.

| Andar, a portion of Caubār and Narhan in Sāran. | Zilla Baliā, Parganā Sikandarpar and Kharid. | Mājhi and Bāl in Sāran. | District Shāhābäd, Parganas Ārā and Bārahgãõ. | Kasmar and Cirand in Sāran. | District Patna, Parganās Manār and Phulwāri. | Remaris. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) रसोट्ट तैषार मे. खबा <br> (b) समरा षेत से चा₹ ₹र चस्ता वा and not बरल बा। <br> (c) बेत के उंडार तुरके पानि $ष-$ टावे बासे बतने प्र नाषि मलसे। | (a) रहो亠 तैयार भैक्षबाटे <br> (b) रनरा बेत से चार ₹र चक्ष बाटे ! <br> (c) बेत के बांर बार कर के पानि हेचरे बासे केमे बरनाषि मकले। | (a) Difference in Supfixes. |  |  |  | Vide English translation in form $\mathbf{A}$. |
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Comparative Statement showing the dialects in Southern Parganas of Sāran and those of the trans-Gögra and trans-Gangetic Districts.--(Continued.)

| Andar, a portion of Caubār and Narhan in Säran. | Zilla Baliă, Parganā Sikanderpur and Kharid. | Mājhi and Bāl in Sāran. | District Shāhābād, Parganās Ārā and Bārahgãó. | Kasmar and Cirand in Sāran. | District Patna, Parganās Manār and Phulwāri. | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $m$ ) बरि ; not योज्न <br> (n) उंडा | ( $m$ ) बति; not गोजि <br> (n) ठेंबा | (m) बत़ि; गोन <br> (n) एंटा | ( $m$ ) इनि ; योणि <br> (n) उंडा | (m) ₹नि <br> ( $n$ ) बंडा | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} (m) & \text { बढ़ि } \\ (n) \text { vंडा } \end{array}\right.$ | Vide English translation in form $\mathbf{A}$. |
| (a) रौरा रैयां <br> (b) जोषा | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { रोरा } \\ & (b) \text { तो रारा } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { तौरा } \\ & \text { बपने का } \\ & (b) \text { तोषरा } \end{aligned}\right.$ | (a) The same. <br> (b) The same. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { रोया } \\ & (b) \text { तोषा } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { वपले } \\ & (b) \text { गुप्रता } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| (d) Dipference in Indeclinables. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) संघ्ये बाब <br> (b) उपरिवेश | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { (a) संचे बाब } \\ & \text { (b) सिसरे पषर } \end{aligned}\right.$ | (a) एघे घाव <br> (b) fिपषर जपरिवेरा | $\begin{aligned} & (a) \text { सघे बाब } \\ & \text { (b) तोवेशा } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (a) \text { सं ता बाब } \\ & (b) \text { बोवेरा } \end{aligned}\right.$ | (a)The same. (b) सिपष्र |  |


| 1897.] | -Notes on the Vern FORM | acular diulecls of Sār <br> D. | $\text { an. } 207$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastern Did | Alect. |  |
| Comparative Statement of the dialect of Dangsī, \&c., in Sāran and Parganà Rattī in Muzaffarpur and Parganā Majhauwā in Campāran. |  |  |  |
| Parganā Dangsī, \&c., in Sāran. | Parganā Rattí in Muzaffarpar. | Parganā Majhauwà in Campāran. | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| (a) रहोष तेयार ते or (a)रहोट तेयार है or बा 1 <br> बा 1 |  |  |  |
| (b) बमरा (not बमरे or हमार) बोतमें चार एर घकत बा or चद्धा। | (b) इसरा (not उमरे or इमार) ोेतमें चार हर चला वा or घक्षता। |  |  |
| (c) बेतके उंडार तुरके पानि पहावे ख्यातिर बेगेनेष्ठाश्रि मानले । | (c) बेसके संडार त्तुरकर पानि पटावे सा बेगैस्रक्त ने सकसे। |  | Vide |
| (d) पसानेके बढ़बा का विया पछाने के सड़को से सेस्या। | (d)पषाने के छड़बा का वियाष पस्लाने के स्यको से भैक्ष । | Vide form A. | English translation in form $A$. |
| (e) चम गांवे जातवानि or वातानि । | (e) षम गांवे णासif़̦ । |  |  |
| (b) Difference | in Substantives. | $\cdots$ |  |
| (a) बेंक्; ভेंgर | (a) ¢ेंबि |  |  |
|  | ( $j$ ) जुता |  |  |
|  | $(k)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



FORM E.
Specimen showing the difference in the dialects of Hindus and Muhammadans having the same professional callings.

| Enolish. | Mugammadans. | Hindus. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

(a) Difference in Soffixes.
(a) I am going iffor ${ }^{(a)}$ रम पस्ताका बाम के ${ }^{(a)}$ रस प्लावा कास के a certain work.
(b) You are going to Mirganj; tell this or that man my news.
(c) Don't abuse me; carb your moath (tongue).

(b) त्रुम कीरांब बावे तो पसाना बो बमारा षम्बाद बत देणा।
(c) इसको गान मत दिजीये चुर षमारके बोलिये।

बाषे जालवानि।
(b) तुं गीरवंब बालाए रमार ताए पताबा ते बत दिए।
(c) रोरा बारि मत्र रिं उु धमारके बोषिं।
(b) Difference in Substantives.
(a) Razor.
(b) Spade.
(c) Milk-pot with a spout.

$|$| $(a)$ | बतरा |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(b)$ | कुरा or छाराति |
| $(c)$ | बधणा |

(a) जुरा
$(b)$ कारार or जरार्
(c) बरा
FORMF.
Specimen of dialect of the Maghaiva Dōms, obtained from Ravilganj, Capra.

| Original Hindi. | What they speak with others. | What they speak among themselves. | English Translation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) शमारा बरच नषकी ेल पर अया च । | (a) पसर बरण बोबर बेल बरहख् - 1 |  बते। | (a) My cattle (or bullock) has trespassed into his field. |
| (b) बसारी नेष बे जबके वेटे से मारपोत् क्षा 子े। | (b) पसर मेंर बे बोकर चेटा भालरा बस्षस् 1 | (b) रसर भोतोज् $\frac{\square}{\text { बोकर चेटा }}$ भमरा बर्णन बरे। | (b) There was a fight betwieen my wife and your son. |
| (c) चमारे चेत में बान दो खि चल रा जै। | (c) प्रर बेतां गाँ छोमो रा बहत् बाए। | (c) बसर् बेत बहता मर दोबेष तो रा चस्ष्त से। | (c) Two ploughs are being plied in my field to-day. |
| (d) उसका बाड़ार नोड़बर पानो पठवा हीया। | (d) बोबर को के षार तुंतु के पाजी पठाबछ्छ वरह बे। | (d)वोषर बेल जंडषा के चरे त्रुप्र ₹ेधन गेबरी बठा बेछच। | (d) Breaking through his demarcation-line he watered (his field). |

FORM F.- (Continued.)
Specimen of dialect of the Maghaiva Dōms, obtained from Ravilganj, Caprā.

| Original Hindi. | What they speak with others. | What they speak anong themselves. | English Translation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (e) कल रात तौक घढ़ी रहवे चमार धर में पुक्षोस का जमाद्रार बाया बो इमसे कारा के तुम रमके दह बपेखा रिभवस द्व कर्षाँ तो चोरी के द्धात में तुमहे बो बंधबा दे मे उम हनकार किया तब इसको वो बसार चड़के बो पकड़कर मारपौक किया बोर हमारे गोषर घेंटी बौषा पेंकवा दिया तोर मबाम का पूंस उनढ़वा दिया। | (e) बौष्र हौषा रात्त तौन घढ़ी वाषो रह व बमर घर में धाला को अम्दार बद्बले हमरा से करते के त्र इसरा के दसमो बपेबा द वारो ब लोषरा के बोरी के बहरंब बगाएव त षुं बम्हा चट्रव vम् बहतो को ला बतेया चाठ क देव सब हसरा के बो षसर छड़षा के <br>  गोवर टैंचो बौबा षब बौलवा वौषबे बो घर के बान जनड़वा दौष्षे। | (e) बन्बुबाद बौरात् तोलेख् घड़ो बोरात् अंद्चा बोस् वेशा घर बंड्वा गीपारी बड़का मोबार् बए़यो बाद्दष् बमर ने बोवा दसमो घुमिषों देशो बोढ़ देशो मा देवो मोना देवो एमर् भा कद्बे काए वपने मब् में बावो शो करं न हमर चुछा के चबह्ड उसावे बमझ् हमर गोबर पर ठैंची चौषा बीकया दे शी चसर घर बंदणा के हपर जा़़ दे पे । | (e) Yesterday when three hours of the night remained the head-constable of the Police en. teredmy house and told me, "Give me a bribe of Rs. 10, else I will have you imprisoned on a charge of theft." I declined, then he beat me and my son catching hold of us and threw away my cowdung, cowdung cakes and seeds, and dismantled the thatch of my house. |

FORM G.
Specimen of Siarmarwa dialect obtained from Gurakhpur.

| (a) Hindi उमारा वैस उसका बेत पर बया । <br> Siarmarwa मारी बांढ़ें इजों बवे़े़ों पर बाबो। <br> (b) H बमारौ नोष बे उसके बेटा से मारयोड ज्षा है। <br>  <br>  <br> S माष्षो बवेढ़ों में बाज दो रस बदर रह। <br> (d) H उसके बेत वा टरार तोड़कर उस पालो बौचवा शोषा। <br>  <br> (e) H वस रत तौन घड़ो रात रखे उमारे वर में पुषोष बा चमादार S बाहोनी रात तौन घड़ी रात रही हमारहा घर में बोसातोटो बा जमाइार H बाया बैर एमसे वा़ा के त्रू उमवो दस बेषा रिएवत देव $S$ बाबो बोव बमो ने वर्द बौ सन्ह मेंे दोब बपेंों इबलत देवो H बरीं तो उस तुमबो बेंद करवा रेंते एम छूलबार किया तब उसबो $S$ बातों तो जर्ट बत्डाए रेबसे एमें तसीनाषी कोषों तनो उसों ने H बोर इमारे वढ़के बो पबड़वर बड्ञत मारपौट किया बोर उमारा <br>  H तोषर बोबांयो बो बौषा एव पेंकवा दिया बोर मकान का फूंस $S$ मोवर बोवीकही बा बौषा बवपषो इबमदू दीषा बाओी घर ने बोब H जनढ़बा दिया। <br> S जज़़ा बाद गातु। | Remarks. <br> Vide English translation in form $\mathbf{E}$. |
| :---: | :---: | FORM H. Specimen of Nafua Dialect obtained at Hatwa.


| Hindi. | Natuí Dialbot. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (a) षमारा केष्ब चस के के पर बया ₹ 1 |  |
| (b) षमारी बोर हे बाप को केटे वे कारपैट च्ञा है। | (b) घमार बेख्रो के घाप के बोषा के टिषाषो क्या । |
| (c) बमारे चेल में बाल हो बत बत्र राष ${ }^{2}$ । |  र्षा चे |
| (d) चषषा जारा तोकृषर षातो पटाब होषा। | (d) उसका पिषाब बोष बो चेनामि जिज़े आया । |

Specimen form of petition.


 रिया बोर मकाष का पुर् जबहुषा रिया।
(Vide the Hindi form and English translations of this petition in form F. (e) ; the dialect of the Maghaiwā Dōms.)

## 

e further Collections of Ancient Manuscripts from Central Asia.-By A. F. Rudolf Horrnle, C.I.E., Ph.D.

> (With Plates VII-XXX.)
[Read August, 1887.]
Since the publication in this Journal 1 in 1893 of my account of Weber Manuscripts, three further collections of Central Asian uscripts have been placed in my hands by the Foreign Department he Government of India. I received them in April 1895, November 5, and December 1896, respectively.

## I. Fragments. (Plate VII.)

 uscripts. A preliminary account of these was published by me in Proceedings of this Society for May 1895 (pages 84, 85). They had ${ }^{2}$ presented to Mr. Macartney, the British Agent in Kashgar by Manager of the Chinese Foreign Commerce in that town. Mr. artney sent them to Sir A. Talbot, K.C.I.E., the British Resident Kaçmir, who forwarded them to the Foreign Office in Simla, which e them over to me. In the same way, I may here add, the other collections of manuscripts have come into my hands.
The Foreign Office letter, of the 28th March, 1895, forwarding to the fragments, simply stated that they had been dug out in Kuchar. my request for further particulars, Mr. Macartney very kindly raided to me ". the translation of a letter received in Kashgar on 7th December, 1894, from Lew, Amban of Kuchar, to Ting, lager of the Foreign Commerce Office in Kashgar." This letter, added, contained all the information he was able to afford with rence to my request. The letter runs as follows :-
"I have received your letter, desiring me to enquire whether there are any sacred Tibetan Manuscripts in the family of Timur Beg. I lost no time in summoning him. He stated that he had no such manuscripts, but that some people had, several years ago,

1 See ante, Vol. LXII, p. 1 ff.
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Scavinim

dug some out from a big mound situated at the west of the city [Kuchar], and almost 5 li [slightly over a mile] from it, and that as this took place a long time ago, the documents had now either been sold or barnt. I also went in person to make an inspection of the mound which was about 10 chang [approximately 100 feet] in height and of about the same dimension in circumference. As people had already been digging there, a cavity was seen, which, however, had fallen in. I hired 25 men to dig ander proper supervision. After two months' work, they only dug out a parcel of torn paper and torn leaves with writing on them. I now forward this to you. If afterwards I discover any person possessing such manuscripts I shall again commnnicate with you."
The loculity of the find, indicated in this letter, as I shall show further on (infra, p. 240), appears to be the same as that from which the Bower MSS. and the Weber MSS. have been recovered.

Specimens of the fragments, which constitate this collection, are shown on Plate VII in fall size. It will be seen that they are the merest scraps of manuscripts. There is none among them of any larger size than the largest shown in the plate. Of course, the most legible specimens have been selected for exhibition, though even among them there are some which are only legible with the greatest difficulty. But their interest lies not so much in what they contain, as in the various types of character in which, and the material, on which they are written.

The material of the fragments is of three different kinds : palmleaf, birch-bark, and paper. The fragments of palm-leaf are shown under No. I: they are all that were found in the collection. Those of birch-bark are shown undor No. II: there are four more which have not been figured. The whole of the remainder are scraps of paper. It will be noticed that the paper is of several very distinct varieties, from a very brown and hard (No. IX) to a very white and soft (No. XII) kind. The latter, like the paper of some of the Weber MSS., is coated with a thick sizing of gypsume.

The following is a summary of the collection:-
No. I, palm-leaf, 9 pieces.
II, birch-bark, 13
" III, paper, • 12
" IV, do. 1
V, VI, do. . 8
VII, do. 10
VIII, do. 2
IX, do. 25


Quite irrespective of the material, these fragments are inscribed with two quite distinct types of Brāhmi character, viz., Northern Indian (Gupta) and what I have called in my paper on the Weber MSS.8 Central Asian. To the former division belong Nos. I, II, III (with the exception of piece No. IIIc), V, VI, VII, VIII (probably), and XI. Of these No. I is of palm-leaf, No. II of birch-bark, and the others of paper. To the Central Asian division belong Nos. IV, IX, X and XII. The best test-letters for distinguishing the North-Indian from the Central Asian are the superscribed vowels $\bar{E}$ and $a i$. These, in the Central Asian, are made in the form of an almost perpendicular stroke with a slight top-curvature to the right, ${ }^{3}$ while for the short vowel $i$ the same form is used which the North-Indian uses for $\bar{E}$. Hence what is $\bar{E}$ in the North-Indian, is $i$ in the Central Asian. Regarding the time when these Central Asian forms of $E$ and ai originated, I may offer the following suggestions. In the Northern Indian Gupta, at a certain time, the tendency shows itself, to give to the usual superscribed curve of $\boldsymbol{E}$ a serpentine form. This form may be seen on one of the Godfrey MSS., on Plate VIII, leaf 11, reverse, line 3, in the word manase, while the usual form occurs just below in ghōse. Now by straightening the serpentine line, but preserving the upward curve, at the left end, the Central Asian form of $\bar{E}$ is produced. The serpentine line was a mere artistic fancy in vogue at a certain time, but I believe it eventually led to the evolution of the Central Asian forms of $\bar{E}$ and ai. A look at Professor Bühler's Table IV (column XII-XIX) in his Indian Palæography shows that the period during which the fashion of writing the serpentine forms of $\bar{e}, a \dot{i}, \bar{o}, a u$ prevailed in Northern India with regard to engraved documents was the 6 th and 7 th centuries A.D. For manuscripts the fashion must have commenced much earlier. Manuscripts, therefore, showing that fashion cannot be well dated later than the 6th century A.D., and may be placed the earlier, the more sporadic the observance of the fashion shows itself. To that period, say the fourth or fifth century A.D., may be referred the evolution of the Central Asian forms of medial $\bar{\epsilon}$ and ai. See also the remarks, infra, p. 257.

[^30]An equally good test-letter is the akpara ma. The Central Asian form of it is made in two distinct divisions : an open square (like the ordinary Brāhmi pa) above and a horizontal line below. On the other hand the North-Indian (Gapta) form consists only of an open square, the left side of which is serpentine. The two forms can be distinctly seen and compared in IVas ( $m i$ Central Asian) and VII ${ }^{3}$ ( $m a$ Northern Indian). ${ }^{5}$ It will be noticed here that the Central Asian form originated by prolonging the dent of the left perpendicular line of the North-Indian form so far as to touch its right perpendicular line.

Another minor test is the general slant of the writing in Central Asian, contrasted with the upright writing of the Northern Indian; this, however, makes itself not so apparent in single letters or words as in a whole.page, where the difference of the two types of Brāhmi characters forces itself at once on one's attention. There are other minor points of difference between the two types: thus the medial long $\bar{a}$, made by a long horn-like projection or curve as in $h \bar{a}$ (VIII $a^{1}$ ), $t \bar{a}$ (IX $a^{4}, I X c^{4}$ ), $s v \bar{a}\left(\mathrm{IV} a^{8}\right), y \bar{a}$ (III $d^{5}, \mathrm{XI} b^{8}$ ).

A further good test is the form of $y a$, which in the Northern Indian is distinctly tridental, whence it passes, through an intermediate, into the modern square form. In the Central Asian, on the other hand, the old tridental form of ya gradually passes into a bi-annular form. It is particularly to be noted, as a land-mark for chronological purposes, that the Northern Indian intermediate form only existed for a comparatively short time. It first appears in engraved documents about 370 A.D., and disappears again about 540 A.D. ${ }^{6}$ It lasted in round numbers for (say) 200 years, and was only used in conjunction with the superscribed vowels $\varepsilon, a i, \delta, a u$. It was clearly an attempt at producing a more convenient cursive form. It consisted in the closure of the left side of the trident, producing an irregular circlet. By the gradual broadening of this circlet, and the concurrent atrophy of the right side of the trident, the modern form of $y a$ was produced. The latter is practically dominant in Northern India from (say) 600 A.D. It is curious to observe that the subscribed conjunct ya passed tbrough a very similar course of evolution, though several centuries earlier than the non-conjunct ya. There the process occurred in the 1st and 2nd centuries A.D., the period being also about 200 years, and there was also the same intermediate form of $y a$. An iustance of the latter is given by Prof. Bühler, from the 1st century A.D., in Plate III (Colamn

[^31]III, line 42). The tridental form maintained itself sporadically in the 2nd century, bat from the 3rd century (say, 300 A.D.) the final square form is dominant. In Central Asia a somewhat similar evolution, though in another direction, took place. Both sides of the original trident followed a tendency to close up and become irregular circlets; the left side, first ; the right side, later on; till at last the whole form became a combination of two circlets. In this manner the Central

- Asian form of ya became in appearance very mach like the ancient form of the numeral figure 10.

The fragments, shown on Plate VII, afford a useful means of study of the gradual evolution of the Central Asian type of the Brāhmi characters. Thus in general appearance the Central Asian piece IIId is hardly distingaishable from the surronnding Northern Indian pieces IIIa to IIIb. But No. IIId is known by its distinct Central Asian $i$ and ma. Compare, for example, $n \boldsymbol{n}$ in III $d^{6}$ with ri in IIIf ${ }^{1}$; also $m$ in III $d^{6}$ with mya in IIIf ${ }^{2}$. So also in general appearance the Central Asian piece, No. IV, closely resembles the Northern Indian pieces No. VIIab; bat the former can be distinguished as Central Asian by the forms of its $E$ and ma. Observe, e.g., re in IV ${ }^{8}$; also compare $n i i^{\text {in }}$ IV' $^{2}$ with $m a$ in VII $b^{8}$ and $m$ in VIIal. By 'general appearance' I mean principally the absence of the characteristic slant; but note also the presence still of the tridental form of ya, e.g., in $y \bar{a}$ IIId $d^{5}$ and IV8. Here, then, we have two examples of the beginning divergence of the Central Asian from the Northern Indian, shown in two quite distinct handwritings.

The next step of the evolution we have in No. IX. The general appearance is still upright; but note the characteristic forms of $y a$ in IX $c^{8}$ and IX $f^{3}$, which are no more tridental, the left side having been closed up into a circlet (the whole resembling the old numeral 10 ). Note also the characteristic forms of $\bar{e}$ and $m$ in $m \bar{e} I X i^{2}, m a I X a{ }^{1}$, ve IXe ${ }^{1}$, et passim. A further step in advance is shown in No. X. Here the general slant is already clearly marked ; compare this No. with No. VII by its side. Note also the distinctive Central Asian ya in $\mathbf{X} a^{8}$, $\mathbf{X} c^{6.7}$ (exactly like the numeral 10 ), $\bar{e}$ in $\mathcal{c}_{\bar{E}}^{\bar{E}} \mathbf{X} c^{4}, y \bar{e} \mathbf{X} c^{7}$, bhe $\mathbf{X} d^{2}, \bar{a}$ in $t \bar{a} X a^{4}, m$ in $X b^{3}$. As to the form of $m, N o . X$ shows $\Omega$ carions further development in closing the top of the ordinary Central Asian form of this letter. This is the only case in which I have hitherto noticed this very peculiar form of the Central Asian m. On comparing this piece with Part VI of the Weber MSS. (Journal, As. Soc. Beng., LXII, plate II, fig. 2) it will be observed that they are both written in exactly the same hand writing : the only difference is in the form of $m$, Part VI of the Weber MSS. showing the asual Central Asian form of that
letter. The last step of the evolation is reached in No. XII, which shows all the characteristics of the Central Asian type of Brāhmi, just like Part IX of the Weber MSS. (ibidem, Plate III, fig. 3-5); but note especially the full biannular form of $y a$ in $y \pi$ XII $b^{8}$, also the angular form of $d h a$ in XII $b^{8}$.

I proceed to notice some details of this collection of fragments.
No. I. This is written on palm-leaf, in a very neat, clear, and careful hand, so that it is a pity that not more has been preserved of the manuscript. The characters are of the Northern Indian Gupta class, and their type indicates a rather early Gupta period. The letter $y a$ is used in its tridental form ; even the intermediate form is absent; see $y \bar{u}$, yai in $\mathrm{I}, h^{8}, y \bar{e}$ in $\mathrm{I} i^{3}$. The superscribed conjunot $r$ is formed within the line, see rda $\mathrm{I} h^{\mathbf{s}}$, rtta $\mathrm{I} c^{\mathrm{I}}$. A date before 350 A.D. suggests itself. There is nothing in the fragments to indicate the size of the leaves, or the extent and contents of the work. The fragment $h$, however, shows the number 2 on its margin, which would seem to indicate it as the remnant of the second leaf.

No. II. These fragments are written on birch-bark and might be of a work of the same age as the Bower MSS. From the style of the characters they might, indeed, be fragments of that work, though there is nothing in them to indicate the nature of the work to which they may have belonged. Fragment IIc is written in a larger hand than the others, and probably belonged to a separate work.

No. III. All these fragments are written on paper. The five pieces $a, b, c, e, f$ are written in Northern Indian Gupta, while piece $d$ is written in Central Asian. The latter, therefore, belonged to a work quite different from the others. But the handwriting in the pieces $a$ and $b$ is a little different from that in the pieces $c, e, f$; and these two sets, therefore, may have belonged to two different manuscripts, though their purport is the same: they treated of spells. Pieces $a$ and $b$ are still connected with the original thread; and other pieces of thread which I received together with this collection of fragments are shown in the centre of the Plate. I would place the date of the manuscript to which pieces $a$ and $b$ belonged early in the 5th century, contemporary with the Bower MS., on account of their showing the intermediate form of $y a$ in $y \bar{o}$ III $a .^{8}$ But it mast be noted that the tridental form also occurs in yō III c. ${ }^{8}$ The superscribed conjonct $r$ is formed within the line; see rani III $e^{1}$.

No. IV. Written on thin paper, in bold and clear Central Asian of a very early type, as shown by the tridental form of $y a$, and the straight form of the medial $u$ in asuka, line l. Both forms point to a date not later than (say) 450 A.D. The cuicus appendage to the foot

- horizontal stroke of $a, k, r$ and $s u$ is worth noting. Its intention, arse, is to delimit that stroke.
Nos. V and VI. These two fragments, both on paper and in hern Indian, seem to me to be the most archaic looking in the ction.
No. VII. In Northern Indian and on paper. Piece $a$ shows the old ral 3 in the third line.
No. VIII. On paper and in Northern Indian. In hardly legible tion. The large letter lu on piece $b$ possibly indicates the numeral oongh its position in the lower right-hand corner is not the asual or pagination.
No. IX. On brown paper, and in Central Asian in a large, hand and of a somewhat later type than No. IV. Piece $d$ shows a ral figure on the margin, which I take to be 9 . Piece $h$ shows ameral figure for 90 and below it that for 2.7 This fact shows this to be the remnant of the 92 nd leaf of some large work of an own character.
No. X. On paper, and in Central Asian Nàgari of exactly the type as in Part VI. of the Weber MSS. The original breadth of the s shown by piece $c$, which measures about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches, and shows that are eight lines to the page, the top and bottom lines nearly tonching nargins. The leaves of Part VI of the Weber MSS., measure 23 s in breadth, and there are only seven lines on a page. Moreover, ready stated (ante, p. 217) the letter $m$ is formed differently in the 1anuscripts. All these circumstances proves nfficiently that our fragcannot have belonged to that Part VI, which contains an ancient crit koga or vocabulary. On the other hand, from the occarrence, ${ }^{6}$, of the phrase padau vanditoã, it seems probable that the sabject is manuscript was the same as that of Set $I a$ of the Macartney ${ }^{8}$ and Parts V and VII of the Weber MSS.
No. XI. On thin paper, and in Northern Indian Gupta of an early as shown by the absence of the intermediate form of $y a$ in $y \bar{e} \mathrm{XI} d^{3}$ $y \overline{0} \mathrm{XI} a^{3}$ and XI $d^{b}$. It may be referred to the 4th centary A.D. worthy are the carions elongated forms of medial $i$ and subed $y$.
No. XII. On soft white paper, thickly coated with a white sizing; en in fully developed Central Asian, of the same type as in Part IX e Weber MSS.

Of the second stroke of 2 only a minute trace remains. Of course, it is le that there may have been a third stroke, which would make the number to

The language of every one of these fragments is Sanskrit. Their subjects cannot be determined, except in the case of Nos. III, IV and $X$. Nos. III and IV belong to some kind of works on spells, and No. $X$ appears to have contained the story of the Mahāyakpa General Mānibhadra:

Transcripts. Plate VII.
No. I, a. Line 1: mā hitau $n^{9}$
" 2: ndama
No. I, b. Line 1 : cakkra-vighā(ta)
" 2: bāyah (ça)
, $3:+v a+$
No. I, c. Line 1: rā varttaya
" 2: sa-vigha
No. I, d. Line 1: haya
, 2: ni +
No. I, e. Line 1: +y
" 2 : nand ha
" $3:+++$
No. I, f. Line 1: citābhasam
No. I, g. Line 1: C y $+\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ varna- $\mathrm{dhā} r a$
" 2: $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{atiç}=\mathrm{c}=\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ®̧t-ōttarī vā rāç(a)
, 3: $\quad+$ +
No. I, h. Line 1: (m)ah yātrā $s(a)$
" 2: khē çatrūn̄̄̄m=abhimarda
" 3 : 2 dējā na kātarā $\bar{y}=a i s ̧ o ̄ ~ r o ̄(s a) ~$
No. I, i. Line 1: rā ca (ça)
, 2: mo nilakaṇthāya
, 3: [v]i(j)ayē

$$
4: \quad+h
$$

No. II, a. Line 1: gnṇē ya(jē)
No. II, b. Line 1 : çantā
No. II, c. Line 1 : praha
No. II, d. Line 1 : samāha
No. II, e. Line $1:+\operatorname{ty}(a) n(a m a c ̧)=c a$
" 2: ptō mahā-ma
No. II, f. ${ }^{10}$ Line 1 : sam (ju)hu
${ }^{9}$ This is either the sign of the numeral 1, or more probably a mark of interpunctuation.

10 This piece is placed upside down on the plate.

No. II, g. Line 1 : + +

$$
\text { " } 2:+\mathrm{kam} \text { ci }
$$

3: + -
No. II, $h$. Line $1: n(a)$
" 2: kam çai
3: $+1+\mathrm{i}$
No. II, i. Line 1: + y
, 2 : ( $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{E}}$ ) hi
," 3: (pita) $\dot{m}$
No. III, a. Line 1: (p) $\bar{a}$ ça(tru)
" 2: prayo $+\mathrm{sa}(\mathrm{ti})$ vi +
. ", 3: + natō va(d)ya gaṇ̣̣i + +
, 4 : (va) 0 tavya (bbhyaṇda) +
, 5 : จa
" 6: $\quad u++$
No. III, $b$. Line $1: \quad$ taga+ + va +
" 2: + van harṣa ${ }^{\text {ll }}$-vāja-vyōma
, 3: ( $\bar{a}$ ) $\bigcirc$ tanā $\cap$ phalah $p \bar{a}++$
" $4: \mathbf{k}(\bar{a})$ çi $\cap$ tili $\cap$ nahuli
5 : +şani bhavanti tad = ya [thā]
" 6: gaccha tṛvia口i
, 7 : (na) çar(ma)+
No. III, $c$. Line 1 : svāha ruru ${ }^{(k u) r u}$ " 2: vaṇamāyabhabhu $\mathrm{r}++{ }^{18}$

3: $\quad+(k a)$ çatō bhayō . tasya +
4: +(mam juni dra) + (ja)nis=trayasya purusasya
5: b $\cap$ tad=yathā $\cap$ hili $\cap$ mili $\cap$ da (ntr) milī $\cap+$ 6 : (a)sţami $\cap$ mani $\cap$ va(ma) $\cap$ akṇā $\cap$ haru +
No. III, $d .{ }^{18}$ Line I :
" 2 :
$3:+++v a++$ tha + +sata $+\dot{m}$
4: ggram sa(tēm)sāi ta $)+$ rçu
5 : çniyāt $\operatorname{ghr}(\operatorname{tam}) \mathbf{v}(\bar{a}) \bar{a}(h)$ ritam +
6 : çōnitam sa(r)ra

11 The aksara \& $a$, which had been erroneously omitted, is inserted below the line, 3 proper place being indicated by a dot above the line.

18 This line apparently indicates an interpolation. It is written interlinearly, d in much smaller letters, which are very difficult to read.

18 This piece is written in Central Asian churacter, but in the Sanskrit lan. aage.

$$
\text { J. ו. } 29
$$

No. III, e. Line $1: \operatorname{arp̣p}(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{sa})++$
, 2: $\operatorname{san} \overline{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{gh}) \overline{\mathrm{o}}$
" $3:+i+i+i n(p a)$
No. III, $f$. Line 1: +rignyya $\cap$ çi+
" 2: (hata) bavamina bhamys
" 3: s(ṭa)-dusta-bandhō 'si $\cap$ mā
" 4: ṇā uktam் pratibhāṇasi
" 5: $+\quad+\quad+\mathrm{i}+\overline{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{ta}(\dot{\mathrm{m}})$ n hari
" 6: yakg̨a $\cap$ yamō ha
" 7: :
" 8:

+ tisils
9 :
+ ta
No. IV. Line 1: mandrēna asuka asuka(ñ)=ca
, 2: $+\mathrm{mi} \cap$ vittayāmi $\cap$ rigrahayā $[\mathrm{mi}]$
3: + cirēṇi svāha $n$ anta-pakṣē
$4:+\cap \mathfrak{a}(\mathrm{ma})+\square($ ta $)$ ména svāha $\cap$
$5:+$ svāha $\cap$ vi+
No. V. Line 1: + mah prava
„\#2: $+m=a v a+n a$ ( $j r a$ )
" 3: + ramā +
, 4: +
No. VI. Line 1: +bdha + +ţa +
" 2 : citt
" 3: +u-āny-añja
No. VII, a. Line 1 : $n=$ āsti (m)i
," 2: manyasam param 1 tya +
„ 3:3
No. VII, b. Line 1 : (mamin es
, 2: + cayam
, 3: siddha-pitāma
" 4 : (c) ${ }^{\text {un }}$ kāka-hrdayaím
5 : (ta)
No. VIII, a. Line 1: +
" 2: ( $\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{I}}) \mathrm{k}$ ḳ̣̣āṇi ++
, 3: (mu)dg-ōdnkam (pra)
, 4: jañ ça + +
" 5: çarāvakā+i
, 6: vata
" 7 : +

No. VIII, b. Line 1: +

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
" & 2: \quad+ \\
" & 3: \ln (\text { or } 30)
\end{array}
$$

No. IX, a. Line 1: + nmahārā(ja)-sa(ma) + +
" 2: ma+m
No. IX, b. Line 1: II haritālam=a+

$$
" 2:+\bar{a}++i
$$

No. IX, c. Line 1: $++\mathbf{+}+$ (kapada) $+\boldsymbol{s}(\overline{0})$
". 2: (cch̄̄̄)sat̄̄ya: appratihata
No. IX; $d$. Line 1: 9 ga
" 2: (rāka)
No. IX, e. Line 1: kecid=bhare
No. IX, f. Line 1: +
" 2: + gra +
, 3: tam yah
" 4: $+\mathrm{d}(\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{ra})$.
No. IX, g. . Line 1: tani +
" 2: trasya $n$
" 3: vāra
, 4: +ya
No. IX, h. Line 1: sani
" 2: ( $\mathrm{\nabla} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{\nabla a}$ )
" 3: ท̣बt(i)
" 4: sādha
" 5 : $92+$
No. IX, i. Line 1: krtva

No. X, a. Line 1 : (va)
" 2 : ya
" 3 : + +
, 4: tāç $=\mathbf{c a}$
No. X, b. Line 1: + sa ta ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ", 2: \operatorname{tatr}=\bar{a}(\mathrm{ka}) \\
& " 3:+ \text { mama } \\
& " 4: \quad+
\end{aligned}
$$

14 The aksara ta stands interlinearly and its exact relation is unknown.

No. X, c. Line 1 : +
" 2: +
" 3: (çata ça)
" 4: $\varphi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ pratha
,, 5 : (dha)rm[ $\overline{0}]$ 'yam ca vi
" 6: padau vandi(tv) $\bar{a}$
" 7: + +ç=ca yē(na)
8: ç=ca $++(\tan a)$
No. $X, d$. Line $1:++($ dya) $\cap$ rās $(t a)$
" 2: +āstyapabhēti
" 3 : + dhō mē mantra( $\tilde{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{ca}$ )
" 4: dasy =āsi va
" $5: \quad+\dot{\mathrm{m}}+\mathbf{i}$
No. XI, a. Line 1: +ya + çaṇta +
, 2: + và tadyā idam
, 3: prathamayō
No. XI, b. Line 1 :

$$
+\mathrm{di}+\mathrm{cha}
$$

" $2: \quad+y+$ iyami
" 3: $\quad++i++i$
" 4: m=aṣta-vārasahā
" 5: ddhah 1 yad=icchanty $=\bar{a} k a ̄ e ̄ \bar{e}$ ruprō
" $6:+$ t tī + ti yad=icchnti parasya vā
, 7 :
No. XI, e. Line 1: $\boldsymbol{+ \overline { e }}$ ca hrdayamin tā(va)
", 2: rātrō pauşitēna ${ }^{16}$ sahā
3: $+\mathrm{m}=$ anuyittāda +
4: + dēvi māraṇà
No. XI, d. Line 1: $\quad+$ mā ca rā + +
, 2: (thaṇa)nāma mūla-mala +
" 3: şayēt chāyāya pariçōṣ
, 4: mrstav=ānugamiṣyati
$5:+a \dot{m} \operatorname{sapra}(b a)+i$
No. XII, a. Line 1: cakşā(nap)r(nā )
, 2: (bhanta) + (va)
No. XII, b. Line 1 : khavēhamं(laçuna)
, 2 : ndurārēma ++++++++
". .3: + àyā ardlini cà + +
, 4: jvaraç=c=aiva
In the foregoing transcripts, illegible letters are indicated by crosses, missing letters by squares or angular brackets, and indistinct letters by round brackets.

$$
16 \text { lead rütrau pöstuèna. }
$$

## II. The Godfrey Manubcripts. <br> (Plates VIII-XIV and XXVII-XXX.).

A short preliminary notice of these Manuscripts will be found in Mr. A. Pedler's Presidential Address of 1896. They were forwarded to me, in the manner already explained, towards the end of November, 1895. They were secured by Captain S. H. Godfrey, at that time British Joint-Commissioner of Ladak, now Political Agent at Gilgit, and, for that reason, they have been named by me "the Godfrey Manuscripts."

Captain Godfrey has been good enough to supply me, in a letter, drated the $\dot{2} 7$ th June, 1897, with the following information regarding the circumstances in which the Manuscripts came into his possession :-
"In 1895, when British Joint-Commissioner of Ladak, I was telegraphed to from Kargil that the Leh trade route had been broken down by disastrons floods, and that the traffic valuing lakbs of rupees was consequently at a standstill. On my grrival at Kargil in July, I found the sarais blocked with merchants and their wares, unable to proceed to Central Asia, and unwilling to lose their whole venture by a return to India. For a month I was camped with a party of officers on the banks of the Glyade endearouring to throw a cantilever bridge across the flooded river. At last we got up wires from Kashmir and succeeded in passing over the traffic. A party of Paţhān merchants, bound for Yarkand with a valuable consignment of coral, asked me how they could mark their sense of obligation for being saved from heavy loss, if not rain, by the success of our measures. I said that if they conld procure me some of the old manuscripts found in the sand-buried cities of Tibet or Central Asia, I should consider the debt to be on my side. I returned from Ladak in the autumn, having forgotten the incident. But while at Sialkot, I received a parcel done up like caras, containing the MSS. now in your hands."
In Captain Godfrey's Report, forwarding the manuscripts to the Resident in Kaçmir, they were, on the authority of the merchants, from whom he had received them, stated to be "very ancient Tibetan Mannscripts." This, as will be shown presently, is a misdescription. It appears to be a very common idea in those parts of the country to look apon old manuscripts, procured from Central Asia, as Tibetan. The Weber MSS. which also came to me from Leh in Ladak, were also originally described to me as Tibetan. In explanation of the possible

## Surce

source of this error, Captain Godfrey writes to me in a letter dated the 18th July, 1897 :-
"I am personally ignorant of the language of Tibet, bat having heard that old manuscripts of alleged Tibetan origin were occasionally found in the Central Asian deserts by excavation, I requested certain merchants trading with countries to the North and North East of Leh to endeavour to procure me any of which they might hear. These merchants were under some obligations to myself, and they promised to do their best. On their return journey they brought me the old papers which are now in your hands. You are probably aware that the Chinese authorities of the New Dominions do not regard the excavations of old ruins with favour. They are said to believe that archæological interest is merely a pretext, and that a search for buried treasure is the main object. However this be, the merchants referred to were anxions that their names should not appear, and sent me little information beyond a statement that the manuscript was very old, that it was of Tibetan origin, and that it was dug up near some old buried city in the wicinity of Kuchar. These merchants trading in Chinese territory had obvious reasons for not causing displeasure to the Chinese anthorities. The crushed lumps of paper were transmitted to me sewn up in skin as though the packet were a sample of caras."
Specimens of these manuscripts are figured on Plates VIII to XIV. A glance at them will show that there is nothing Tibetan about them. There are various styles of character used in Tibetan writings, but they are all of a different type from that occurring in these manuscripts. The fact also that they were dug up near Kuchar militates against their being Tibetan. Further reasons against the Tibetan theory will appear later on. In fact there is no evidence whatever to connect them in any way with Tibet.

Captain Godfrey's description of the original appearance of these manuscripts as a parcel of caras gives a good idea of them. When they came into my hands, they were a mass of pieces of flimsy, and apparently rotten paper, crumbled up into a large number of shapeless lumps. The first thing to be done was to open ont these lumps, flatten them, and fix them between panes of glass. This had to be done most carefully; and was a very tedious and laborious work, consuming a good deal of time. However, it was done successfully, and practically the whole by the deft fingers of my wife.

It now was seen that there were seventy-one pieces of manuscript. With the exception of four or five, all these pieces are matilated. They are of several entirely different sizes and shapes, and may be distributed into several sets.
(1) The first set consists of long oblong leaves measuring $11 \times 2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. Two of these leaves are shown on Plates VIII and IX. There is a third leaf of this set which is nearly perfect. Besides, there are two small fragments. The total is five pieces of manuscript. The material of this manascript is Daphne paper of coarse texture, but rather thick. It is inscribed on both sides. The characters are Brāhmi of the North-Indian (Gapta) type, written in a clear and bold, thick hand. The language is Sanskrit. The parport, so far as may be jadged from the fragmentary state of the manascript, is the teaching of incantations. One point should be noted : the leaves are nambered on their obverses (left-hand margin), as may be seen from the transliterations given below. One leaf (Plate VIII) is clearly numbered 11 (or it may be 17), i.e., the numeral 10 , with the numeral 1 (or 7) below it. Another leaf (Plate IX), I take to be numbered 19; but the numeral is not quite distinct. On the remaining fragmentary leaves the numbers are either lost or quite illegible. Professor Bühler, in his notice of the Weber MSS., in the Vienna Oriental Journal, Vol. VII, p. 261, calls attention to this point, and seems disposed to suggest, that Central Asian manuscripts paginated in this manner are in some way connected with Sonth-India, because the practice of numerating the leaves on their obverses is, in India, peculiar to the South, while in the North they are numbered on the reverses. ${ }^{16}$ The difficalty, to my mind, about this suggestion is that there is nothing else in these manuscripts saggestive of South-Iudia. If they had been written in Soath-India and thence carried away into Central Asia, they would exhibit a Soathern Indian style of writing throughont; or, if a Southern Indian Buddhist had migrated into Central Asia, and there written the manuscripts, it does not seem probable that he would have retained his South-Indian method of pagination, while adopting, in all other respects, the North-Indian type of writing which prevailed, more or less modified, in his adopted country. Anyhow, paginating the obverses of leaves seems to have been a not ancommon practice in Central Asia, however it may have originated. Another instance of the same practice will be noticed further on (see page 247). The fact of the leaves of this set being numbered proves that the existing leaves are connected and are the remnants of a larger work. From the sporadic occurrence in this manuscript of the serpentine form of the medial $\varepsilon$ (in manasb, f. $\left.11 b^{8}\right)^{17}$ its date may be referred to the 5th centary A.D. See my remarks on the sabject on p. 215.

[^32](2) Of the second set there is only one specimen. It is shown as No. 3 on Plate $X$. It is the merest fragment of a leaf, and it is impossible to say what its dimensions may have been. From the very large size of the letters, however, it may fairly be concluded that the leaves also were probably of considerable size. It will be noticed that on the margin, in the apper left-hand corner, there is the pagination number 90. As it is usual to inscribe these numbers in the middle of the margin, it is at any rate probable that the width of the leaf was about 11 inches, its existing portion being $5 \frac{1}{2}$ inches wide. The material is paper of a texture and thickness similar to that of the preceding set. It is also inscribed on both sides, in characters of the same type as those of that set, but even larger and thicker than those. The language is Sanskrit, but it is impossible to determine the purport of the work from the little that has survived of the text. The work, however, must have been one of a large extent, seeing that the existing leaf was its ninetieth.
(3) Of this set also there is only one specimen. It is No. 4 on Plate X. Both ends of the leaf are lost, thas rendering it impossible to determine its leugth. Its width is $3 \frac{3}{4}$ inches. Its material is paper, of a texture and thickness similar to that of the two preceding sets. The characters of the writing on it are also of the same type, and it is inscribed on both sides. The language, however, is not Sanskrit, nor, to judge from the peculiar ligatures occurring in it (e.g., ysa on line 5), any Sanskritic language. I do not know what it is, nor, for that reason, what the purport of the writing may be. The occurrence, however, of the peculiar double dot, or double anusvära, may be noticed. This mark connects it with No. IX of the Weber MSS. ${ }^{18}$ and with the Petroffski MS. published by Dr. von Oldenburg.
(4) Of this set again there is only one specimen. It is No. 5 on Plate X. It is greatly mutilated, and its full size cannot be determined. Its width seems to be complete, and would be $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. Its material is paper of a whiter colour, and rather finer and softer texture than that of the preceding sets; it is also covered with some sort of sizing. It is inscribed on both sides. The characters are essentially of the same type as the preceding ones, only smaller in size. The language seems to be some non-Sanskritic langaage. There is no instance of a double dot on the existing portion; but it is too small to admit of any safe conclusions.
(5 and 6) I may here add that there are two other fragmentary leaves among the Godfrey MSS., each being a single specimen of a separate work. They are in a too bad state of preservation, to admit of useful

[^33]duction : the ink is very mnch faded. They are both written on thin paper, exactly like that of the seventh set which will be ntly described; bence they are only inscribed on one side. Both atilated at the two ends, thas rendering their length impossible termination ; their width is preserved, and it is $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in either
Both are furnished with string-holes, enclosed concentrically n a larger inked circle. The presence of these string-holes shows they are, in all probability, the solitary remnants of larger works. of the leaves is inscribed with characters exactly of the same as those of the fragment No. 4 on Plate X , but of smaller size, other leaf is inscribed with characters of the cursive type, like on Nos. 6 to 15, on Plates X to XIII.
7) The seventh set consists of large, squarish sheets, measuring inches. Of these No. 8 on Plate XI is a sample. Of these sheets are two more, also in practically perfect condition, and five frag3 of very large size, such as Nos 9 and 11, shown on Plates XII and respectively. There are further a large number of small pieces, are evidently fragments of similar sheets. Samples of these frag3 are Nos. 6 and 7 on Plate X, No. 10 on Plate XII, and Nos. 12 to Plate XIII. There are altogether 51 of them. The total number
These sheets consist of a very coarse and flimsy species of paper, is almost transparent. As a rule, the writing is inscribed on one aly, and traces of it show through on the back side; but there are aall fragments on which there is some writing on the back. The ial appears to be the ordinary Daphne paper, of the same type as is still made at the prerent day in the Himālayan countries. I seen modern paper of the same coarseness, though not quite of tme tenuity. The characters of the writing are evidently Brähmi very cursive type. Moreover, as shown by the forms of the scribed é and ai, they belong to that peculiar type of Brāhmi which the Central Agian. See the facsimiles in the second column of XXVII to XXX, which I have excerpted from Plates X to XIII, rranged in alphabetical order. In the first column, I have for comparison, alphabetical facsimiles of other portions of the ey MSS. inscribed with Brāhmi of the Northern Indian type. language on these sheets I am unable to identify. It does not to be any Sanskritic dialect, though, with one or two excepI have not noticed the occurrence of any non-sanskritic ligatures. of the syllables, indeed, are of the most simple chnracter, so far, might be präkritic; only there is nothing in the surling circamstances (e.g., the frequent occurrence of the double that renders that supposition at all probable. The occurrence,
J. I. 30
three times, of the syllable gri in No. 9 is very curions. It is the solitary instance of a word with a distinctly Sanskrit sound, and seems to suggest that the following group of letters ajhatai is a name with the well-known Sanskrit honorific prefix gri. It is noteworthy that the carsive Brāhmi characters of this set occur side by side with Chinese on No 16 of the following 8th set. The frequent occarence of numeral figares on these sheets is also a noteworthy circumstance, so also the repetition of the same phrases. Seeing that the Chinese fragment No. 16 refers to taxes and rents, it suggests itself that these sheets may be the records of an ancient revenue office in Turki (Uighar) territory, possibly ander Chinese rule. Could they be in the Chinese langaage, though written in non-Chinese characters? My own impression is that the several pieces of this set do not form any connected series of the pages of a book, but that they are separate documents, though all of a similar character.
(3.) Of this set there are two specimens, Nos. 16 and 17 on Plate XIV. Both are fragments. No. 17 is of very coarse paper, a sort of packing paper. It looks as if it was one-quarter of a sheet of the size of No. 8. It is inscribed on one side only. No. 16 is of paper like Nos. 2 and 3 ; it is well covered with a sizing of a pinky-white colour. It looks like the fragment of an oblong leaf, of unknown length, and $2 \frac{8}{4}$ inches breadth. Both leaves appear to be inscribed with what looks like Chinese characters, but on No. 16 there is also a line of the same cursive Central Asian as on Nos. 6-15. The outer lines on this No. are Chinese ; of the two inner lines, the left is Chinese, but the right is Central Asian Brāhmi. The latter does not run vertically like the Chinese, but horizontally, the three letters which compose the line being placed side by side parallel with the long side of the leaf. The first letter adjoins the broken line of the leaf. The three letters, as I read them, are

## रि \#ै 文 ri hau de,

bat I do not know what they mean. A similar gronp of letters occurs also on Nos. 10 and 11 (see infra, p. 236). Mr. A. Foucher, whom I had the pleasure of meeting in Calcutta, was good enough to submit a photograph of No. 16 to the well-known Chinese scholar Mr. Chavannes in Paris, who has had the great kindness of supplying me with the following explanation, reading the characters from top to bottom:

Colonne de droite.
1, "et autres" (marque du pluriel par rapport à ce qui précède.)
2, anciennes
3, (et) nourelles

4, taxes
5, (et) redevances $\}$ droits de douane.
? (ce caractère ne se rencontre guère que dans des noms de lieux.)
7, un (le nombre 1)
Colonne de centre.
de soi-même, naturellement.
rempli, parfait.
3, dix $\}$ six $\}$ seize.
?
?
?
porte (signifies aussi catégorie, espèce),
am ignorant of the Chinese language myself, and am nnable, fore, to offer any information on these two Chinese scraps; but uald be interesting to know whether the style of the Chinese ag affords any light with regard to such questions as the age of Ia nuscripts.
Co sum up : the Godfrey Manuscripts appear to consist of eight ct portions, comprising the following number of leaves or frag3 of leaves:-

| Set | I consisting of | 5 pieces, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $"$ | II | $"$ | $"$ | 1 | , |
| $"$ | III | $"$ | $"$ | 1 | $"$ |
| $"$ | IV | $"$ | $"$ | 1 | $"$ |
| $"$ | V | $"$ | $"$ | 1 | $"$ |
| $"$ | VI | $"$ | $"$ | 1 | $"$ |
| $"$ | VII | $"$ | , | 59 | $"$ |
| $"$ | VIII | $"$ | $"$ | 2 | $"$ |

Eight Sets " , 71
[ now proceed to details, so far as the present state of my examinof the manuscripts permits me to do.
Set I. (Plates V III and IX). Five pieces of manuscript; full size $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches; lotters, Northern Indian Brālımi ; language, Sanskrit; ort, probably incantations. The figured leaves are nambered 11 $9(?)$; they read as follows :

## Leaf 11: Obyebse.

gaṇe svāhā: Namō çrī-pradipāya tathāgatāya: tad-yathâ siri siri • pradipa-

2, siri svāhā: Namō jina-sūryāya tathāgatāya: tad-yathā jinē jìnē • ji-
3, na-sūryē svāhã 91 Namau mēgha-vipul-ābhāya tathāgatāya: tadyathā vi-
4, pulē vipulē gagana-vilé svāh̄̄ 2 Namō ratna-çri-pradipa-gunakētavē tathāga-
5, tāya: tad-yathā pradipē pradipē ${ }^{-}$çrītēja-pradipe sā̄hā 3 Nama siddha-vratā:

## Reverse.

1, ya tathāgatāja: tad-yathā siddhe su-siddhē mōcani mōkẹani $\cdot$ mukté vimukté
2, amalē vimalé mamgalyē • hiraṇya-garbhē • ratna-garbhe - sarv-ārtha-sādhani - para-
3, m-ärtha-sādhani manase $\cdot$ mahā-manasè - adbhuté $\cdot$ a(ty)adbhate - vita-
4, bhaye suvarṇ̄ brahma-ghōß̣ē • brahma-dhyuģitē • sarv-ārthē sva-parājité sarva-
5, tr=āpratihatē - catu-saş̧i-buddha-koṭi-bhāṣitē - Nama sarvasiddhānămं tathăgatānnām svāhā.

Leaf 19 : Obverse.
1, tad-yathā avabhāsē • avabhāsé : avabhāsa-karaṇ̄ svāh̄̄: 92 Namō mēgha-
 suru
3, suru - sūrya-uditē svāhā 4 Namō dharma-pradīpa-çrī-mēravē tuthāgatā-
4, ya: tad-yathā dipē dipē • dharma-pradipē svāhā: Namaḥ arcakăya tathāgatā-
5, ya : tad-yathā ciri ciri • ciciri svāhā 3 Namō dēva-çrī-garbh̄āya tathāgatā-

Reverse.
1, ya tad-yathā dēvē dēve $\cdot$ dēva-(p) $\overline{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{j}) \mathrm{ite}$ svāhā: Nama simā$\operatorname{vinh}(r d) i(t) a-v i d y u t-p r a-$
2, bhāya tathāgatāya: tad-yathā sime simé $\cdot$ buddha-sim(hē) sime svē-
3, hā : Nama samanta-guṇa-mēghāya tathâgatāya : tad-yathā mêru
4, mēru: buddha-mêru svāhā ॥ Namō gagana-cittāya tathāgatāya: trd-yathā
5, gagana-gatāya svāh̄̄: Nama su(stha)-bhava-vyūhāya tathāgatāya tad-ya

The bracketed letters are not quite certain. The akșara $p \bar{u}$ in $\bar{e}$ (H. 19bl) rather looks like $b \bar{u}$; so also pra (fl. $11 b^{6}$ ) like bra. au in fl. $19 a^{8}$ and fl. $11 a^{8}$ is apparently a clerical error for namō, lso gagana-vile in fl. 11a4 for gagána-vipulẽ. Mëghāya in fl. $19 b^{8}$ more like meyaya, but gha and ya have very similar forms. The krit is not perfect; the sandhi of namah is frequently wrong. numeral sign for 92 in fl. $19 a^{1}$, if read correctly, shows that this ollows the other which is numbered 11.
The purport is a series of invocations addressed to the Tathägata 3addha) under his various names of Sūrya-tējas, Dharma-pradipa, Lëra, Arcaka (?), Dēva-çri-garbha, Simā-vinardita Vidyut-prabha, ${ }^{19}$ unta-guụa-mēgha, Gagana-citta, Sustha(?)-bhava-vyūha, Çri-pra-Jina-sūrya, Mēgha-vipulābha, Ratna-çrī-pradipa-gup̣a-kētu, Siddha-

Probably all or most of these names may be traced in known lhist works. In the charms themselves, introduced by tad' as follows,' the female counterparts of the Baddias seem invoked. Möcani and moksani (fl. 11bl) can only be feminine tives; which shows that the other forms ending in $\bar{e}$ must also ken as vocatives of feminine names.
Set II. (Plate X, No. 3.) One piece of manuscript. Breadth ably 11 inches, length unknown. Letters, Northern Indian Brähmi, ar to those of Set I (Plates XXVH-XXX, column 1). Language, krit; parport, unknown. The figured leaf is numbered 90. It $s$ as follows:
$1, \oplus$ रत:
चरसा


Set III. (Plate X, No. 4.) One piece of manuscript. Brendth inches ; length unknown. Letters, similar to those of Sets I and II tes XXVII-XXX, col. 1.) Language and purport, unknown. figared leaf reads as follows :-
1, (kh)ö + pyū şvā ndä ntä + yu +
19 I.e., 'bright as a lightening the thander of which renches to the horizon.' The ing vinardita, however, is uncertain. Simà seems to stand for simä, or it mny ' 'everywhere' frum sima 'whole.'
$2,+$ cum dä $\mathrm{vä}$ tē tu a ta (bbh)a ra nä
3, 十可 ndä vä ta 'a ta a cì ma jsē $v(\bar{i})$
4, pha tē u spn cä hä mä te ya t
5, sta mä na pra ysā tä na şa ddē
The bracketed letters are uncertain. Thus, what I have read as bbh in line 2 , might be $\tilde{n} \boldsymbol{f} a$; the upper portion looks like $b$, but the lower rather seems to be $¢$. Crosses indicate indistinctly visible letters. The double dot occurs very frequently; but I may note here that it never, so far as my observation or memory serves me, occurs with any vowel but short $a$, of which it would hence seem to indicate some variety.

Set IV. (Plate X, No. 5). One piece of manuscript. Breadth $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches; length anknown. Letters, similar to those of Sets 1 to III. Language and parport unknown. What is distinguishable of the figured leaf, reads as follows:

1, ++++
2, ysē ra trā nda
3, vi + gam jri va své ba
4, pra (cca) $+(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}$ bu nti (or tti) cu
Set VII. (Plates X to XIII, Nos. 6 to 15.) Fifty-nine pieces of manuscript. Size of fall leaf about $11 \times 9$ inches. Letters, a kind of cursive Brāhmi of the Central Asian type, especially with reference to the formation of the superscribed vowels $i, \varepsilon$, ai. See Plates XXVII to XXX, col. 2. Langaage and purport unknown. It may be noted as a peculiarity that the right-hand one of the double-dots is, a rule, made with a curve to the right: also, that ligatures are not very common, and those that occur are, with rare (and uncertain) exceptions, such as might be found in a Sanskritic dialect.

In the subjoined transliterations, undetermined consonants are indicated by a query; uncertain letters, by italics; indistinctly visible letters, by a cross or within round brackets; and missing letters, by a square or within angular brackets. Recurrent groaps of letters are joined by hyphens, see especially Nos. 9 and ll. It must be understood that the value of some of the letters, though not specially indicated, is more or less conjectural ; thus, $t$ and $n$ are difficult to distinguish, and in every case, what has been given as $t$ may really be $n$, or vice versá. Otherwise, however, I believe the values given are fairly certain; but ultimate certainty will only be attainable, when the langaage of the writing has been determined.

No．6．（Plate $\mathbf{X}$ ）．
1，ña＋i yau di（ $\bar{a}$ ）yā vi（s）an
2，ji gū ra ham gō pra（ṣni）（ka）h（ì）
3，bva ？ta kō $\square++$（lya）（b）ā $\square_{\bar{e}}$
4，ロāற் $+\mathbf{i}+\bar{a}+i$ yam ロi．
No．7．（Plate X）．
2， 402 hvam $\square$
3，ȳ̄ ri ntā
3， 402 （ t$) \overline{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{hv})$
No．8．（Plate XI）．
1，J ${ }^{20}$ sa lī 20 nā çnä cvà na ja ha ḍà pi 3 nyē hṛm tä ūm dä vä çr vā ham dä jyē ģ̧au vä jya
2，da ttä gä－rya vā dä pì da kä nyē pra cai ta cä bu－ga－ra yami－dru sä ta çam dā gä－ryē a vī（jya）
 rä jhā räa ttä bu－rä nyē çam jyē ha jjha
4，（rami）$+\ddot{a}+c a ̈(j j h) a i p(r) a ~ n ̃ a ~ v a ̈ ~ r c ̧ a ̈ ~ h i ̄ ~ y a ~+\dot{m}+\tilde{n} u ̄ ~ v a ̈ ~ j h i ~ s a ~$ nē kra lä hi（v）i（k）a（ḍ ）a dä（rä）ña
$5,++\dot{m}+\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{khi}(\mathrm{ba})-\mathrm{gu}-\mathrm{ra}+\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{kä} \mathrm{ra} \mathrm{k} r$ stä i dä khai tti ŋami－ dru sā rcū－rä－vā－çrmin－ra bu－（rä）
6，i－jhgä tā nē（i）－jhgē dē „aṃ gā da ra tä i－jhgä－rya hä yä + ra pä （p）i $\quad$ a pram
7，ŋām khu ha ŋam்－dru sam（hami）（ga）ştä vä çnä ttä bu－rä va ra byăm ta ya byē a sō lam by $\bar{e}$
8，u＋ai bu－（rä）ta（k）ä byē $\quad$ dä $\approx$ 耳ami－dru $\mid$ sä। ham．
No．9．（Plate XII）．

2，口 tā bhā ${ }^{81}$ bā ri bē rām $\tilde{n} \bar{a}$ ri $\cdot \bar{u}$ ha $\mathrm{ji}+$

4，pa rjhu ñai yépam jjhā sō（or sä）gam pha hā ṣni i
5 ，jha tas ${ }^{81} \mathrm{va}^{81} \mathrm{jjh}[a][8] \mathrm{f}[\mathrm{a}]$（ $p u$ ）sti $n t a$ ri da ri ta hvam ji （d）i


[^34]7，sti $u(k) \bar{a} p u h \bar{a}-l a i ~ p u \dot{m}-n ̃-c ̧ r^{88}-\bar{a}-j h \bar{a}-(t) a i$
8，ña－çris8－ $\bar{a}$－jhā－tai $n t a-h v e \overline{e s i}$ cn hi ri kē na $\square$
9，sti ta rma pu－ña－çiī ${ }^{83}-\bar{a}-j h \bar{a}-t a i ~ b h i ̄ ~ n t a-(h \nabla) ~ \bar{e}-[s] i$
10，chã pa ta ya tba（or tra）a jhu ra su va（y）a
11，＋ī ra ga rām tán ū di çau hā－lai a
12，口 ti pyā khu pu？u（su）jh（y）à 口
13，ם pyā $+\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{i}$ rve hi $\mathrm{a} i$
14，$\square+\bar{a} \dot{m}+i n t i=\square$ di
No 10．（Plate XII）．
1,
2， 2 bhi
3，$\quad+$ hvam（d）i 10.7
$4,+100090050$ hvam்（d）i 10
5， 103 thau－ta hau－diat 103 va（ĩai）
6，（di）－［th］a］u－ta i－di 108 va fíai
7，（di）－thau－ta i－di 101 （va）（ñ）ai
8， 4 и 2 chā 3 （a）
9，jjha 口 ki 300080050
No．11．（Plate XIII）．
1，J（¢）a lā n +++
2，çnō（or çrō） 103 םē 口（k）ai ṣni pī kṇa ki rdē ña cai na ca ū ha
$3,+$ di－yē－çōm－n－tai－hōmi－diab－yu－di－va－ñai
4，da－sō－chā－ya bhī ri ñam prām hō pri
5，şti vi çnō ū ha da l bhō I ham̀l gū－şti

7，a di－thau－ta（see No．10）ka hē－di（see No．12）ddha da－sō－chā－ya 8，hā（r）am்－pra－ki－ham்－gū－ģti $\mid$ vi çnōl 1 ।
9，（r）ami－prarki－hami－gū－ṣti
No．12．（Plate XIII）．
$1,+(\mathrm{va})(8)+$
2，than－ta h（ē）－d（i）（see No．10） 8
3，（d） 9
No．15．（Plate XIII）．

2，$\square \bar{a}$ sa pam $\square a ̄$ si chã bhì
3，$\square \bar{a}$
4，ロēm hi（n）ā םi 8000900

[^35]
## III. Ter Macabtney Manuscripts.

(Plates XV-XXVI).
These manuscripts were sent to me by the Foreign Office, with their D. O. letter, dated the 14th December, 1896. They were obtained by Mr. G. Macartney, the Special Assistant for Chinese Affairs at Kashgar to Lt.-Colonel Sir A. C. Talbot, K. C.I. E., British Resident in Kap̧mir. On that account, following the precedent hitherto observed, I have named them "the Macartney MSS."

When I received the manuscripts, they were carefully arranged in six distinct sets. This arrangement had been made by Mr. Macartney. It has only reference to the circumstances in which they reached him. It has no intrinsic valne, as will be seen in the sequence. Bat, for the present, it has been foand convenient to retain it, with reference to the facsimile plates XV to XXVI.

In a letter, dated the 12th October, 1896, and addressed by Mr. Macartney to the Resident in Kaçmir, he gives the following account of the circamstances ander which the mannseripts were discovered and given to him.
" Set, No. l. This is a manuscript presented by Dildār Khān, an Afghan merchant in Yarkand. It appears that when the Bower MS. was found in Kuchar, two others were at the rame time and noder the same circumstances discovered. Dildār Khāu obtained possession of the latter and took them to Leh in 1891. He gave one to Manshi Abmad Din, who in his turn presented his acquisition to Mr. Weber, Moravian Missionary. Hence the origin of the Weber Manuscripts. The other manuscript in Dildār Khān's possession was taken by him to India and left with a friend of his at Aligarh, a certain Faiz Muhammad Khān. Dildār Kbān brought it back to Tarkistan last year and presented it to me.

Set, No. 2. Munshi Ahmad Din purchased these leaves during

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \\
& 1 \\
& \text { 1895, } \therefore \text { : - y yom } \\
& \text { ruthomes. }
\end{aligned}
$$ my absence from Kashgar. They were found by a certain Islām $\bar{A}$ khūn Khötani. This person was sent to Kashgar with them in July last [1896] by the Afgban Aksakal in Khotan, to whom I had written desiring him to obtain ancient manuscripts for me. Islām Akhūn gave me the following particulars regarding his discovery. The manuscripts were found at Aksufil, an uninhabited place in the desert, situated at about three marches N. E. of Khotan. His attention was first attracted by the presence on the sand of a few pieces of charcoal, near which was a piece of woollen cloth, with the lower portion of it buried in the ground. In digging this cloth out, J. 1. 31

the manuscripts were found wrapped up in it, and buried in about three feet of earth.

Set, No. 3. Purchased by Munshi Ahmad Din at the same time as set No. 2. These leaves were also discovered by Islām Akhūn, at Jabu Kum, which appears to be situated at 50 or 60 miles N. E. of Khotan in the midst of the Takla Makan desert. Islām Akhūn states that at Jabu Kum some rains of a mud wall are still visible. The manuscript was found wrapped up in a piece of cloth, and mixed up with human bones, the whole lying on some partially exposed boards of a wooden coffin.

Set, No. 4. Found by Islām $\AA$ khūn in August last at Kara Kul Mazar Khojam, said to be situated in the desert at 50 miles East of Guma (long. $78^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ and lat. $37^{\circ} 37$ ). The mannscript was simply picked up on the sand. It was originally bound between two little wooden boards, which, having been broken on Islām Khān's journey to Kashgar, he did not bring with him. Kara Kal Mazar Khajan [sic] is described as an immense graveyard in ruins, possibly ten miles long.

Set, No. 5. Found in October last [1895] by Islām Akhūn in the desert at Kuk Gumbaz (green dome), which is said to be five days march East of Guma. Islām Ākhün there saw a circular wall of baked bricks three feet high; and at about 15 paoes from it, there was another wall, in which a hole plastered over with mud was discovered. In removing this mud, the manuscript was found, contained in the remnant of what was once an iron box.

Set, No. 6. These leaves were also found by Islām Akhūn at Kak Gumbaz. They were picked up from the ground."
Specimens of the first five sets are figured on Plates XV to XXVI. The leaves of the sixth set are in a too bad state of preservation, to make them, for the present, worth reproduction. The first glance over these plates will show that the manuscripts of the 1st set, shown on Plates XV and XVI, are of an entirely different class and character from those of the other sets, shown on Plates XVII to XXVI. They are moreover from two quite different localities, Set I being from Kuchar, on the Northern side of the Gobi desert, while Sets II to VI are from Khotan, on its southern side.

With regard to Set I, a point of greatest interest and importance is that it was found at the same time and under the same circumstances as the famous Bower MS. ${ }^{66}$ There is, however, a slight mistake or

26 I may here mention that my edition of this Mannscript, pablished by the Government of India, is now finished, ns far as the original text is concerned. An introdaction on its history, age, ete., is in course of preparation.
misunderstanding in the details of the account of the discovery. Mr. Macartney states that, together with the Bower MS., "two other manuscripts" were found which ultimately found their way into the hands of Mr. Weber and himself respectively. Now the Weber MSS., as I have shown elsewhere, ${ }^{\text {si }}$ by themselves consist of several, not less than nine, separate manuscripts; and Set I of the Macartney MSS., as I shall show presently, consists of two separate manuscripts. It cannot, therefore, be correct that "two other manuscripts" were found: what was probably found were two bundles of manuscripts. What, however, appears to me to be probably the truth of the matter, is that, in addition to the Bower MS., a large bundle of other manscripts was found. Of this bundle Dildār Khān obtained possession, and be divided it into two parts, one of which he gave to Munshi Ahmed Din, whence it passed to Mr. Weber, while the other was retained by himself and ultimately reached Mr. Macartney. This would seem to agree with the earlier, but somewhat vague, information given to me by Mr. Shave, and published by Sir Credit in his Presidential Address of 1894, where it runs as follows (p. 33) :
"I may add as the latest information that Dr. Hoernle has lately been informed by Mr. Shave, a colleague of Mr. Weber, that it now appears that the [Weber] MSS., were not found in "Kugiar," as reported at first, but in Kuchar. They come, therefore, from the same locality as the Bower MS. Mr. Shaw also writes that he has ascertained that a packet of manuscripts similar to the Weber MSS., bat larger in bulk, were in the hands of a Pațhān who cannot now be traced, but who is said to have gone to Kabul. Dr. Hoernle suspects that he went in the other direction, to Kashgar, and that his manuscripts eventually got into the hands of the Russian Consul in Kashgar, and that they are identical with the Petersburg collection of manuscripts, on which Professor von Oldenburg is now engaged. What leads him to think so, is that the Petersburg collection appears to contain other portions of the same manuscripts of which portions were found by him in the Weber MSS."
The Pathan, spoken of in the above quotation, would seem to be identical with the Afghan merchant Dildār Khăn of Mr. Macartney's report. This "Afghan merchant," as Mr. Weber also calls him, ${ }^{28}$ in

[^36]hopes of discovering buried treasure, undertook the excavation of a " house" near Kuchar (not Kugiar), and there found the manuscripts as well as the bodies of some "cows." It is now clear, what this so-called "house" was. It was evidently the stūpa or vihāra, with the usual settlement of Buddhist monks, from which the Bower MS. also was dug out. ${ }^{99}$ From the fact that Dildār Khān obtained posses. sion only of one half of the find, it may safely be concluded that his search in the vibāra was a joint-undertaking with some one else to whom the other moiety of the find (the Bower MS.) went. Who this other person was, appears from Major Bower's account, in the Geographical Journal, ${ }^{99}$ of the acquisition of his manuscript, in which be informs us that " a Turki who had been in India [Afghanistan ?] told him that he and one of his friends [the Afghan merchant Dildār Khān ?] bad gone there [to the ancient viliära] and dug for baried treasure, bat had found nothing except a book [the Bower MS.]." But further, Mr. Macartney's report accounts only for "two other manuscripts" or, more correctly, for two portions of the bundle of manuscripts, which was discovered together with the Bower MS. But there is every probability that there was a third portion of that bundle. For the collection of manuscripts which is now in St. Petersburg and which was sent there by the Russian Consul in Kashgar, contsins complementary parts of some of the Weber MSS. (see infra, under Set Ia), and must originally have come from the same source as the latter manuscripts and Set I of the Macartney MSS. It follows, therefore, that Dildār Khān, if he really obtained possession of the whole of the moiety of the Kuchar find, must have divided it into three portions: one portion he gave to Munshi Ahmad Din (and thus to Mr. Weber), while of the remainder he gave one portion to Mr. Macartney, the British Agent, and the other to the Russian Consul. This, from his point of view, would be a natural and impartial division between the representatives of the two Empires whom he no doubt wished to gratify; and that he did not introduce either of those officers into the secret of his diplomacy is equally natural. But there is one comfort in all this, that we have probably not yet heard the last of that Kuchar discovery, and that we may hope that further instalments of the manuscripts, found on that occasion, may yet come to light. Of most of the manuscripts which constitute the Weber MSS. collection, only the merest fragments- $a$ few leaves-have jet been recovered, and of the palm-leaf manuscript (No. I of the Fragments, described on p. 218) which must also have been

[^37]obtained from that ruined vihāra, only the veriest scraps. Some of these fragmentary manuscripts, e.g., the Sanskrit vocabulary in Part VI of the Weber MSS., are sufficiently important to make us wish to obtain the complement. It is possible that the missing portions of these manuscripts may have suffered destruction in the course of the excavation of those two treasure seekers; a good deal undoubtedly must have been destroyed; but it is also quite possible that some further portions are still held back by the finders, and may come to light hereafter as a result of suitable inducement.

I now proceed to a detailed account of the several sets of the Macartney MSS.

Set I. This set consists of two entirely different manuscripts, specimens of which are shown on Plates XV and XVI respectively. They are written in two different types of Brāhmi, Set Ia being in the Central Asian, while Set $\mathrm{I} b$ is in the Northern Indian type.

Set I $a$ consists of 35 leaves, two of which are shown on Plate XV, They are all broken off on one side. Their width is complete, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. The existing length is 5 inches, and about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches must be broken off; the total length, therefore, would be $7 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. In the missing part there must have been the string-hole. This calculation can be easily proved. Comparing the Macartney MSS. fragment with the Weber MSS. fragment No. VII, ${ }^{30}$ and with the Petroffski MSS. fragment No. VIII, ${ }^{31}$ it will be seen at once that all these three fragments absolutely agree in all points of shape, size, and type of letters. If we add to this that all three fragments treat of the story of Mäñibhadra, there cannot remain the slightest doubt but that they are portions of the same manuscript, one of which has gone to St. Petersburg, while the other two are in my hands. Now, by a careful comparison of the eight leaves in his possession, Dr. von Oldenburg has been able to practically restore the text on the obverse side of his leaf No. 3. The restored transcript of this page he has published, as well as its original. ${ }^{3 t}$ It will be seen from the transcript that the average number of aksaras on a full line is 34 . On the second line of the page the existing akşaras number 23, and the line itself measures nearly 5 inches. Accordingly the missing 11 aksaras, together with a small margin, would require a space of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. Hence the page, when complete, would have measured $7 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. Further, the missing aksaras on the second and fifth lines number I1 and 12 respectively, while on the third and fourth'

[^38]lines they number only 6 each. ${ }^{83}$ This difference can only be accounted for by the fact that the string-hole stood on the missing portion of the leaf and, with its surrounding blank, took up the space of about 5 or 6 aksaras. Precisely the same conclusions may be drawn from the obverse of the Macartney MS. Leaf I, a restored transcript of which is given by me below. In lines 2 and 4, about 14 and 12 akşaras respectively are missing, while in lines 2 and 3 only 8 and 2 aksaras respectively, thus suggesting a space for the string-hole in the latter lines. The total number of aksaras in the 2nd and 4th lines is about 35 , which represents a length of leaf of about $7 \frac{1}{2}$ inches.

To complete the case of this manuscript, it is now clear that altogether fifty leaves of it exist : 8 leaves are in the Petroffski collection, 7 in the Weber collection, and now 35 in the Macartney collection. This gives a fairly large manuscript, and when all the three portions are once brought together, read and compared, it will probably appear that nearly the whole, if not the whole, of the manascript has been recovered. ${ }^{33}$

This manuscript is written in the Central Asien Brāhmi, marked by the peculiar form of $\bar{e}$ and the peouliar general slant of the letters. The alphnbet of it has been published by me in my paper on the Weber MSS. in volume LXII of this Journal, Plate IV.

The subject of the manuscript is the story of the Great Yakga General Mānibhadra, and how he visited Buddua and received from him a powerful spell. It was a favourite story with the Buddhists; for it seems to be also the subject of Part VII of the Bower MS.st It is also very briefly told in one of the Sūtras of the Samyutta Nikāya. ${ }^{36}$

## Transcbipt. Platr XV. Leaf I: Obverse.

1, || Nagar-ōpama ārāmō sōlmē p(rārambha)
2, [Ēram mayā çrutam=ēka-samays Bhagavā̀ vi]ha[rati] j巨̄tavan(e) Anāthapiṇdad-ārāmē • atha khalu
3, [Mänibhadra mahāyakşa] (Bē)napati pamica-yakṣa-çata-parivāró pu(rask) rta-pari(krtō) atikrā-
 (s)pharitvā (yēna) Bhaga-

[^39] ti saḿrañjati kathām vividbām=upasami $h_{\Gamma}$ -
6, $[$ tya $+t+++++++t++] M \overline{a ̄ n i b h a d r a ~ m a h a ̄ y a k s ̣ a ~ s e ̄ n a ̄ p a-~}$ tir=Bhagavantam=idam=avōcat

## Liar I : Reverse.

1, (ha)yata svadhyāyata paryevāpnata manasi kuruta tat-kasmã
2, [nagar-ō]pamam vyākarapam dharm-ōpasaminitam àdi brabma-caryasy=äbhi-
3, nirvān- $\bar{a}+++++$ (a)tha oa punah kula-putrêna bra-
4, + yā agārava-nagarika (pravra)ditvà nagar-ōpamam் vyãka-
5, $[$ raṇain +++$]$ + dhārayita(vyama ) (udgrā)hayita(vyamin) vãcayitavyam svadhyă-
6, [yitavyami ] +++++ Bhagavām a(stu) mana + ēbhi, Leaf II: Obverse.
$1,++($ mahā $)-\nabla(\bar{a}) \operatorname{cya}(\dot{\mathrm{m}})$ pūrva-vad=idamin vaditvã brahmã Sa-natkamā-
2, $[\mathrm{rah}]+=$ pradaḳinīi- $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{tv} \overline{\mathrm{a}})(\operatorname{tatr}=\mathrm{aiv})=(\overline{\mathrm{a}})$ ntarhitah atha catvărō mahārā-
3, [jān̄̄] abhikrāntāyāmim rātryāmin yēn=(āha)m tēn=ōpasamikrānta (upātya)
4. [padau çirasā] vanditvà yathā svaka-sva(ka) ++i niḅçrtya ēkānte tasthure
5, [i](da)mim vaditvā catvārō mahārājānō mama pādau çirasā vamidi-
6, [tvā pradaķ̣ini-krtvā ta](tr-ai) v=āntarhitā • udgrhṇata bhiks̊avō nagar-ōpamam vyāka-

## Leap II : Reverse.

 vaditvā
2, (pa)dau çirasā vanditvā Bhagavantamं tre-pradakşinī-krtvā tatr= ai-
3. [va] Bhagavāme ēva ra + + ntyāyāt=purastād=bhikşu-(sam̉gha)

4, nyāşidat nişadya Bhaga(vām) (bhi)ḳ̣ūn=āmantryayati (c= ärtha)=dya-
 krāntah

Imperfectly visible letters are shown in round brackets; missing tters and restorations, in angular brackets. Of sōlmē (Ial) I can lake nothing; one would expect a number, say şōtlaçō, Pāli sōlasõ or slusamó 'sixteenth.' We have clearly here the beginning of a new hapter, in which Buddha appears to narrate to Mānibhadra the story of
the Brahmā Sanatkumāra. The name of the chapter would seem to be Nagarōpama Ārāma or 'the town-like park.' On the obverse of Leaf I I have restored what can be coacluded with mach probability to be the missing portions. This will give an idea of the original state of the page.

Set I, b. This set consists of 15 leaves. As a rule there are 9 lines on a page, only exceptionally 10 , as on fl . $23 a$. The manuscript is incomplete, both as regards the number and the size of the leaves. Its beginning and end are missing; but, so far as I can see from Dr. von Oldenbarg's paper in the Journal of the Imperial Russian Archeological Society, no portion of it appears to have gone to St. Petersbarg. All the leaves are mutilated at their right-hand side, and the only indication of their original length lies in the well-known fact, that Central Asian manuscripts have their string-hole on the left side of the leaf, at the distance of about a quarter of the length of the full page. Hence it may be concluded with some probability, that about one-quarter of each leaf is missing. As the existing length is about $4 \frac{1}{2}$ inches, this gives the full length as probably about 6 inches. ${ }^{86}$ The breadth of the leaves is about 2 inches. The material is a very soft kind of paper of a darkish colour; it is in a very rotten and broken state.

The writing is very slovenly done. Small and big letters frequently alternate without any apparent reason; and the lines are not kept properly straight and apart, so that their letters occasionally run into one another. Also errors occur not unfrequently, syllables or sounds being occasionally omitted; thus fl. $22 a^{8}$ painca for paincamē, fl. 22ab
 etc. All these blemishes aggravate the difficulty of reading the manuscript, and, I hope, will be accepted in extenuation of the imperfect state of the transliteration, given by me below.

The characters used in this manuscript distinctly belong to the Northern Indian class of Brāhmi, of the early Gupta period. They are of a rather archaic type, as I shall presently show in some detail. It will be seen from the excellent comparative tables, published by

[^40]fessor Bühler in illustration of his essay on Indian Palaeography, ${ }^{57}$ the marks, enumerated by me below, have, in their cumulation, rely disappeared from all engraved records (copperplates, stoneets, rocks, eto.) in India, from about the seventh century (say, A.D.). It is a natural result of the process of engraving that aic forms of letters, which as a rule are simpler and stiffer than ive ones, conserve themselves much longer in such records than nanuscripts. It is a principle, now universally admitted, that uscripts show the presence of cursive forms very much earlier a engraved records. It may be expected, therefore, that the marks ve referred to will have disappeared very much earlier from all uscripts, to give place to their corresponding cursive forms. This ectation is fully born out by the Bower MS., the date of which, n the occurrence in it of a special cursive form (the intermediate can with certainty be fixed to be about 450 A.D., i.e., about two baries anterior to the term above-mentioned for engraved records. that manuscript, indeed, none of the marks, ennmerated below, occur 11. On the otber hand, in our Macartney MS., they are all present amulation. This proves very clearly that this Macartney MS. must very considerably older than the Bower MS. Further, some of those ks have disappeared from engraved records, from about the end the fourth century (say, 400 A.D.). They prevail in them in the $t$, second and third centuries : they also prevail in this Macartney

It may, therefore, as it seems to me, safely be concluded that this cartney MS. may not be dated later than the middle of the fourth tury, and that it may be very mach older. Provisionally I would gest 350 A.D. as a fairly safe date. This result makes this particular cartney MS. the oldest existing Indian manuscript. For, though ad in Central Asia, it is abundantly clear from the characters of its ting, that if not written in India itself (which, for my part, I am cosed to doubt on account of the material on which it is written), ras written by a Native of India, or an Indian Baddhist, who had grated to Central Asia. ${ }^{38}$
The marks, above referred to, are the following :-
(1) Initial long $\bar{a}$, with curve, indicating length, attached to the at-hand side of the vertical line; disappears from the fourth century. or that date, the curve is attached to the foot of the vertical line, this is also the case in the Bower MS. See fl. 23al, ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{87}$ In the Encyclopedia of Indo-aryan research. See his Plates III to V.
88 It is a well-known fact that Indian Buddhist teachers, either on their own tive, or on vocation by others, frequently settled in foreign parts (e.g. Tibet China).
J. I. 32
(2) Initial short $i$, with the apex turned to the right, disappears from the third century. After that date, the apex is turned to the bottom, in the Bower MS. it is to the top. See fl. $22 b^{8}, 23 a^{5}$.
(3) Medial short $i$, made by a nearly perfect circlet, extremely rare, even in the most ancient records. From very early times (first century) it is usually made by a line curving to the left. See fi. $22 a^{4}$ (bhi), 22a ${ }^{5}$ ( $f i$ ), $23 a^{7}$ ( $p i$ ).
(4) Medial long $i$, made by a line carving to the left, like short $i$, but more convoluted; disappears from the beginning of the fifth centary (last seen in the Bilsad record 414 A.D., in $h \bar{i}, ~ g r i \bar{i}$ ) and is not found in the Bower MS. It occurs regularly here, see fl. $22 a^{8}$ (sthi, vi, $m \bar{i}), 22 a^{4}(d h \bar{i}), 22 a^{8,6}(r i \bar{i}), 22 b^{5}\left(n i \overline{)} 23 b^{9}(k i)\right.$, et passim.
(5) Medial short $u$, in the form of a straight line, attached to the bottom of the consonant, disappears from the end of the sixth century. After that date curves or wedges are used; the latter also in the Bower MS. See fl. 21al, $22 a^{3}, 23 b^{5}$ (su), $21 b^{5}$ and $23 a^{5}$ (pu), $22 a^{4}$ (hu), $22 a^{6}$ ( mu ), 23a1 ( $j u$ ), 23a ${ }^{8}$ (dhu).
(6) Initial $\delta$, with the apex tarued npwards ( $\Delta$ ), disappears from the end of the fourth centary (last seen in the Allahabad record, 375 A.D.). After that date the apex is turned to the bottom, in the Bower MS. to the left. See fl. $22 a^{8}$ and $22 b^{6}$.
(7-11) $K a, ~ y g a, j a, n a$, and $r a$ made with stiff straight lines, disappear with the end of the sixth century, $j a$ and na even earlier. After that date the lines are curved and the ends wedged. In the Bower MS., the ends of the vertical lines of $k a$ and ra are always wedged, and the lines of $j a$ and $\underset{\sim}{a}$ are curved. See f. $22 a^{\dagger}$ ( $k \bar{\theta}, k a \dot{m}$ ), $21 a^{1}, 23 a^{6}$ ( $\eta g a$ ), $23 a^{1}$ ( $j u$ ), $23 b^{9}$ ( $\quad \underset{a}{ }$ ), $23 b^{7}$ ( $(\bar{a})$, et passim.
(12) $Y a$, in its tridental form, disappears from the end of the sixth century. ${ }^{89}$ After that date its square form is universal, while an intermediate form occurs with the vowels $\bar{e}, a i, \bar{o}, a u$, from about 370 to 540 A.D. In the Bower MS. the only forms that occur are the tridental and the intermediate. In the Macartney MS., the tridental form alone occurs, thas showing that it cannot be placed later than 370 A.D., and probably dates from much earlier.
(13) The numeral figures $1,2,3$ and 20 are of an ancient type. See the left-hand margin on the obverses of $f .21,22,23$. In the Bower MS. the same forms are used, though occasionally the figure 3 has a more modern form.

I may add that the superscribed conjunct $r$ is, in our manuscript, always written above the line; see fl. $21 b^{8}, 23 a^{7}$ (rva), $22 b^{7}$ (rta), et
passim. The only exception is in the case of the ligature rya, when $r$ is formed on the line; see fl. $22 a^{3}$. All this, however, is a practice which goes as far back as the first century A.D.

I may also note, that as a rule no marks of interpunctuation or division are used. Exceptionally, however, a circular mark occurs, to mark the end of a chapter ( adhyāya), as on fl. $21 a^{5}, 21 b^{3}$, and an oblong mark to indicate the end of a paragraph as on fl. $23 b^{6,6}$.

It may also be worth noticing that the leaves of this work are also numbered on the obverse pages. This a practice on which I bave already remarked on page 227.

The language of the manuscript is Sanskrit, but of the well-known angrammatical or mixed type which was peculiar to the earlier Buddhist writers. Examples of this are the prakriticisms aikō (for aikah) in fl. $22 a^{4}$, tasmā (for tasmāt) fl. $22 a^{4}$, bhavē (for bhavēd) fl. $22 a^{3,5}$, mantrēna (for mantrēna) fl. $22 b^{1}, 23 b^{4,8}$.

The work is written partly in verse (clōka) and partly in prose. The çlōkas, however, are frequently, very irregularly formed, the pādas being sometimes too short, sometimes too long by one syllable; sometimes two pādas are run into one uninterrupted half-verse ; see fl. $22 b^{6}$, $23 b^{9}$. In my transcript, below, I have indicated any çlöka that could be recognized by the insertion, within angular brackets, of the usual single and double lines of division. A clear prose passage can be distinguished in fl. 23b6, 7 .

The work appears to have been divided into adhyãyas or chapters. On fl, $22 a^{5}$ we have the end of the eighth chapter, and on $\mathrm{fl} .22 b^{9}$ the mutilated ending of the ninth chapter. The tenth chapter which follows seems to have been called gandharva-karma or 'business of Gandharvas.'

A point worth noting is the frequent occurrence of unusual or unknown words. I have noticed the following instances: kēfala fl. $22 a^{4}$ (for kiñjala ?), yanti 'ingredient' fl. $22 b^{4}$, kanavīra fl. $23 a^{6}$ (for kanajîra or karavira ?) ; spandana and rāsabha fl. $23 a^{5}$ as names of two medicinal plants. This adds to the general archaic look of the work. On fl. $23 a^{2}$ there occurs the word rājamātra or 'a person of princely position'; it occurs together with the word rāja. According to the St. Petersburg dictionary, the word rājamātra is extremely rare; it seems to occur but once, in Caraka, part I, chapter 15. The context in Caraka is different; but the coincidence is surprising. Could our manuscript have anything to do with the original Caraka, that is, the work of Agnivēça ? For the nature of the work in our manuscript is undoubtedly medical or semi-medical.

- Lear 21 : Obverse.
 $+++$
2, п̣āற் prastha (3) (pamica)-rātram jāpam=anuvartana-sarvagandha + +
3, kģatā samp pājanā $+\dot{\mathrm{m}}$ (ca) maddhyēvīạ̄̀ kuryāsi + +
4, jana çaminta ku(mati) sarva-dēva-năga-yakęām vai +
$215,+\dot{m}+$ muddyat $=$ iti 0 aştamō 'ddhyāyah (0)
6, + tyāyam ni $(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{o}+\mathrm{me}++$ bhanēna ça ++
7, +ganētō māṣa ya
$8,++\overline{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{sarva}++\mathrm{ai}+$
$9,++++$


## Reverse.

$1,++++$
$2,+t+t+$
3, nyaiç=ca pūjayitaryam +++
$4,+++$ pam=anuda(t) $\overline{\text { ö }}$ manah sa(pta-rā)tram ma +
$5,+++$ ti tatō $O$ 'sya so puruß̧ō maṇi-rū
$6,++$ ch + (tataç $=c a++(b h a) \dot{m}$ gacchati manuṣyāṇ̄ām
7, sya (dha)nikām ++ t $\mathrm{ta}+$ +lam lapsati dive +
8, (va)mō 'dhyāy(a) (sa)māp(t)am © Atha gandharva-karmám nāma bhavati +
9, $+\bar{a} n \bar{a} \dot{m}$ mitāya tu pamica-rā(tra)-su +++ pūrv-ātmā +++
Leaf 22: Obferse.
1, thato pravālamं ca[1]suvarnamin rajata[mi] tathā [ 1 ] krṣ̆-āyasam ca tāmram ca [1] k̄̄ (ṇ̣a) min ca trapus( $\bar{a})$-sam
2, yā pamica ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ [1] saş̣thi bhavati mrttikā [II] saptami brahma-(k)u[ça]n [i]=tusa-vijāni $v=a ̄ s ̧ ̣ t a m e ~[H]+$
3, (mi)[1]daçamè triṇi tējasā [u]ēkādaça tu gandha-dakañ [1]blaové[d] dvādaçē tu (ku) +
4, tryōdaçamam ${ }^{48}$ kējalam [ n$]$ sahasr-ābhihutaç $=\mathrm{c}=$ aikō[ 1$]$ tasmā $[\mathrm{t}]$ sthānam vidhiyatē
22 5, yā bhavē [d] nāri[l] osadyah snātā labhēt $x$ sutam̀ [u]rā(tii)-dvārē vi + despa $[1]+$
6, vigrahēşu vā sadyah[1]snātō muc(y)ētē sadyas=tatō $[11] j \bar{a}+y^{a}$ + (drayo)

40 This aksara is written vory minately on the margin.
41 Read panincamé.
4. Read trayödaçamàm.

7, rajyā sadya +++ jam + yañ $=c a+i++++$ yamitra $++\bar{a}+$
8, sās $=\mathrm{tu}$ sudaruṇām $\mathbf{\nabla} \overline{\mathrm{a}}+$ tinā $++\mathrm{k} \overline{\mathrm{a}}++$ ya granthānā ++ $9,++++++$

## Reverse.

$1,++++++$
2, va mantrēna $i++$ dhā ++ mantra ana ++++ (ca bā va pinva)
3, sa saha (srè) +++++ cakkra la +++++ (nţbi tra) + liã $^{+}$
4, nandini tathā[ n$]$ ksirikā-tvaya-yantiç $=$ ca[1]apām ma ++ (sam. gha)re ca
5, n=aiv=ērgu ea ta Othā[n]sūry. द̄nuvartini çari vā n=āgra-danti сы +
6, bala tathā[प]ētās=tu dōęam dbidim vyāsamंn[1]vā vighnā-vināçani[n]catu +
7, laçu[1]kartavyā dvija-sattamah[n]samāyān் sn(̄̄a)p(t)a-liptāyām sōmya-sāmya +
8, mūlāma-vyagra [ 1 ] sa-(vi)çāña ${ }^{48}$ su-kukg̣iṇah[ 1 ]daça-dāṇta ca kartaryā[1]kalaçā snāptā
9, nēyyanti ${ }^{46}$ tvi sō rgha vyā ghì +++ va sahami(ta) saha(dēçam) $+($ dvijānām $)++$

Lear 23: Obferse.
 sa +
2, tirājē rāja-mātre vā $\operatorname{dēv(e)~(ampu)rikāsu~ca~} n=a ̄ n y a s m i m i n n ~ i 6 ~=~$ èpa mantra-pralè +
 taki vibhitakam=āpi + yani
4, (da)sth-ōduṁbara-bilva-palāça-vijaka[n]-saptaparnag=ca[1]drōṇam vaça( $n=t a)$ th $\bar{a}+i$
5, spandanam candanamin ta $O$ thā [u] sarj-ārjunam vijakaḿn [I] räsabham mōkgakam tathā [u] + karē 2 ima
6, nāgam vayça-kulam் tathā [1] priyaygum=atha pumināgam=[1] arkam் kaṇaviramin ca kadambam + manam drōṇa
7, vrkgō 'pi yб ++++ gandham sarvō maddhyah [1] sarva-dhū $+t+$ бтауам [ $\quad$ ]

48 The aksara na is placed below vicia, between the lines.
44 The two ya are placed side by side, overlapping one another.
46 Read açiti.
46 Dele the anusvära.
47 Road räpfr-opadravè.
t8 Bead tri-hastam.
 $+t+$
9, myanti ${ }^{\text {bo }}$ vişaye $+++^{\prime}+++$ anēn=aiva (ma)[ntrēna] $+\mathrm{ha}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{\delta}) \mathrm{padra}$
$10,++t+t+$

## Reverse.

$1,+++++$ (kara) ++
2, + ka-rātra (su-bāta)sa-bilva-samidhānā (vō) +++ ghrtākta
3, hötaryam krø̣a ca +++++++ pürv-סktē tā +++++ pūrva +
4, s-pathēe ${ }^{61}$ nadi-pula(napa) +anēna mantrēna ${ }^{\text {ba }} \prod \operatorname{ch}(a)$ trēna dhruva ++
5, anilé krọna-vā O sase vrga-bhūtē 'miki tięthasé asukō mé tathā vã
6, hā II só 'sya rājā vaçyō vidhēyō bhavati ātmauēna dhanēna vā jijñāsā
7, ktavyamin prānātyayō bhavati dharmaç=ca rāja-ghātinõ bhavati rāja-ghātinō ta(thā)
8, narakëß̆ ca paccaté ${ }^{63}$ - anēna mantrछna ${ }^{62}$ rāj-antaręßu pūrvam dakgiṇam datvā ca
 tah [ H ] sami $++\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{m}$ (ça) +
With regard to the remaining sets of the Macartney MSS., I must, for the present, content myself with merely publishing photographic specimens, and adding a few words of description. These manuscripts are written in characters which are either quite unknown to me, or with which I am too imperfectly acquainted to attempt a ready reading in the scanty leisure that my regular official duties allow me. I thought, however, that even a mere publication of specimens of the original manuscripts would he welcome to Oriental scholars. My hope is that among those of my fellow-labourers who bave made the languages of Central Asia their speciality, there may be some who may be able to recognize and identify the characters and language of these curious documents. To such I would only ask to be permitted to address the request that any discovery made by them may be communicated to me, with a view to arranging a full publication of the manuscripts.

Regarding their age I cannot venture to give any opinion, except

49 The full word is dadhi.
${ }^{50}$ Perhaps çämyanti.
bl The full word is catuf-pathe.

[^41]that I am not disposed to believe that they are so old as the other manuscripts which came from Kuchar. All these came from the neighrbourhood of Khotan, and there is nothing in the circumstances of their discovery which necessarily involves a very high antiquity, or need make them older than the early middle ages. The occurrence in them of what appears to me Uighur and Tibetan writing also seems to point in the same direction. See also infra pp. 255 and 256.

They are all written on a coarse, stiff paper, of a very dark dirtybrown colour. It is very different from the comparatively white and soft paper of the Kachar manuscripts. The condition, however, in which they are now, may be partially due to their long burial in the hot, dry sand from which they were rescued. Unfortunately the dark colour of these Khotan manuscripts has proved a great difficulty in photographing, and some of the Plates are not quite so clear as one would wish.

Set II. This consists of two distinct parts, of very different shape and size. One part (Plates XVII and XVIII) consists of two large sheets of paper, measuring about $16 \times 11 \frac{8}{4}$ inches. The second part (Plates XIX-XXII) consists of 12 sheets, of which eight are folded in the middle to make 2 leaves each. Hence there are 16 double-leaves and 4 single leaves; that is, the 12 sheets make up 20 leaves. These leaves measure about $6 \frac{8}{4} \times 4 \frac{1}{2}$ inches each; or a double-leaf measures $13 \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. The double-leaves show, close to their folded margin, four pin-holes, which seem to indicate that they were once stitched together, though no trace of a thread has sarvived. These 12 sheets are inscribed with four different kinds of characters; nevertheless, of course, they might form a connected whole; but this I am unable to determine. Accordingly I shall describe them in four separate, subordinate sets.

Set II $a$. Plates XVII and XVIII show the two sides of one of the two large sheets. Each of these sheets bears writing in two different characters, and two different inks. The lines of writing are, as a rule, arranged so that two lines of black letters alternate with one line of white letters. On one side (Plate XVIII) the double lines of black writing are separated from the single line of white writing by straight lines strongly marked in black ink. The white writing appears to me to be in Uighur characters; those of the black writing I am unable to identify. On one side (Plate XVIII) there are the distinct impressions of three seals; the two outer ones in black, the middle one in white ink. The latter should be again in Uighur, ${ }^{64}$ to correspond with the white writing. The regalarity of the alternation of the white and

[^42]black writing seems to suggest that one gives the translation of the other, the document being bilingual. The second sheet is, in every respect, similar to the figured one, except that it bears only two seals, and that the writing which corresponds to the white one is in black lead or what looks very much like it ; it is clearly distingaishable from the black-ink writing.

Set II b. Plate XIX shows a single leaf of this portion of the second part of Set II. There are also three double-leaves in this subordinate set, the total being seven leaves. These appear to me to be written in Chinese or in something greatly resembling Chinese characters. The number of letters in the perpendicular lines vary from 9 to 12; and the number of lines itself varies from 8 to 11 . One half of one of the double-leaves (two pages), even, numbers 13 lines to the page, and (apparently) 18 or 20 letters to the line, the letters being only about one-half as large as those on the rest of this manuscript. Each page of writing is enclosed in a double-lined quadrangle. Each side of a double-leaf, of course, has two such inscribed quadrangles (or pages) side by side, the fold of the paper running between the quadrangles.

Set II c. Plate XX shows a double-leaf of this subordinate set. It will also best explain what is meant by a donble-leaf. There are two of these double-leaves; and there is also one single leaf; so that the total number of leaves is five. Every page (except the two pages of the single leaf) is enclosed within a double-lined quadrangle. There are from 9 to 11 lines of writing on a page: the usual number is 10 . The writing is unknown to me: there is a faint suggestion about it of a very cursive form of the Indian Brāhmi characters; but this appearance is probably deceptive.

Set II d. Plate XXI shows a double-leaf of this portion of the set. There are two more such double-leaves, the total number of leaves being six. Every page.is enclosed within a double-lined quadrangle, and the quadrangles themselves are divided, by double lines, into six compartments each. Each compartment contains two lines of writing, the whole page, thus, having 12 lines. The lines of writing stand closer to the double lines of division than to one another. I do not know the writing ; it appears, however, to be similar to that of Set II c.

Set II e. Plate XXII shows a leaf of this subordinate set. There is another leaf of this set which is inscribed only on one side. This side has eight lines, while the two pages of the figured leaf have ten lines each. The writing is in white ink, ${ }^{6 b}$ and appears to be in Uighar characters.

[^43]Che following is a summary of Set II:-


ET III. Plate XXIII shows two leaves of this set. There are ther 12 such single leaves. They measure about $6 \frac{1}{4} \times 3 \frac{3}{4}$ inches, ave 6 or 7 lines to the page. The writing on them is much persed with what look like Brāhmi ligatures, in the Tibetan type rracters. This seems to render it probable that the rest is also n in Brähmi characters of a very cursive type; but I have had e to study it more closely. The leaves show no holes, and they ; appear to have ever been fastened together, though it can hardly lbted that they form a connected series.
ET IV. Plates XXIV and XXV show two double-leaves of this [t consists of a thick manuscript of small sized double-leaves, of some 3 or 4 have split into single leaves. Accordingly there be 112 leaves, but actually there are only 111 leaves, and these re about $5 \frac{1}{2} \times 3 \frac{8}{4}$ inches each. The lower corners of the leaves maged. Each double-leaf, when folded up into two single leaves, up a so-called 'form,' and these 'forms' are bound together 'book' by means of a metal nail which is passed through the of the 'forms' of leaves near their left-hand margin. The ' are secured from falling off the nail, by a metal disk screwed $1 e$ of its ends and a metal knob, into the other. The 'book' and ends with a conple of blank 'forms,' but whether this tes that the manuscript is complete, I cannot say, though it seems le. There are six or seven lines on each page, and these lines are tly partitioned off into four columns. The number of letters in mnar line varies; it is usually six; but I have noticed them from o seven. In this manuscript, too, ligatares of the Tibetan type on nearly every page, which would suggest a Brāhmi cursive ter for the rest of the writing. Whether the latter is the same similar to, that occurring in Set III needs investigation. I have time for closer examination.
ET V. Plate XXVI shows three leaves of this set. It is a manusvery similar in every respect to the preceding one. All its leaves gle, about 100 ; their exact number is uncertain, as a few of
J. I. 33
the leaves are broken in fragments, the paper being very brittle. They measure about $5 \frac{1}{4} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. They are also made up into a 'book,' by a metal nail passed through the left-hand side of the leaves. There are two blank leaves at the end of the book, and the leaf preceding them is inscribed on one side only. There appears to have been also a blank leaf at the beginning of the book, but it is now broken into fragments. All this would seem to indicate that the manuscript is complete; but not being able to read it, I cannot say so for certain. There are five lines on each page, and these are partitioned off into four columns. The letters in each columnar line number eight. ${ }^{66}$ Ligatures of the Tibetan type occur mach less frequently than in the preceding mannscript (see obverse of leaf I, line 3); nevertheless the writing may turn out to be a species of very cursive Brāhmi. I have had no time for any closer examination.

Set VI. This is a small manuscript of 8 leaves, measuring $5 \times 2 \frac{1}{4}$ inches. It is in a very bad state of preservation : nearly the whole of its writing has become obliterated, and the leaves are very baked and brittle. From the little that is legible, it is certain that this manuscript was written in exactly the same characters as the preceding one (Set V), with the same sporadic interspersion of Tibetan-like ligatures. As the leaves show no hole, they do not appear to have ever been strung together. In this respect this manuscript is like that of Set III.

In conclusion I would add a few remarks concerning the probable age of these manuscripts. They are not offered as embodying final results; they are only thoughts which have forced themselves on my mind in the course of my investigations, and they are intended as suggestions to stimulate further researches by others. For my part, I am disposed to believe that they will eventually be found to err on the side of moderation rather than excess.

For the parpose of an enquiry into their age, these manuscripts mast clearly be divided into two distinct olasses. First, there are those found near Kuchar, and dug out from the rains of the ancient vihära. These are written in Brāhmi characters, either of the Northern Indian or the Central Asian type, and are composed either in Sanskrit or in Turki. They are also written on palm-leaf, or birch-bark, or paper. To the second class belong those found in the sands, in the neighbourhood of Khotan. These are written in Chinese or Uighur or some other unknown alphabet and language; they are also inscribed on paper of (apparently) a quite different kind. I omit for the present the Godfrey MSS., becanse it is not certain, whether they were also found in that

[^44]ncient ruined vihāra, or in some other old ruined building near Kuchar. int provisionally, they mast be placed with the first class, with which hey agree in every other respect.

I will dispose of the second class first. For the present, there is so little information available to form any decided opinion. But the ollowing points may be noticed. First: Sets 4,5 and 6 of the Lacartney MSS. were found in practically the same locality, i.e., 50 or 0 miles (5 days' march) East of Gama. The latter town lies about 00 miles W. N. W. of Khotan. The find-place of those three sets, thereore, must be somewhere about 60 miles North-West of Khotan. The ets 2 and 3 were found in a different direction, viz., North-East of Chotan, in the Takla Makan desert: Set 2 at three marches (say, 35 iles) and Set 3 at 50 or 60 miles from Khotan. The direct route om Khotan to China, by way of Lob Nor, skirts the Takla Makan esert. About 69 miles East of Khotan lies the town of Kiria, where aat route turns North-East. Within the elbow thas made, and at distance of about 3 or 4 miles to the left, lies the Takla Makan esert, stretching westward to the North of Khotan. The town Pima (or Pein) lay a little to the North or North-West of Kiria, bout 60 miles East of Khotan, and the China ronte ran original-
by way of it (being thus a little shorter than the present loopne by way of Kiria). The Chinese Buddhist Hiuen Tsiang, in 644 .D., passed by this ronte through Pima on his return to China; so id Marco Polo on his way to China in 1274 A.D. 67 In their time the akla Makan desert already existed; it lay a little to the North of ima, and was advancing southward. In Hinen Tsiang's time, Pima as a comparatively recent settlement, its inhabitants having migrated puth-eastward to it from another town (called Ho-lo-lo-kia) on the estruction of the latter by the advancing sands. In Marco Polo's time, ima still existed. At the present day, it has disappeared in the sands, ad Kiria, still farther South, has taken its place. Beyond Pima and harchan the sand had already encroached on the roate, in Marco olo's time. Not long after his time, about 1330 A.D., the town of ob-Katak, lying North-East of Charchan, abont 3 marches (say 40 iles) from Lob Nor, was overwhelmed by the sands. ${ }^{68}$ It seems robable that the locality in which the manuscript Sets 2 and 3 were und, belonged to the original site of Pima, or was not far from it, orhaps at that of Ho-lo-lo-kia. The manuscripts might be, therefore, the 13 th century A.D., though they might also be mach older. The

[^45]find-place of Sets $4-6$ would seem to belong to the western extremity of the Takla Makan desert. The locality of Set 4 is described as "an immense graveyard in ruins." This part of the country and farther North-West was the scene of the fierce struggles between the Muhammadans of Kashgar and the Buddhists of Khotan in the early part of the 12th century. A large cemetery at Ordam Padshah, near Yangi Hisar, marks the site of a great Muhammadan defeat in 1095 A.D. That site is now nearly buried in the sands. It was about that time, in the llth century, that Sultān Satuk Bughra Khān succeeded in bringing together all the Uighar people into one nation. ${ }^{69}$ All this would point to a similar conclusion, the 12th centary, for the Macartney MSS. As to the chances of conservation of manuscripts under the condition in which they were found, I may quote the following remarks from Sir T. D. Forsyth's Report ${ }^{60}$ with reference to the castellated city, Shahri Nukta Rashid, now more or less completely buried under sand:-
"As an instance illustrative of the dry character of the climate here, I may mention that we found sheets of matting, such as are used at the present day, in the foundations of walls, still in excellent preservation under the layers of raw bricks composing the structure of the battlements, although, as we are assured and as history tends to prove, the place has been in ruins for eight hundred years."
It not unfrequently happens, as Sir T. D. Forsyth remarks, that when the fierce wind sweeps over these sand-buried places, objects are disclosed to view temporarily and again buried under the sands. In this way, if not as the result of actual digging after treasure, the Macartney MSS. appear to have been obtained by their finder.

I will now turn to the other class: those found in Kuchar and written in the Brāhmi characters. These must be divided into two sections: (1) those written in the Northern Indian Gupta, and (2) those written in the Central Asian characters. Buddhism was very early introduced into Kuchar, probably as early as the 1st century B.C., and probably through Khotan, where it was introduced in the 2nd century B.C. 61 In the early centuries A.D. it was a stronghold of Buddhism ; later on that religion retrograded under the spreading rivalry of Nestorian Christianity, and still more so under that of Muhammadanism. It never quite

[^46]ccumbed, and later, under the early Mongol conquerors, in the 13th intury, it partially revived in the Lamaitic form of Buddhism introduced om Tibet. This conservation of Buddhism, however, is not of any articular importance with regard to the question of the age of the uchar manuscripts. The early missionaries of the Buddhist faith were atives of Northern India, taking "India" in the wider usage of those mes. They brought with them their Buddhist scriptures written in e Northern Indian characters, and when settled in Kuchar, naturally sed those characters in their own compositions. Their converts, le natives of Knchar, learned the use of those characters from their ligious teachers. But in their hands they soon began to undergo a ocess of modification, which resulted in what I have called the entral Asian Brāhmi, but which, perhaps, it may be better now to call e Kuchari, as I have not met with this alphabet in any manuscripts cept those which came from Kuchar.

The initial epoch of that process of modification it seems possible fix with some probability, with the help of the evolution of the arious forms of ya. I have already (ante, pages 216 and 217) explained $1 e$ two divergent lines of this evolution in Northern India and Central sia. The Northern Indian evolution commenced in the extreme portion North-Western India (Panjäb, Kaçmir, Gandbāra, i.e., the country the Kushāns), (say) about 350 A.D., by the introduction of the termediate ya, and completed its course in the modern square ya roughout Northern India within little more than two centuries, i.e., oont 600 A.D. From the same extreme portion of North-Western India e Brāhmi alphabet, together with Buddhism, had been carried into uchar. With it naturally went the changes which from time to time ok place in that alphabet. This is shown by the case of the Bower IS., and by Nos. III $a b$ of the Fragments, all coming from Kuchar and us showing that the fashion of writing the intermediate ya had been uried to Kuchar. Now it seems to me evident, that if the process of olution of the Central Asian or Kuchari alphabet had not already uly set in before that period of the introduction of the intermediate z, the influence of that intermediate ya and its resultant square ya ould have shown itself in the formation of the Central Asian ya. at there is not the smallest trace of it. The evolution of the Central sian ya has taken a different course, which proves that it must have ggun at a time when the fashion of writing the intermediate ya had ot yet begun, or at least had not yet become a settled fact in Northestern India. That means that the initial epoch of the evolution of e Central Asian cannot be well placed later than the fourth or fifth ntury A.D. Further, when once a native Kuchari style of writing
had been formed, it follows that by the side of it the Northern Indisn style of writing can only have maintained an artificial existence, that is to say, it can only have existed either in manuscripts imported from India, or in the nsage of Native Indians who had immigrated into Central Asia (Kuchar). It follows further, first, that the maintenance of the Northern Indian style in Kachar (or Central Asia) ceased from the time the importation of Indian manuscripts or the immigration of Indian Buddhist teachers came to an end; and secondly (which is the main point in the present argument), that all manuscripts written in the Northern Indian style and discovered in Kuchar must, as regards their age, be judged solely by the rules that apply to Northern Indian palæography. This postulate applies to the Bower MS., to Parts I, II and III of the Weber MSS., to Sets $I a$ and $1 b$ of the Macartney MSS., and to Fragments Nos. I, II, III (exc. III d), V-VIII, XI. It applies also to Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 of the Godfrey MSS. As to the final epoch of the use of the Northern Indian alphabet in Central Asia (Kuchar), it may be noted that no manuscript has yet come to light, whick shows the employment of the final square form of the Northern Indian ya. Hence it may fairly be concluded that after the sixth century, no more manuscripts were exported or Buddhist teachers emigrated from India to Central Asia. This practically coincides with the great Muhammadan invasions, and is probably to a great extent accounted for by the troubles attendent on them.

I may add that those manuscripts which are found written on palm-leaf or birch-bark are evidently importations from India, and it may be noted, as a confirmatory circumstance, that neither the palm-leaf fragment No. I, nor the birch-bark fragment No. II, nor the birch-bart Bower MS. shows any trace of the Central Asian style of writing. As neither the Tär-palm nor the birch exists in Central Asia (Kuchar), the facts could not well be otherwise. On the other hand, those manuscripte in Northern Indian Brāhmi, which are found written on paper, I am inclined to believe, must bave been written in Central Asia by Indian Buddhists who had migrated there from India.

There remain the manuscripts written in the Central Asian Brāhmi. How long the use of this peculiar modification of the Brāhmi remained current in Central Asia (Kuchar), it is for me impossible at present to say. I know of no direct evidence. The ruling race in Central Asia, up to the time of the Mongols, were the Uighur tribes of Tarks. It is well-known that they were a literate people, and that they adopted a modificalion of the Syriac characters from the Nostorian missionaries who came among them from the 6th century A.D., if not earlier. This modified Syriac became their national characters, and is known as the
ighur. This adoption by them of $n$ species of Syriac characters is giificant, in view of the fact that there was at the time already in e among them a Sanskritic alphabet, the Central Asian Brāhmi (not mention at all the artificial Northern Indian). Probably that circumnnce shows (1) that the Central Asian Brähmi was the peculiar operty of the Buddhists among them, and (2) that Buddhism was nited among them to a minority, consisting of monks, but that the Ik of the nation had adopted Cbristianity, which accounts for their being frequently designated as Tarsi (or Christian). ${ }^{68}$ Later on, the bulk them adopted Muhammadanism, and with it the alphabet peculiar to
From this it would follow that as Buddhism gradually dwindled aong them, the knowledge and nse of the Central Asian Brähmí died t. How soon this was the case, I do not know; but it seems certain at the knowledge of that alphabet had entirely died out by the time the rise of the Mongol power in the 12th century A.D.; otherwise is difficult to account for the fact of the Uighur characters being lected by a Tibetan Buddhist for the purpose of forming a Mongol phabet. ${ }^{68}$ If the Central Asian Brāhmi had still survived at that ne, one would have expected a Buddhist to choose that peculiarly addhist alphabet in preference to the Uighur. I am disposed to lieve that it had already died ont some centuries previous to the boration of the Mongol characters.
Arranged chronologically, the manuscripts in the Central Asian ähmi may be placed thus: Fragments IIId, IV and IX are the rliest and may belong to the 5th century A.D. Next come Parts , V, VI, VII of the Weber MSS., which may belong to the 6th ntary. Then follow Part VI of the Weber MSS. and Fragment X, hich may be assigned to the 6th or 7th centuries. Lastly come Part of the Weber MSS. and Fragment XII, which may be as late as the h century. The Godfres MSS., Nos. 6-15, which are written in the rsive Central Asian, are difficalt to adjudge, and I will not attempt estimate their exact age.
With regard to the language in which the Central Asian manusipts are written, it may be noted that the following are written in rkī ( Uighur P). First: the Godfrey MSS. Nos. 4 and 5 (Plate IV), ich are written in Northern Indian Brāhmí; and secondly, Part IX the Weber MSS. and the Kashgar MS., which are written in Central sian Brāhmi. To the latter may be added the Godfrey MSS. Nos. 6-15, ich are in an unknown (Turki or Chinese) language, and in cursive
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Central Asian. It will be seen, that only a small number of manuscripts are written in a language which is not Sanskrit ; the majority are written in Sanskrit. This goes to confirm the fact, also otherwise known, that, as a rule, the Turki-Uighur used their own Uighur characters for their native literature, and the Brāhmi, whether of the Northern Indian or of the Central Asian type, was practically limited to the Buddhists and to Sanskrit literature imported by them from India. And this further teads to show that the employment of the Central Asian type of Brāhmin is not likely to have survived for very long the cessation of the use of the Northern Indian type of Brahmi. The latter, as I have shown, must have ceased to be in use with the cessation of importations from India, in the 7th centary A. D.
P. S. I have just noticed that the ancient name of Kashgar and of the country round about was Suli. See Beal's Buddhist Records, Vol. II, p. 306, note; also N. Elias' Tärīkh-i-Rashīdī, p. 8, note. It is carions that the documents, Nos. 8 and others among the Godfrey MSS., (see ante, p. 240) begin with Sali, followed by a numeral. Could it be a date?

Notes on Coins of Native States.-By A. F. Rodolf Hoernle, Ph.D., C.I.E. (With Plates XXXI-XXXIV.)
[Read May, 1897.]
This paper is based almost entirely on materials supplied to me by Mr. C. Maries, the Carator of the Museam and Superintendent of the Horticultaral Garden in Gvāliyār. The description of the coins is derived from manuscript notes of Mr. Maries, pat together by him, I understand, at the suggestion of His Highness the Mahärāja of Gwalior. The facsimiles of the coins were prepared by the artist of the Calcutta Museum, mostly from the originals, kindly lent to me by Mr. Maries for the parpose; a very few of the originals are in the possession of Mr. Bushford.

The notes, here put together, are necessarily of a very desultory character. Some of the information is uncertain, and must be taken with some reservation. Very little is known as yet of the coinages of the numerous Native States. Their coins are of the cradest make, with no pretence whatever to art and very little to legibility, and are hardly ever complete. It is no wonder, therefore, that hitherto they have not been thought worth the attention of the numismatist. They seem to be of no historic interest: though, perhaps, when more is known of them, they may be found not devoid of historical value.

A small, but useful contribation on the coins of Native States (with two plates) is the well-known one of J. Prinsep in his "Useful Tables," pp. 64-68. But the most useful existing work on the subject is Mr. Webb's Currencies of Rājpütannā. ${ }^{1}$ It is limited, however, in its scope, and not exhanstive even with regard to the States it notices. Much information may also be obtained from the Catalogue of the Coins of the Indian Museum in Calcatta, which was prepared by Mr. Chas. J. Rodgers. A considerable portion of its Part II (pp. 140219) describes the large collection of coins of Natives States, which
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that Museum possesses. It is illustrated by three photographic plates of selected coins. Two other very useful contributions are Colonel R. C. Temple's paper on "The Coins of the Modern Native Chiefs of the Panjāb" in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XVIII, for 1889, and Mr. C. J. Rodgers' paper on "The Coins of the Sikhs" in this Journal, Vol. L, for 1881. Both these papers are illustrated with numerous plates. It is a pity that, for want of funds, the Indian Museum Catalogue could not be more extensively illustrated from its rich collection of this class of coins. Without illustrations it is almost impossible to know and recognize the various coins of the Native States : their execution and state of preservation is in most cases so bad.

In the present case, the notes of explanation are merely a subsidiary part of the contribation. Its main object is the publication of the plates, which, it is hoped, may prove helpful-so far as they go-to those who take an interest in the collection and stady of the coinages of the Native States. It is owing to the liberality of His Highness the Mahārāja of Gwalior, that I have been enabled to publish so many plates. He has taken much interest in Mr. Maries' stadies, and granted the cost of preparing and printing the plates.

## List of States represented in this paper:

(1) Bāsōd̄̄, p. 271. (12) Jāorā, p. $268 . \quad$ (23) Pnṭiālā, p. 272.
(2) Bhartpur, p. 269. (13) Jōdhpur, p. 272. (24) Pēshwā, p. 273.
(3) Bhōpāl, p. 270. (14) Karauli, p. 270. (25) Ratlam, p. 271.
(4) Būndì, p. 267.
(15) Kớc, p. $271 . \quad$ (26) Salūmba, p. 272.
(5) Çiopur, p. 265.
(16) Kбtab, p. 267.
(27) Seōrhā or Sarōrā̆
(6) Datiyā, p. 266. (17) Lakhnau, p. 272. p. 255.
(7) Gбhad, p. 268. (18) Mandतвar, p. 268.
(8) Gvāliyār, p. 262/73.(19) Mēvār, p. 273.
(28) Shāhpur, p. 265.
(29) Sipr, p.
(9) Indōr, p. 272. (20) Nânakshāhī,p.272.(30) Teharī, p. 267.
(10) Isägarh, p. 266. (21) Naravar, p. 267/73. (31) Trkamgarh, p. 267.
(11) Jaipur, p. 269. (22) Örchā, p. 267. (32) Ujain, p. 266.

Gvāliyãr. (Plate XXXI, No. 1-15).
List of Mahārājas: (1) Mādhō Rāo I., 1754-1794, A. D.
(2) Daulat Rāo, 1794-1827.

Baijā Bāi (regent) 1827-1833.
(3) Jaŋkū Rāo, 1827-1843.
(4) Jiyāji Rāo, 1843-1886.

Council of Regency, 1886-1894.
(5) Mādhō Rāō II. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { succeeded } 1886 .\end{array}\right.$ \{ invested with power 1894.
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(l) A rapee of Mādhō Rāo $I$., struck at Bāpgaĩ on his way to vāliyār. Mark on obverse : oircle of 5 dots with a sixth in centre, جلوس of of of of reverse, small trident over the of
(2) The first rupee of Mādhō Rāo $I$. minted at $G$ vāliyār. No te. Mark on obverse: as on No. l; on reverse: a trident, attached the head of $\boldsymbol{g}$, of resembling the fleur de lys ; also within its , a cross sarmounted by a circle of 5 dots, with a sixth in the centre.
(3) A rapee of Daulat Rad. Date (regnal of Akbar II of Delhi 0 reigned from 1806-1837 A.D.) 17 ( $=1822$ A.D.) under the larger dent. Marks: obv., as on Nos. 1 and 2; rev., trident and cross th circle of dots, as on No. 2 ; also a minate trident, or fleur de lys, ع of
(4) A rapee of Baijā Bāi Şahibab, wife of Daulat Rāo, who ined money as regent after her husband's deatl. Mint: Gväliyār. ate (regnal) 23 : this is the 23rd year of Akbar II, i.e., 1243/44 H. 1827 A.D. Accordingly this rupee was struck in the first year of r regency. Marks: obv., as on Nos. 1-3; rev., plain trident, rmounting the $ل$ of and 六 pri to the left of it ; also cross th circle of dots, as on Nos. 2 and 3.
(5) A rupee of Jajkū Rāo. Mint: Gvāliyār. Date 23, the me as on No. 4, in the first year of the regency of the Queen-Mother. arks : obv., same as on Nos. 1-4; rev., trident as on No. 4, and to left the Mahärāja's initials .i jam, with annswāra attroched to left
 the name as Jaykū (㑔) for Janakū (बग्यू), see infra No. 60. To t of initials, bow-and-arrow.
There also exist silver two-annā and copper paisā pieces of Jankū 30 .
(6-11) Silver coins of Jiyāji Rāo, distinguished by the Mahārāja's tials on the reverse : बो $j \bar{i}$ (of बौब). No. 6 is a rapee of the Grāliyār nt. No date. Marks : similar to those on No. 5, but the bow-androw is tarned in the opposite direction. Also, there are five dots above ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~m}$ of g /ش, while the usual number ( see Nos. 1-5, 7, 14) is four. Nos. 9 , are silver eight, four, and two anna pieces respectively. Nos. 7 and 9 ow an additional mark (a three-leaved sprig) between 8 and (ot (of ik) the obverse. It can be seen more clearly on No. 14. No. 8 also 8 a small cross or star auder बी within the carve of g of 1 these additionsl marks are also seen sometimes on the rupees. s. 10 and 11 are two varieties of the same coin, a copper half-paisā. e obverse shows a large trident with four dots, placed within the of قران ثنى. This was a title of Akbar II of Delhi. The regnal date ( $=1827$ A.D.) is elearly wrong, and a mere sarvival from Jaŋkū

Rāo's coinage. On the other hand, the silver coins, Nos. 6-9, show the usual imperial obverse legend بادشار غازي. The reverse marks äre, from right to left : a spear-head, a snake with oो $j i$ above and 23 below, and an angular trident. Nos. $10 a$ and $10 b, 11 a$ and $11 b$, supplement one another.
(12) This is a copper paisā of Jiyāji Rāo. The date 1926 (Samvat) $=1869$ A.D. is on both sides. The legends are abbreviated : obv., बो $j \bar{i}$ for Jiyāji Rāo; rev. बi बi' a. bā. for Alija Bāhādur. Mr. Rodgers reverses the position of the obverse and reverse, and in his Lahore Museum Catalogue, Part IV, p. 66 (No. 4), where he gives it among Miscellaneous Indian Copper Coins, he ascribes it to "Ambāji of Gwāliär." On the other hand, in his Indian Museum Catalogue, Part II, p. 184 (No. 12277), be describes it as an Ujain coin. Ambaji, as Mr. Maries informs me, was a great chief in Grāliyār during the time of Mādhō Rāo I. The coin, therefore, clearly cannot be ascribed to him. Marks : obv., a cobra between a trident (to left) and spear (to right): rev., a trident between two sprigs. Each side has two concentric marginal circles with dots between.
( $13 a, 13 b$ and 14) A rupee, a four-ann $\bar{a}$ and a two-ann $\bar{a}$ piece of Mādhō Rão II. Mint: Gvāliyār. No date. Marks : very similar to those of Nos. 6-9, but Mahārāja's initials का $m \bar{a}$ instead of बो $j \bar{z}$.
(15) This copper piece is said to be a coin of Gvāliyăr. It shows the characteristic trident on the obverse; bat the flag on the reverse is, I believe, not otherwise known as a Gvāliyār mark.

For another coin, which may be one of Gvāliyār, see below, Plate XXXIV, No. 73.

With regard to Gvāliyār I may mention here an interesting fact, with which Mr. Maries has acquainted me. He writes that "a gold coin, called a putalī, is given as a nazr on darbār days to the Mabārāja in darbār, and it is rather curious, as it is evidently an imitation of an old Venetian coin. Why and when it was first used for this purpose, I cannot make out. This coin is also used both in Gvāliyār and Barōdā, for decorations for horses and elephants. One horse I saw with five strings of them round his shoulders and neck. There must have been some hundreds of coins. These trappings are used on procession days, particularly at Dasahrā." A specimen of this putalī has been shown to me. It is the well-known Venetian ducate, showing on the obverse the standing figare of Christ, enclosed within an almond-shaped arrangement of stars. On the reverse is seen the Doge kneeling in front of the standing figure of St. Mark, with the staff between them. The marginal legends on both sides are barely legible. The obverse has (right-side, top) SIT . T . XPE . DAT . V . TV (left) REGISISTE .

DVCA. The reverse has (right) PAVLRAINER . (left) Ṣ and along top of staff, on the Doge's side D

V
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The figures, as well as some of the letters are rather cradely formed.
Seōrhā. (Plate XXXI, 16.)
(16) This is a rapee said to be of the Sarōrā or Seōrhā mint (now closed), a town in the Datiyā State, Bandelkhaṇd, adjoining the Grāliyār State; about 36 miles east of Morār, on the Sindh river. This ascription, however, is not certain. The coin bears the usual legends of Akbar II, and has the regnal date 23 on the reverse, which would represent 1242/43 H., but is clearly a stereotyped date, as the obverse shows traces of a hijrah date, of which only the unit figure 8 is distinguishable, which might be 1228 or 1238 or 1248 . Marks : obv., cannon and spear-head ; rev., snake (?), axe and fly-flapper (caĩurī).

Çiopur. (Plate XXXI, 17, 18).
(17) This is a Rupee in the name of Akbar II, of the Ciopur (Çiyapur, vulgo Sheopur) mint, now closed. It is known as the tōp-shāhi. The town is in the Graliyär State, and is celebrated for its inlaid gold and silver work. Marks : on reverse, a cannon mounted on gun-carriage, with stacked balls. It is dated 1228 H. ( 1813 A.D.) and 8 regnal. There are said to be several varieties of this rapee. It is believed to have been first coined at Çiopur by Baptiste, who commanded the artillery of Mādhō Rāo I. See the following article, infra, p. 277.
(18) This is said to be a copper coin (paisā) of the same mint, Çiopar.

Sípri. (Plate XXXI, 19).
(19) This is said to be a rupee of Sipri, a town in the Gràliyār Stath, which possessed a mint years ago. These coins are rare, and the ascription of them is doubtful. Legends matilated : obv. $\sim$ [ شلا فlل rev. . Sipri, until lately, was used as a healthresort by the British.

Shāhpur. (Plate XXXI, 20).
(20) This is said to be a rupee of Shāhpur in Bhilvārā ; but the ascription is doubtful. It appears, however, to be the same as the

Shähpar rupee figured in Webb's Ourrencies of Räjputäna, Plate III, No. 3, page 19. Mark : a trident on obverse.
 مكه مباركى Shahjahänäbād (mutilated), year 12.
The year 12 of $\underline{S h a ̄ h}$ ' A lam (for he is probably meant) is 1184 H. $=1770 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D}$.

Usain. (Plate XXXI, 21).
(21) A rapee of the Ujain mint, chief town of Mālrā in the Gvāliyār State. A "Hāli Sikkā" rupee, now current. Date 1310 Ḥ. $=1892$ A.D., during the time of the Conncil of Regency. The regnal year is 34 of Shāh 'Alam, whose legends are on the coin; bat, of course, this date is anachronistic; for the 34th year of Shāh 'Alam would be 1207 Ḥ or 1792 A.D. Mint : Dāru-l-fath Ujain. Mark : a dagger on the reverse, over the $\boldsymbol{v}$ of julüs. This mark was added by Mādlō Rāo I. in 1764 A.D. The complete legend of the coin, as arranged on the die of the Ujain mint, is as follows :-


Isīanari. (Plate XXXII, 22, 23).
(22 and 23) A rapee and an eight-annā piece, of the Isāgarh mint (now closed), a town in the Grāliyār State, formerly belonging to the Rājās of Candēri. Legends: obv., middle مامب قرات; rev., middle جلوس. Marks: obv., two cannons, one above, the other below the legend, and cIa above the upper cannon; rev., two cannons, similarly placed; to left of them, the letter $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ j $j a$ and below it, bow-andarrow.

## Dativi. (Plate XXXII, 24-26).

(24-26) $\Delta$ rupee, an eight-annā, and a four-annā of the Datiyā mint. Datiyà is an independent state, bordering on the Gvāliyār State, which coins both silver and copper money. Both kinds bave the same marks. Legends: obv., apparently حامي hāmi (a fragment of Shăh
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Ālam's couplet) ; rev., جلوس. Marks: obv., scroll over la han, arrowlead between 1 o the $J$ of julüs; also various clusters of dots on both, obv. and rev., aces. Date (regnal) 5, ie., 1177 H. $=1763$ A.D., of Shāh 'Elam.

## Tehari or Orchà. (Plato, 27-31).

(27-81) Coins of the Tikamgarh, alias Ōrchā, alias Tehari Rāj, - small native state in Bandēlkhand, adjoining the British district of dalitpur. No. 27 is a rupee: this was called "Gāja Shāhi," and was coined till 1893. No. 29 is an eight-annब. No. 30 is a four-annā, and No. 28 a two-annā; all silver. No. 31 is a copper one-half-anoa (or wo-paisā). The legends and marks are the same on the silver and opper coins. Legends: obv., fragments of Shāh 'Alam's couplet;
 $n$ the other silver and copper coins 1211 H., and $4 Q$ regnal (179 A.D.). Marks : obs., in top-line a six-rayed star; below it, in middle line, an
 anus and three-leaved sprig. Rev., in middle line, a mace (gada), and slow it, in bottom line, another unknown symbol. The reverse of the popper coin (No. 31) has, in addition, a fleer de lys over the jug of julies.

Naravar. (Plate XXXII, 32-34).
(Nos. 32-34). Two rupees and one two-annā of Naravar, an ancient town in the Gvāliyār State, 44 miles south of Gvāliyār. It elonged at one time to a branch of the Jaipur family, from whom $t$ was taken by Mādho Rāo I. This mint is now closed. Legends : bro, fragments of Shāh 'Alam's usual couplet; rev., mint illegible, at the marks show it to be a Naravar coin. Date : of No. 32, 1202 H. $=1787$ A.D.), 30 regnal ; of No. 34, 12 regnal, which would be 1184 H or 1770 A.D.). Marks : rev., lotus-bud with stalk turned up to left and attached to top of $J$ of $j u l u \bar{s}$; also a star near right margin. On Nos. 33 nd 34, there is, in addition (or perhaps in place of star), a snake, head downwards.

Būndī or Kö̀tah. (Plate XXXII, 35, 36).
(Nos. 35 and 36.) Two rupees of Būndi or Kōtah. On these wo States and their coinage, see Webb's Currencies of Räjpūtдna, p. 85, 91. The earlier coins of the two States can hardly be distinwished. No. 35 seems to read Bahādur, in the obverse top-line, and o be struck in Bahādur Shāh's name (1837-1857 A.D.) ; while No. 36 eems to a rupee in Akbar II's name (1806-1837 A.D.). Dates: of Jo. 35, regnal 17 ; of No. 36, regnal 3. Mint: hardly legible, but pparently بونهى bündī. Marks ; on reverse of both, a lotus-bud with
stalk turned down, pointed in No. 35, but rounded in No. 36. The reverse of No. 36 has, in addition, a flower (?) over the $j$ of $j u l \bar{u} s$. The obverse of No. 35, has also the lotus-bud, but in a different form, over the $s h$ of $\underline{S h} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{h}$.

Mandōsar. (Plate XXXII, 37, 38).
(Nos. 37 and 38). These, according to native shroffs and bankers, are a rupee and a paisā of Mandठsar, in the Mālvà district of the Gvāliyār State. The mint is now closed. Legends : fragments of Shāh 'Alam's. Date: 1203 H., regnal 3 (1788 A.D.). Marks: on reverse, a lotus-bud and yōni-linga.
:
Görad. (Plate XXXII, 39).
(No. 39). A copper paisā of the Goुhad mint. It is 20 miles north of Gvāliyār. It belonged to the Dhōlpur Chief, up to 1806, when it was given to the Sindhias of Gväliyār. See Webb's Currencies of Rajputana, p. 133, where on Plate XII, Nos. 10, 12, rupees of Göhad will be found figured. The principal marks on these are: obv., an umbrella, and rev., a pistol, whence they are known as tamancha or ' pistol.' On No. 39 the pistol is seen on the reverse, but the ambrella on the obverse is wanting; instead there is a small cross or star over the 8 of 8 .

## Jãorā. (Plate XXXII, 40-44).

(40-44). Said to be coins of Jāorā, a native state and town in Western Mālvā, Central Indian Agency, about 40 miles S. E. of Pratāpgarh, on the Railway line. They were all procured from Jāorā. In Webb's Ourrencies of Rajputana, p. 23, however, coins of this kind are ascribed to the Pratāpgarh State. No. 43 is a rupee, No. $40^{\circ}$ and $40^{b}$ are eight annās, No. 41 is a four-annā, No. 44 is a two-annā, and Nos. $42^{a}$ and $42^{b}$ are paisās. The dates of the coins are inconsistent; the rupee (No. 45) has 1199 H and 29 julūs of Shāh 'Alam, while the julüs should be 26 . The julūs year varies on different specimens : the Lahore Museum has one with 22 . The smaller denominations have 1236 H. and 45 julūs; but the latter julūs of Shāh 'Alam corresponds to $1218 \mathrm{H} .=1803$ A.D. In the latter year the East India Company commenced to issue its " 45 -san" Rapees from its Farrukhābād mint, and continued the "Farrukhābād" rupees up to 1835 (see Brit. Mus. Cat., Moghal Emperors, Introduction, pp. cii, ciii). Perhaps the " $45-$ san" coins of Jāorā or Pratāpgarh may be made in imitation of the Company's. The mint on the reverse of No. 43 seems to read clearly enough ديورل dēvarah or deorā, which has
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been noticed on other specimens. The only Dēorā I know of is a 1 town on the Sown river, in Baghēlkaṇ, in the Rēvā State, a few beyond the borders of the British District of Mirzāpur. It inly cannot be read جاورو jāvarah or jaora. On No. 40a there are act traces, reading يرگ Evaga, which would make Dēvagarh or arch. This is a small town in Grāliyār, and is probably the really intended on all these coins. Marks : obv., a sort of w ended from a vertical stroke, and on its left a circle (or star) of dots; rev., a dagger, under it is what has been taken by Marries to be the figure of a " powder-pricker," but it is simply the ble zar of فوب zarb. One of the paisas, No. 42a, shows the date $95 \mathrm{H}=1780$ A.D. The real ascription of Nos. $42 a$ and $42 b$, howis very doubtful. 1 find that Bābū Kishan La says that "the coins are Ahmad's Rohtak coins (near Amballa)." The date 1195 aowever, agrees with the reign neither of Ahmad Shäh of Delhi f Ahmad Shāh Durrāni.

## JAIPUR. (Plate XXXIII, 45-47).

(45-47) Coins of Jaipur ; viz., No. 45 a rupee, No. 46 an eight, No. 47 a two-annā, No. 48 a paisā. See also Webb's Cures of Rajputana, p. 71, and Plate VII. The coins now given not figured on Webb's Plate ; but a very good specimen of a ar gold coin is figured in the Indian Museum Catalogue, Part II, 8, No. 10942. The obverse legend of the latter is borne on the ant Nos. 45-47, and gives the name and titles of the Empress Victoria plated) :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {;نگلستان وكُوريبا } \\
& \text { |AA* } \\
& \text { ضوب سواى جييور }
\end{aligned}
$$

The date on No. 56 is $188^{*}$ A.D., and regnal 8 of the Mahārāja ho Singh who ascended the qadi in 1880 A.D. Nos. 45, 47 are of regnal year 6 of the same Mahārāja. Mark: on reverse, a large, n-leaved sprig (jhär).

## Bhartpur. (Plate XXXIII, 49-51).

(49-51.) One rupee and two paisās of Bhartpur. See also b's Currencies of Räjputāna, p. 123 and Plate XII, 1-10. No. 49 type of rupee, not figured in Webb. It bears Shāh 'Alan's let, but is dated in $1271 \mathrm{H} .=1854-55$ A.D. in the reign of Bahādur $h$ II (1253-1275 H. ) The regnal year 4 on the reverse is that of Iärāja Jasvant Singh, who succeeded to the gaddi in 1852 A . I).
J. I. 35

No. 51 has the date $1215 \mathrm{H}=1800$ A.D., which would be the regnal year 43 of Shāh 'Alam, but the reverse only shows '4; that of No. 50 shows julūs 9. The mint on the reverse of No. 49 seems to be $B a r[t p u r]$. The principal mark of the Bhartpur coins is the katār, a peculiar kind of dagger. Marks: on the reverse of No. 50, besides the usual kajar, over its shoulders two stars, and to its right, in the curl of of a läth or staff.

Karaulī. (Plate XXXIII, 52).
(52.) This is a rupee of Karauli, similar to the steel die, figared on Plate XI, No. 3 in Webb's Currencies of Räjputānā, p. 119. The obverse bears the titles of the Empress of India and reads as follows:


It is dated 1882 A.D., and (on rev.) regnal year 7 of Mahāräja Arjan Pāl, who succeeded to the gaddi in 1875 A.D. The reverse shows the mint كرولى karauli, and as marks, the katār or dagger, and below it a seven-leaved $j h a ̄ r$ or sprig.

## BHōpāl. (Plate XXXIII, 53-59).

(53-59.) Coins of Bhōpāl. Nos. 55, 58, 59 are rupees, No. 53 is a four-annā, and Nos. $54 a, b$ are two-annās; Nos. 56, 57 are copper one-annā and two-paisās. Nos. 58 and 59 represent the older style, in the name of Akbar II ( $\$ \bar{a} h i b$ Qirän $S \bar{N} \bar{n} \bar{\imath}$ ), dated regnal 13 and 18 respectively. Another variety is figured in the Indian Mus. Cat., Part II, PI. IV, No. 10927. The others show the newer style. The legends, of all, except No. 56, will be found read ibidem, pp. 146-148. No. 56 reads as follows :


No. 53 is dated 1293 H. (1876 A.D.), reg. 8; No. 54a, 1294 H. (1877); No. 54b, 1306 H. (1888), reg. 15; No. 55, 1295 H. (1878) ; Nos. 57, 1307 H. (1889). All these, Nos. 53-57, are coins of the reigning sovereign Nawāb Shāh Jahān Bēgam, who ascended the throne in 1868 ( 1285 H.). Marks on the older coins: rev., large trident on shaft ;
bv., arrow-head; on newer coins : trident, shaped like fleur de lys on bv.; also six-rajed star and other small ornaments on obv. and rev. Nos. 56 and 57 have their values inscribed on their reverses, i. e., بك 'one-annā ' and نیر 'half-annā.'

Bāsōdī. (Plate XXXIII, 60).
(60.) This is a rapee of Bāsōdā, a small portion of the Gvāliyār itate, bordering on Bhōpāl. These rupees are rare. They were oined by Mahārāja Jaŋkū Rāo of Grāliyār, and show on the reerse the mark of Bhōpāl, a trident on shaft, together with a cauri f fly-flapper also a small arrow-head on the obverse. The legends re those of Akbar II (Sahkib Qiran Sänī). The reverse shows the Iahārāja's name, thus : जण jana-, and the regnal year 3 which would e 1836 A. D.

## Bhartpor. (Plate XXXIII, 61).

(61.) This is said to be an old Bhartpur rupee. It has on the everse the usual Bhartpur marks, a katãr or dagger, a four-rayed star, nd the two-leaved jhär or sprig in the us julūs (see Webb's Ourrenes of Rajputäna, p. L29, and pl. XII, 9, 10). The umbrella, on the brerse, is the imperial mark of Shāh 'Alam, whose couplet (mutilated) the obverse bears. The date is incomplete 12 **.

Ratlam. (Plate XXXIII, 62a, b).
(62.) These are two paisăs of the old Ratlām coinage. The bverse is within two concentric circles with dots between; the reverse similar, bat with small quadrangles within. Legends : obv., रतधाम rtalam; rev., цеpद, i.e., Samvat 1928 ( $=1871$ A.D.) ; all in crude aaracters. Marks : obv., large kat̄är or dagger, pointed left, and dot; v., six-rayed star and two dots.

Kớc. (Plate XXXIII, 63, 64).
( 63 and 64.) A rupee and a paisā of Kớc, called by different ankers Balāshāhì or ascribed to Kalpī, Bilsã, Jhansi and Jalaun in the orth Western Provinces. The legends are those of Shāh 'A gnal year 44 (i.e., $1216 \underset{H}{H}=1801$ A.D.) is on the reverse. Mint on verse illegible. Marks : obv., trident within o. 63), and flag pointed tq right; also several crosses or arrow-heads various sizes; rev., a many-rayed star, and below it another indis. act object.

Salümba. (Plate XXXIV, 65).
(65.) This a paisā of Salūmba; a feudatory state of Mēwār. The legends are most barbarous; that on the obverse appears to be intended for Shāh 'Alam's hāmī din. Mark: on reverse, a sword. Another variety of paisā is figured in Webb's Currencies of Rajputana, P1. III, No. 1.

Nānakshăhī. (Plate XXXIV, 66).
(66.) Coins of this kind are said to be "Nānaksh̄̄hi" money. They are obtained in Jhansi, Datiyā, and Central India. No. 66 undoubtedly reads نانك شال Nänak Shäh on the obverse, and may be compared with similar coins published by Rodgers in this Journal, Vol. L, Plates VIII and IX.

Jōdhptr. (Plate XXXIV, 67, 68).
(67 and 68.) Two paisās. No. 68 is said to be a coin of Jōdhpur, while No. 67 is said to be a Nānakshāhi coin. But both coins show the identical characteristic wheel on the obverse, and therefore are likely to be coins of the same place, whatever that may be. Marks: obverse, a wheel ; rev., a cup on No. 68, some unknown object on No. 67. Legends: a few unintelligible scrawls.

Indōr. (Plate XXXIV, 69).
(69.) A two-paisā piece, said to be of Indōr, of which the bull is characteristic. Legends: a few barbarous letters; on obv. غ Ghäzi recognizable. Date : qrifpe which may be intended for 1243 H. ( $=1827$ A.D.) or 1234 H. ( $=1818$ A.D.). Marks : obv., circle of seven dots; below, lotus-bad, pointing to right; rev., brahmani bull seated to left, facing a yōni-liyga; also two clusters of seven and three dots.

Lakhnad. (Plate XXXIV, 70, 71).
(70 and 71.) Two paisäs, said to be coins of Lakhnau, though I do not know on what ground. The mint on the obv. is not legible, perhaps Srinagar. No. 70 has the date 128* Ḥ. ( $=186^{*}$ A.D.), and julṻs 3 (?). No. 71 has the julūs 2, and date * 72 H. Marks : rev. a trident (on No. 70) and a begging-bowl (?).

Patiálà. (Plate XXXIV, 72).
(72.) Said to be an old paisā of Paţiālā ; but I can see nothing distinctive of that state about it. Legends : obv. sاش ; rev. [سلو] and under it apparently a (illegible) date. Mark : obv., a bud (?).


Miscbllaneous, Supplementary. (Plate XXXIV, 73-76).
(73.) A paisā of Danlat Rāo of Gvāliyār. The date on the re is $1215 \mathrm{H}(=1800$ A.D.). Marks : on rev., a spear-head, and ably a trident (mutilated).
(74.) A paisā, said to be Naravar in the Gvāliyār State. The tee shows Shāh 'Elam's usual legend in a barbarous form. Mark: verse a leaf or bud (?).
(75.) An old paisa of Mewār. Both sides appear to bear the mark, four tridents (two angular and two rounded) arranged wise. See, however, for a somewhat similar Sikh coin, this Journal, L for 1881, plate IX, fig. 68.
(76.) This is a very curious rupee, which is said to belong to the haas." Legends: barbarous fragments of Shāh 'Ãlam's; obv. : 1243 H. ( $=1827$ A.D.), the figure 2 is turned the wrong way. : a pair of scissors (?).

## Supplement.

Since the above notes have been in type, Mr. Marries has supplied th the following additional coins of Ujain, minted during Gràliyār macy.
(77.) This is a copper double-paisa or half-anna It is dated H. or 1849 A.D., and therefore belongs to the reign of Jiyāji It bears fragments of the legends of Bahādur Shāh II (who led 1253-1275 H. $=$ 1837-1857 A.D.), as follows :

Obverse :
بهاد] شالا]


## Reverse :

 فرب جلو[س]


It has the usual Gqāliyār mark of the trident on the reverse, noted on the initial stroke of $\quad b$ of the word arb. This coin is to have been minted, while Viṣ̣̣u Apte was the Şūbah or governor \ālvā.
(78.) This is also a copper double-paisā, dated 1278 H. $=1861$ , and belongs, therefore, also to the reign of Jīyāji Rāo. It also $s$ fragments of the anachronistic legends of Bahādur Shāh II.

Obverse:
Reverse:


It has also the usual Gvāliyār marks: on obv., an arrowhead; on rev., a trident, mounted as in No. 76.
(79.) This is a square copper double-paisā. It is dated 129* H., therefore in some year between 1873 and 1881 A.D., during the reign of Jiyajji Rāo. It is said to have been minted for the last time in Samvat 1941, or 1885 A.D. It bears fragments of the anachronistic legends of Shāh 'Alam.

## Obverse :

## Reverse:



Mark, as on Nos. 76 and 77, trident mounted on بb, on reverse.

# The Bajrajggarh Mint and Coins.-By Riceard Burn, I.C.S. (With Plate XXXIV.) 

[Read May, 1897.]

The coins of this Mint are all struck in the name of Jai Simgh at inagar, the year (regnal) varying from 15 to 24 on specimens I have en.

Jainagar is the name of a town, otherwise called Bajranggarh, about miles from the cantonment of Gūna in Central India. Locally, the rmer name is always, or generally, nsed for the town. It is the headaarters of a șūbah of the Grāliār State, which is known as Bajranggarh ad never of course as Jainagar. The Khici branch of the Cauhān häkars to which Jai Simgh belonged has always been renowned for its alour, and is one of the chief of the twenty-four Sachae given by Tod, ${ }^{1}$ to which the Cauhāns were divided, and their territory was called te Khicivārā. ${ }^{8}$ This particular family, which claims descent from irthī Rāj of Delhi, was at first settled at Gāgrūn or Gagrār in Mālvā, id first came into prominence in the reign of Akbar, ${ }^{8}$ when one of the mily was made governor of Multān and received a jāgir at Sirōñj. he son of this chief, Lälji, founded Raghagarh, the present capital of e state of that name, and when the Mahraţhas invaded Mālvā first, grandson of the latter, Balbhadar Simgh was Rājā. Balbhadar Simgh ad his father Dhuraj Singh had made themselves respected by force of ms amongst their tarbulent neighbours, and were connected by marrige with the Rājās of Jaipur and Udaipur.4 The Peshwā Bāji Rão hile at Sāgar had a difference with Balbhadar Simigh, who was consiared then one of the most powerful of the Räjpüt princes, but this was ibsequently composed, and Balbhadar Simgh actively aided the Mahthas till his death abont 1780 A.D. His son Balvant Simgh, who
' Rajasthān I, 81.
2 See map in Malcolm's Memoirs of Oentral India, Vol. I.
8 Malcolm, op. cit. I, pp. 45, 46.

- Malcolm, op. cit. I, pp. 463 et seq., from which this account is condensed.

succeeded him, appears to have been of a decidedly weaker nature, and Mādhōji Sindia at once attempted to force him to cede certain districts in lieu of tribute, and a few years later, asserting that the Rajā was negotiating with the British Government, then at war with Sindia, he took the fort of Raghugarh and made Balvant Simgh and his son Jai Singh prisoners, and confiscated the Rāj. One Shēr Simgh, of the same caste, then commenced a predatory warfare against Sindia, and by threats induced the villagers inhabiting the state to leave the land, most of them going to Bhōpāl, with the Diwān of which state, Chūṭṭa Khān, he had made friends. Shēr Simgh's warfare only extended against the Mahraṭhas, and he took particular delight in mutilating Brähmans and killing their children, and had established such a reputation that, through fear of his few handred men, a convoy of 4,000 or 5,000 men, bringing Sindia's wife and the families of his officers, hesitated before him. By the mediation of Chüțtā Khän however, Shēr Simgh was induced to let them pass. After many attempts, Shēr Simgh, with the help of a tribe of thieves, managed to effect the escape of Jai Simgh from the fort at Bhilsā where he was imprisoned, and sent him to Jaipar to enlist the help of the Rājās of that state and Jōdhpar. Their representations induced Sindia to release Balvant Singh and restore him on condition of the payment of a large sum, which naturally turned outimpossible, and Balvant Simgh retired to Jaipur where he died in three years.

He had left an agent named Durjan Làl at the court of Sindia, whom he advised to leave when he himself retired to Jaipur. Durjan Lāl at once followed the example of Shēr Simgh, but was crushed, though not without difficulty by Sindia's forces, and fled to Sāgar, where he remained till the death of Mādhōjī in 1798 A.D. Jai Simgh then joined him in an attempt to aid Mādhōji's widow, but Daulat Rāo's forces under General Perron utterly defeated them, and they fell back into the position of guerillas. Durjan Lāl however, separating from Jai Simgh, seized the estate of Dhulip Simgh of Ahirvārā, and changed the name of the capital from Undi to Bahādurgañj, while Jai Simgh retired to Raghagarh. Durjan Lāl then extended his conquests over twenty-two districts, bat was overthrown by General Jean Baptiste in 1803, and after remaining head of a body of Khici Rājpūts, who perpetually harried Sindia's country, died in 1810. Jai Simgh had assumed the title in 1798, and appears to have been of an extremely cruel nature, though capable, and admired by his followers for his bravery. He put to death several of his own wives, two of his kinsmen and their whole families for various reasons, and it is said be was temporarily insane, consequent on the excessive use of opium and hemp drags, coupled with an
excess of religions zeal in the worship of Hanumān. The last-mentioned point is confirmed by the coins, on which he calls himself Pavanputra, a well-known epithet of Hanumān. ${ }^{1}$ After Sindia had made peace with the English, he proceeded to annihilate those of the Rājpūt chiefs whose territory he wished to seize. General Baptiste took Bajranggarh and, after a siege, Raghugarh, but Jai Singh managed to retake the fort of Çiopur in 1816, which had been previously subdued by General Baptiste, and he actually made the family of the latter prisoners, besides obtaining much booty. Jai Simgh, when expelled from his state, became "a prince of camel-riding caterans," but he did not degenerate into the highway robber, levying contributions as a rule from officers of Sindia only, and several times he pressed Baptiste very hard, and for five years a considerable portion of Sindia's forces were wholly occupied against him. He had great hopes from the British, and wrote to Colonel MacMorine who commanded a corps on the frontier a most remarkable letter, ${ }^{2}$ offering to pay six to eight annas in the rapee on collections, if Sindia's country. were made over to him, and saying that if five lakhs, or enough to raise fifteen thousand horsemen, were advanced to him, he would crush the Pindäris with their 30,000 . The letter concludes with a request for an immediate advance of a quarter of a lakh. It was of course impossible to accede to this, and Jai Simgh was preparing to renew the attack alone, when he died in 1818 of cholera.

From the coins we get the regnal year 19 which would correspond with A.D. 1816, and the saperior execution of the coin dated that year would support the idea that it was struck in a town, before Jai Simgh, was driven to live where he could, the later coins being much cruder in design and finish. There is no symbol on the earlier coin, and that of the lotus on the second specimen seems to indicate a connection with Kōta and Būndi. ${ }^{8}$ After the death of Jai Simgh the succession was disputed. One of the chief Rānis adopted a boy named Bakhtāvar Simgh, who was raised to the gaddi under the name of Ajit Simgh, but the aunt of Jai Simgh supported one Dhonkal Simgh, who claimed to have been appointed successor by Jai Singh, in the usual way, by receiving his horse and spear. He belonged however to the Bijavat branch of the family (descended from Bijai Singh, younger son of Gharib Dās the founder of the principality), which is reckoned inferior to the Lālavat branch (descended from Lāl Simigh the eldest son), and Dhojkal Simigh was finally imprisoned at Gvāliyār, after defeat by Sindia's troops

[^49]under British Officers. In 1819, through the mediation of the British, Sindia granted a fief to $\Delta$ jit Simgh of the yearly value of Rs. 1,42,848-8, of which Re. 55,000 were to be kept by the Rājā and the balance paid to Sindia who guaranteed that amount however. ${ }^{4}$ In 1843 owing to family quarrels a division was made, and new Sanads granted to Bijai Simgh, and Chatar Lāl. ${ }^{6}$

For much of the information given above, and for valuable references I am obliged to Colonel Barr, Agent to the Governor-General in Central India.

The Bajranggarh coins have hitherto been very imperfectly deecribed. They were first read by Prinsep who appears to have only possessed a very poor specimen of one of the varieties. He says of them (Useful Tables, pp. 64, 65).
"3. The Bajrangari Rupere.
(Near Kotà Bundī) known by the Lotus symbol ; coined by a petty zamindār; much debased. In the Bhākhā dialect.

Obverse:
习ौ रामचपराषो पबनपुब बलापायन
Srī rāma chapräsī pavanputra balapāyan.
'All-powerful son of the air (Hanumān) servant of Rāma.'
Reverse:
य叉पर जाषा में राजा नयसिंध के P? बबकवर
Is par chhāpä mê rājā Jai Singh ke 21 Jainagar.
' On this coin is imprinted the 2lst (year) of Rājā Jai Singh at Jaynagar.'

The initial and final letters are imperfectly visible on the coin; the parport shows it to be struck at Jaynagar, a village near Bajrangarh."

The latest anthority on coins of Rājpātānā, Surgeon Major Webb briefly dismisses them with the remark that they have been fully described by Prinsep. His figure (Pl. VIII, fig. 17) appears to be merely a copy of that given by Prinsep (Vol. II, pl. XLV, fig. 3). Mr. C. J. Rougers, in the Catalogue of coins in the Calcutta Museum (pp. 156-157, Vol. II) gives a reading from eight coins, but his reading does not give any clear meaning of either the obverse or reverse inscriptions.

My attention was first drawn to the coins when looking over Dr. Hoey's collection, and from the ten specimens in it I made out a read-

[^50]for the obverse, which, though it differed from both Prinsep's and igers' versions, gave an intelligible inscription and one in accordance $h$ the letters. The reverse presented more difficulty, and my first ling sent to Dr. Hoernle was not satisfactory, but through the kind3 of Major Masters of the Central India, Horse some more specimens e sent to me with a note from an official of the Raghagarh State ch solved the difficalty.
There appear to be five varieties amongst these coins, with iden1 inscriptions, but distinguished by miut marks, and with several varieties distinguished only by different spacing of the inscriptions.

Variety I. (Plate XXXIV, fig. 1).
Letters fairly well executed. Distinguishing mark, a club on the t of the reverge.
Obverse. Surrnanded by a double circle with dots between, and outside the outer circle.

Subvariety (a). Dates observed 15, 18.


Subvariety (b). Date observed 16.

## यं सिक्ष

पर घाप काष
राज बया सिं

- बो ? कघ

बतर
Subvariety (c). Date observed 17.
यद्ध सिक्ष
पर काष माह
रण बय सिंघ
बो २० बघ
कार
Subvariety (d). Dates observed 19, 20.
यु भिष
पर इस मा
एरण बय़ सिं

- बो po ब

घ ब बबर

Subvariety (e). Date observed 20.
य. सिबा
पर $\overline{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{I}$ म
राज बय़ सिं

- बो p० ซय

बगर
The two coins dated 20, subvarieties (d) and (e) are narrower and thicker than the others, but weigh the same amount. Major Masters' informant said they were struck by the Rājā of Candēri, an ally of Jai Simgh.

Reverse. Surrounded by a double circle with dots between, and also outside the outer circle. To right an upright club.

Subvariety (a). Dates observed 15, 17, 19, 20.
नो राबढ़


पये को
Subvariety (b). Date observed 16.
तो राघब

पय क्ष
Subvariety (c). Date observed 18.
यो राषव


पय़ के
I have one coin, dated 18, which has several mis-spellings and may be a forgery.

| Obverse. घ़ सिक | Reverse. <br> को राब्य |
| :---: | :---: |
| रप हाब . ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | परताप प区 |
|  | ब पष पक |
| बो २ॅ Ш़ฺ | पय के |
| अनर |  |

The spacing of the letters corresponds with that of sabvarieties (c) of both obverse and reverse.

Another mis－spelled coin of this variety is in the Calcutta Museam （Catalogue，Part II，p．156，No．11971）．

Varibty II．（Plate XXXIV，fig．2．）
This differs from the last variety in having the club on the left of the reverse，and also a sprig or star on the right at the end of the last line of the legend．It has also the double circle with dots．Its date is 16.

Only one specimen of this variety is known；it belongs to the Indian Musenm in Calcutta（Catalogue，Part II，p．157，No．11968）．

| Obverse． घु fिक | Reverse． को राघढ |
| :---: | :---: |
| पर जाप सर | 宫 परताप पय |
| रब बब fि | －पुष ब ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| －बौ २¢［ब］ | 昡¢ |

Varibty III．（Plate IV，fig．3．）
Mach crader in execation．It is distinguished by having a lotas in the middle of the second line on the reverse，and no clab．It has no mark on the obverse．

I have only seen two specimens，one of which belongs to Dr．Hoey and one to myself，but Dr．Hoernle informs me he has a specimen， and Nos． 11937 and 11938 in the Calcatta Catalogne，Vol．II，p．157， appear to belong to this variety．

The subvarieties differ only in the presence or absence of some dots and a cross，and the shape of the lotus．

Subvariety（a）．Date observed 21．（Dr．Hoey）．

Obverse．
（ युष्ष fिए ）
पर शाप मए
रण बा ब ष
－क p ब

Reverse．
को राष
बप 兽 रता
प पबए पुष ब
ब घया के

ब बतर
Margins are cut，bat there is a trace of a circle．
Subvariety（b）．Date observed 21．（Dr．Hoernle）．The third and fourth lines of the obverse have dots and a cross， thas：

```
एज बब
    \because+
| % P
```

    \(\because\)
    The coin also has the double circle and dots noticed on Variety I.
Subvariety (c). (My collection). It is exactly similar to (a), but the lotus is a little different. ${ }^{6}$ It shows also a portion of the doable circle and dots.
No specimen of Variety III was received by me from Major Masters, but it resembles very mach the next variety which is assigned by his informant to Ajit Simgh, son and successor of Jai Simgh.

Variety IV. (Plate XXXIV, figs. 4, 5.)
This differs from the last variety in having a drawn bow-andarrow on the obverse as well as the lotus on the reverse.

The coins are very crude, and the full inscription is hardly ever to be found on any one.

I find two sabvarieties, differing in the spelling of the word Partāp (viz., परताप Partapp and पराताप Paratãp), the absence or presence of three dots at the end of the top-line of the obverse and at the end of the bottom line of the reverse, and the spacing of the letters.


Subvariety (b). With three dots in bottom line of reverse (Fig. 5.)

Obverse.
As in (a).

## Reverse.

तो राधब


6 [This lotus is shown in fig. 8 of Plate XXXIV; the form of the lotus on subvarieties (a) and (b) is the ordinary one, as shown in figs. 4, 5, 8, 8. Moreover, along the upper part of the right-hand margin of the reverse there are some indiatinot traces; they might be those of a clab. If so, this coin would not be a subvariety of Variety III, bnt would form a new variety by itself, with olab and lotas on the reverse. I may note, that neither subvarieties (a) and (b) show any trace of a clab. B. H. H.]

Varisty V. (Plate XXXIV, fige. 6, 7.)
Distinguishing marks: a symbol, resembling the sign of "paragraph," placed lengthwise over the top-line of the Reverse; and two circles made of 6 dots with one in the centre (total 7) placed over the top-line of the Obverse. Similar circles, also, are placed at the beginning and end of aach line of both, the obverse and reverse sides. There are also traces of the double circle with dots between, as on Variety I.

Only two specimens are known to me : one belongs to Dr. Hoey, and the otber to Dr. Hoernle.

No subvarieties have been observed.
The legend on the obverse exhibits a curions variant, Räghävar nstead of Räghav and päy for pay. Date on both specimeus 18.

Obverse.
$::$ ब ब़ सिब $::$
$\therefore::$ पर जाप मा $::$
$: ~::$ राज बय़ निंघ $: ~::$

$\therefore::$ बबर $::$ :

Reverse.
en
$\therefore::$ तौ राषाब $::$
$\therefore::$ र परताप प $: \because$
$: \vdots$ बण पुष्ब बक्ष $::$
$\therefore::$ पाब़ ब $: \therefore$

Major Masters tells me there is a sixth variety octagonal in shape, out he has not been able to procure a specimen.

Dr. Hoernle has brought to my notice the fact that eight-annā and our-annã pieces as well as rupees were strack from this mint. They elong to Variety IV, subvariety (a).

Eight-annā. (Plate XXXIV, fig. 8.) With three dots in topline of reverse.

Obverse. As in IV (a).

Reverse.
[नो] राप :-
[ब प] 茄 रताप
[पब]क पुष ब
[छ पय के]

Four-annā. (Plate XXXIV, fig. 9.) Obverse and reverse as in IV (a).
These smaller denominations were struck from the same dies as the apees. Hence they never show more than a fragment of the legends.

The meaning of the obverse inscription is plain :-
Yih sik(ka) par chapp mâharaj Jay Singh ke (date) Jayanagar.
i.e., On this coin is the stamp of Jay Simgh (date) Jayanagar.

I think that the reverse is now clear, taking the last word to be the same as पा-क्ष or पा-कर :-

Çri Raghav Partāp Pavan-putra bal pay-ke (i.e, pā-ke.)
i.e., Obtaining strength from the powerful und magnificent son of the air (i.e., Hanumān).
As I have shown above in my remarks on the mint, Jai Simgh considered himself under the special protection of Hanumān, and " son of the air" is a common title of the God.

I have received from the state a carious legend regarding the two mint marks on the later coins. The clab of coarse is well-known as the weapon of Hanumān. As regards the lotas which is also found on the coins of Kotah and Bāndi, it is said that a Major Fielding raised a troop of Khici horse, and that the Rājā said he would perpetuate his name by placing a mark on his coins. Major Fielding according to the writer was always called "Phalṭni Sāabib" by the natives (which I take to be Pulteney), and hence a flower ( $=$ phūl) was placed on the coins. A powerfal chief named Ưdāaji, who was stationed at Gūnā by the Gvāliyār Darbār in command of 11,000 troops, heard of this and insisted on his mark, viz., the drawn bow-and-arrow, being also placed on the coins, which Major Fielding persuaded the Rājā to do.
he Nowogong Copper-plate Grant of Balavarman of Prāgjyötiṣa in Āsäm.— By Dr. A. F. R. Hoernle, C. I. E.

## (With Plates XXXV-XXXVII.)

[Rend November, 1897.]
This grant was also sent to me by Mr. E. A. Gait, C.S., in May, 395.1 It is said to have been found, some years ago, by a cultivator of ūtargā̃, a village in Mauza Khātoālgā0, on the right bank of the Kalng, opposite Purānigadām in the Nowgong district in Asām.

In appearance the grant is very similar to the Gauhaţi one. ${ }^{1}$ It nsists of three copper-plates, each measuring $11 \frac{5}{8}$ by 7 inches. At the mers they are slightly rounded off; and their rims are slightly turned p. The first plate is somewhat damaged at the corners, and here a ory small portion of the inscription is lost. The obverse of the first and de reverse of the third plates are blank, the inscription covering the reraining four sides of the three plates. There are 12 lines on each side, scept on the last which has 13 lines. The letters are, as a rale, $\frac{1}{4}$ inch ng: They are clearly, but rather superficially cut, and, in some places, much worn as to be only legible with great diffioulty and some unrtainty : the first four letters of the obverse of the second plate, indeed, e entirely worn away.

The three plates are held together by a massive pear-shaped ring, hich passes through large circular holes, near the middle of the margin the left side of the plates. Roand the holes large spaces are ft blank, nearly 2 inches square, or the width of four lines of writing. ttached to the ring is a massive seal, looking like a heart-shaped x, measuring 5 by $3 \frac{3}{4}$ inches, without $\dot{a}$ lid. It is exactly like the seal the Gauhaţi grant, and need not be further described. The figure it is the same, an elephant en face.

The grant bears a date, at the end of its last line; but unfortutely it is illegible. It reads samva $\times \times$ vai $\times$. After samva there ere either one or two signs, possibly numerical ones; vai may stand vaigäkhe 'in the month of Vaiçākha'; after it there must have

[^51]J. 1. 37
been one sign, probably numerical, giving the day of the month. It is possible, however, that the reading was samvatsare, in which case the sign which I have read vai would stand for a numeral.

The language of the grant is Sanskrit. The specifications of the grant, describing the locality, its perquisites and boundaries, is in prose, beginning in the fourth line of the reverse of the second plate, and extending to the second line on the obverse of the third plate, and again the three last lines of the latter plate. The remainder is in verse, detailing the genealogy of the donor ( 25 verses from the beginning down to the fourth line on the reverse of the second plate) and of the donee ( 7 verses, lines 3-11 on the obverse of the third plate).

The execation of the inscription is, on the whole, very carefully done. There are none of the slovenly blunders which are so numerons in the Gauhaţi plates. Occasionally, when by an oversight, one or more aksharas were omitted, they have been added between the lines; thus the syllables raja in II $a^{18}$, va in $\mathrm{II} b^{5}, p a$ in II $b^{10}$ and IIIa ${ }^{8}$. Very rarely a blunder has remained uncorrected; thus we have amalan for amalam in $I b^{2}$ and yauvanan for yauvanam in II $a^{7}$.

The usual provincialisms occur. Thus instances of the confusion of sibilants are ayapagi for ayacaasi in II $b^{6}$; of the ligature of $m$ with $v$, instead of anusvāra with $v$, duritam=vah in I $b^{3}$, karmmanäm=vipäka in II $a^{18}$ and elsewhere; of the ligature of the gattural nasal $\eta$, instead of the anusvāra, with an $s$ or $h$, yaçãjsi for yaçàinsi in II $a^{6}$, puysaim for purisā̀̀ in II $b^{1}$, hếnsivà in II $b^{9}$, and sighäsana for siminhāsana in II $b^{2}$; of spelling, pushkiriṇi for pushkarimi in III $a^{18}$, dōlaïtain for doläyitain in I $b^{6}$, amvra for amra in III $a^{18}$, cchattram for chattraib in II $a^{7}$; of sandhi, vayasāambänam for vayas=amban $\bar{a} m$ in Il $a^{9}$.

Paloographically it may be noted that the guttural nasal is made throughout without a ringlet ; see, e.g., I $b^{9}$, I $b^{11}$, II $a^{6}$, II $a^{18}$, et passim; and that the initial short $i$ is made by two ringlets placed side by side with a circumflex or rather a hook below them ( ${ }^{\circ} \circ$ II $b^{18}$, III $a^{9}$; once the hook is nearly closed, forming almost a third ringlet, in II $\boldsymbol{a}$. Also $k h$ and $r$ are made after the earlier fashion.

An $r$ preceding a consenant is always formed above the line; e.g., karmạa I $b^{7}$, sarvean II $b^{11}$, et passim.

A separate sign for $b$ is never nsed, it being always expressed by the same sign as $v$; thus $\mathrm{I} b^{9}$ labdha, II ${ }^{5}$ ambu, II $a^{6}$ babhuva. This is also the case in the Gauhați plate, and my readings in that plate, accordingly, should be modified. The same practice still prevails in modern Bangālī.

In the case of a final $t, n$ or $m$, special modified forms are used. For final $m$, the ordinary form of $m$ is used, but much smaller and open
at the top; and under it, and detached from it, a sign of virama is placed, made exactly like the modern Nāgari sign of the medial long $\bar{u}$. This final $m$ occurs in tailam $I b^{5}$, pujs $\bar{a} m ~ I I b^{1}$, gessam $I 1 b^{1}$, katukam 11 $b^{4}$. For the final $n$ the ordinary $n$ is used, with the virdina on its right side, made in the form of a long serpentine stroke, slanting from above it to below its foot. It occurs in amalan $I b^{2}$, tasmin $I b^{7}$, yauranan II $a^{7}$, janapadān II $b^{10}$, sarvoán II $b^{11}$. The final $t$ is made by a minute truncated ordinary $t$ (or rather double $t$ ), to which is attacher the dirama in the form of a circular line, which, commencing at its foot, runs up on its left side, over its top, and down again along its right side. This curious form occurs regularly in nayat $\mathrm{I} b^{1}$, 'bhūt $\mathrm{II} a^{2}$, nīcāt $\mathrm{II} a^{3}$, abhūt $\mathrm{II} a^{4}$, vaçàt $\mathrm{II} a^{18}$, vidhivat $\mathrm{II} b^{8}$, etat $\mathrm{III} a^{8}$, 'bhūt $\mathrm{III} a^{4}$; bhüyät IlIall. In a slightly modified form it occurs in anayat IIbs. Precisely, or very nearly, the same forms occur in the Dharmapala copper-plate grant, published by Mr. Batavyal in this Journal, Vol. LXIII, pp. 39 ff . The occurrence of these special forms has been pointed out by Professor Kielhorn, in the Epigraphia Indica, Vol. IV, p. 244, footnote l. The Dharmapāla yrant belongs to the 9th century A.D., while the Nowgong grant, probably, belongs to the 10th century. In the later Gauhati grants these final letters occur in a still fuither conventionally modified form; see ante, Vol. LXVI, p. 1 I5.

The sign of avagraha occurs twice: in punys 'hani, at the end of $\mathrm{II}^{1}$, and in prathitótha $\mathrm{III} a^{9}$.

Regarding the date of the Nowgong grant, I have already fully explained my reasons for referring it to not later than the latter part of the l0th century, i.e., about 975 A.D. See ante, Vol. LXVI, p. 120.

In the same place, pp. 117-119, will be found a statement of the genealogy of Balavarman, the grantor of the Nowgong plate, together with some remarks on the probable relation of his dynasty to others that preceded aud followed it.

There is, however, one point which I must particularly notice. At the time when I wrote my paper on the Gauhati plate, I had, by an oversight, now inexplicable to me, read tanuja for anuja in the 8th verse of the Nowgong plate. This made Vajradatta to be the son of Bhagadatta, instead of his younger brother. On this point, therefore, all my remarks in that paper on the relation of Vajradatta to Bhagadatta must be revised. In fact, on this point the Nowgong plate agrees with the general tradition that Vajradatta was the younger brother of Bhagadatta; and the only plate which states the case differently, and makes Vajradatta to be a son of Bhagadatta, is the Gauhati one. This being so, and the tradition on the sabject being so uniform and explicit, I am now disposed to believe, that there is a clerical error in the

Gauhaţi plate at this point. I suggest that the conclusion of the 8th verse in that plate should read jaçās=tv=anujah instead of jaçãs=tanujah, though even then the metrical difficulty remains, as the metre would require anujah. It will be noticed, that the text of the two initial lines of the obverse of the second plate, in which the word tanujah occurs, is also in other respects defective or incorrect. It is clear, therefore, that the reading tanujah is untrustworthy, whatever its true emendation may be.

One more point requires a word of explanation. The word $k \bar{u} l a$ I now take to have its ordinary meaning of 'bank' or 'coast.' Accordingly I take daksina-küle in II $b^{9}$ to mean 'on the sonthern bank' of some river. The only river, of course, which can be thas referred to is the Brahmapatra, the valley of which mainly constitutes Asām. Similarly, in the Gauhatī plate, II $b^{6}$, uttara-kūle must mean 'on the northern side of the Brahmaputra'; and I suppose, the word kūlam, used there in III $a^{1}$ and III $a^{0}$, in the description of the granted land, must refer to the (northern) bank of that river, which, accordingly, must have touched the boundary of that land at a point on its East-North-East. As the land is said to have had the river Digamma on its South-West, West, and North-West, it must have lain between the Digumma and the Brahmaputra, near the confluence of those two rivers, in one of those nomerous spots where the Brahmapatra takes a small north-southerly turn from its generally east-westerly direction. The point might be settled, if it were possible to identify the Digumms river; but that name appears to have disappeared. ${ }^{8}$

A curiosity of the Nowgong plate is that it contains numerous plagiarised passages from Kälidāsa's well-known Raghuvaméca. These plagiarisms were discovered and published by an anonymous writer in the $\bar{A}_{s} \bar{m} m$, an Assamese vernacalar paper, which has taken a good deal of interest in the enquiries Mr. Gait is making in regard to the ancient history of the province. They were brought to my notice by Mr. Gait. They are the following passages or clauses, taken verbally from the Raghuvameça:-
(1) Nowgong Plate, verse 5 ( $\mathrm{I} b^{5}$ ), from Raghavamiça, sarga 6, çlōka 64: tambüla-valli-parinaddha-pūga.
(2) N. Pl., verse 7 ( $1 b^{9}$ ), from R. V., sarga 6, çlōka 21 : prajā-rañjann-labdha-varna.
(3) N. Pl., verse 7 ( $\mathrm{I}^{9}$ ) from R. V., sarga 5, çlöka 19 : varnăcramānāǹ guru.
(4) N. Pl., verse $9\left(1 b^{10}\right)$; from R. V., sarga 1, çlōka 30 : parikhi-krta-sägaräm.
\& See ante, Vol. LXYI, p. 122.

-


(5) N. Pl., verse 12 ( $\mathrm{II} a^{8}$ ) from R. V., sarga 6, çlōka 32 : viçālavaks $\bar{a}_{s=t a n u-v r t t a-m a d h y a h . ~}^{\text {. }}$
(6) N. Pl., verse 18 ( $\mathrm{II} a^{9}$ ), from R. V., sarga 6, çlőka 79 : kulęィa kãnıtyã vayasa.
(7) N. Pl., verse 4 (IIIa ${ }^{7}$ ), from R. V., sarga 5, çlōka 35 : lēhhē sutam and älükam=arkād=iva.

Text. ${ }^{8}$
First Plate: Reverse.
1, Svasti ${ }^{4}$ Bhavatu bhava-timira-bhiduran=tējo raudram praçāntaye jagatah I parivarttatē samagram $\left[-\ldots-\cup^{\cup}\right]^{6}$
2, nayat ॥ [1॥] Sura-kari-mada-candrakitam salilam் Lauhitya-vāridhēr=amalan ${ }^{6}$ / kailāsa-kataka-mrga-mada-vāsitam=a[pa]-7
3, haratu duritam=vaḥ ${ }^{8}$ [2 1 ] Pralaya-payō-dhau magnām=uddharatū Vasumatim=Upēndrasya | Naraka iti sūnur=āsid=asura-su-
4, hrt=kröḍa-rūpa-bhrtah n [3 ॥] Trailōkya-vijaya-tuygam yēn=āpahrtam yaço Mahēndrasyal Aditēh kuṇḍala-yugalam kapola-dö-
5, lāitamं ${ }^{9}$ haratā $\|[4 \|]{ }^{10}$ Tāmbūla-valli-pariṇaddha-pūgam $\mathrm{k}_{\text {r®̣̆-āguru- }}$ skandha-nivēçi-tailam in sa
6, Kāmarūpē jita-kāma-rūpaḥ Prāgjyōtiş-ākhyam puram=adhyuvāsa n [5 1 1] Mad-āndha-gandha-dvipa-
7, karṇ̣̣a-tāla-urtyaṇ-mayūr-ōpavanē sa tasmin I vasan=samāsādya Muräri-cakram raṇē
8, raụ-aişi divaın=āruroha \ [6 4] "Bhūpāla-mauli-maṇi-cambita-pādapiţhas=tasy=ātmajo 'bhūd=Bha-
9, gadatta-nāmã | rājā prajā-rañjana-labdha-varṇ̣̂ō varụṇ-āçramāṇāy= garar=ēka-viraḥ $\mathbf{y}\left[7\right.$ 1] ${ }^{18}$ Upagatavati sura-lōkam tasmi-
10, n=tasy=ānujo 'bhavad=bhūmēḥ $\mid$ patir=amala-bhaktir=IÇē 'yam prāhar=v=Vajradatta iti kavayah \ [8 II] Tad-vamçē vana-vaprām= parikhī-
11, krta-sāgarām=mahīm bhuktvā $\mid$ astay-gatẹ̣̄u rājasu Sālastambhō 'blıavan=nrpatih in [9 n] Pälaka-Vijaya-prabhrtişa sama-

[^52]
 Second Plate: Obverse.
1, [ $\cup \cup \cup \cup$ ] ${ }^{16}$ yasya laghu-prabhā-pratānaih 1 na maknta-manayō vibhānti rājīām̆ ravi-kara-sambalitā iva pradīpāh
 Bhavé 'bhūt I viçala-vakşäs=tann-vrtta-madligah pi-
3, naddha-kaṇṭhah parigh-äbha-bāhuh n[12 1] ${ }^{17} \mathrm{Na}$ kruddham vikrtasyam na ca hasitam na ca vacaç=çratan=nicāt। na ca kiñcid= nktam=ahitam=mahi-
4, tam çillam sad=aiva yasy=ābhūt y[13 1 ] Yēn=ātul=āpi sa-tulā jagati riçăl=āpi bhūri-krta-çālā I pam̉ktih prāsā̀dānām=a-
5, krta vicittr=ăpi sac-citrān [14N] ${ }^{16}$ Tasy=ätmajah çri-Jayamäla-dēvah kg̣ir-ämbu-räçēr=iva çita-raçmih
6, । babhūva yasy=äskhalitam=bhramanti yaçāgsi ${ }^{18}$ kund-ধnda-samaprabhạ̄i $\$ [ 15 ॥] ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Sa}$ crimān=vanamālo'pi
7, rāj $\bar{a}$ rājiva-lōcanah 1 avōkģa vinay-ōpētam tauūjam=präpta-yan$\left.\operatorname{vanan}^{6} \boldsymbol{u}^{[1616}\right]^{20} \mathrm{C}=$ chattram ça-
8, çadhara-dhavalam cāmara-yugal-ānvitam=pradāy=āsmai। an-açanavidhinā viras=tējasi māhęçvarē

 ōdapādi
10, tasyām=aranāv=iva pāvakah prayōga-vidā। Balavarmm=ēti prathitah çri-mat-tanayas=samagra-gup̣-yaktah il [19 n] Asita-saro.
11, ruha-cala-dala-nibha-nayanah pina-kandharas $=$ an-bhajah 1 abhina-va-divakara-kara-hata-vidalita-nava-nalina-kānti-

12 , sac-chāyah $\mathfrak{n}[20 \mathrm{n}]$ Gacchati tithimati kālè sa kadācit=karmmaṇām= vipāka ${ }^{\text {s8-vaçăt }}$ । rājā raj-ābhibhūto ${ }^{\text {28 }}$ langhita-bhieqajā raṇastambhah [ $\left.\begin{array}{lll}1 & 21 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
18 Here the left-hand lower corner is broken off, rendering three akparag illegible. Perhaps read samatikräntësu or samanukrāntēpu.

14 Metre : Puqpitāgrā.
15 Here four (short) aksaras are entirely worn and illegible. The following four akşaras are jast faintly distinguishable.

16 Metre: Tripfubh.
11 Metre of verses 13 and 14: Aryā.
13 Read yaçäimsi.
19 Metre: Qloka.
20 Metre: Aryã.
21 Motre of verses 19-25: Aryä.
s\% Read karmmaṇàin vipäka.
28 The two aksaras rujà are written below the line, having originally bees omitted bs inadvertence of the engraver.

## Second Plate: Reverse.

1, Nissāram samisāram் jala-lava-lōlañ=ca jīvitam=pugsāme ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ | vigaṇayya Virabǣhuh ${ }^{95}$ karttavyam=acintayac=chęsam | [22 i] Atha panyé
2, hani nүpatis=tanayan=tam=udagra-vigraham=vidhis6-vat I kesari-ki,

3, ram=adhigamya prājyam tad-rājyam=ājyam=iva vahnị̣ | Balavarmm=āpi didipe prōtsārita-sakala-ripu-timirah \# [24 ॥] Abha-

4, vaj=jaya-kuri-kumbha-skhalit-ormmēr=amala-väridhēs=tasya | Lauhityasya samipe tad=ēva paitāmaham kaţakam \[25 1] ${ }^{28}$ Tattra cri-
5, mati Härūppēçvara ${ }^{29}$-nāmani kaṭakē krta-vasatir ${ }^{30}=$ ntkhāt-āsi-latā-marici-nicaya-mēcakitēna
6, bāhunā I vijita-sakala-dik-cakravālo dhïra-pradhanē bhïrur=ayaçaçi $^{\text {B1 }}$ tikg̣̣o ripaṣa mrduta-
 mātā-pitr-pād-ānu-
8, dhyāna-dhauta-kalmaşah param-Ę̧araḥ parama-bhaţtã̃rakó mahārājādhirājah çri-Balavarmms-
 catus-sahasr-סtpatti-mati Hēŋsiv-ābhidh $\bar{a}-$
10, nā bhūmiḥ l asyās=sannikrata-varttinठ yathā-yatham samupasthita-brāhmaṇ-ādi-vişaya-karaṇa-vyāvahārika-pramukha-jānapadān ${ }^{88}$
11, rāja-rājūī-rāṇk-ādhikrtān=anyām $\rho=c a$ yathā-kāla-bhāvinópi sarvvān sammānan̄̄-pūrvvam=mānayati bodhayati samādi-
12, çati caliti viditam=astu bhavatām=bhūmir=iyam vāstu-kēdāra-sthalo-jala-gōpracār-āvakar-ādy-up̄̄tā yathā-samisthā sva-si-

## Third Plate: Obverse.

1, m-бddēça-paryantā | rājñī-rājaputra-rānaka-rājavallabha-mahallaka-proḍhikā-hästibandhika-nankabandhika-caurō-

24 Read puinsäm.
25 The position of the visarge shows that it had originally been omitted.
25 Read vigrahain vidhivat.
97 Read simhäsana.
${ }_{68}$ From here prose.
50 The firat two aksaras of the name are not quite distinct; possibly sami.
$\varepsilon$ The akpara va was originally omitted aud has been inserted below the line.
81 Read ayaçasi.
82 Read avieamivädī.
st The aksara pa is added below the line.

2, ddharaṇika-dāṇicika-dāṇḍapāçika-auparikarika-aatkhêţika-c-chatra-34 vās-ādy-upadrava-kāriṇām=apravēçā : ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Ka}$ -
3, ṇvał krtī kāpila-gōtra-dīpб Mālādharō nāma babhūva bhattah I vid-

4, sta-dōşah и [1 ॥] Dēva-priỹ Dévadharas=su-janmã tasy=āpi sūnuh su-krt-ātmañ 'bhūt 1 adhvaryuṇā yēna krtam vibhajya
5, vaitānikrm knrmma nirākulēna \|[2i] Gṛhita-vidyas=sa-grhitanāmā grh-āçram-āvāpti-pa-
6, rō grhiṇyā $\mid$ ayujyat=āssu prabhay=ēva bhānur=uşassu çāmāyikayā manasvi $\boldsymbol{n}$ [ 3 n] Ahas-tr. ${ }^{36}$
7, sठma-pratimam prasaktam=anyס̄nya-sāp̄kąam=idà் hi yugmam ${ }^{87}$ । lēbhē sutam̀ nāçita-dōq̣am=ēnam=ā-
8, lokam=arkkād=iva viçvam=ētat $\$ [4 4 ] ${ }^{88}$ Ayam=iha viniyamānah çratayas=samyag=dharisyato sarvvāh 1 Çru-
9, tidhara iti nāmn=āsan pitrā prathito 'tha lokę̄u || [5 n] Sa samāvrtto guruto grba-dharmma-vidhitsur=āgatas=sādhaḥ 1 kālē vi-
10, suvaty=arthi dharmma-parah paṇitah kathā-nişthah ॥ [6 1] Tasmai viprāya mayā snātvā samyak-samādhinā dattā | yad=iha pha-

- $\quad$ lum tat=pi-

11, trōr=m=mam=āpi lōk-סttaram=bhūyāt [7 1] ${ }^{39}$ Asyās=simā pūrvvēna koppah $\mid$ gó-santāraç=ca | pürvva-dakṣiṇēna jambū-çriphala$\mathbf{\nabla}$ rkspah
12, I dakşiṇøna vrhad-āliḥ suvarṇa-vata-vrkşạ̧=ca | dakşiṇa-paçcimēn=
 cim-ōtta-
13, rēña vŗhad-vata-vrkşah Diddēsa ${ }^{41}$-vāpi ca 1 nttarēṇa Sēva41-vāpy-
 va $\times$ vai $\times$

## The Seal.

1, Svasti çri-çri-Prāgjyठtiş-ādhip-ānva-
2, yס mahārāj-ādhirāja-çri-Ba-
3, lavarma-dēvah
84 Bead chattra.
85 Metre of verses 1-4 : Tri¢̧ıbh, or Indravajrā and Upēndravajrā mixed.
88 This akşars is uncertain.
87 Read yugmam.
88 Metre of verses 5-7: Aryä.
89 From here prose.
40 Bead àmra.
41 The names Diddess (lord of Diddā) and Sêva (Çaiva) are not quite certain.
44 Read arddham.
48 Read pupkarini.


## Tranblation.

(Verse 1.) May the splendour of Rudra (i.e., Çiva), which cleaves the darkness of (this) mundane existence, conduce to the peace of the world : it becomes the whole $\qquad$
(2.) May the pure water of the river Lauhitya, beautifully spotted (like the moon) by the iohor (dropping) from the (rattish) elephants of the gods, and perfumed with the musk of the deer (living) on the ridges of the Kailäsa mountain, remove (all) your sins.
(3.) Of Upēndra (i.e., Vį̣nu), who, assuming the form of a boar, rescued the earth when she had sunk in the great flood at the time of the universal dissolution, Naraka was the son, the friend of the Asaras,
(4.) who deprived Mahendra of his glory gained by his victory over the three worlds, and stole the pair of earrings of Aditi which dangled on her cheeks.
(5.) He, having conquered (the country of) Kāmarūpa th took up his residence in that conntry in the town of Prägjyotiisa, which offered him areca-nut wrapped in (leaves of) the betel plant, and oil of black aloe-wood (as a symbol of his coronation as king).
(6.) While living there in his park in which peacocks danced to the flapping of the ears of his state-elephants blind with rat, and having, in battle, obtained the discus of Maräri (i.e., Viṣ̆n) he ascended to heaven, eager for battle (with the gods.)
(7.) His son was king Bhagadatta, a hero of a nnique kind, whose footstool was touched by the crown-jewels of (many) princes, who was renowned for pleasing his sabjects, and who was a leader of all castes and stages of life. ${ }^{35}$
(8.) When he had gone up to the abode of the gods, his younger brother Vajradatta became the lord of the land, of whom the poets have declared that he was a sovereign of unblemished faith in $\mathrm{I}_{\text {ca }}$ (ie., Çiva).
(9.) After the kings of his line had enjoyed the earth, moated round by the sea and (covered) with fields and forests, and had passed away, there arose king Sālastambha.

4 There is here a play on the word kama.ripa which is not expressible in translation. Naraka, who is described as jita-käma-rūpa, is said to take up his abode in kämarípa. The phrase may also be translated: "having conquered kàmarüpa, or 'the form of desires,' he took up his abode in that (country) which has the form (rüpa) of käma, or ' (the god of) desires.'" The common tradition, as Mr. Gait informs me, with regard to Kàmarūpa is that it is the place where Kämadēra, the god of love, recovered his form after being turned into ashes by Çiva. There is a similar play on Kämarūpa in the Ratnapala grant, see Vol. LXVII.

45 Here is again a verbal conceit, untranslatable, in varnsa, which means both 'distinction' and 'caste.' Xçrama refers to the four brahmanio stagea of life of the student, householder, anchorite, and mendicant.
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(10.) Again after Pälaka, Vijaya and other kings of his line had followed in succession, there arose in the land a great king (lit. moon of kings), Harjjara by name, who was an affliction to his enemies.
(11.) Though, in their military vaunting, (other) kings tried to exalt themselves by lengthy detraction of his splendour, their crownjewels gained no brilliance, as little as lamp-lights set in the midst of the rays of the sun.
(12.) His son was the excellent Vanamala Desva, who for a long time was king in the land, devoted in faith to Bhava (i.e., Çiva). He was broad in his chest, slender and round in his waist, with a thick-set (lit. concealed) neck and club-like arms.
(13.) His face was never disfigured by anger; he never langhed, nor was any low word ever heard from him; he never spoke anything improper, and his disposition was always noble.
(14.) By him a row of palaces was erected which, though having no equal in the world, stood equal (i.e., level) on its ground, though not limited in room possessed many rooms, and though gay with general ornamentation, was also furnished with true pictures. ${ }^{40}$
(15.) His son was the excellent Jayamala Devan, just as the coolrayed one (i.e., the moon) is (the son) of the great ocean of milk : and his glories undeviatingly revolve with a splendour equal to that of the radiant (lit., jasmin-like) moon.
(16.) That excellent king, being also a Vanamāla 4t (Tike his father) with lotus-eyes, having observed that his son had finished his education and attained adolescence,
(17.) made over to him the (royal) umbrella, of moon-like whiteness, together with the two (royal) cauri (or fly-flaps), and then, bravely enduring the rite of (religions suicide through) starvation, became absorbed into the light of the Divine Being.
(18.) Having received the kingdom, that king, the excellent Virabāhu, married (a lady) called Ambā, who was equal to himself in point of family, beauty and age.

[^53](19.) By him was produced from her, just as fire from a stick of wood by one who understands the process, an excellent son, the celebrated Balavarman, endowed with every virtne,
(20.) with eyes resembling the indulating flowers of the blue lotus, with a thick-set neck and well-formed arms, and with a figure as beantiful as a fresh lotus flower just opened under the touch of the rays of the rising sun.
(21.) Once when the appointed time came, through the power of his maturing karma (or actions done in a previous life), that king Virabāhu, while distinguishing himself in war, was attacked by a disease (contracted) through neglect of medical advice.
(22.) Considering that the world is vain and human life unstable like a water-drop, he bethought himself of what remained for him to do.
(23.) So, on an auspieious day, the king transferred in the prescribed form, his throne and crown to that son of his, who was tall of body, in appearance like a lion-cub.
(24.) Thereafter taking possession of that great kingdom, as the fire does of clarified bitter, Balavarinan also shone forth as an extinguisher of all his enemies whom he expelled.
(25.) Near that pure river Lawhitya, the current of which was agitated by the foreheads of his victorious elephants, there stands that encestral encampment of his.
(Prose.) There, while residing in that oxcellent camp, Härūppeçara by mame, having conquered all potentates in dire contest by his erm which showed dark against the numerous flashes of his drawn swordblade, fearful of disgrace, harsh towards enemies, gentle towards religions preceptors, trath-speaking, not contentious nor vannting, generous, and parified from sin through the reverence shown to his father and mother, the Parainépuara, Parama-bhałłanaka, Mahäräjadhiraja, the illustrious Balavarma Dova, being prosperous (does, as follows) :

On the southern side (of the river Brahmapatra), situated within the district of Dijjinnā, and producing four thousand (measures) of rice, there is the land called Hēgaivà. To all and several who reside near that (land), (the king) sends his greetings, and enjoins, informs, and commands them, vix., the (common) people of the Brāhman and other castes, headed by the district revenue officials and their clerks, as well as the other (higher-class) people culminating in the Rānakas, Räjñis and Bipjas, and in fact all who may reside there in future at any time. ${ }^{48}$

[^54]Be it known to you that this land, together with its houses, paddy-fields, dry-land, water, cattle-pastures, refuse-lands, etc., of whatever kind it may be, as far as any place within its borders: and into which (land) entry is prohibited to (all) Räjñis, Rajaputras, Rạnkas, Rajavallabhas, eunuchs; grand ladies, and any (other) persons that may cause trouble on account of the fastening of elephants, the fastening of boats, the searching for thieves, the exercise of authority, the infliction of panishments, the realising of tenants' taxes and imposts, the providing of room for the royal umbrella, etc. 49
(Verse 1) There was an accomplished bhatta, Mālādhara by name, of the Kaṇva Çākhā, ${ }^{50}$ a.light of the Kāpila gōtra, who had obliterated all his shortcomings by dint of his perfect discernment acquired throngh his wealth of knowledge and austerities.
(2) This man also had a son, the god-beloved Devadhara, auspiciously born, the soul of good works, by whom, in his capacity of an Adhvaryu priest, the Vaitänika rites were performed in due order. without any confusion.
(3) Having finished his studies, and in due course taken a title, and being intent on entering the state of a householder, that learned man (Dēvadhara) mated with his house-wife Çāmāyikā, ${ }^{\text {bl }}$ even as the sun does with his lustre at the periods of twilight.
(4) Hence, these twain, like the sun and moon attached to and dependant on one another, received this son of theirs (Gratidhara), free of all faults, just as this world receives its light from the san.
(5) Now seeing that in the course of his studies he would acquire a thorough mastery of all the Crutis (or revealed Vädas), he received the name Crutidhara from his father, and he is well-known by it throughout the world.
(7) This good man, returning from his religious preceptor, has, with the intention of taking on himself the condition of a householder, arrived at the time of the equinox, an applicant (for patronage), devoted to duty, a Pandit, skilled in sacred recitation.
(8) To this Brāhman (the abovementioned land) is given by me after bathing and completing all due observances. Whatever recompense there is (of this action), may it accrue to my parents as well as to myself in the world to come.

[^55]Its boundaries: ${ }^{58}$ on the east, the well and the pathway for the cattle; on the south-east, the Jamun and Bel trees; on the south, the great dike and the golden Banyan tree; on the south-west, the Mango tree; on the west, the great dike and the red-silk-cotton tree; on the north-west, the great Banyan tree and the Diddesa reservoir ; on the north, one half of the Sova reservoir ; on the north-west, the small pond and the wave-leaved fig tree. In the year...

## The Seal.

Hail! The Mahārāj-ādhirāja, of the most illustrions race of the Lords of Prāgjyōtisa, the illustrious Bala-varma-dēva.

[^56]Numismatic Notes and Novelties, No. II. ${ }^{1}$ Ancient and Mediseval India.By Vincent A. Suith, I.C.S. (With Plate XXXVIII.)

[Read November, 1897.]
COINS OF ANCIENT INDIA.

## 1.

Punch-haried.
The copper punch-marked coins are, as Cunningham correctly observes, ( $C$. Anc. I. p. 59) much rarer than the silver coins. He gives figures of four specimens only, (op. cit. Pl. I) and notes that the finest specimens he had seen came from Erap in Central India.

The specimens figured by Cunningham are square or broadly oblong. Those which I now pablish come from the eastern parts of the N.-W. Provinces and Oudh, and are of elongated form. They both have an extremely archaic appearance, and give me the impression of being probably older than the ordinary silver pieces.

From the very ancient town Jais in the Rai Barsli Distriot, Oudh. Length 80 , breadth $\cdot 55$ inch, $\mathbf{w t} .90 \mathrm{gr}$. Slightly convex, with the four-fingered symbol on the convex side. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 1.) [V. A. Bmifa.]

From the Baliz District. Length $1 \cdot 2$, breadth $\cdot \mathbf{4}$ at one end, and 5 at the other wt. 108 gr . Edges rounded. Very obscure, indistinot symbols on both sidee, inaluding a dim $P$ horse. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 2.) [V. A. Smirn.]
II.

## COINS OF TAXILA.

(Coins of $A_{n c i e n t ~ I n d i a, ~ p p .60-66, ~ P I . ~ I I, ~ I I I) . ~}^{\text {I }}$
Cunningham was anable to explain the legend Vatasvaka on one of the most remarkable coins of the series (op. cit., PI. II, 17). The characters belong approximately to the Açōka period. I possess a fine specimen of this rare type, bought from the Stülpnagel cabinet.

Dr. Bühler has ingeniously, and to my mind satisfactorily, interpreted the legend. "Vatasvaka corresponds to the Sanskrit Vatäfvakäk, and probably means the 'Vata-açvakas,' or 'the Açrakas of the Vata or fig-tree division.' It is well-known that there was an Açvaka tribe

1 Vide J. A. B. B., this Volume, 1897, page 1 fí

c.
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NUMISMATIC NOVELTIES.
in North-Western India; whom the Greeks call Assakenoi, and state (Arrian, Indica, 1, 1) to have inhabited the country west of the Indus as far as the Koplien. It may further be mentioned that some old. Indian tribes, like the Yaudhayas, were actually divided into sections or ganas, as well as that, as the case of the Audumbaras shows, tribes were occasionally named after trees." ("Origin of Brāhmi alphabet," Ind. Stud. No. III, Wien, 1895, p. 46.)

Dr. Bühler has been equally successfal in explaining the legends of another class of Taxila coins, concerning which Canningham indalged in very ill-founded conjectures. I refer to the coins bearing the legend negama. This word, which is common enough in Päli apd the epigraphic Prâkrits, and occars in the Blattiprolu stüpa inscriptious, means always 'the traders,' or 'members of a gaild' (nigama). The use of the word on the Taxila coins shows that they were issued as current tokens by traders. The other words which are found on a few specimens, [T]alimata, $A$ [taka]takad dujaka, or djjaka, are supposed by Bühler to be the names of gailds. The word talimata (read by Canningham as ralimita), may, like Vafasvaka have a totemistic reference to a tree, a species of palm (Skr. talī ; Corypha taliera (Roxb.), or Flacourtia cataphracta), (op. cit. p. 47).

The copper coin below described seems to belong to the Taxila series, and to be unpublished.

Obv. Sun (cross in circle), and crescent.
Rev. Taurine symbol in small incase.
$\Delta$ thick dumpy coin, ronghly square, with rounded corners, diameter ${ }^{\circ} 55$ to 60 inch; thicknees abont 2 mm., or $\frac{1}{15}$ inah. Wt. 75 gr . (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 8.) [V. A: Smitr.]

Mr. L. White King, L.C.S., possesses three unpablished types of the Taxila series, as described below. The second symbol on B looks like a form of the letter $t$ :

No. A. Obv.


Rev. Blank.

No. B. Obv.


No. A. Space between rim and lotus sank-lotas in relief. [L. Warte Kire.]
No. B. Rim on Obv., no rim on Rev. [L. Whire Kime.]
No. C. Rade thick piece, conver on both sides. On one side a very rude standing figare, and some unintelligible marks. On other side a very rade horned quadruped ( $P$ baffalo) to $\mathbf{r}$.

Brass, cant. Wt. 45 gr. [L. White King.]
III.

KUṢANA.

## Kadaphes.

## Buddha Type.

Copper coins, thin ; diameter ${ }^{6}$. Wt. 26 gr. and 19 gr .
A. Obv. Giva, to r., with both hander raised, standing in front of ball, which is to I. An obscure aymbol behind Çire.

Greek marginal legened $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{Z}] \wedge \mathrm{XOPA}[\mathrm{NCY}]$ The seooind charactor is of ancertain meaning.

Rev. Bnddha eeated oross-legged, with r. arm raived, and i. on hip. Traces of illegible marginal legend. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 4.) [Rawlins.]
B. Obv. Same device as $\Delta$, but the traces of the ball are very faint. Legend on 1. margin $O \Delta$, with traces of other oharaotern.

Rev. Same device as A. Marginal legend seems to be in Brahmi characters, and looks like मतष्ब gatasalé ; bat perhaps the letters are Kharöthi. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 5.) [RAWLINs.]

These two little coins deserve to be reckoned among the most remarkable of recent numismatic discoveries. They add two specimens to the short list of Knsapa coins bearing the image of Buddha, and prove that the introduction of that image in its conventional form does not date from the reign of Kanigka, but goes back at least to the reign of Kadaphes, one of his predecessors.

The coins of Kadaphes are scarce, and the exact relation of this king to the two kings who take the name or title of Kadphises is not known. But every one is agreed that Kadaphes is earlier than Kanis̨ka.

These Buddha pieces are assigned to Kadaphes on account of the legend XOPA[NCY], Ohora[nsu], which is distinct on one of them, and which is peculiar to the coins of Kadaphes. "On the small bronze coins which bear the name of Kujula Kadaphes, the genitive Khusanasa is regularly represented by the Greek XOPANCY, that is to say, the Greek form is a rough transliteration of the Präkrit name in the genitive case." ${ }^{1}$

The Çiva and Bull device appears for the first time on the cains of Kadphises II., who was either contémporary, or nearly so, with Kadaphes, and was probably his immediate successor. ${ }^{8}$

[^57]The rare Buddha coins of Kaniṣka have been fully discussed by Von Sallet, and subsequently by Cunningham, and some of them are noticed by Gardner. 1
"On a single gold coin of Kanişka," writes Cunningham, "we have a representation of Buddha, under the name of BO $\triangle \Delta O$. The figure is standing with the right hand raised in the act of blessing.

He is also represented in the same attitude on some rare copper coins with the title of CAKA MANO BOY $\triangle$, or Sakya. Muni Buddha. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

On a few coins Buddha is represented sitting in native fashion on the ground, with his right hand raised in the act of teaching. I have referred to these coin figures because they are the earliest representations of Buddha that have yet been found. The sculptures at Bōdh Gaya (B.C. 250) and at Bharhat (B.C. 150) are remarkable for the absence of any figure of Buddha. His empty throne, his hair (cüdã), his bowl, and his footprints, are frequently shown; but I have not seen any Indian sculptured figure of Buddha himself prior to the time of Kaniska. The earliest figares are those found in Gandhära to the west of the Indus."

During his long career Canningham succeeded in collecting only three of these coius of Kaniska with the seated Buddha, all large pieces, .95 of an inch in diameter. A fourth specimen of the same size is in Berlin.

Small coins with the figure of Buddha, like those now published are quite unknown. Kadaphes lived about a generation earlier than Kanigka, and it is important for the history of Buddhist art to learn that the conventional representation of the seated Buddha was well established at a date appreciably earlier than that of Kaniẹka. I am inclined to doubt the theory that images of Buddha were not known until the first century A.D., and I shall not be surprised if some earlier examples come to light when the ruins of Kapilavastu are examined.
IV.

KUŞANA(?)
Small square copper coin, ${ }^{4}$ in diameter, thiokish. Wt. 19 gr.
Obv. बষ, Vasa (or possibly Vasu).
P पेष, P pēca.
Rev. Obscure.
I do not see how to read the characters of the second line as déva.
(Plate XXXVIII, fig. 6.) [Rawlins.]


ACYUTA.
Type 1.
Obv. बच्यु [ $\pi$ ] Acyu[ta] in large, bold, raised letters, occapying the field, within a dotted circle.

Rev. 8-rayed sun, or wheel, in relief. Small circular copper coin ; diameter ${ }^{6}$; *reight 15 gr . (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 15.)
[V. A. Smith.]
Type 2.
Obv. Portrait bust of king to $r$. The features are those of a young man, the head seems to be bare, and the nose is straight. The style suggeste a Roman origin.

In l. field, behind the king's head $\mathbb{N}, \Delta$; and in r . field, before the king's face, \#ु, cyu. The characters are minute, in low relief.

Rev. 8-rayed san or wheel.
Small circular copper coin, diameter 48 ; Wt. 12 gr . (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 16.) [Delmerick.]

Both coins seem to me to be cast. The margins give clear indications of the use of a mould, and the 8-rayed sun of both types seems also to have been cast. The portrait bust of Type 2, however, may have been struck by a die on the blank face of a cast coin.

So far as is at present known, the coins of both types are found only at Rāmnagar, near Aonlā in the Barēli District, the site of the ancient Ahichatra, or Adhichatrā, the capital of Northern Pañcāla.

Type 1 has been already published (J. A. S. B. Part I, for 1880 PI. VII, figs. 2A, 2B). The coin shown in figure 2A of the plate referred to is similar to my specimen, which is now figured for facility of reference. The form of the letters of Mr. Rivett-Carnac's figure 2B slightly differs. The characters on both specimens of Type 1 approximate generally to those of the Kaşana inscriptions of Northern India (See Bübler's Palæeographie Pl. III). The British Museum possesses several specimens of this type from the Cunningham cabinet. My specimen was presented to me by Mr. C. S. Delmerick, who is the owner of the present unique example of Type No. 2.

In a note to my dissertation on "The Conquests of Samudra Gupta," sent in May 1897 to the Royal Asiatic Society for publication, I have discussed these remarkable coins, and proposed to read the incomplete king's name Acyu as Acyuta. ${ }^{\circ}$ Mr. Rapson (J. R. A.S. April 1897, p. 420) has independently adopted the same reading, and proposes, as I also had proposed, to attribute the coins to the king Acyuta of Northern India who was defeated by Samudragapta about A.D. $350 .{ }^{1}$

1 I had sent home my paper on "The Conqnests of Samudra Gapta" before Mr. Rapson's note reached me, and he was similarly ignorant of my views when he wrote. -


The subjugation of Acyuta is twice mentioned in the great Allāhäbād inscription which relates the history of Samadragapta. The matilated passage (line 13) in the metrical introduction to the record couples Acyuta with Nāgasēna, and affirms that both were "uprooted" by the conqueror. The prose passage (line 21) mentions both these princes in a list of nine kings of Āryāvarta, or Northern India, who were " violently exterminated" by the emperor.

I think there is a strong probability that the coins bearing the legend Acyu are properly referred to the king whose independence was destroyed by Samudragupta. Nothing in the fabric of the pieces or the characters of the legend forbids this ascription.

The fact that, so far as is at present known, the coins are found only at the site of Ahichatra plainly indicates that Acyuta was king of that place. Mr. Rapson points out that the reverse of the Acyuta coins is similar to that of some of the Nagga coins of Samudragupta's period. The Acyuta coinage has no connection with the much earlier Mitra series (Bhūmimitra, etc.), which is treated by Cunningham (Coins of Anc. I. Pl. VII) as the special coinage of Pañcāla. That Mitra coinage, though found in large quantities at Rāmnagar (Ahichatra) is also fairly abandant in Oudh and the eastern districts of the North-Western Provinces.

I take the opportunity giren by these references to Abichatra of directing attention to the close connection which existed between the early dynasties of Ahichatra and Kauçāmbī (Kōsam). The fact of the connection was published by Dr. Führer some years ago, but has not attracted the notice which it deserves.

The Pabhōsā inscriptions ( 32 miles south-west of Allāhābād) yield the following geneological table:-l


[^58]Pabhōsä, where the inscriptions are found, is near Kösam, the reputed site of the ancient Kauçāmbi. Kösam, no doubt, is recognized by the Jains as the site of the royal city of Kauçāmbi, but it certainly does not represent the Kauçãmbi visited by Hinen Tsiang. I cannot stop now to prove the correctness of this last proposition, and only mention it here in order to explain that I regard Bahasatimitra as having been king of Kançāmbi only on the assumption that Kançāmbi is to be identified with Kōsam. Cunningham, in one visit to Kösam, obtained 16 coins of Bahasatimitra, (Reports x, 4). Out of 15 coins of this king in the Lacknow Museum, 9 were excavated at Kosam, and 6 at Rāmnagar (Ahichatra). The coins thas agree with the inscription in affirming the close relation between Kauçàmbi (in the sense of Kösam) and Ahichatra. I call Bahasatimitra king of Kösam because the majority of his coins is found at that place, and the inscription No. I was recorded by king Aşādhasēna, maternal uncle of king Bahasatimitra. The omission to mention the kingdom of Bahasatimitra would be natural on the assumption that he was the local ruler of the territory where the inscription was incised. Inscription No. II shows that Bahasatimitra was son of the sister of the king of Ahichatra. The latter chief, when he dedicated the cave to which inscription No. I refers, was evidently on a visit to his nephew.

King Acyuta was, of course, much later in date, and nothing is known about his lineage.
VI.

NĀGA.

## Gañpatl.

Obv. [Ma]häräja gri Ga.
Rev. Ball to 1 . in dotted circle.
Oblong, ${ }^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{4 0 \times 3 2}$. Wt. 20 gr . (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 10.) [V. A. Bmitr.]
Remarkable for its shape. The coins of Ganapati are ordinarily circular. This specimen, which came from Narwar, has been struck, like some of the Ujjain coins, with a circular die.

## VII.

## UJJAIN.

Obv. Quadruped without horns, standing to r. Marginal legend, in characters of abont 4th centary A.D., seems to include the word Parama.

Rev. Ujjain cross and balls symbol.
Copper, ciroular ; diameter •7. Wt. 38gr. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 11.) [V. A. Smith.]

The coin No. 8295 of the Indian Maseum (Catal. III, 28), which is oblong, has on obverse "a deer," withont horns, and on the reverse the usual symbol. Other pieces in the same collection substitute a ball or
elephant for the "deer." Cunningham does not give any example of this class.

## VIII.

## UNOERTAIN.

Small square copper coin. Diameter ${ }^{46}$, apparently cast. Wt. 12 gr .
Obv. Legend in two lines, with a symbol between the characters of the lower
line. I at first read the legend as sähi Dé va
but this reading does not seem tenable.
The second word is probably dēva, but the first seems to be fिif sivi. The coin reminds me of some I have seen with the legend Khigila, and perhaps $f$ is to be taken as equivalent to $k h$.

Rev. Rlephant ranning to r. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 7.) [RAwhins.]
IX.

LEAD COINS.
Uncertain.
A. Obv. Standing male fignre, r. arm raised. Perhaps traces of legend.

Rev. Lion ( $P$ ) to r.; traces of legend. Diameter 6. Wt. 56 gr . (Plate XXXVIII, fg. 8.) [Rawlins.]

Rather resembles some Andhra coins.
B. Obv. Standing male figure to l.; r. arm raised. Marginal legend, including pri, in characters of (? ) about A.D., 400.

Rev. Obscure. Marginal legend. Diameter $\cdot \mathbf{5}$. Wt. 35 gr . (Plate XXXVIII Ig. 9). [Rawlins.]

## MEDIATVAL INDIA.

## $\boldsymbol{X}$.

> GANGEYADEVA OF DĀHALA OR CEDI (CIRCA A.D. 1010-1040).

The genealogical table of the Kalacuri princes of Dāhala. given by Cunningham in "Coins of Mediæval India," p. 71, has been superseded by the fuller and more accurate list printed by Professor Kielhorn in his paper on "The Benares Copper-plate Inscription of Karnadēva" in " Epigraphia Indica," II, 304.

The frequency with which coins of Gängēyadēva are met with in the eastern and southern districts of the North-Western Provinces suggests the inference that the conquests of this undoubtedly powerfuf sovereign extended north of the Jumna. His well known coins exist in three metals, gold, silver, and copper, and in four denominations, namely, the dramma (drachma), half-dramma, quarter-dramma, and one-eighth-dramma. The gold dramma, weighing about 62 grains, is by far the commonest. My apecimen was found at Kachwā ir Pargana Rāţh of the Hamirpar District.

Cunningham considered his specimen of the gold half-dramma to be unique. I have not yet met with another.

The gold quarter-dramma ( 14 grains), which also Cunningham believed to be unique, can no longer claim that distinction. Dr. Hoey, I.C.S., had two specimens, of which one has passed into the hands of Mr. L. White King, and I have lately bought a fourth example, found at Bhitari in the Ghāzipur District.

Cunningham possessed 9 specimens of the silver-dramma ( 61 grains). I have bought an example in Görakhpur.

Canningham's specimens of the one-eighth-dramma (7 grains) in silver still remains anique.

The same collection had 6 specimens of the copper-dramma (61 grains). I have bought one in Görakhpur.

The above particulars show that all four denominations of Gāngøyadeva's coinage are known, though the set is not complete for any metal.

The denominations still wanting are-

Gold.
$\frac{1}{8}$ th dramma.

Silver.
$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{6}$ th dramma.

Copper.
$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ th, $\frac{1}{8}$ th dramma.

## XI. GÖVINDACANDRA, RATHŐR OF KANAUJ.

The coins of this king (A.D. 1115-1165) are copies of those of Gāngēyadēva. The gold drammas are common. My specimen, which is composed of gold largely alloyed with silver, is from a hoard of 800 coins found near Nānpāra in the Bahraich District of Oudh during the construction of the Bengal and North-Western Railway in 1887. A trigūla ornament at the end of the legend is probably a mintmark.

The copper coinage of this king is not noticed by either Cunningham (Ooins Medirval India, p. 87), or Thomas (Chronicles, p. 19). I possess a good specimen of a copper dramma.

Probably the dramma and its sub-divisions were struck in all three metals by Gövindacandra as well as by Gäŋgēyadēva.
XII.

CANDELLA COINAGE.
The coinage of the Candella dynasty of Bundēlkhaṇ̣ is, like that of Gövindacandra, a copy of the coinage of Gäggejaderva. The gold coins are exactly the same as Gāŋgēya's except for the names. The copper coins substitute Hanuman for Lakspmi.

Both copper and gold pieces follow the same scale of weights, and are drammas, or sub-divisions of drammas.

The whole series is so rare that the following observations will be interesting to collectors.

## Kírttivarman.

The coinage of Kirttivarman is at present known in gold only, unless Mr. Rodgers (Catal. III, 99) is right in calling the metal of the
half-dramma coin, No. 8483, in the Indian Museum, "brass." No other brass example of the Candella coinage is recorded.

Five specimens of the gold dramma are known (Canningham, 1 ; Hoey, 2 ; Freeling, 2) ; and 2 specimens of the gold half-dramma (Hoey, 1, Cunningham, 1).

Total of reign, 6 coins.

## Sallakganatarman.

This king is wrongly called Hallakgana by Cunningham.
Cumningham's specimen of the gold dramma is at present unique.
I knew of 5 specimens of the gold $\frac{1}{4}$-dramma (Cunningham, 1 ; V. A. Smith, 1, collected in Hamirpar District ; Freeling, 2; Crooke, 1).

Canningham's copper dramma is unique. Total of reign, 7 coins.

## Jayatarman.

No gold specimen of this king's coinage has yet been found. My enquiries at the British Museum have failed to trace the silver coin mentioned by Canningham (Reports, II, 459.)

I know of 11 specimens of the copper dramma, (Cunningham, 6; V. A. Smith, 1, given by Cunningham; Freeling, 3; Hoey, 1). Total of reign, 11 coins.

## Prthivívarman.

Cunningham had formerly two copper coins of this king (Reports, II, 459.) In Coins Med. India he mentions only one, a badly preserved dramma weighing 41 grains.

No other example of the coinage of this reign has been discovered. Total of reign, 2 coins.

## Madanatarman.

The coins of this king occur in all three metals.
Cunningham and Freeling had each one gold dramma.
Cunningham originally had 3 quarter-drammas in gold, one of which he gave to the writer. Dr. Hoey had two specimens, and one is in the Lahore collection. In all, therefore, 6 specimens of the gold quarterdramma are known.

Dr. Hoey has a silver quarter-dranima which is unique.
Cunningham's copper quarter-dramma is also unique. Total of reign, 10 coins.

Paramardidēta.
A gold dramma, now No. 8490, in Indian Maseum, (Catal. III, 99), which was described by Dr. Hoernle in the Journal, Asiatic Society

Bengal, Part I for 1889, Plate IV, 11 is, I believe, the only known specimen of the coinage of this reign.

## Trallöfyatarman.

The coinage of this prince is represented by two gold drammas in the Indian Maseum (Nos. 8487, 8488-Oatal. p. 99) and by a unique copper dramma in Dr. Hoey's eabinet (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 12). This coin was found by its present owner in a small mound at Karthal in the south-western corner of the Bāndā District. Wt. 59 gr . Total of reign, 3 coins.

## Tibatarman.

The only specimen known is the gold dramma in the Indian Museam, No. 8486. (Query-is the word Oandra rightly inserted in this legend by Mr. Rodgers, a word cortainly intervenes between Varma and deva ; J. A. S. B. for 1889, PI. IV, 12.)

| The abstract of the | e details |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirtivarman | coins known | ... | ... | 6 |
| Sallakpanavarman | " " | ... | ... | 7 |
| Jayavarman | " " | ... | ... | 11 |
| Prthivivarman | " " | ... | ... | 2 |
| Madanavarman | " " | ... | ... | 10 |
| Paramardidēva | " " | ... | ... | 1 |
| Trailökyavarman |  | ... | ... | 3 |
| Viravarman |  | ... | ... | 1 |
|  |  | dyn |  | 41 |

No coins are known which can be ascribed to the predecessors of Kirtivarman or the successors of Viravarman. A total of 41 known coins for 8 reigns is extraordinarily small. The Freeling collection is, I believe, in the hands of Mrs. Freeling in England. A few more specimens of the coinage of the dynasty were lost in the mutiny.
XIII.

VIrasimi harama.
Obv. Gri mad Vira

## Simina Râma

Reverse. Lakpmi seated.
A gold dramma, weight 54 gr. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 13.) [Horr.]
This coin, which obviously belongs to the same type as the coinages of Gāngḗyadēva and his imitators, is a puzzle. No Rāja with the name Virasimharama is to be found in the lists of the Kalacuri, Candella, Rāthōr, Tōmar, or Cauhān dynasties. The coin was obtained in the Gōraklıpur District.

## UNKNOWN.

0bv. In dotted circle

> Grī Ràya
> Marà décva]

Rev. In dotted circle, elephant with rider ranning to $r$.
This carious piece is copper ; diameter 8 ; weight 55 gr . I cannot even guess to whom it should be assigned. The characters of the legend are late mediæval. I oannot make sense of them. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. 14.) [V. A. Suitr.]

A small copper piece, about 6 inch in diameter, and weighing (in three specimens) from 58 to 70.5 grains, is commonly met with both in the Panjab and the North-Western Provinces. One specimen was found in an old mound close to Gorrakhpur city a year or two ago.

The legeud on these coins, though plainly legible, has not yet been correctly read or nuderstood. Mr. Rodgers (Catal. I. M. III, 99, 125) came near the correct reading, but just missed it by misreading two letters.

The legend is continuous and reads on one side
Gövinda
carama
pranaya madana;
and on the other, Çri Campakaranye; that is to say, "Joy in affection for the feet of Govinda in Campäran," or "in the Campaka forest."

The perfectly plain letters have hitherto been misread because people tried to read personal names. I am indobted to Dr. Hoey for pointing out that Campakärawys must be read as one word. Campakaranya is the Pandit's name for Campāran, but the name on the coin does not necessarily refer to the modern Campāran District.

Several of these pazzling little pieces are in the Indian Museam, and the Lahore cabinet contains 20.

The characters of the legend are late mediæval, A.D.; 1200 or even later.

Although the reading of the legend has been cleared up beyond all doubt, the attribution of the piece remains as unknown as ever. I conjecture that it is not a true coin, but was struck to be used by pilgrims as an offering at some shrine of Gōvinda, or Kręna. It would thus belong to the same class of issues as the gold and silver " Rämtinkis."

Notes on Palm-leaf MSS. in the Library of His Excellency the Mahäraja of Nepal.-By Pandit Hara Prasad Shastri, Professor of Sanskrit, Presidency College.
[Read November, 1897.]
I passed the greater portion of the last summer vacation in the valley of Nepal, and took this opportunity of examining the antiquarian treasures of that famons valley. It is an excellent place for inscriptions, every temple and every work of public utility having some. The foundation of the temple, its repairs, every addition and every gift made to it, are recorded in stone. Some of these inscriptions are very old. The late Dr. Bhagvānlāl Indraji and Mr. Bendall examined a very large number of inscriptions in Gupta characters and there are still many inscriptions in that ancient character to be found in the valley. Two days' search brought four new inscriptions to my notice.

The coins to be found in the valley mostly belong to the Malla Kings of Nepal. Unlike Bengal and Behar, where the initial coinage was introduced by the Mahammadans in the 13th century, Nepal had its ancient coinage. But I could find none of these ancient coins.

It is the only part of ancient Bhāratavarsa and ancient Āryāvartta where Buddhism is still a living religion, though not in vigoar. But Buddhism here is so different from what we know to prevail in Tibet, in Ceylon and other parts of Asia. There are Vihāras, bat those are inhabited by married priests. There are Arhats or Vajrācāryas, and Bhiksus. But the greater portion of their time is spent in handicrafts to support their children.

There are MSS. in Nepal both ancient and modern. The B"dās possess many ancient palm-leaf MSS. of very ancient date and the present Mahärāja, with a zeal which cannot be too highly praised, is endeavouring to add to the already excellent collection in his possession. The MS. Library is now kept in a room in the College building, but the Mabārāja has built a beantiful and commodions palace with a clock tower in it for the accommodation of the Library. In the Library are to be found Tibetan Lamas, Pārvatiya Paṇdits, Nēwār Paṇdits both Hindu
and Bnddhist. Fire or six men are engaged in copying MSS، borrowed from the Bẽ̛ās and Paṇ̣its. A Lama is dictating from Tibetan which a Paṇ̣it is taking down in the Newāri character, while an interpreter is engaged to see if the transcription is correct.

I will confine myself to-day to the few palm-leaf MSS. that I had the good fortune of examining in that Library. There are altogether 83 bundles of palm-leaf MSS. There are some bundles which contain more than ten different works. Paṇdit Viṣnuprasēd Rāj: hhēndēri, the officer in charge of the Library, estimated the number of Mannscript works on palm-leaf to be 200. All of these MSS. are ancient. and written in various characters, Nēwārī, Kuțila, Nāgari and Bengali. The first MSS. that I examined was a very remarkable one. It is a complete copy of the Yavana-jātaka. Portions of the last leaf have' been worn off. Dr. R. Mitra noticed two mutilated copies of this work, one, in Mithila, with 24 leaves; and the other, in our own collection, only 8 leaves. The Benares College copy is only one leaf.

The Copy in the Mahārāja's Library contains the following entry at the end :-

```
                    बपोभिषयेभिरस्विकौ तु
                            प्रकाश्तः श्रास्न्नमिदं यतोर्बाव् ।
                            *तोग्वयुग्माक् विदधी विधाता
<ीर्वारिका बब्वरूोरचकं ।
    ूति स्रमाषाबरखानिगुपा
```



```
    + + रलाकरवाक्ससमुदाव्
    सधा प्रसा + विविततत्वदृष्टिः।
    ूदं बमाषे विरवघवको
    होरार्चल्रास्ं यषतेत्वरः प्राक्।।
    धूर्बिख्बजो वाम बभूष राजा
    य इॅ्रवृ्धामिरिदं चकार।
    बारायबा乡ेन्दुमबारि दृ%
    काला चतुर्भिंम्मितिमान् सहहै।।
थवनजतकरे + + + परिसमातः। उपेक्रव्था हता।
```

There are evidently two names and two dates. The first is Yavanëçvara, in the year Viṣnugraha, i.e., 91 of some era not mentioned who translated into Sanskrit a work from his own language. The
second is Sphürjjidhvaja in 191 of the same era who rendered the translation into 4,000 Indravajrā verses. The work so rendered first into Sanskrit and then into verse is Yavanajätaka or the Greek system of casting Horoscope. Dr. Kern in a note to the preface to his edition of the Brhatsamíhitā eays that Utpala calls Sphürjidhvaja, Yavanëçara; but this is not tenable as our MS. uses the word प्राE 'formerly;' i.e. Sphūrjjidhvaja rendered into verse what was formerly translated by Yavanéçara.

Yavaneçvara is mentioned in the Catalogas Catalogorum as the anthor of many works on Astrology and as being quoted by Bhattotpala, Kęçavārka and Viçvanātha.

This MS. requires a close examination. The time at my disposal being very short, I was satisfied with a rough notice.

The most ancient MS. in the Library, as far as $I$ examined it, appears to be Vięp̣udharma No. 1002. It was written in षव्बत् बज e तार्षाष धस्बष्बम्यां छुधरिते. The character is that of the Inscription No. 14 of Bhagvānlāl Indraji's Inscriptions from Nepal which is dated in letter numerals commencing with and has been referred to 145 of the Çriharas era. This MSS. may be referred to the same century. We is 167 , adding 606 to it we get 773 A.D. It is Vispudharma, as it ends with the çloka-

## व ₹ृ तव् बर्ब्वतोर्थँ बरार्ष्टु च विमष्णमाव्। <br> पनं मवत्वकन्तस्ड बादृक्त् पादाबुधारबाव् ।

as in our No. 1670 noticed by Dr. Mitra L 2293.
After the Colophon we have the following :-
परमभट्टारक-मशाराजाधिराज-परमेखर-श्रोमद्वार्बर देवस्य राब्चे दध्चिब-
 बाम्इणा सार्ज्यं विखित विष्युधक्सयुक्तकं।

In the same number there is another copy of Vignudharma in old Dēvanāgari which has the following verse at the end :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { बर्वायां दश्रसंबुते प्रतथुने ण्येष्ठस्स मासः सिते }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { एसत् पुक्णकमात्मनः सजगतः सौस्साय मोच्बाय च }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the year 210 of Cुriharea era (P).

No. 1003. Bhōjadēva-samgraha is a work on Astrology at the end of which occurs the following:-

 बर्जमतधादि रच्चितवं।

> प्रागष्ज्यद्रोगस्य करस्य विद्या:
> प्ता गवा कायुरषस्य हते।
> बन्बस्य किं हचतने (?) सिसोंजि
> निर्षंत्तयक्ते र्वमि₹ प्रदोपः॥

Written in the year 1241 A.D.
No. 1113. Amarakōga has the following at the end:-
 श२् बाषाढ़ सदि $२ ?$ सोमवाराजुगतं बजितपक्तने श्रोप्रभाषारस्य पाठनार्थं सिख्विमिदं यथा पां घंजप्रमादाभाव वा $+t$ चन्तर्येति बख्बादा

The copy of Amarakōça purchased by me from Nepal is much older.
In the same bundle there is a MS. marked एक बो भ ब ठो. It has neither beginning nor end. I give the Colophon of the third chapter :-
₹वि मछामछोपाध्याय-मिश्र-श्रोवाचस्पविविएचिते बयताबाओोके एतीयो डध्यायः समाप्तः । संबं $88 \varepsilon$ बाश्बिने $+t+t+t+t$

This is in the Maithili character.
In the same bundle there is another MS. in the Maithili character entitled Yögaratna-samgraba. It ends-

दूति सद्बद्य-घोपुरषोक्तमद्तविरिितो योगरनसंम्रः समाषः।
रसवसुगचन्द्रेर्थिते ॠोशूकाब्दे
तपसि विमषपन्षे भूषिने पूबिंमायां।
व्यलिखदिश्ध समकंतं पुत्तमेतव् प्रयनाव्
जिखित्युयाकादम्बं विष्णुणन्नः प्रक्षःः
This is perhaps 1186 ; but it is doubtful what figure the word बतr represents.

At the end of this in a different hand has been written the following in Newāri :-

शोग्रोष्तमति चयधितामिन-मस्ष्नेवसेग ध्वया पुकवदयका भागिएाम परमचद्यावेण स सम्बत् टl- कार्षिक सदि $ع$.

No. 1311. Khaṇdanakhaṇdakhādya in Bengali character. The Colophon runs thus :-


 जयोदर्या।

## मीरस श्रेषे मम पद्महहा प्रिया त्रेः पघ्म:नभेच्द्यास्य प्रसादयामास मवम्ति यस्सा: स्रेट्रतुस्या गुरियःः सुप्याः।

जमो भगबते वासुदेवाय गमखधिकाये। धसं ११४ माघ सुदि - (sic!)
In the bandle No. 92 there is another MS. in a Bengali hand entithed Vaiępavāmptasārōddhāra taken from varibns Purānas of which the chief is Skandapurāna. The MS. ends with Kärttika Māhātmya. Copied in-
 fिखिसमिदम्। औै क्रमो कारायखाष।

Number 813 contains a copy of the Skandapurāna which has neither beginning nor end.

No. 461 is in Newāri character. It contains a large namber of works by the King Jagajjyoti Malla, namely a collection of songs in various languages, Gitapañcāçikā, Kuñjavihāra-nātaka, Mudita-kuvalayāçva-näţaka. The whole MS. was transcribed in 748 of the Nepal era. The last verse of Gitapañcāçikā runs thus :-

> घाकेडतोने खझ्इरतिधिभिः २पू० धध्दिते इ्यायौचे
> शुक्षो पचे छराुददिने माधवे पौर्यंसास्यां।
> विघप्रोता ब्यरचि बचिरा ग्रोजगंज्ञयोतिरापे:
> भानामावाद्रुखगयमयो गोतिपष्शिक्रियं।
> न यक्कस्य गुखा दोषा यनितयो गुखदोषये।
> घग्नोडरं मगवान् घभ्जो क ने दोषा क मे गुया: ॥

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { व है बव्था विजानाति गुर्बों प्रवकेद्रणाम् । }
\end{aligned}
$$

 तौर्यंचिकार्था मम कोर्मिरेषा मातः ध्यामिः परिपाबनोया।
 रसरागताबसमच्विता गीतपष्धाशिका समाप्र।।

One of the most important MSS. examined is the Laghukālacakratịikā No. 85. The Colophon rans thus :-

रूि श्रोषम्टततग्लानुसारिखां बघुकासचभत कराजटोकायां कादश्शाएकिकायां विसमप्रभायां कागोपायवैनेयम होहैश्रक्यर्थः समापः। समासेयं टोका . प्रागपटसस्य ।

समुजुब्धाध्थतेक प्रवरमुनिगयां ख्यापितं बुब्दमार्गों दस्वा प्रच्शामिषेक परसकरडाया देश्रितं कालचक्तम्।

 सम्पुखुबाध्रतेग प्रमुटितमवसा च्रोयभ्षखोटितेग टौकां श्रोमूलतन्लसमटकुण्यणियदाग्वेषिकां तन्मराजः। छखा पुख्यं यदामं विपुसमातसितं पुखरोकेख्य दानात् सम्बुउ्रसेन लोकः प्रमवतु सक्ब बो वष्थियो बव्बमार्गः।
ये धर्म्मा हतथादि

> च्रिमवपरमताथाव् निर्दंताव् श्याष्यक्षिंह्रत् वसश्रशिवसुचल्ड चै $=$ मुक्ताष्टमोके।
> बमसवरद्धता श्रोकाबचक्स टोषा
> विविधडुमतिह नो सर्बंबोकैकधाथो।
> बुर्दश्रिया बुड्बतुयाप्रियेय
> निखापिता श्रोर्विमसप्रभेषं।
> बष्जातयुयेग जगा: समक्षाः
> सम्बोधिका्म विलसन्त्र कित्ं ॥

नेपाबे श्रोधम्नधातुविहार गौड़देश्रोयोपासक-झ्योतथागतवरन्नोपुब्नाम्यां हूति।
The MS. is in Bengali character. It was copied by two Bengalis Tathāgatavara and Pundra under the orders of Buddhaçri, fond of Buddha's merits in Nepal. The date of composition is the year 1818 of the era of Nirvāna, that is, about 622 years before this time, if we take the initial date of that era from the Singhalese. But probably it is
mach later. The author appears from his name to be a Bengali bhikpa. His name is given as Pundarika or Kamalavara which resembles in form the name of another Bengali bhikga, namely, Tathāgatavara given above. This proves that even by the end of the thirteenth century, or later, Buddhist works used to be composed in Bengal and the era of Nirväna was current in that country. This is perhaps the first MS., yet found, the composition of which is dated in the Nirvāṇa era. There are numerons illustrations in this work representing Buddha as Upāya, as male, and Dharma, otherwise प्रघT, as female. The Kàmakalà is represented as producing the Samgha represented by the Bodhisattvas. The MS. and the illustrations are in excellent preservation.

I examined a few more MSS., but I could not for want of time take fall notes. For instance, I examined a copy of Brhan-nāradiya-parána, an incomplete copy of Suçrata ending at the 447th leaf, a few. leaves of Rāmāyana and so on.

I saw a delapidated copy of a work in one of the Southern characters, on the wooden cover of which is written in Nēwāri, thing sa phul, that is, a dirty MS.

Notes on the langunge and literature of Orissa, Parts I. and II.-By BA®リ M. M. Chakrafarti, Deputy Magistrate, Jajpur, District Outtack.
[Read December, 1897.]
Part I. Language and Alphabet.
The modern langaage of Orissa is generally believed to be a descendant of the Mügadhi Prākrt. The principal reasons on which this supposition rests, are the following:-

Firstly, Sanskrit nouns ending with a (ब) in nominative singular ended with $\bar{\delta}$ (बो) in Çarasēni and $\bar{e}$ ( ए) or $\bar{i}(\Sigma)$ Māgadhi. In Oriyà such nouns end with $a$ or $\boldsymbol{a}$ vowels which are derivable more easily from Māgadhī $\bar{e}$ than from Çaurasēni $\overline{\boldsymbol{j}}$. Secondly, the past participle was in .Caurasēni da or ida, and in Mägadhī da or iḍa. The Oriyā past participle $l \bar{a}$ (in बाद्धसा, होड्ता ) is apparently derived from the Māgadhī da, $d$ being easily interchangeable with $l$. Thirdly, Çaurasēni used a future ih or iss, while Mágadhi in addition to ih occasionally used such forms like devva (Skt. datavya) as future tenses. The Oriya future ib ( बाद्दब, झोद्य ) is clearly connected with the aforesaid Māgadbi ab. Fourthly, Māgadhi changes $r$ to $l$ and $j$ to $y$. Dr. Hoernle has ingeniously shown that the Sanskrit $r$ was a semi-cerebral while the modern vernacular $r$ is a dental, and that Mägadhi supplied the intermediate link by substituting a dental $l$ which gradually changed to dental $r$ of the corrent Indian vernaculars. Similarly the Sanskrit $j$ was a semi-consonant, which Māgadhi changed into $y$, a semi-vowel still retained in modern vernaculars. ${ }^{1}$,

Historical reasons strengthen this derivation of the Oriyã from the Mägadhi. The Mägadhi dialect prevailed over old Magadha which roughly corresponds to the modern province of Behar and the Benares Division of the N..W. Provinces. Orissa is contiguous to this tract on the south, being separated only by the jungles of Chhatia Nagpore. Hence in the ordinary course of progress the dialect of the more civilised Magadha would spread downwards to the less civilised Odradēça.

[^59]J. 1. 41

This sapposition is partly borne out by the traditions of the people. The Aryanisation of Orissa is principally due to the higher cantes, such as the Brahmina, the Karans and the apper rank of the Khandaits. Now the Sāeani Brahmins trace their descent more or less from Kanaaj. This descent receives some support from their generally fairer complexion and from the use of such apcountry surnames as Dobe, Miçra, Tripāthi, \&c. Furthermore the Jajpur Brahmins repeat at the time of their marriage ceremony a stansa which declares that these Brahmins were brought down from Kananj at the instance of the god Brahmã. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Similarly karapa is an old caste name mentioned in the Manu Sambită and its use by the writer-caste of Orissa indicates that they came from the upcountry. This is somewhat corroborated by the name karuna being applied to a subclass of the writer-caste in the Bhägalpar and Hazäribägh Districts. ${ }^{2}$ The Khandaite include several families who came from the North.West Provinces, ${ }^{8}$ though the bulk of course were aboriginal tribes who originally dwelt in the Garjäts. The apper castes would thus appear to be more or less connected with the apcountry, and in their migration must have passed through Magadha and must have more or less adopted the Magadhi as their spoken dialect.

In addition to original residence, the religions and political influences helped the hold of the Mägadhi. Buddhism and Jainism prevailed over Orissa for a long time and were often state religions of the land. The sacred writings of the Buddhism are mostty in Pāi, and those of the Jainism in Ardha Mägadhi, both of which are supposed to be varieties of the great Mägadhi dialect.4 Kings of Magadha such as Açōka and the Pālas, and the kings of Allahabad such as the Guptas and Çiläditya often conquered Orissa. Through their officers and court followers the Mägadhi and its varieties might have exercised considerable influence. So then philologically as well as historically the Oriyà language might fairly be considered as an off-shoot of the Magadhi, and as a sister to the Bengali and the Eastern Hindi.

As in the other modern Indo-Aryan Vernaculars the exact date of the beginnings of the Oriya language is not known. But by the

##  

2 Hunter's St. Acc., Bhagnlpur, Vol. XIV, p. 64 ; Hunter's St. Acc., Haziribïgh, Vol. XVI, p. 76.
${ }^{3}$ Hunter's St. Acc., District Balasore, Vol. XVIII, p. 273.
[ $\$$ The Author apparently refers to the supposed Magndhi original of the Badthist scriptares. Their later Päli form, of course, does not share ang particulars with Mägadhi. En.]
time of Hinen Thsang the language of Orissa appears to have developed into a separate form of speoch. While describing U-cha Hiven Tlisang remarks :-
"The climate is hot; the people are uncivilised, tall of stature and of a yellowish black complexion. Their words and language (pronunciation) differ from Central India. They love learning and apply themselves to it without intermission."

Similarly of the next country Kong-a-to he wrote:-
"With respect to their written characters they are the same as those of Mid-India, but their language and mode of pronuwoiation are quite different."

U-cha is generally identified with northern Orissa, and Kong-n-to with southern Orissa. Hinen Thsang's visit to Orissa may be approximately put at 640 A.D.l By the seventh century A.D. therefore the language of Orisse had grown different from that of the upcountry both in pronuuciation and vocabulary, and its first origin must be pat several centuries back.

The next authentic mention of the Oriya•was to be found in Krg̨̣a Paŋ̣it's Prākptacandrikā. Krep̣a Pap̣it's date is nncertain, bat he cannot be later than 12th century. He mentions Udra as one of the twenty-seven Apabhramiçs prevailing over India. ${ }^{8}$ Neither Hinen Thsang nor Krgya Pandit quotes any Oriyā words or sentences. The earlieat mention of Oriya words alone is at present to be found in the copper-plate insoription of King Narasimba Dēva II (1296 A.D.), ${ }^{8}$ and of Oriyā sentences in the copper-plate inscriptions of King Narasimina Dbva IV, (1395 A.D.).4 These words and sentences are given in the grant portions of the inscriptions. They show the Oriyā language fully developed, and little different from the modern language either in spelling or grammar. This fixity of the language five to six handred years back presupposes a tolerably high antiquity for its origin, and thus corroborates the remarks of the observant and careful Hinen Thsang. The common opinion that the modern vernaculars have been brought into existence by the 9th or 10th Century does not appear to be correct, at least with regard to the Oriya; and the 5th or 6th Centary would be nearer the truth.

[^60]Language daring its development is acted apon and more or lees modified by other forces ; and Oriȳ , in spite of its isolation, is no exception. Though based on the Mägadhi, the Oriyā has been more or less modified by -
(1) Post-Vedic Sanskrit ;
(2) Aboriginal speeches;
(3) Telugn ;
(4) Urdū and Hindi ;
(5) English.
(1). The Post-Vedic Sanskrit had the largest influence on the Oriya. It influenced directly through its religions works, and indirectly through its numerous poems, dramas, \&c. In all religions and social ceremonies the Brahmin is a sine qua non; and the Brahmins, a Sanskrit speaking caste, constantly quoted and referred to the Çrutis and Smrtis. In this way' a large number of social and religions words came to be borrowed from Sanskrit. Then again the reading classes and generally the upper classes studied Sanskrit Purāpas, epic poems, dramas, grammar, \&c.; or read Oriya works translated or adapted from them. In either way their vocabulary got enriched with innumerable Sanskrit words; and many tatsamas or derivations gradually came into current use. Sometimes the Sanskrit derivatives ran in opposition to the older Prākt derivatives; and in the struggle for existence the older words were either driven out of the field, or had their meaning restricted to a special significance. As examples of the latter, I may mention the following :-

| Sanskrit. | Prākrt. | Oriya. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| राजा | T15 |  |
| कार | बषर | $\begin{cases}\text { बबर } & \text { (palace) } \\ \text { बबर } & \text { (town) }\end{cases}$ |
| - 6 | - - बाष | $\left\{\begin{array}{cl} \text { Iोषा } & \text { (festivals in which fasts } \\ \text { are kept). } \\ \text { जपाष } & \text { (fasting) } \end{array}\right.$ |
| fिर्णण | रिणि | $\begin{cases}\text { fिराइणा (inscribe) } \\ \text { बिनिबा } & \text { (write) }\end{cases}$ |
| पष्य | क\| | $\begin{cases}\text { पात } & \text { (leaf used as plate for } \\ \text { food). } \\ \text { पतर } & \text { (any leaf) }\end{cases}$ |

(2). The infinence of the other langaages is connected with the occupation of the soil. First of all come the aboriginal speeches.

The upper classes came in small batches and found the country more or less peopled by the aboriginal tribes. The earliest authentic historical records of Orisea - the Edicts of Apoka on the Dhaali and Jangada rocks-show that the western border was occupied by a group of aboriginal races. The edicts were inscribed about 260 B.C. In Ptolemy's Geograpliy (first century A.D.) and in the epic poems we find the Garjāts occupied by Sanrees or Savars. Many Savars are still to be seen in the western part of the Cuttack District and the NorthWestern portion of the Vizagapatam District. Though now scattered and in small groups, the Savars appear to have been made up in old days of powerful tribes peopling the hilly tracts from the Gōdāvari up to the Vindhys hills. With the Savars there must have been other tribes such as Khands and Bhūyās. Bỳ contact with the aboriginal speeches the Aryan language would have been to some extent inflaenced. The modification lay probably in the alteration of the tone, which is sharp among the sarages, and in the addition of a few words denoting new trees or animals. The vocabulary of the aboriginal speeches being limited, its influence on the more advanced Oriya must have been however very limited.
(3). Next to Sanskrit, the Telngn exercised the largest influence on the Oriyē language. The reason is to be found in the political history of the people. The Kalinga or Telingana kings ruled long over Orissa. The edicts of the king Piyadasi declare that he conquered this kingdom from a Kalinga monarch. The Udayagiri cave inscription (miscalled Aira inscription) shows that in the second century B.C. Khāravela, a Jain King of Kalinga, ruled over Orissa. The Eastern Cālukya Kings now and then conquered Orissa. The Kēsari Kings were more or less rulers of Kalinga which was also the case with their successors the Gangavamiças and the Sūryavamęas. The last independent Oriyà King was specifically known as Tricisas Mnkunda Dēva.' In fact from at least the eighth century A.D. to the Muhammadan conquest in 1568 A.D., Orissa was continuously subject to the Kings of Kalinga or Telingana. Even after the Muhammadan rale the bulk of the Puri trade remained in the hands of the Telagus. Telugu boatmen and fishermen (nuliyds) are still to be found as far northr as the Devi river in Cuttack District. Teluga had another advantage. It was early cultivated, and in it were composed numerous songs and poems, some of which are considered to be the sweetest in the world of the Indian vernaculars. ${ }^{1}$

[^61]This predominance for centaries in the political and the trading life combined with the earlier and more refined development of Teluga considerably modified Oriya in spite of the wide gulf between a Dravidian and an Aryan dialect. The greatest change lay in the pronanciation. In Telugu every word must end in \& vowel ; and if it has not a vowel ending of its own, $k$ is to be sufficed to the last consonant. ${ }^{9}$ Similarly in Oriya almost every word ends in a vowel or at least in a ( $\mathbb{1}$ ) thus differing from E. Hindi and Bengali. ${ }^{8}$ In Oriye the Sanskrit E is pronounced as ru and not ri as in E. Hindi and Bengali. Presum. ably this selection of $u$ for $i$ is due to the domination of Telugu which is very fond of this vowel. Next Oriya is more cerebralised than Bengali aud E. Hindi the other two daughters of Magadhi ; and this greater cerebralisation is to be ascribed to Teluga which along with the other Dravidian tongnes is full of cerebral sounds.4 In Oriyà the number of words begiuning with a cerebral is comparatively larger than in the other two; next the nasal $:$ is uttered with the tongue more thrown back and curved; and lastly a cerebral $\}$, as distinct from the dental $l$, is added to the alphabet. Furthermore the sound $h$ is less used in Oriya colloquially थाराए being reduced to पार, तुर to $\bar{F}$ घब्ड to सछ, \&o. In this avoidance of the aspirate Telugu might have • some hand as Teluga is not fond of $h .{ }^{6}$

Further changes on account of Telugu are to be found first in the vocabulary and next in the written charaoters. The vocebulary received several additions, and I think some of these are via, fिaा, माए, fिin, दुणहि, बेलेखा, युषिथा. In music most of the rāges and räginis were borrowed from Telugn and the Oriya musio was up to a late date chiefly based on this Dalchini music. The roundness of the written characters is partly due to the influence of Telugn; and even now the more soath one goes, the rounder becomes the letters, and the resemblance to Teluga characters closer.
(4). The Telugu rule was followed by the Mahomedan (Pathin and Maghal) rule. The latter lasted from 1568 A.D. to 1751 A.D. or for nearly two centuries. With the Pathāns and Mughals came Urdū and Hindī. The influence of Urdū was mall. During their rule, the whole of the Gurjät States and the greater part of the Puri District were practically beyond control, and the Urda speaking races did not

1 Caldwell's Grammar of the Dravidian languages, p. 17.
[ ${ }^{2}$ If, as stated above, the short $a$ in the end of Oriys words is a remnent of the Magsadhi nominative $\bar{e}$, it seems impossible to connect it with this mode of Telugs pronunciation.-Ed.]

8 See Caldwell's Comp. Gram., p. 32.

- Do. Do. p. 81.
settle in large numbers. Their influence lay chiefly in the few towns, where they introduced a good many Urdu words, and where they affected even the tone. In the mofassil they influenced fchiefly through their various land settlements beyiuning with Todar Mall's. From Urdū was gradually borrowed a number of words relating to courts and land tenures such as बरास्, बचेरि, बसिदार, चबहा, पाषनि, करिण, \&c. Ju several cases the new words superseded the old forms, e.g.-


## बोषित्रार, तोषिबा for द्यीबाधि. <br> इबि for बस. <br> सोचा, पर्जबा ior fिfि, E्याए. <br> नििद्रार for चिषोट.

'Ali Vardi Khău, the Mahomedan ruler of Bengal and Orissa, failed to cope with the yearly invasions of the Märätha's. He then bought off peace by ceding them Orissa np to the river Suvarpar̄kha in the north. The Märäthas ruled for half a century and treated the country more as invaders than real rulers. The Mārātha language had hardly any influence on the Oriyā. A few words were added among which might be such words as सरहा, साराशि, बोड (P) and the more extended use of the word बताए in the place of the old form
(5). In 1803 A.D. the British conquest of Orissa took place. Since then the English language is being used by a gradually increasing number of the reading classes. On account of the wide difference between the English and the Oriyā, it has not yet been able to modify grammatical forms. Its influence now lies chiefly in the addition of new ideas and new words. The study of English literature has opened the full vista of European civilisation to the native eye, and thas a world of ideas has come in for which no words previously existed. To express these ideas in writing, either Sanskrit words are being borrowed or coined, or the binglish words themselves are being adopted, with more ar less variations such as farest (collector), (school),
 बत्र之चि (English). Furthermore among the English-knowing classes the custom has grown up of using English words verbatim in tbe midst of Oriya sentences. This custom chiefly prevails in the spoken language, and is evidently due to want of Oriya words for the new ideas to be expressed. During the Musulmān period, Urda or Hindi words similarly got mixed in the current speeches.

The above sketch of the past history of the Oriya tongue may be concluded with a brief survey of its present position. It is noticeable that at present Oriyà is practically homogeneons and displays no great dialectical varieties. From the river Salandi on the north (Bhadrak) to the Chilka lake on the south (Puri) practically the same
speech is prevalent. Throughout the whole Mughalbandi the Oriyā of one placo is easily understood by another. This homogeneity is probably due to the uniformity of political rules and of customs. Under whatever sway Orissa came, it came generally entire; and the Telugus, the Mughals, the Märäthas and the English took possession of whole Orissa at the same time, instead of conquering it piecemeal. The Moghalbandi people felt no disruption, and thas an uniformity of customs as well as of speech resulted. The tendency to homogeneity was further strengthened by the isolation of Orissa. Shut in between a boisterous sea and a harbourless coast on the east, and hills and almost pathless jungles on the west, little outside trade entered and little intermixtare of foreign tongues. Consequently the langaage in the deltaic portion remained nearly unchanged.

This homogeneity is observable, however, only in the Maghalbandi tract, i.e., the regulation districts of Cuttack, Puri and Balasore (sonthern half). Beyond this area the Oriyà is undergoing changes to a smaller or greater extent according to geographical position. The changes are primarily due to the influence of the three great vernaculars by which Orissa is surrounded. In the north-east and north is the Bengali; in the north-west and west is the Hindi ; in the south-west and south is the Telagn. The changes are perceptible even in the adjoining main tracts; and are most clearly marked in the parts of the Oriyà speaking area included in each province, e.g., in Bengal, the southern parts of the Midnapore District, and the eastern and southern parts of the Singbhum District ${ }^{1}$; in the Central Provinces, the Sambalpur District and the adjoining tributary states of Sonepar, Patna, \&c.; in Madras Presidency - the entire north of the Ganjam District down to Ichhapore including the hilly zemindaris of the three Khemdis and the hilly zemindari of Jeyprore in the Vizagapatam District. In these areas the current Orisạ has been much changed especially in the tone and the pronunciation ; and a Kaţaki Oriyà would not often understand the talk of a Dāntani Oriyà (Midnapore), a Sōnēpuri Oriyā (C. Provinces) or a Berhampuri Oriyā (Ganjnm.)

These dialects of the Oriy $\bar{a}$ Language have not yet been studied, and afford a good field for philological researches. From my scanty materials I find that the Bengali has been influencing not only in Bengal Proper

[^62](Midnapore and Singbhium), but also in Manrbhanj (a Tributary State of Oi ssa), and in the north of the Balasore District. Since the Mnsnlmān Conquest a brisk trade and a frequent intercourse had been going on between Bengal and Balasore; and many Bengalis settled or came to reside in the District. Under the English rule this intercourse has grown more intimate and the greater facility of communication continues to attract a number of Balasore people to Miluapore and to Calcutta. Furthermore the literary activity of the Bengali race and the gradually increasing number of good Bengali books are doing. their effects on a less literary race. In consequence so far down as Bhadrak the influence of the Bengali is traceable.

The change has been first/in the pronunciation, the tone being distinctly Bengali, aud the accent thrown on the first syllable instead of the other syllables as in Oriya $\bar{a}$ proper. This Bengali intonation is very clearly felt in the Thanas of Dāntan, and Jellasore and further upivards. The next change is in the voorbulary, many Bengali words being used: in preference to the corresponding Oriyā-words. In the southern paris of Midnapore Distrigt the sentence often looks like Bengali until one comes to the verb. Even the grammatical forms are being affected. such as-
(a) the accent shortened, hence :-
(l) बकें for व्लार, घुठे for गुठाए, प्रते for पहछाए, टेषे for टोपाए;

(3) जुर for चीर, वुक़े for बोटे, युत्र for बीज़, आूटा for बोटा.
(b) verbs :-
 ₹ेख्वे for द्रिज्याक;
(2) रितु for देखु, चारणु for बार्षु, fिजु for रेषु;
 มोजचु for योडचि;
(4) याइसि for लाबि.


$\rho$
1 fits is the older word and is used by the old Oriya poets, eeg., in the Bhägavata' of Jagannätha Däsa 10th Skandha, 6th Adhyãya, 97th çlōka; 10th sk., 14th Ado.
/ Both op. In the current Oriya it has been displaced by was. Its retention in Balasore is probably due to the influence of the Bengali fिक्षर.
J. 1. 42

Not only the speech but the written letters have also changed. A. Däntani letter ill free hand looks on its face like Bengali, the. roundness of the Oriyà letters giving, way ta triangles and straight lines.

Like Bengali on the north, Telugn on the sonth has affected the: Oriya. Being alien in nature, and being separated by a different administration, the Telugu has not influenced the Oriya of the adjoining main tract so much us the Bengali. In the main tract one feels: its influence first along the bordore of the Chilks lake. Its force is however best seen in. the Ganjara District, which became a part of the: Madras Presidency from 1759 A. D:, When the. Northern Sivars cames under British rule. Since then the court langnage has been Teluga, and most of the Gavernment posta are monopolised by the Teliagus. The balk of the trade is also in the hande of the Tolugns. No wonder. therefore that the apoken Opiyā is more or less:different. .

The first noticeable change is in the pronunciation. The tone is flowing and tripping without much stoppages. At first sight one would think that the words have: no-accent, but, though there is such, it is light, and is not often on the first syllable. The conjunct consonants are more or less softened, or disjointed; the palatals and in softened with a touch of the dental (as in the Eastern Bengal); pand the cerebrals are more freely nsed. Following Telugu, the letter $u$ is often added at
 (names of places) षाष्ड़, (Saheb), रों (iond):

The next change is in addition to the vocabalary elther by borrowing from Telagre entire words, or by, clianging the old signification. The entire words borrowed are, e.g., घोfिद्धा (obtained a certificate of leave), fिपु (Judgment), बान्द्ध (oral evidence), fिद्युज (relinquighment): बोप्पडुण (consent), पहुुु (to stick with obstinacy), वीरिज्ट (tci escape or get rid of), ₹ (bathing ghat or seashore), बाद्धा asd बान्दु (illiess),
 बामि (guava fruit), ड़ा (a beam), मेड़ (npstaired house), षण्षर (neessity), षायुबाऩ (cultivation), डिरा (ink), च्वाभा (census). In the following the Sanskrit meaning has been restricted in imitation of Telugu nsuage,
 बठाष (favor), बार (week), !.

Telugu has influenced the grammar also, but not to a large extent on account of its alien nature. The chief chnnge that I noticed was that the past participle gensitive was largely used for present.tense,
 characters have also been affected, the letters in Accha (4. or

[^63]Sidhabhäq̧a writiag of Ganjan being rounder and distincter than in the main tract.

While in the border tracts Oriyz is being thas powerfully acted npen by the three great vernacalars, withim its main area (biz., the regulation districts and the Garjats) the Oriyā has similaity influeuced the other languages spoken by foreign residents, such es the aboriginal speeches, the Hindi, the Bengali and the Telugu.

In the Tribntary States of Orissa the numerous dialects :epoken by the various aboriginal tribes are being gradually sapplanted by tire Origà. The chief intercourse of these tribes is with the Oriyès who are the raling race, and who carry on the bulk of the trade arrd of the manufactures. Consequently Oriys is becoming the prevalent speéch; and the aboriginal tribes, no sooner they settle permanently, adopt the Oriyā speech mixing a fow of tlvir old worda. Already ia -Keanjhar Athigarl, Kntaki Aṭhigurh, and round about the chief towns of Garjats, she speech in use is little different fron the ordinary Oriyā, only the pronounciation is sharper. In the jangles, the Khanda, the Bhämijas, the Juäggs, \&c., though they still retain their vocabus lary, are borrowing freely from the Oriyā; and as their own vocabu. lary is limited, their speeches in course of time will become chiefly Oriya. If the present system continues, the Oriyā is destined to be the prevalent speech of the Iributary States.

During the Musulman, the Maratha, and the British rules, a few Maliammadaus, Rajputs (upcountrymen), and Bengalis settled in Orissa. Their speeches have been more or less changed by the sulroandiny Oriyt tongue. The Rajputs have lost practically all knowledge of the Hindi, and generally speak in Oriya. The Muliammadans still speak the Urda; but the tone is Oriyaised, and numerous grammatical forms have been dropped. ${ }^{1}$ Similarly the speech of the Bengali settlers tras attered. From the frequent use of kare, an imitation of the Oriys participle kari, their speech is vulgarly kuown as kena. They interloaded the Bengali sentence with Oriya verbs and Urdū words. e. g., one
 बां करे रिएोो (the ladies cooked so well, that on taking the food our hearts were delighted). Nowadays that intercourse with liengal and the Bengalis is increasing, and the settler are more and more reading the Bengali works, the males are more or less shaking off the patois.

[^64]But for the women and the ehildren it will take a long time to shake off the iufluence of the surrounding Oriya speech. The few Telagu settlers are more and more forgetting their molher tongue aud in ordinary outside talks are using the Oriyā. Those who have settled for more than one generation have generally forgotiten Telaga.

I conclude this part of my article with a few remarks on the Oriyà written characters and on the Oriya pöthis or written books. Like most of the other Indiun vernaculars, the Oriya has got its own characters. These characters are prominent for their invariable roundness. Triangles and straight liues have been religiously avoided. The main reason for this coundness is to be found in the natare of materials on which the Oriyā had to be written. 1 The Oriyā pēthis (books) are of palm leaves written with an iron stylus. The palm leaves bave longitadinous fibres. Straight lines (or trianglos) would horizontally cut through the leaf fibres, and vertically would require a much largar physical power. Hence a carved or circular form is the easiest to write on a palm leaf with the sharp point of the stylus. This necessary habit of roundness was further strengthened by the Telagn whose letters are similarly circular. This is borne out by the Ganjam writings which are rounder than those in Cuttack or Balasore.

The earliest specimen of modern Oriya writing is an inscription of the King Kapilęçara Dēva on the Jayavijaya gateway of the Jagannāth temple, Puri. Its date has been calculated by me as 9th December 1436 A.D., or more than 460 years old. ${ }^{2}$ The letters of this valuable inscription do not differ from the present types except in fiv, ज and $₹$, and even with respect to them the difference is mostly in the terminal loops. By the fifteenth century the present characters may therefore be considered fally developed. How much earlier they differentiated there are no data to ascertain, My belief is that the letters were evolved out of the so-palled Kutila characters at different times, and that the whole set, had differentiated almost eptirely by'the 14th century A.D.

The pothis are composed of the leaves of the palm trees (Borassus Flabell (1), which serve manifold purposes; when tied together as a broom, when spread out as a fan, when put between bamboos as a tatti, when fixed with a handle as an umbrella, and when evenly cut and seasoned as tailpatra or the depository in writing of local knowledge. All leaves are not fit for being written. The leaves must be neither too old, nor too young, neither too much torn up nor too mach carved.

[^65]
## 1897.]

Those which are three or four months' old, just when the leaves are spreading, are preferred. They are intact, greenish-looking, longer and broader. According to length and breadth they are sorted, the longest and the broadest of the inner leaves being considered the best.

The leaves are next given what is termed the बाधिक्ब (balj-kasa). In sand they are kept buried for a day with some water over the sand. This seasons the leaves; and for ordinary parposes or for the zemindar's accounts such leaves suffice. But for:pothis which are intended to be more permanently kept, a further seasoning is necessary. This goes by the nane of स्रिक्ष (haldi-kdsa). The better sorts of lenves are sorted and then put into a solntion of turmeric and sour boiled-rice water (amäni). They are kept immersed for about half an hour, and on being taken out are found to have acqnired a darker color and to have become more elastic. They are next diried either by exposure in the sun or to a current of air. When fully dried the leaves are fit for writing.

For books a number of these lenves are taken and are bored in the middle by a pointed instrument named phurani and through the hules so made a string is passed. The thread further passes through a wooden cover at each end. The wooden covers serve as a guard to the leaves, and are often carved. The string is sufficiently long ( 2 to 5 ft.) to be wound round the book over and over. The edges of the leaves are then cut even by some outting instrument and next rabbed smooth with a stone. The book is then fit for a pöthi, and is known as sā̃̃ci.

Next comes the writing instriment whioh is an iron stylus locally known as ीेरि (lekhani). The stylus is usually plain, but is often ornamented. In Orissa three varieties of stylus are used, according to the shape of the apper end, viz. (1) either sharp-pointed, (2) or knife-shaped, (3) or ear-shaped. The lower-end of the is stylus sharp as the end of a needle, and is nased to cut letters on the palm leaves. The sharp portion of the upper end is employed in cutting the palm leaves. A stylus costs from three annas to a rapee.

The pöthis are written either by the owner himself, or if able to' pay, by some hired worker. Round about Puri the charge is about eight to twelve annas for a thousand çlokeas. In Jajpar the charge is an anna for an adhyāya or canto. The charge is very moderate. Extra charges are paid for good and neat hand-writing, or in the case of Sanskrit works for correct copies. The rale for good hand-writing is contained in the following sloka :-

# समानि समशोर्षारि घणानि विरणानि च। <br> बयाकुषे च माशायि यो विखति सो पदितःः। 

The letters written with the stylus ape treansolves legible; bat to make them more legible ink is used. The ink is passed over the writing and filling the interstices of letters makes them clearer. The ink is made in several ways. It may be prepared by mashing in water the leaves of the aquatic plant को दुएT (kesadura), and mixing with it the soot of the rice-boiling earthen pot (bhät-handi), or it may be prepared by crumbling in hand the kesadura leaves or the leaves of the creeper बारंडा (bãturã), half burning them in burnt straw, and then passing them over the writing to be inked. The ink is fairly permanent.

The palm leaves are fragile and do not last long. In addition no special care is taken to preserve them. As they are mainly damaged in the rains, the only precaution taken is to expose them to the sun in the month of Bhädra when the sun shines very hot. Against white ants so common in Orissa the only precaution taken is to keep them on raised bamboo platforms a man high, or on shelved platforms, when the number is large. The use of camphor, \&c., is unknown, and a white insect with two standing hairs on the head commits much depredation. Time also plays great havoc, the old palm leaves crumbing to pieces. Hence after 30 or 40 years the pöthis have to be recopied. I have not seen very old palm leaf päthis. The oldest pothi which I have come across is a copy of the th skawdha of the Oriya Bhāgavata of Jagannātha Däsa. It is dated 1143 Oriya Sana, 13th ayka of the King Ramacandra Dēra and is therefore 161 years old. It owes its preservation to its being kept on a seat ( $g \bar{a} d i$ ) for daily worship by the family of the late Baba Bicchanda Patnaik of Kalyāpupur, Thana Jajpar. ${ }^{1}$

The pothis are brought out and worshipped at the time of the Bripañcami festival (January, February), and often also at the time of the Dasahara (September, October). The stylus, the native reed pens and the ink pots are then worshipped with the pothis.

1 Since writing this article I have discovered in the same house another pothi still older. The text is Sanskrit but written in Oriya. The last line runs thus:-


 date of writing is 1103 Sana or 1696-97 A. D.; the MS., therefore, is now 201 yearn old. .


## Part 11. Sahserit Literature of Otissá.

Little is known outside Orissa of the old writings of the Oriyās; and even in Orissa their knowledge is of the vaguest nature. Only two English writers have dealt with the Oríya writers, viz., Mr. Beames ${ }^{1}$ and Sir W. Hunter. ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Benmes' notices are slight extending over a page and half only, more than one-thind of which is taken up with an extract from the Oriyā poet Dinakrppa Däsa and ibs English translation. Sir W. Hunter's list is fuller and gives a fairly complete list of all kinds of Oriyā and Sanskrit works. But the other informations are meagre; the dates generally wrong; the anthoritios are not quoted, and the reasons not given; while the arrangement, being alphabeticnl according to the names of the authors, faits to give an ider of the historical development of the literature. This lamentable ignorance is certailly due to want of reliable data. In this article I intend to furnish the readevs with some such data tested and compiled to the beat of my ability from the original MSS. I only regret that the pressure of official work leaves me little time to give the necessary finishing tonches.

An examination of the Orissa hiterature shows that compositions in Sanskrit preceded those in the vernacular. Even these Sanskrit worke so far as available are late products. Excepting a few inscriptions on stoues and copper-platea, I am not aware of any Sanskrit compositions which can be reliably considered older than the Gangavamica rule (1135-1434 A.D.). The older inscriptions show that Sanskrit was studied fairly well, but the poems and treatises then composed appear to lave been lost. This limit in time, it is desirable to bear in mind.

Daring the Gaygavamęa rule and downwards numerous Sanskrit works were composed, almost all of which can be broadly divided into two great classes :-

## A. Paurảnic: <br> B. Smptic.

A. The works of both these classes were more or less products of necessity. Varions towns of Orissa came to be regarded as Tirthas and thns centres of extensive pilgrimages. These towns were dotted with temples, tanks and saiored places, of whow the pilgrims wanted to know the mytholngical history. They became crowded witk Brahmins and Sowakas, whose hereditary business came to be to' give explanations

[^66]of all the holy places. To sapply these wants bath of the pilgrims aud of the Serakas, various local Māhātmyas were composed in Sanskrit. The best known among them are-
(a) The Virajā-Māhātmya which deals with the sacred sites of Jajpar.
(b) Ekāmra-Purāpa, Ekāmra-Candrik\& and Svarp̣ādri-Mahōdadhi which describe Bhavaneģvar.
(c) Puruşōttama-Māhātmya and Mlādri-Măhātmya about the Pura-söttama-K畒tra.
(d) Arka-Māhātmya about Kopparka.
(e) Kapila-Sumhitā, describing briefly the best known sacred sites in Orissa.
The precise dates of these works cannot be ascertained. They themselves are silent about the times of their compositions. On the other hand they profess to be parts of Purānas, and to have been delivered by gods and Resis in the olden time. For example, the Purußōttama-Māhātmya professes to be a supplement of the SkandrPurāpa, the Ekāmra-Purāna and the Ekāmra-Candrika to be sapplemeuts of Çiva-Purāṇa, the Virajā-Māhātmya of the Brahmānda-Purina aud the Arka-Māhātmya of the Sāmba-Purāņ. The Purāpas of which these works are declared to be the supplement, are all later ones, and have been supposed to belong to the period from the seventh to ninth century A.D. ${ }^{1}$ The Mähätmyas must therefore be still later and cannot in any manner be older than the tenth century. By another liue of argument their time will be found to be still later. The Māhätmyas must be obviously later than the temples mentioned therein, and in the case of some temples the dates of their construction can be approximately arrived at. For instance the Puruşotiama Māhātmya is mainly concerned with the temple of Jagannätha at Puri. This great temple, as I have already proved. ${ }^{2}$ was built under the orders of Codagayga, the founder of the Gaygavamiça dynasty. Its date thus comes to about 1140 A.D. One hondred years may be fairly taken as the period during which the human origin of the temple was forgotten, aud a divive origin with

[^67]extravagant sanctity was attached to the temple as described in the work. The middle of the thirteenth century is thus arrived at as the anterior limit of the wark. Its postarior limit is settled by the fact that the Mähātmya is referred to with veneration by Raghunandana ${ }^{1}$ of the sixteanth century and by Narasimiha Acāryas of the fifteenth century. Probably the close of the thirteenth century is nearer the trath as regards the date of this work.

Similarly Bkāmra-Purāpa and Ekamra-Candrikā refer to the temples of Ananta-Vāsudēva and Mëghęqvara, Ananta-Vāsudēva was built in the eleventh century A.D., its inscription having been composed by Vācaspati-Miçra. 8 Meghēerara was bnilt still later about the end of twelfth century (circa 1200 A.D.) 4 The period of one handred jears being allowed to account for the sanctity of the temples, the anterior times of these guide-books must be later than the close of the thirteenth century. The Arka-Mähātmya must similarly be later than the suntemple of Kön̄arka it deals with. This great temple was built under orders of Narasimha Deva I. probably in the 3rd quarter of the thirtoenth centary. ${ }^{6}$ Hence the Arka-Māhātmya cannot be placed earlier thap fourteenth century. Lastly the existing Virajā-Māhātmya is not very old. In the beginning it refers to the temple of Jagannātha, ${ }^{6}$ and in one passage the pilgrims are advised to pay their respects at the shrine of Gaggegrara. From the name and description, I take this to be a Linga, established by Gangęprara which was another name of Codaganga, On the whole I would not pnt the present Virajā-Māhātmya earlier than thirteenth century.

## 

tativini, fिशिना, दो सापार् pp. 67-8. I quote here and elsewhere from Benimēdhab De \& Oo.'s Bengali Pdition, which in apite of numerous errors, is the only editiou known to me giving a oomplete text of this enoyoloposic work.

2 Acärapradipa MS., Fol. 7.
 Ineoription; Dr. R. L. Mittra's Ant. Orimsa, Vol. II, pp. 84-5.

- Iee Babu N. N. Vasu'e article, Journ. As Soc. Beng., Vol. LXVI, Pt. I, No. I 1897, p. 14 et seq.

6 Joarn. As. Soc. Beng., Vol. LXV, pp. 282-3.

- My MS. copy, lst Adhyàya, p. 6.

जुम्रदेश्रे बचिरे दचिखय मत्रोदधेः। बाब्ना गोच हति खातो विद्यने धरबोधरः। बन्र सयं निवसते अमवाण् कमसापतिः। मक्षप्रियार्थं विस्बात्मां विशवन्द्यपदाम्बुज्ः J. 1. 43

These five appear to be the oldest. The others are more or less compilations from these five. This is particularly the case with Kapila Samitaj. So then, looking to the text, none of the existing gaidebooks can be taken earlier than the thirteenth century A.D.

These works profess to be divine revelations and thos give no clue to their human authors. From the subject matter I conclade that they were probably composed by the Sāsani Brāhmins or Sebaits of the temples. As literary compositions they show no special merits. The legendary origin of each temple, tank, tree, \&c., is narrated, and the innumerable benefits which will accrue by visiting them and by offering gifts therein are dwelt upon with tedious repetitions. The language is easy; the versification bald and noornamented; the narration prolix and wearisome; while the poetry is dull and nowhere rises above ordinary level. Prose would have served as well the purposes of these Mähattmyas, but for the fact that the priests and the guide Pāpdas needed accounts which can be recited from memory. Extracts from most of these guidebooks can be seen in extenso in Dr. R. L. Mitra's Antiquities of Orissa, Vol. II.
B. Besides the worship of gods and the condacting of pilgrims, the Brahmins undertook other functions. They had to conduct the daily or periodical religious or socio-religious ceremonies, and they had to give vyavasthūs or advices on social or religions questions. For these purposes in towns or Head-Quarters of Chiefs, Court Pandits were employed, while in the mofussil the Sāsani Brāhmins were consulted. To discharge these functions with credit, the old Smrtis or lawbooks of Mann, Yājñavalkya and others were carefully studied, and later on local treatises were compiled. Of these treatises the earlier ones appear to be lost, the earliest of those now existing not being older than 14th century A.D.

Probably these later works have survived not simply becanse they came late, but for another reason. From the 14th centary downwards I notice a revival of Sanskrit learning in Orissa. This revival is probably due to the rise of Vidyānagara in the sonth and the close connexion of Orissa with that kiugdom. In the beginning of the l4th century Vidyānagara had come to be the centre of all kinds of Sankrit studies; and Mādhavācārya ${ }^{1}$ and Sāyanācārya at the head of numerons Pandits were exceedingly active in editing and commenting on the Vedic and Sanskrit classics. This literary activity of the Vidyānagara scholars must have stirred considerably the Pandits of Orissa, particularly as in the reigns of the Sūryavamęa Kings (1434-1540 A.D. P) Orissa came

[^68]into direct contact with Vidyānagara. In this way several Smpti works were compiled and a bund of scholars grew up whom Raghunanda specifically mentions as Odra-déciyăh. 1

The Oriyā treatises on Smpti may be divided into two classes :-
(a) Paddbatis or Manuals;
(b) Commentaries.
(a) Among the Paddhatis the best known are :-
(1) Vidyäkara-Paddhati;
(2) Çuddhi-Candrikā;
(3) Cambhukara-Paddhati ;
(4) Karmājga-Paddhati.

1. Vidyākara's Paddhati I have not yet come across. But it has been largely quoted or referred to in Raghunandana's work. ${ }^{8}$ From the extracts therein given, the date of his work can be approximately arrived at. The anterior limit is fixed by Mädhavācārya's time, the revived Oriyà scholarship dating after him. Vidyākara canuot therefore be earlier than the second half of the 14th century. The posterior limit is fixed by his lines being quoted in the Acārapradipa, ${ }^{8}$ and in the Madanapārijata, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ both of which are mentioned by Raghunandana. These works belong to the 15th century. ${ }^{5}$ Madann-pārijāta is not an Oriyā work. Hence Vidyākara must be much older, as the fame of any

[^69]

8 Achnärapradipa M8. चान्रकार्ड्य Folios 77, 82 ; बहित्रोष्रक्रार्य Fol. 120.
 nandana बाहिक्षत्ज p. 170.

6 For the date of Acilrapradipa, see infra p. 338 Madana-pārijäta being quoted by Raghunandana must be earlier than the sixteenth century; and as it quotes from Madhavācārya it must be later than the fourteenth century. Presumably therefore it belongs to the intermediate, i.e., fifteenth century. For reference to
 nandana सबमाबतW, पय्यु दाधबिचार p. 347. For Raghunandana's time ene infra p. 339.
work in those days travelled slowly outside its province. I am disposed to putVidyākara to the first quarter of the 15 th century or a little earlier.

In the absence of the full texts it is unsafe to pass any remarks. Vidyākara was a Vājapēi Brahmin, i.e., one who had performed the expensive vājap̄̄ya-yajūan He seems to have been well read in the Smptis.
2. The second work Cuddhi-Candrika is better known and has been printed. It is a small work and has been placed among the Paddhatis chiefly on account of its time and absence of a critical spirit. Its anthor who calls himself Kalidäa, was a Cayani Papdit and thas speaks of his work in the very first line:-

## मन्वादिश्रास्तामतस्रयाबयम : <br> चीकाणिदासासमिघषोर जन्र्रमाः। <br>  था क्ताग्वलोे चै

Translation :-The moon among the learned by name Çri-Kälidina who is the depositary of nectars in the Gästras of Manu and others, spread out (i.e., composed) the Çuddhi-Candrikā, for removing the darkness in men's eyes in matters of agauca (or death and birth impurities), thus swelling (with gladness) the sea of the learned. (The author compares himself with the moon which is the depositary of nectars, which removes darkness, and which swells the sea with tides).

The work ends thas :-

## 

The title Cayani Pandit is curious; Cayani meaning at Puri, the best, the most learned.

The Çuddhi-Candrikā is pretty old. Its anthor is reforred to is the Acarapradipa, and hence it cannot be later than the 2nd half of the 15 th century. The compreseed nature of the book does not admit of any quotations from other works. I have found only one reference, viz., to Lakşmidhara, belongs to the tenth century. From general reasons I am inclined to think that the anthor was contempora-

[^70]neons with Vidyalkars or came a little later. He should be placed in the lst half of the 15 th century.

The text is in 26 stanzas only, but the stanzas are very long. The subject matter of acauca is dealt with in 25 lines, and as the author has forced a full treatment of the whole subject of açauca into a few lines, the work is difficult to understand without commentary. The anthor was probably led to make such compression in order to facilitate the task of committing it to memory. The work has often been referred to as an authority. I find however that its rules are not always observed in Orissa. For instance, it is laid down in line 11 that in the case of Çūdras dying between the 2nd and 16th year, whether married or unmarried, the apauoa lasts 12 days; and in the case of Çüdras dying above the 16th year, the full period (i.e. 30 days) should be observed. ${ }^{1}$ In Orissa the Ģadras however behave like the Brahmins, and actually observe the dgauca for ton days only.

Besides the printed edition, I have seen three MSS., one of which has the advantage of a ṭikā. The țikā has been named Çuddhi-vidhānadipika. This MS. occupies 47 folios with six lines on each page and five lines on the last page. The name of the tikā-kära is wanting. It closes with the following line:-

## स्रत्यर्यंवादु उद्यवसारथोक्री: पूताम्बुधा खष्णनमष्जयोचिता। कृतातिसेख्या छरता मथापहा विगाध्मतां गुद्विविधालदोपिका।

3. The third Paddhati is of Cambhukara. I have not seen a complete copy of this book, but I have come across a fragment of it. It is named Gambhukara-Çräddhapaddhati. It begins abruptly with
 यौतमप्रोक्षपम्भुकर विरचितश्राड्वपज्वतिः ।

The text takes up $8 \frac{1}{2}$ folios with 4 or 5 lines on each page. It gives the usual directions and Mantras to be observed or recited while performing the funeral ceremonies of relatives. Rarely comments are made in prose. Çambhukara's date is nucertain. Neither he nor his work is referred to either in the Acārapradipa or by Raghunandana. But several other commentators have quoted him as an anthority, ${ }^{8}$ notably Viẹvanātha Miçra in his Smpti-Sāra-Samgraha.

[^71]This commentator is one of the oldest, as will be seen further on, and is at least three hundred years old. As Çambhukara has been quoted by him as an anthority, a considerable interval must have elapsed. I shall be far from wrong therefore in putting Çamblukara's date to the close of the 15 th century.
4. In his list Hanter mentions another Paddhati, ${ }^{8}$ vis., the Kar-māyga-paddhati of one Rāmacandra Vájapēyi. I have not seen this work. According to Honter's informant its date is about 400 years olda not improbable date for it, if it be really a Padilhati. The anthor was a Väjapāyi, and these Vajjap̧̄yis belonged almost exclusively to the Puri District and were generally well read in Çrutis nnd Smrtis.
(b). Having treated all the known Paddhatikāras of Orissa, I now take up the other sub-class of Smptic works, the commentaries.

1. Of the existing commentaries the earliest appears to be the Acārapradipa of Narasimina Vājapeyi. Of this I have seen two MSs., and the one which I shall refer herein was copied not less than sixty years ago. This MS. consists of 197 folios with six lines on each page. It begins in verse describing the genealogy of the anthor and at the end of each division ends thus or in a nearly similar line
 प्रही सम्बताकाषोणबसेकिश्शः " (MSS. Folio 120).

The introductory verses are in 21 lines and give an interesting account of the author's ancestors and their stadies. The lines show that in the mediæval period (13th to 15th century) Smpti and Darcana were fairly well cultivated by the Oriya Brahmina. The author's ancestors composed several works such as Sat-Samaya, Cuddhi-Muktävali and Samksipta-Çäririkavärttikā. They appear to be now lost, if not lying scattered in some of the Brahmin villages round about Puri. For these and similar other works, it would be desirable to make a diligent search among the small libraries belonging to the Sasani Brahmins of that district.



1 See infra, p. 341.
s Hunter's Orisea, Vol. II, App. IX, pp. 207-8.
8 On the last page there is a note to the effect that the M8. Wne sold on Sana 1252 Kanya 29th for Company rapee Re. 1-4-0 by one Mukteçvara Däsa to Krppe Agnihōtri, the grand-father of the present owner. The wale thus took place 52 gears ago, and the MS must be still older.

The introductory verses supply the following genealogical chart up to the seventh generation:-


Nrsimba's date is not known. But his reference to Mādhavācāryas brings him down to the fifteenth century. The posterior limit is fixed by his work being quoted by Raghunandana. ${ }^{3}$ Raghunandana was contemporaneons with Caitanya and read with him under the Paṇdit Vāsudeva Särvabhauma.* Raghunandana consequently flourished in the beginning of the 16th century, and Nrsimhäcärya must be older. From the scanty quotations by Raghonandana, I infer that he did not precede him by any long interval. Nrsimha may therefore be fairly

1 The names of these cons are given in the verse, but are not given here: The introductory lines are quoted in original in the Appendix.




* Cf. Babu Akshay Kumar Dutt's Introduction to the Hindu Religious mects (Bengali) Vol. I, p. 178 note.*
placed in the latter half of the 15 th century. This is corroborated by the fact that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{im} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ha}}$ quotes from Vidyākara and Cuddhi-Candrike.

The Acarrapradipa is a pretty long work and has not yet been printed. It treats of the daily or periodical rites (acāra). The author appears to have been well-read. He quotes freely from the epics, the eighteen Purānas, the various Upapurēpas, the thirty-six Dharmaçāstras, the numerous commentators on them, such as Laķmidhara, Hemādri, ; Mādhavācārya, Dēvēndrāçrama Svāmi, Puraçcaraṇa-Candrikā, Smrtiratnamālā, various Tantras, the Pañcarātras, astrological works, etc. I have already pointed out that he quotes from the Vidyākara-Paddhati and Çuddhi-Candrika. In fact he brings considerable knowledge to bear upon each subject, and takes considerable pains in elucidating the dispated points by gathering the various authorities and by attempting to reconcile or explain the discrepancies faund.

The anthor was a Vājapeyi Brahmin of Puri and was apparently a Vaignava by religion. He begins his work with an invocation to the Lord Jagannātha enthroned on the blue hill, and refers with respect to Puruşottama-Māhātmya. According to tradition he composed other Smrti works, to supplement the Ācārapradipa. These are enumerated by Hunter as: (l) Vyavasthā-pradipa. (2) Prāyaçcitta-pradipa. (3) Väjapeyi-Smrti, (4) Däna-Sägara. None of these works is available locally.
2. While searching Ragbunandana's work I came across two anthors named Vardhamāna, one of whom is distinguished from the other by the prefix Navyal or younger. Is this Navya-Vardhamana identical with Vardhamãa Mahảpātra, who according to Hanter's list, composed a Smpti work named Vardhamāna-Kārikā. Not having yet seen the latter work, I am unable to decide; but if true, Vardhamāna Mahāpātra must be older than 16 th centary.

Raghanandana does not appear to mention any other Smpti works of Orissa, and the omission by an author of such vast reading as his raises a fair presumption that the works not mentioned were not in use at or before his time. The other works however, which I have seen, are more or less treated as anthorities and cannot therefore be recent. Their times should therefore be put between the 16th and 18th centuries. I proceed to discuss them one by one. The following works I have seen in MSS.
(3). Smpti-Sāra-Samgraha of Viçvanātha Miçra.
(4). $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Çrāddha-Dipa } \\ \text { Kāla-Dipa }\end{array}\right\}$ of Divyasimìne Māhāpātra.
 fिषियक p. 26; of. also वमाबास्मतन pp. 79, 90.
(5). Prājaçcitta-Vilōcana of Vāsudēva Tripāthi.
(6). Prāyaçcitta-Man̄̄hara of Murāri Miçra.
(7). Acārasāra of Gayādhara Rāyaguru.
(8). Smpti-DlpikE of Viçambhara Miçra.
3. The MS. of Smrti-Sära-Samgraha is on 44 folios with six lines on each page and half a line on the last. It begins with

## मग्वादिपार्नारिए गुरोरधीत <br> सम्यक्त् तथाम्यस्य चिरं प्रयव। <br> वृष्ष च पिद्टाचरखं करोमि घोविग्वणाथः सतिसाइसंयः्य् ।

Translation:-Having read and studied under teachers (Gurus) the Çastras beginning, with that of Mann, having long made efforts and having observed the right conduct, I, Cri Viçvanātha have composed (this) Smrti-Sāra-Samgraha. The MS. ends with

The MS. has got at the end a table of contents (बमुक्रमशिका).
The work is pretty old, for it is quoted in Crāddha-Dipa, ' which is also old. I think it is about three hundred years old and cannot be later than the beginning of the 17th century and might be even earlier. It quotes from the Vidyakara-Paddhati and Cambhukara-Paddhati. I was unable to find any mention of Nrsimina Väjapēyi's works. As its name implies, it is a compilation from older Smptis about the usual rites and festivals. I came across the following curious remark about an Oriya festival :-

##  अधार्वप्रमपि बाधिः

Translation:-Now the Prathamāgtami. In this Orissa now-a-days pãjäs and offerings are given (on this date). In other lands this festival does not exist, and no Rishi's sayings exist.

Evidently the festival of Prathamąstami which is held on the first Astami of the month Agrahāyana and which consists in offering pūjās to the gods and in giving new cloths to the firstborn male, is a strictly local festival.
4. The next author is Divyasimina Mahāpātra. He composed two Smrti works Crāddha-Dipa or lamp for funeral rites, aud KālaDipa or lamp for the periodical rites. I have seen two MSS. of each.


J. 1. 44

The MS. of the Gräddha-Dipa that I am using is composed of 44 folios with 4 or 5 lines on each page; while the MS. of Kala-Dipa is smaller being on 27 folios with six lines on each page and 4 lines on the


प्रयम्च देवं मोब्वय्य भवानोम्षरावपि।
बन्यते काइडोपोयं विर्थबिंहृव धोमता।
 The text of Kāla-Dipa begins in a similar way ${ }^{1}$ but ends differently as below :-

##  <br> बाजदोपामिंधं पर्थं घववान् चतियों सुदे। 

The author was well learned, and in his Çrädha-Dipa quotes from Çudhi-Candrikā, Vidyākara-Paddhati, Çambhukara-Paddhati, Nrsimha Vājapøyi's work, Viçvanātha Migra and Mukunda Dikpita. Occasionally all the Väjap®yis are referred to as Vajapeyinaḷ. Mukunda-Dikgita's treatise appears to be lost. Crāddha-Dipa is the earlier work as it is alluded to in Käla-Dipa. ${ }^{8}$ Like the other anthors Divyasimha's date can only be approximately arrived at. He is quoted as a high authority in the Smrti-Dipika, ${ }^{8}$ and might be presumed to be 80 or 100 years older than that work. The date of the Smrti-Dipikā is unknown, but as it is treated as an anthority it cannot be less than 120 or 130 years old. So Divyasimha's time may be taken to the close of the 17th centary A.D.
5. The next two works I deal with treat of Prajyaccittes or penances for sins and misdeeds, and are considered standard works on the subject. The first, the Prāyapcitta-Vilocana is largely used in the northern part of Orissa. Its date cannot be ascertained, but from its high authority and its treatment of the subject matter I am inclined to place it in the beginning of the 18th century. The anthor Väsuders Tripāţhi, according to tradition, belonged to the Balasore District, which probably explains his popularity on the north side of the Brāhmini river. This is also somewhat corroborated by the facts that the MS.

[^72]I am using was copied from one found at Nilgiri (a tributary state adjoining Balasore) and that the text now and then quotes from Bengali works, as Bhavadə̄va-Paddhati, Smrti-Sāra-Sägara, Gaygā-Väkyāvali, \&c.

The text of the Präyapcitta-Vilocana occupies 161 folios, of which 34 contain three lines on each page, the rest four lines, and the last page one line. It is, therefore, a work of considerable size and deals with the subject in a learned manner. It begins with

```
चोरषुणाथाब वमः।
    प्रायक्षिक्षविरुड्रिबाधर्गधियो ध्यायकित धोरा ध्रुवं
    बं निर्याजकमषं ध्परादिरहितं बोजं जगल्बारत्षः।
    #ोरामं #रकोटिसन्द्रवरं कामं गमसुत्व तं
    प्रायकिणविलोचणं वितनुते श्रेवासदेवः सह्धोः।
    विद्यक्ते विदुषां निषन्धकिवशा यद्यन्न गालाविद्धः
    प्रायक्षिक्तविवेचने बड्मतास्केते प्रपष्षोत्तराः।
    तानेब प्रविषोक्ष सारमखिबां संटक्ण संच्चेपतः
    किखिलौशूलपेषबस्तदपि सोडयं प्रवन्षोध्यमः।
```

Translation :-Salutation to Raghunātha! The intelligent Vāsudēva has composed this Prāyaçcitta-Vilöcana having paid his obeisance to Cri-Ramacandra who is artless, without beginning and without illness, who is the seed of this tree of universe, who is more beantiful than tens of millions of Kandarpas (Eros), and who is meditated upon by the wise, intent on finding out the ccrrect Prāyaçcittas. In Prāyaçcitta matters numerons works have been composed by the learned, yet different opinions exist in the varions works; studying them I have attempted this treatise, having compiled iu brief their entire substance with some skill and fineness.

The work end thus :-
बिदुका बाहरेवेत प्राबसिभविलोचने।
 नावावियक्षागाबोच बाहद्टेवश्रियाठिगा।

बमत्बराः सारविषाइ স्रूरा धोरा मयैतं रं षितं निषन्ं। पविन्नन्नः कडयार्यंवृष्या मखन्व सन्तः प्रबतोर्यये तान् ।


Translation :-In (this) Prāyaçcitta-Vilōeana the learned Vāsudēra has treated the purification of things and the various kinds of penances. After studying numerous treatises, Vāsudera Tripäthi made the Prāpap-citta-Vilöcana for the delight of the learned. May the learned who are not envious, who are considerate and who are masters in judging truth $\boldsymbol{r}_{r}$ take up this treatise purifying it with their kind looks,-so I pray with due respects to them. Here ends the Prāyapoitta-Vilöcana composed by Crii-Vāsudeva-Tripaṭhi.
6. The second work on penances is the Prayaçcitta-Manohara. It is less known but is probably as old as Prāyapcitta-Vilöcana. Its author is Murāri Mięra who begins his work thus-

शोमन्मुरारिमिम्रेब काहुमिम्रस्य स्यत्रणा ।
प्रियते बवहाराथं प्रायषिसमनोष्टरं।।
The text of the MS. is incomplete, and hence the cloaing words cannot be quoted. The MS. as far as it exists, occupies 18 folios with six line on each page.
7. I next come to the Acēra-Sāra. This work enjoys a large popularity in the Puri District. Its author Gadàdhara Rāyaguru was a court Paṇ̣it, which partly accounts, for its popularity. He begins his work with seven stanzasl giving therein his genealogy. From this introduction the following chart may be drawn up:-


The author's date can be approximately arrived at from the work. Halndhara, the author's uncle, was Guru of the Queen of King Harekrṣ̣a; while Nilazmbara, the author's father, was Gura of the King himself. Now Harēkrṣna Dēva King of Puri and Khordà, succeeded Divyasipha Dēra and according to the Madalà Pāñji ruled from 1715 to 1720 A.D. These years are approximately correct. Therefore Harekrsp̣a's reign of five years might be pat between 1720 and $1726 .{ }^{\text {a }}$ Gadādhara's father being his Gara, Gadādhara himself mast be later.

[^73]I would therefore place Gadādhara's work in the second quarter of the 18th century.

The Ačarra-Sarra is a learned work, rather large in size. The MS. takes up 163 folios with six lines on each page. The text has got a table of contents (षयुप्रमतिका) of six folios, but its value has been minimised by absence of page references. The author quotes now and then from his grandfather's work Nitiratnākara. ${ }^{l}$ The author's grandfather was a Vājapéyi and and on account of his learning got the title of Brhat-Pandita (çष्af(Tit). Gadādhara Rāyagaru composed two other treatises to complete the series. These go by the name of Guddhi-Sāra or compilation of purificatory rites and Kāla-Sāra or the compilation of periodical rites. These works preceded the AcāraSāra in their times of composition having been referred to in the latter treatise. ${ }^{8}$
8. The, last work on Smpti that I will notice and apparently the latest anthority is Smrti-Dipikà. It is a moderate sized volume compiled about 120 or 130 years ago. Its author is Vip̧ambhara Miçra who begins his work thus-
मन्बादिशास्तनिथयं खगुरोरधोत्ब
छेमाधिमाधवमतानि विथार्यं यलाव् ।
भोवाजपेडिक्रमबाकरसिचसिंह-
अार्तादितभम्बन्त्प्य करोमि किखिव्।
यर्भि अर्धपि धोरायां सरतियत्याख्य कोटिशः।
तथाराप सारमाष्ताष्य कियते दूतनोधमः।
विभम्भरखा पुधिया कियते स्रतिदोपिका।

Translation :-Having read under my Guru the Çästras beginning with Manu, having carefully discussed the opinions of Hémādri, Mädhava and others, and having remembered the truthsenunciated by the Smārtas Vājapēyis, Kamalākara, Divyasimiha and others, I shall do something. Though there may be tens of millions of Smyti works (composed by) the learned, yet abstracting their substances fresh attempt will be made. The intellegent Viçvambhara composed this Smrti-Dipikā whose ten prakāças (chapters) make visible all the cardinal points.



 जाससार घसां fिचितं."

APPENDIX.
(a). The Genealogy of Nrsimha Vajapayi as given in tis Acarrpradipa.
 प्रासादमोमाबक्य यूपे बेबोलणोभूषिव एक देश्रः 1 र। प्रायादे चतते दरं परिषरक्राषोबमुपिज् दुखे

 म्येगोणिबमेब पत तबरोव् बोषं डुलं चेज्यया । \& बमुख्य पुन्नः किलगोपोकारमि षो युबेंयंस्य ब्ये वक्तौ।



 योगाभ्याबरतः प्रराबड्डबं वाराबखः प्राविश्र्् $1=1$





बलेतागममुल्जोड डवतरबन् संजेपश्रारोरिके छता वार्षिबमห्वरेष निरतः बस्माबदीजाप्रतो। मोमांबा घत एब हर्विमगमव् बाष्यां घसिंत्रः दुर-
 रेखनम्द हुतोडसाजकि घ्रवचयनो बहविद्याध्रुरियो वेदाभ्यासप्रयासो परिषदिविजये यः छ्वतः पषिबतेत्षः। बागादे घाहुपेताः हुनिएबमतयः संघशो बस्य ज्रिख्या: मोमाबातर्बविद्यास्युतिश्रिखरमति प्रौढमघ्यापयक्ति । ? : ः बतुजोडस च पोबरीक्याष्बनमश्रविदितः झुतोबयेन।
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 मुरारिपोताकरकस्टेवनाराबघमोषतिकेश्रवाखाः।

मुराहि पूथार्जितविद्धरोणिती सुराटिराषोव् श्युति मौकियाएनः।


लित्वाषारप्रदोपोडयं वसिंद्षेय प्रयोबते। २? ।
MS. Folios 1-2.
(b). The Geneelogy of Gadädhara Rāyagura as given in his AcairaSāra:

मोतियम्यक्यतः सराय्युखरोत्वाधिकारी चुधो।


वेदान्तारिसमक्षम्र
नस्य झार्षंवरोงविदवविद्यू्ट् बाशितविद्यांखः।

मषबानसुखोर्ववाणवयव्बों च योवर्बंब्् । ₹।
धोरेगान् बवितोंड़ापितम हास ाश्रनेषूपमाए्
संब्याप्याज्वरितो विक्षाय घगदप्रतान् किजांबान् बधार्।
M. M. Chakravarti - Language arde Literuturd of Orisga. [No. \&,
 विप्रादीणपि इाजसूयवकिवं बौधिकिरं यो यक्षः॥ है। यो गो काग्वर राजगुर्वभिध्धा सातः चितो चोहरे-
छुघ्पाय चिवितिपे्बरे भूपतिना पिष्येख सम्भाषितः।

领 $+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t 18$
यध्वायव्वरमो यमेन्बर हति घाता एत्र परिध

चता राजगुरर्गदाधरसुध्धोराचारबारामिषं
पन्यंय प्रारमते सयुत्ति $+t+t+t+$ चारकं $\|$ थ.
Ācāra-Sāra ends with the following couplet:-
 प्रहमगधावपनि उदमूटे या या बजूवः स्रों :।
श्रोमान् राजगुखर्गदाधरसधीस्तसात्सः कौशिको यन्न्यं संश्ययाभं रचितवान।चारसारा भधं ॥ ? !
(To be continued.)

## INDEX

TO

## JOURNAL OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL,

Vol. LXVI, Pabt I, Nos. 1-4; 1897.

Abbās Shāh, of Persia, his menagerie, 170.

A bdageses, coins of, 139 ff.
Ab-i-Gerger, irrigation channel near Shushtar, 179.
Ab-i-Shür, stream in Persia, 178.
Acāra-pradipa, 338.
Acàra-Sāra, 844.
Açōkr :
, converted to Buddhism by Upagapta, 80.
——, details of his pilgrimages with Upagapta, 81.

Inscription of, from Paderia in
the Nepalese Terai, 81 fif.
——, reborn as a Nāga, 83.
Acyuta, name of a king mentioned in Samudragupta's Allahabad Inscr., 302.

- coins of, 302 ff .

Aditi, her earrings carried away by Naraka, 107.
Agni Vaiçvāuara, meaning of the word, 88.

A hichatra, ancient kings of, 303 ff .
Ahirama, king of Orisea, 13.
Ahirvārā, Estate of Dhulip Simgh in Rājpūtānā, 276.
Ahmad Shāh, a regnal name of Quțbu-d-dīn Sultā̀n of Gujarāt, 142.
Ajit Simigh, son and successor of Jai Simgh, 277.
———, coin assigned to him, 282.
Akbar, däm of, from Mānikpur Mint, 134.
, awards a Manfab to Jagmãl, chief of Bānswārā, 167.
Akbar II. of Dehli, coins struck in his name, 263, 265, 270, 271.
Amarakōça, MS. of, in Nepal, 313.
$\Delta \mathrm{mbä}$, queen of Virabāha in Prägjyōtisa, 290, 294.
Amūrtarajas, son of Kupa, founded Prägjyōtiṣa, 108.

Anantavarman : Kalinga King, coing of 145.

Ananta-Vāsudēva; his temple in Orissa, 333.

Indar Parganã, dialect of, 196, 204 ff.
Andhra, anciant country of, 101.
———, a degraded race according to the Ait. Brähmaṇa, 93.
Anga, name of eponymous King, 90 ff.
$\longrightarrow$, ancient country of, 94 ff .
-_, traces of un-Aryan customs among them, 93.
Angi vide Ariha.
Angal, district in Orisse, coins from, 144.
Aniyajkabhima, 13.
Aparānta vide Sindh.
Apratihata, an epithet, ocourring on ooin of Kadphises 1I., 139.
Arā, dialect of, 196, 204 ff .
Ariha, eighth descendant from Püra, married Angí or Āクgi, 95.
Arka-Māhātmya, date of, 333.
Așta-Sāhasrikā Prajñā-Pāramitā, MS. of, 122.

Avacatnuka, name of ancient country, mentioned in Ait. Brāhmaṇa, 97.
Avagraha sign, occurrence of, in Āsām Iuscriptions, 115, 287.
Ayōdhy $\bar{a}$, ancient connection between $A$. and A Yga, 96.
Azes, coin of, 2.

Bägar, country in Rājpūtāna, 164 ff. Bägh-i-Wahsh, near Işfahān, 170.
Bahādurgañj, capital of Ahïrvārā, 276.
Bahādur Shāh, Sulț̄̄n of Gujarāt, 165 ff . 267, 273.
Bahmanī coins, 144.
Baijā Bāi Ṣāhibab, of Gvāliyār, coins of 263.

Bajrangarh Mint, coins from, 275 fif.
Bakhtiārī Hills, 170 fí.
Bäl, in District Sāran, dialeot of, 186, 204 ff.
Balavarman, King of Asam, 119, 285 ff.
[285, Nowgong Plate of, 118, 285 ff.
Balbhadar Simgh of Raghogarh in Mālvā, 275.

Bali, father of eponymons kings Anga, etc., 90.
——, his queen Sudēṣṇa, 90.
Ballià Zilla. dialect of, 196, 204 ff.
Balvant Simgh, of Raghugaph in Mālvã, 275 ff.
Bani Thani, name of Rasik Biliāri, courtesan of Poet Nägari Dās vide Rasik Bihārī.
Bānswārā, Separation of, from Dūngarpur State in Kājpûtānā, 164 ff.
Baptiste, General, overthrows Durjan Lāl, 276.

-     - , Rupee coined by him at Ciopur, 265.
, cnptures Bajraygarh, 277.
Bārahgā̄̃́, dialect of, 196, 204 ff.
Barkhā $k \bar{e}$ kavitta, a Hindi poem, 66.
Basana, later Kuşana King, coin of, 5 fi.
- , his date, 6.

Bāsōdã coins, 271.
Benares Grant of Vaidyadēva of Käma. rūpa, 121.
Bengali, influence of, on modern Oriyā, 325.

Besarh, identical with ancient Vaiçāli, 90.

Bhagadatta, King of Prāgjyōtisa, son of Naraka, 104 ff., 117, 287 ff.
Bhägalpur, identical with ancient Campä, 95.

Bhartpur, coins from, 269, 271.
Bhima, his tour in conquering Karnas of Anga, 94, 101.
Bhöjadēva-Saற்graha, astrological work, MS. of, in Nepal, 313.
Bhōpīl, coins from, 270.
Birch-bark MSS., fragments of, from Central Asia, 214, 218.
Bistajan, Persian village, 170.
Bomford, T., article by, on Pronominal adjuncts in Lavgnage spoken in Westeri and Southern Panjab, 146 fi.
Books, preparation of, in Orissa, 328 fir.
—, worshipped on certain festival days in Orissa, 330.
Bower MS., locality where found, 214 ff., 238.

23 , date of, 245.
Brahmapäla, king of Asām, 117 ff .
Brahmapatra river, ancient course of, 105.

Brahmapatra river, mentioned in Nowgong plate, 288 ff.
Brahmëgrara temple, in Bhuvanęquara, 16 fi.
Brāhmi Alphabet, difference between Northern Indian (Gupta) Brāhmi and Central Asian Brähmí, 215 fí

## , Northern Indian (Gup

ta) Brähmi, 244 fif.
Buddha, various names of, occurring in a MS. from Central Asia, 233.

- images of, on Kaṣaṇa coins, 800 ff.
Buddhism, time of its introdaction to Kuchar, 256.
259., adopted by Uighar Tarks, 259.

Bündi, coins from, 267.
——, lotus devise or coins from, 284.
Burma, Buddhists of B. worship Upegupta, 76 fi.
Burn, R., article by, on the Bakhtiari Hilks, an itinerary of roed from Isfahān to Shushtar, 170 ff.
Mint, article by, on the Bajrangayh Mint and Coins, 875 ff.

Cālastambha, IKing of Asām, 118, 289, , 293.
Çalya, King of Madra, his contest with Karpa, $\theta 3$.
Çămäyikì, misspelt for Cyämdyikī, n. pr. of wife of Brahmin, mentioned in Nowgong Plates, 292, 296.
Cambhukara-gräddha-padhati, 887.
Campā, later name of capital of Anga Kingdom, 94 ff.
Campakairanya, the Pandit's name for modern Champaran, on coins, 809.
Cänavatyas, a false reading for Gōpèvatyas, 102.
Candēlla Dynasty, coins of, 306 ff.
Candrasēna, ancient prince of Vange, 97.

Caraka, original work, identical with parts of Macartney MSS., 247.
Caubār Parganā, dialect of, 196, 203 ff.
Gavarapala, n. pr. of Brahmin mentioned in Gauhati Plates, 126, 131.
Cayani-pandita, meaning of, 336.
Cēdi vide Gāngēyadēva.
Central Asia, MS8. from, 218 fif.
-, peculiarity in pagination of M88. from, 227.
——, non-Sanskritic MSS. from, 228.
MSS, string-hole on left side of leaf in MSS. from, 244.
Central Asian Brāhmi, 215 ff.
Cont origin of, 257.

Cearravarti, M. M., article by, on Language and Literature of Orissa, 317 fi.
Camparan vide Cempakāranya.
Charchan, town in Chinese desert, 255.
Chauhān Thikare, Khici branch of, 275.
Chicacole, 99.
Chinese writing, in M88. from Central Asia, 230, 252.
Christianity, in Central Asia, 258 ff.

Cina, meaning of, 105.
Giopar, coin trom, 285.
Cirand, dialect of, 198, 204 fi.
Civasimh, author of Hindi work Sarōj, his views on Nāgarí Dàs quoted, 63 fi.
Club-devise, on Bajrangarh coina, 284.
Codaganga, 13, 332 ff.
Coing, New Hindū and Mabammadan, 133 fi.
1 fi., 208 fif.
-, of unknown or uncertain meaning, 305, 309.
Cossye vide Kansai.
Cräddha-Dipa, 841.
Grutidhara, n. pr. of Brahmin mentioned in Nowgong Plates, 292, 296.
Cuddhi-Candrikā, 336.
Çaddhi-vidhāna-ఫ̧ikā, 387.

## Dīmalipta vide Tïmalipta.

Dancing girls, Buddhist Soulptures of, from Mathurä, 72.
Dangai Pargaña, in Distriot Sāran, Dialeot of, 196, 207 ff .
Dàa, Bābü Rädhäkrspa, his views on Nägarī Däs quoted, 65 fí.
Datiyà, coin of, 266.
Danlat Rāo of Gvāliyār, coins of, 283, 273.
Déçapäla, n. pr. of Brahmin mentioned in Ganhafi Plates, 127, 131.
Dëvadhara, n. pr. of Brahmin mentioned in Nowgong Plates, 290, 296.
Dëwhäpäri boliz, explained, 196.
Dhonkal 8imgh of Jainagar, 277.
Dignmmà, a river in Åsam, 122, 127, 132, 288 ff.
Dih-i-Diz, Persian village, 175.
Dih-i-Khard, Persian village, 171.
Dirghatamas or -tapas, a Rsi, story of, 90, 91.
Divyasimba-Mahāpätra, author of Çräd-dha-Dipa and Kâla-Dipa, 341.
Divyávadãna, story of Acōka's pilgrimages in, quoted, 80 fi.
Drake, J., translation by, of Story of Prodigal Son into Kurku Langage, 192 If.

Dūngarpar State in Rājpūtānã, 164 fi.
Dupalän, Persian villnge, 174.
Durjan Läl, agent of Bulvnut Simgh at the court of Sindia, 276.
Dutr, G. N., article by, on Dialects spoken in the Dietrict of Sāran, 194 fi.
Dvīradēra, king of Orissa, 12 fi.

$\bar{E}$and ai, forms of letters, in Central Asian Brähmï, 215.
Eastern India, ancient conntries of, 85 fit
Ekāmra-Cundrikà, date of, 333.
Ekàmra-Purāna, date of, 333.
English Langnage, inflaence of, on modern Oriyā, 323.
Era, of Nirväpa, date in, 315.
Gadēdhara-Rāyagaru, anthor of AcāraSära, 344.
——, his genealogy, 344, 347.
Gägrūn or Gagrār, in Mälvã, original settlement of Khioi branch of Chanhān family, 275.
Gapapati, coin of, 304.
Gandaki river, 87.
Gandumkal, Persian village, 174.
 305 ff .
Gauhałi Copper-Plate Grant of Indrapäla, 113 ff.
Ghät Karci, name of a mosque, 166 ff.
Ghasnih coins, 187 ff.
Girivraja, nnoient name of Rajagtha, the capital of Magadhan 86.
Gītapañcāçikā, MS. of, in Nepal, 814.
Godfrey MSS., 225 ff.
Göhad, coins from, 268.
Göndì Language, Vocabalary of, 185 ff.
Gōpds, aboriginal tribe, 185.
-, possess no words of their own for 'bow' and 'arrow,' 190.
Gōvindacandra, Räthōr of Kananj, coins of, 306.
Grimbson, G. A., article by, on the Käpmirì Consonantal System, 180 ff.
Pon , statement by, on the Hindi Poet Nãgarí Dăs, quoted, 63.
Güda-i-Balütak, Persian village, 176.
Gügird, Persian village, 178.
Gujarat, Mubammadan Kings of, their coins, 141 ff.
Guna-Karanda-Vyūha, dialogaes between Açōka and Upagupta, 80.
Gvāliyär, coins of, 262 ff.
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {AIG, }}$ w., article by, on Comparative Vocabalary of the Gōņ̣ī and Kōlāmi Languages, 185 ff .
Hàji 'Alic Quli Khān, Sartip of Bakh. tiàrì cavalry, $\mathbf{1 7 2}$.

Haldi-kasa, Oriyà word, meaning of, 329.

Häpyöma, name of ancient District in Asām, mentioned in Gauhaṭi Plates, 122, 126, 130.
Harēkrgna Dēva, King of Puri and Khordā, his date, 344.
Haripäla, n. pr. of Brahmin mentioned in Gauhati Plates, 126, 131.
Harjjara, King of Asām, 119, 290, 294.
Härüppécuara, name of a place in ancient Asām, mentioned in Nowgong Plates, 291, 295.
Hèpsivä, name of a district in ancient Asaim, mentioned in Nowgong Plates, 291, 295.
Hindi, influence of, on Oriyä, 322.
Hindūs, dialect spoken by, in District Sãran, its difference from Muhammadan Dialect, 197, 208 ff.
Hişär Mint, gold coin of Timūr from, 135.

Hislop, 8., anthor of Vocabulary of Gōṇ̣i and Kōlāmi Languages, quoted, 185.

Hinen Tsang, statement by, on Chinese Desert, 255.
———, on the Langnage of U.cha, modern Orissa, 319.
Hoernle, A. F. R., article by, on Ganhați Copper-plate Grant of Indrapäla, 113 fi.
, article by, on some
New or Kare Hindū and Mubammadan Coins, 133 ff.
, article by, on three further collections of Ancient MSS. from Central Asia, 213 ff.
, article by, on Coins of Native States, 261 ff . article by, on Nowgong Copper-plate Grant of Balavarman of Prägjyōtisa in Āsām, 285 ff.
Hōrī kē Kavitta, Hindi poem, 68.
Huviąka, coins of, 2 ff.

Iksvākn, descent from, claimed by Kings of Vaiçāli, 90.
Indo-Bactrian coins, 1 ff.
Indōr, coins from, 272.
Indrapāla, King of Prägjyōtiş in Asām, Garhaţi Grant of, 113 ff.
Ink, preparation of, in Orissa, 330.
Inter-marriage, laxity of rales concerning I . in ancient times, 91 ff .
Interpunctation Marks, in Macartney MS8., 247.
Irrigation, mode of, in modern Persia, 173.

Irvinr, W., article by, on Nādir Shāh and Muhammad Shāh, a Hindi poem by Tilōk Dās, 24 ff.
Isfahān, road from to Shushtar, 170 ff., 179.

Isfandiar Khän, Ilbegi of Bakhtiäris, 171 ff.
Isägarh, coin from, 206.
Jagannāth, temple of, in Puri, 832.
Jagmāl, a chief of Bānswārā, 165 ff.
Jainagar, another name for Bajrangarh, 275.

Jaipar, coins from, 269.
Jai Simgh, of Bajrangarh, coins of, 275.
Jajpur, identical with ancient Virajatîrtha, Birajā-kṣętra, 99.
Jaläln-d-din Mahammad Shäh of Bengal, gold coin of, 133 fi.
Janaka, a title of Vidēha Kings, 89.
Janakpur, supposed capital of Vidēha, 89.
Jaŋkū Rāo of Gvāliyār, rupee of, 263, 271.

Jãorā, coins from, 268.
Jarāsandha, King of Magadha, 94.
Jayamāla, King of Åām, 119, 290, 294.
Jayavarman, Candēlla King, coins of, 807.

Jiyāji Rāo, coins of, 263 fir., 273.
Jödhpar, coins from, 272.
Julfa, suburb of Işfahān, 170.
Kaçēra, Traşţp's daughter, seized by Naraka, 107.
Kaçmir, visited by Upagupta, 80.
Käçmirí, consonantal system of, 180 fi.
Kadaphes, coin of, 300.
Kadphises II., coin of, 133.
Kàla-Dipa, 341.
Kalinga, eponymous King, 90 ff.
$\longrightarrow$, ancient country of, 98 ff.
Kalingapatam, 99.
Kāmarūpa, ancient country of, 108, 289, 293.

Kanişka, coin of. 2.
Kansai, modern river, identical with ancient Kapiçā, 98.
Kapiçā, ancient river, 98.
Kapila-Saminitã, modern Pauranic work, date of, 834.
Karana, meaning of, 295 note.
Karuli, coins from, 270.
Karmānga-paddhati, 338.
Karan, Persian river, 174.
Käshgar, struggle between Muhammadans and Buddhists in, 256.
Käsi, name of a hamlet (pàfaka) in Hāpyōma District of ancient $\AA$ sām, mentioned in Gauhati Grant, 122, 126, 127, 130, 182.

Kasmar, in Säran District, dialect of, 196, 204 ff.
Kapfa-haraya-parvata, ancient name of Monghyr, 95.
Kauçảmbī, ancient dynasty of, 303.
Kauciki-kaccha, meaning of, 101.
Kuva Rukh, Persian village, 171.
Kësadurā, a plant; its leaves used for preparing ink in Orissa, 330.
Khaṇ̣ann-khaṇda-khādya, MS. of in Nepal, 314.
Khunvápäri bolī, 195, 196, 199 ff.
Khariji, Persian village, 172.
Kharösthi script, instance of, ranning from left to right, 189 fi.
Khotan, MSS. from, 237 ff.
--, their date, 254 ff.
Kikata, ancient name of Magadha, 86.
Kirāta, meaning of, 101, 105, 108 fi.
Kiria, a place near Khotan, 255.
Kirttivarman, Candēlla King, coins of, 306.

K $\mathbf{6} 0$, coins from, 271
Kölāmì Langarge, Vocabulary of, 185 ff .
Köläms, aboriginal tribe in Central Provinces, 185.
Köuārka Temple, in Orissa, date of, 333.

Kорри = $k \overline{u ̄ p a ~ ' ~} \mathrm{\imath}$ well,' 293, 297.
Kötah, coins from, 267.
—, showing lotus-devise, 284.
Krspa, his wars with Naraka, Mara, etc., 107.

Krạnagarh State in Rājpūtānā, Genealogy of Chiefs of, 67 fir
Krṣ̣a Paṇdit, author of Prākpta-candrikā, 319.

Kuanya, name of Fstate in Rājpūtānā, 165.

Kuäriha bobli, 196, 199 ff.
Kuchar, MSS. from, 213 fif, 287, 239.

- , their date, 286.
——, Buddhism introduced to, 256.
Küh-i-Gerra, in Persia, 172 fr.
Küh-i-Rang, in Persia, 171.
Kukknțārāma, monastery near Päṭaliputra, 80.
Kumārapāla of Bohar dynasty, grandson of Vigrahapāla III., 121.
Kuñjavihāra-nätaka, MS. of, in Nepal, 314.

Kurku Langnage, Version of Story of Prodigal Son in, 199 ff.
Kurkus, a Kolarian tribe in the Central Provinces and Berar, 192.
Kuqana (Kuşān), coins of, 2 fi., 800 ff.
Labāri, name of place in Persia, 178.
Laghukālacakra-tikā, MS. of, in Nepal, 315.

Lakhnan, coins from, 272.
Lēkhani, 'writing stylus,' in Orissa, 329.
Lob-Katak, town in Chinese desert, 255. Lob Nor, 255.
Lōmapāda, descendant of Anga, 92, 96.
Lotus-devise on coins from Bajrajgarh, Kötah and Būndi, 284.
Lumbini grove, Aģöka's visit to, 81.

M, Central Asian Brāhmi form of letter, : 16.
Macartney MSS., 237 ff.
——, date of, 245.
Madanavarman, Candella King, coins of, 307.

Mādhōjī Rão of Bhōpāl, 276.
Mädhōji Sindia, his war with Raghngaph, 276.

Mädhō Rāo I. of Gvāliyār, coin of, 263.
Magadha, ancient conntry of, 88.
Mägadhi, the sapposed origin of Oriyघ Langrage, 817.
Maghaiwã Dōms, 197.
M, dialect spoken by, 209 ff .
Māhātmyas, composed in Orisea, 332.
Ma-, their date, 832.
Mahēndra mountain, situation of, 98.
Majhanwā Parganá in Motihari, dialect of, 199 ff .
Mājhī in Säran, dialect of, 204 ff .
Mälädhara, n. pr. of Brahmin, mentioned in Nowgong Plates, 292, 296.
Mal Amir, a place in Persia, 177.
Māl Mustafā, Persian village, 177.
Mālini or Mälina, ancient capital of Aдga, 94.
Mandösar, coins from, 268.
Mānibhadra, story of his visit to Buddha, in M8. from Central Asia, 242.
Mänikpür Mint, akbar dàm from, 184.
Mära converted by Upagupta, 79.
Marco Polo, journey of, in Ohinese De. sert, 255.
Mäthava Vidēgha, 86 ff.
Mathurā, Buddhist Monastery in, 78.
—_, cave in, 79.
Mandgalyāyana, Stüpa of his remains, 82.

Mēghēçrara, temple of, in Bhavanēp̧vara, 13 ff .
$\longrightarrow$ —— Inscription from, 11 fi.
origin of, as related in the Akāmra-Purāpa, 14 ff., 333.
Mēkala, ancient country of, 101, 110.
Mēwār, coin from, 273.
Mihirakula, coin of, 7.
Mithila, capital of Vidēha, 89.
Mödägiri, the modern Monghyr, an ancient mention of, 94.

Moggallipntta Tissa, in Ceylonese books, perhaps another name of Upagupta, 77.

Mudita-knvalayäçva-nāṭka, MS. of, in Nepal, 314.
Mubammadans, dialect npoken by, in District Säran, different from speech of Hindūs, 197, 208.
Mubammad Shah, Hindī poem on, 24 fi.
Müladēva, King of Orises, 13.
Mura, a Dànava, slain by Krsna, 107.
Maräri-Miçra, auther of PráyaqcittaManōhara, 344.
Muzafiar III., Sulfitn of Gujarāt, coins of, 142 ff .

N, different forms of letter, in North Eastern Nägari, 116.
Nādir Shāh, Hindi poem on, 24 fif.
Näga coin, 304.
Nāgarī Dās, Hindī poet, 63 fir
Nagēndra, coins of, 7.
Naghūn, Persian village, 172.
Nānakshāhi coins, 272.
Nanda and Upananda, two Naga Kings, converted by Mandgalyayana, 82.
Naraka, a Dañava, mythical King of Prägjyötişa in Asäm, 107, 117 fi., 289, 293.

Narasimha Vājapēyi, author of Acārapradipa, 338.
, genealogy of, 339, 346
Naravar, coins from, 267, 273.
Naţa and Bhatţ, two merchants, founders of great monastery in Mathara, 78.
Native States, coins of, 261 ff.
Naṭuās, 197.
$\longrightarrow$, dialeot spoken by, 212.
Navanagar, a tributary State of Junāgarh, coins of, 148.
Navja-Vardhamēna, an author on Smpti, in Orissa, 340.
Nepal; Palm-leaf MSS. from, 810 ff .
Nimi, descent from, olaimed by Videha Kings, 89.
Nirvāp̄-Era, date in Nepalese M8., 315.
Non-Sanskritic language, in Cental Asian M88., 233 ff.
Nouns, differences in, as nsed by males or females in Säran dialect, 198.
Nowgong Grant of Balavarman, 118, 285 ff.
Numerals, scarcity of, in Gōpdi and Kölēmī Languages, 191.
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}_{\text {dra vide }}$ Udra.
Odras, a degraded race according to Manu, 93.

Orchā vide Tehari.
Orissa = Ödradēça, 103.
——, langaage of, 317 fi.
Or-, Sanskrit Literature of, 331 I.
Oriyā. language, modern dialects of, 223 f.
$\longrightarrow$, writing and books, 328 f.

Pabhōsā Inscriptions, quoted, 808.
Paolak Parganā, dialect of, 196, 205 fr.
Pāla Dynasty of Asaam, not connected with Päln's of Behar, 121.

Palm-leaf MSS. fragments of, from Central Asia, 814, 818.
—, from Nepal, 810 ff .
——, preparation of, for writing parposes, in Orisse, 328.
Pandia, M. V., article by, on the antiquity of the poet Nagari Dā and his concubine Rasik Bihāri alias Bạ̣i Thani, 63 ff .
( BE , article by, on Separation of Bānswārà from Düngarpur State in Rājpūtānā, 164 ff.
Panjäbi, Pronominal Adjuncte in, 146 fir.
Paper MSS., from Central Asia, 214, 218 ff., 227 fi.
Paramardidēva, Candalla King, coins of, 807.

Pargiter, F. F.. article by, on ancient countries in Eastern India, 85 ff.
Parsurãm, Rāja of Bāgar, 165 fif.
Partap Singh, Rāwal of Mālwã, 167.
Päfaka 'hamlet,' 130.
Pāṭaliputra, Upagapta's hermitage there, 80.

Paṭiālà, coins from, 272.
Patna Diatrict, Parganās Manār and Phulwārā, dislect spoken in, 196, 204 fir
Paundras, a degraded race according to Ait. Brāhmap̣a and Mann, 98.
Paghmarda, a Plateau in Persia, 174.
Perron. General, commands forces of Daulat Rāo, 276.
Petroffski MSS. from Central Asia, in St. Petersbarg, 228, 240.
Phäga Bihāra, Hindī poem, 66.
Phurani, meaning of, in Oriya, 329.
Pima, a town in Chinese desert, 255.
Piṭha, a Dānave, slain by Krṣna, 107.
Pradhāns (Pāţhā̃), aboriginal tribe in Central India, performing certain offices for the Gönḍ, 185.
Prägjyötisa, ancient kingdom of, 104 fir.
——, ancient city in Asām, the capital of Dānāva King Naraka, 107 If., 120, 123, 128, 289, 293.

Präkrtacandrikā, quoted, 319.
Pralambha, father of Harjjara, King of Ásàm, 119.
Pra-suhmas = Pra-с̆б̆ая, 108.
Prāyaçcitta-Manōharar 844.
Prāyaçitta-Vilōcana, 842.
Prithviraja, chief of Düngarpur, 164 fi.
Prthivivarman, Candēlla King, coing of, 307.

Pul-i-'Imārat, Persian village, 175.
Pulindas, 109.
Punch-marked coins, 298.
Puṇdra, eponymous King, 90 ff.
Pundra and Panndra, ancient country of, 99 ff .
Purandarapäla, King of Asām, 116 fi.
Puruşōttama-Mābātmya, date of, 882.
Qil'a-i-Mädar-Shāh, ruined fort in Persia, 176.

Qutabu-d-din, Sultān of Gujarāt, copper coins of, 141 f.
$\boldsymbol{R}$, forms of letter, in North-IRastern Nāgari, 116.
Raghugarh, foundation of, 275.
Raghunandana, date of, 338.
Raghnvamga, Kálidäsa's poem, quoted in Nowgong Plates, 288.
Kāh Dārī, Persian village, 178.
Rajagrha, ancient capital of Magadha, 86.

Räapnra, anoient capital of Kalinga, 99.
Rājarāja, of Kalinga, 18.
Rama-Pāla of Behar, M8. dated in his reign, 122.
Rēmāyaņ, ancient countries mentioned in, 96.
Rānā Sāngả of Citōr, 164 ff.
Rapti, river, identified with Sadānirä, 87.
Reaik Bihāri alias Bani Thañi, concubine of Poet Nägari Dās, 68 fi., 74.
Ratan Sī, Rānā of Oitōr, 168 fl.
Batlam coins, 871.
Ratnapäla, King of Āsam, 117 ff .
quoted, copper-plate Inscription of, quoted, 116, 119.
Ratti, Pargana in District Mafaflarpar, dialect spoken in, 207 ff.
Rayacryga, 95.
Būā Hūd, Persian river, 178.
Sabaktagin, coins of, 137.
Sadä-nirâ, name of a river, mentioned in Çat. Brāhm. (story of Mäthava Vidêgha), 87.
Safid Küh, in Persia, 172.
Sāgar, 275 fi.
Sälastambha vide Çālastambha.

Balèmpar Majhanti, Parganā, dialect apoken in, 196 ff., 199 ff.
Sallaksanavarman, Candēlla King, coins of, 307.
Salūmba, coins of, 272.
Samar Sī, Rāwal of Mālwē, 168.
Samudrasona, ancient prince of Vanga, 97.

Sanskrit Literature in Orissa, 381 ff.
Sanskrit, mized form of, in Macartney M8S.; 247.
Ori, Post-Vedic, infinence of, on Oriyā, 320.
Säran District, boundaries of, 194.
$\longrightarrow$, Parganās of, 194 ff. spoken in, 194 ff
Sardāb, Persian river, 174.
Sarkhün, Persian village, 174.
Säsani Brahming, in Orissa, descent of 318.

Saukhyäyikä, n. pr. of Brahmin's wife, mentioned in Ganhaṭi Plates, 124, 131.
Saurāştra, coins of, 9.
Saureae, meaning of, in Ptolemy's Geography, 821.
S童vat-Simh, Mehōrāja of Krspagarh, identical with Hindi Poet Nägari Dās, 67.
Sēōrhā or Sarōrā, in Bundelkhand, coin of, 265.
Shāhābād District, Dialect spoken in, 196, 204 ff.
Shāh 'Alam, regnal date of, on coing from Native States, 267, 268, 269, 271, 274.

Shähpar, coin from, 265.
Shalamzär, Persian village, 172.
Shamsābād, Persian village, 171.
8hastri, H. P., article by, on Palm-leaf MSS. from Nepal, 310 fir.
Shat Band, lake in Persia, 177.
Shēr Singh, his wars with Sindia, 276.
Shi'ah form of Muhammadan creed on gold coin of Timür, 187.
Shikaft-i-Sulaimān, ruins of, in Persia, 177.

Shushtar road from, to Işfahän, 170 ff .
Siarmarwās, ('jackal hnnters') a wandering tribe in N.-W. Provinces, 19

$$
\text { , dialeot spoken by, } 211 .
$$

Sidhwā Jobnāhā Pargaṇā, dialect of, 186, 199 fir
Simá, meaning of, 233 note.
Sindh (A parānta), Upagapta stays there, 79 ff.
Sindia, British wars with, 276 fir.
Sipri, coins from, 265.
Sipäh bobli, 196, 199 ff.
Sisodia clan of Rajpats, 164.
Sītal, village in Rájpūtānā, 166 ff.
Skandapurạ̄a, MS. of, from Nepal, 314.

Smitr, V. A.; artiole by, on Numismatic Novelties, Ancient and Mediæral India, Part I., 1 fir. ditto, Part II., 298 ff.
Smrti-Dīpikā, 345.
Smpti-Sāra-Samgraha, 341.
South-Indian Coins, 144 ff.
Sphūrjji-dhvaja, mentioned in Colophon of Yavanajataka, 311 fir.
Stūpa, of Maudgalyāyana, 82.

- in Kuchar, the place from where the Bower and Weber MSS. were dug out, 240.
Subähu, king of Pulindas and Kirātas, 109.

Sudēspià, queen of Bali, mother of eponymous kings Anga, Vanga, Kalinga, Puṇdra and Suhma, 90.
Suhma, eponymous king, 90 ff.
——, ancient country of, 10\%.
_, identical with Çōna, 102.
Suli, ancient name of Käshgar, 260.
Sultān Satuk Bughra Khān, Uighar Tribes brought together by him, 256.
Suramā, queen of King Rājarāja, 13.
Sūta-vipaya, name of tract near Camp, 95. Svapnēęvara Dēva, King of Orissa, Inscription of, 11 ff.

T, forms of final letter, 286 ff .
Takla Makan desert, 255 ff.
Tälimata, legend on coins, meaning of, 299.

Tamālikā, Tamālinā, Tāmalipta, ancient country of, 102.
Tamlak vide Tämalipta.
Tämra-lipta vide Tāma-lipta.
Tangana, forest tribe, 109.
Tāranätha's History of Buddhism, account of Upagupta, quoted, 77 fi.
Taxila, coins of, 298.
Tehari, coins from, 267.
T'ëjpur Grant of Vanamāla, 118 fr.
Telagn, influence of, on Oriyă, 321, 326.
Theophilos, Indo-Bactrian King, coins of, 1 .
Tibetan writing in Macartney MSS., 251 ff.
Tilōk Dās, anthor of Hindi poem on Nādir Shāh and Muhammad Shāh, 24 ff .
Timūr, gold coin of, 134 ff .
Trailökyavarman, Candēlla, King, coins of, 308.
Tuñjina, coin of, 6.
Tyãgasiddha, Āaàm King, descendant of Çălastambha, 119.

Udaisingh, Chief of Bōgar in Rājpūtānā, 164 ff .

Udayamaya, Son of Atri, 97.
Udayana, Poet who composed the Mëghēçvara Inscription, 12.
Udra, ancient conntry of, 103 ff .
Ugrasain, Rāwal of Mālwà, 167.
Uighar, tribes of Tarks, 258.

- writing in Macartney MSS., 251 ff.
Ujjain, coins from, 266, 304.
Undi vide Bahādurgañj.
Upagu (Oopagooh), popular Saint in Burma, 83.
U, festival in honor of, 84.
Upagupta, fourth Baddhist Patriarch and High Priest of Açōka, 76 ff.
Urdū, influence of, on Oriyā, 322.
Utkala, ancient country of, 110.
- Vaicali, ancient kingdom of, 89 fr.

Vaidyadēva, King of Kāmarūpa, Benares Grant of, quoted, 121.
Vaiş̣avāmptasārōddhāra, MS. of, in Nepal, 314.
Väjapēyin, meaning of, 836.
Vajradatta, ancient King of Prägjyōtiṣa in Asām, 117 ff ., 287 ff .
Vanamāla, King of Axsam, 119, 290, 294.
$\longrightarrow$, Tējpur Grant of, quoted, 118 ff.
Vanga, eponymous King, 90 fif.
——, ancient country of, 97 fif.
Vasu, N. N., article by, on Méghēçvara Inscription, 11 ff.
Vasu, mythical King of Girivraja, 86.
Vāsudēva, ancient King, 101.
Vāsudēva Tripāţhi, author of Prāyaç-oitta-Vilöcana, 342.
Vasuhōmu, King of Anga, 95.
Vaṭasvaka, legend ou ancient coins, meaning of, 298.
Vēlā-kūla, another name of Tāmalipia, 108.

Venetian coins, imitation of, given as nazr at Gvāliyär court, 264.
Viçambhara Miçra, author of SmptiDīpikā, 845.
Viçranātha Miçra, anthor of Smpti-SāraSumgraha, 341.
Vidēha, ancient Geography of, 86 ff.
Vidyākara.Paddhati, 335.
Vijaya, King of Asãm, 118, 289, 294.
Virabāhu, King of $\AA$ Āām, 119, 200, 294.
Virajn-tirtha, 99.
Virajā-Māhātmya, 338.
Viräma, graphic representation of, in Ganhaṭī plates, 115.
Viravarman, Candēlla King, coins of, 308.

Virasimharāma, coins of, 308.
Vip̣ayuvyavahäraka, meaning explained, 245 , note.

Tişnu-dharma-gīstra, ancient MS. of, in Nepal, 312.
Vrji, Buddhist name for Vaiçāli, 90.
$\mathbf{W a d d e l e , ~}^{\text {L. A., artiole by, on Upa- }}$ gapta, the fourth Buddhist Patriarch and High Priest of Açōka, 76 ff.
Wasmá, a chieftain of Bhils, 165.
Weber MSS., Locality from where dug up, 214 fi., 287, 238.
White Huns, coins of, 6 ff.
$Y$, Central Asian form of letter, 216. Yaças or Yashka, an Arhant, converted by Upagapta, 78.
Yavana-jataka, ancient MS. of, in Nepal, 811.

Yögaratna-samigraha, MS. of in Nepal, 313.
$Z_{\text {arda Küh, in Persia, }} 172$. Zendarūd, Persian River, 170.


[^0]:    1 The fact of the marriage is taken from Canningham (Num. Chron. for 1893, pp. 169, 170, 177), who cites Khondemir, Reluatsek's translation, II, 340. I have not been able to verify the reference.

[^1]:    1 Cunningham variously states the namber of these coins as 5 (Coins of Ancient India, p. 67); as 6 (Reports, Vol. v, p. 154); and as 7 (Coins of Ancient India, loc. cit. and Reports, Vol. xiv, p. 136). They were found along with coins of Zoilus, Vonones, Gondophares, Kanigka, and Huvipka.

[^2]:    1 Dr. Mitra's Antiquities of Orissa, Vol. II, p. 84.

[^3]:    1 The mimilar account is related in the Svaryīdri-mahödaya, Ch. 18.

[^4]:    15 Metre, Mandakrinta. Some aksaras of this are illegible.
    50 Metre, Anustubh.
    21 Metre, Cärdüla-vikridita.
    24 Metre, Harini.

[^5]:    1 Wife of Krisna. \& The Indian Cuckoo.
    \& Songs of rainy season.

    - The star Arcturus.

    6 A betel-leaf made up with a preparation of the areca nut, spices and ohunam, \&o.
    6 A farinacious powder dyed red, which the Hindus throw on each other during the indecent feativitiea and dranken frolics of the Holii.

[^6]:    1 Rockhill's Life, \&'c., p. 164. Burnouf's Intro., p. 336. Schiefner, his translation of Täranātha has omitted the word Gupta which occurs in the Tibetan text.
    \& Der Weise und der Thor., 47 chap.
    3 So a Chinese gentleman reads for me the Chinese word in Eitel's Dictionary, p. 187, and which Mr. Eitel renders 'Pāṭaliputra.'

    - Burnouf's Intro., 336.

    6 Beal's Si-yu-ki, I, p. 182 n.
    6 Rockhill's Life, \&c., p. 164. Baniyo Nanjio's History of Japanesc Buddhist Sects, 24.

    7 Beal's Si-yu-ki, II, 85, and Barnouf's Intro., 330.
    8 Cf. also Burnouf's Intro., 337 and n. 1 : Rockhill's Life, fec., 164.
    9 Or Gandhara, or Gandnmādana.
    10 Conf. also Burnouf's Intro., 337.
    11 Rockhill's Life, Scc, 164.
    18 Conf. also Rockhill and Burnouf as above.

[^7]:    1 Archael. Survey of India Repts. Vol. XVII, Plate XXXI. The sculptures represent dancing girls dancing on dwarfs, which have been sapposed to symbolize Energy acting on Matter.

    2 Beal's Fo-sho-hing-tsano-king, p. XII (Sacred Bks. of East), and in Si-yu-ki, I, p. 182

    8 Tāranātha op. cit.
    4 This place was in Aparanta in the extreme west of India, while the Knkkntārāma was in Pāṭaliputra. Conf. Schiefner's translation of Tāranātha's History, p. 18. The Tibetan word is 'bya-gag' which according to Jaeschke's Dictionary is the name of a species of water-bird or duck. And my MS. Tibeto-Sanskrit Dictionary gives the Sanskrit equivalent as Bakah, and the feminine as Näkuli.

    5 Beal's 8i-yu-ki, II, 273.

[^8]:    1 Idem.
    ${ }^{2}$ A MS. extract from the Tibetan translation of the Kälacakra (Tib. 'Das.'khor.)
    8 Beal's Si-yu-ki, II, ......

    * Preliminary Report on the Rains of Pātalipatra. Calcatta, 1892, p. 15.
    ${ }^{6}$ Beal's Bi-yu-ki, II, 88.
    ${ }^{6}$ Burnouf's Intro., pp. 338, et seq.

[^9]:    1 Burnouf's Intro., p. 887.
    2 Burnouffs Intro., p. 810.

    - Idome, p. 842

    4 See my article sent to this Society on the 11th May, 1896, ontitled a Tibetan Gwidebook to the site of Budiha's birth and death, and afterwarle pablished in more detail in the Englishman of 1st June, 1898.

[^10]:    1 Burnouf's Intro., 378 n.
    8 Beal's Si-yu-ki, II, 176.
    8 Conf. my Buddhism of Tibet, pp. 98.99.
    4 This Agöka Stūpa was visited by Hinen Tsiang (Beal's Si-yu-ki, II, 175.)
    6 Burnonf's Intro., p. 348.

[^11]:    1 Eitel's Dict., p. 187.
    2 Täranātha, fol. 11.
    8 Butsu dso dsui, p. 151.
    4 Conf. my Buddhism of Tibet, p. 120.
    5 Burnouf's Intro., p. 836. And his doings at Kashmir as abore related.
    6 The Burman, his Life and Notions, by Sway Yoe, I, 272.
    7 This reference to corpses may be compared with the Mathurä incidents in his biggraphy.

[^12]:    1 It is in the light of this explanation that $I$ would interpret the curinas statement in Sabhā-p., xziz. 1078, which Dr. Mnir notices (loc. cit.), that Bhima in his conquest of Eastern India went to a julōdbhava country bordering on Himavat (tato Himavatah pärçuà̇ samabhyētya jalodbhavam). In such a connexion jalodbhava surely cannot mean " of aqueous or oceanic origin," but might well mean "reclaimed from swamp."

[^13]:    1 On the three- Plates read Indrapāla for Mahēndrapäla.

[^14]:    2 This means Plate I, line 1, reverse. $a=$ obverse, $b=$ reverse. And so on, in all references.

    8 The confasion of sibilants, as Mr. Gait informs me, has reached its climax in modern Assamese, which uses sindiscriminately for $s$, , and $f$.

[^15]:    4For further particulars, see Professor Bühler's "Indian Palæography" in the Grundriss der Indo-Arischon Philologie und Alterthumskunde.

    6 This will shortly be published by me in this Journal.

[^16]:    - In this the copper-plate grants follow the Yogini Tantra.

    7 Kaumaira, howover, would not fit the metre.

[^17]:    0 Read sudhis.
    70 Read çüsani.
    71 Read äpā.
    7 Read dupkara.
    73 This half-verse acans irregularly.
    76 Read asyäh.
    25 Bead kxilam.
    $\%$ Read bhümyäh.
    $n$ Read vakrēua.
    T Reading uncertain ; perhaps konfa.
    79 Usually Käsi.
    50 Read bhaffäraka.
    ${ }^{61}$ Read pupkaripi.
    8 Perhaps read dakệ̣a-pärçvè.
    8 Dele the duplicate $u$.
    \$4 The vowel $i$ is aftached to the lower part of the akgara $d$.

[^18]:    the wife of the sage Gintana, was directed by her hasband to conceive by the God Brahma. Her progeny was born in the form of water, and placed by the sage in the middle of four mountains, where it grew into a lake. In its waters Paragnrama cleansed himself from his sin of matricide, which done, he out with his axe a channel, through whioh the waters flowed into India in the form of a river. The presumption is-though the story does not say so - that the lake and river are called lauhitya 'red,' 'bloody,' from Paraçuräma having washed off his bloody stains in its waters. It may be noted, however, that, according to our legend, it was the slaughter of the Kşatriya kings from which Paraçurama oleansed himself in the lake. According to the version of the Bhagavat Parana, Paraçarama formed a dreadful river with the blood of the slain Ksatriyns, and afterwards he cleansed himself in the Sarasvatī, the river of Brahmà (see J. Muir's Sanskrit Texts, Vol. 1, pp. 458, 459).

[^19]:    5 This verse is matilated and not intelligible, but from what follows below, it appears that the verse stated that Parandarapāla died withont sncceeding to the throne, and that Ratnapāla was followed on the throne by his grandohild Indrapäla.

    - One would rather expect the reading guñ-änurūpa. The name Darjayà means 'difficult to conquer.'

    7 I.e., one who is a boar (varäha) like Viṣ̣u.
    8 The reading is here rather obscure. Perhaps pratihata should be read for apratihata.

    9 On päłaka, 'hamlet,' see Indian Antiquary, Vol. XVIII, p. 185.
    10 With reference to the term vifaya or district, I may note that Mr. Gait informs me that "the local revenne officials, commonly known as Mauzăärs are also in parts still called Bisayäs or Patgiris."

    11 The word ra-kurana of the original is faulty for sa-karana, which also occurs in the Dharmapäla grant, published by Mr. Batavyal in this Journal, Vol. LXIII for 1894, p. 57 (line 48) and p. 50, footnote 16. Karana is a gynonym of Kayastha.

[^20]:    12 These terms signify various degrees of hereditary or official rank, and have no exact equivalents in English. Räja is not a 'king.' Rajjūi is literally a 'queen.' Rëya, a prakritic form of räja, is a still existing title.

    18 Upari-kara is a fiscal term : the rent or tax (kara) paid by an upari or tenant who does not reside or has no occupancy-rights in the land. See Bühler's remarks in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. VII, p. 66.

    1s The sentence, which breaks off here, is resumed in verse 5, below.
    16 The reading atipavits is not very satisfactory. The second aksara pa is indistinct.

    16 This statement of the boundaries is full of vernacular terms, which I do not fully understand.

    17 Fäna also occurs repeatedly in the Dharmapala grant published by Mr. BataVyal, who translates it with "water-course." See ante, Vol. XLIII, pp. 49, 55 (lines 33, 38). Thus we have änra-yäna (l.38) 'the road (lined) with mango-trees.' Makkhi or makkhi might be the same as the Hindi makki or makai 'Indian corn,'

[^21]:    1 For Nos. I, II, and III, see ante, Vol. LVIII, of 1889, p. 30, Vol. LIX, of 1890, p. 169, and Vol. LXII, of 1893, p. 230.
    ${ }^{2}$ The British Museam possesses a gold coin of a different (tughra) type, No. S1, in its Catalogue.

[^22]:    B It is just possible that the alif in al-timūr may belong to al-amir above, the alif of which is not very clear, and that the apparent $l$ of al-timur may be intended for $t$. This would produce the ordinary spelling Tim $\bar{x}$ without any article. Observe a similar elongated form of $t$ on the coins Nos. 27 and 30 in the British Museum Catalogue.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Carzon, Persia II c. XXIV.
    2 Stack, Sir months in Persia, gives Bizgun in his map. I believe the name means " the twonty tamarisks (aj)."

[^24]:    1 The place where tarnips abound.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word balüt is used for both. The Sartip said that the trees were mosly ohestnut (chataigne) not oak (chêne).

    2 - Two bridges.
    8 - Withered.

[^26]:    $1=$ The kernel of the acorn.
    \& Ibn Baṭūţa trans. by Rev. S. Lee, pp. 37, 88. Carson, Persia II, p. 288. De Bode, Travels in Lūristān and Arabistān II, pp. 7-12.

[^27]:    $1=$ Sulphur. According to Curzon the name is Gürgir $=$ wild ass catcher.
    2 Persia II p. 284.

[^28]:    1 These and similar references are to the Käçmiraçabdīmrta of Iqpara-kaula.

[^29]:    (a) Bamboo lever for raising water from well. (b) Road. (c) Maize; Indian corn. (d) Water jar. (e) Plate. ( $f$ ) Bracelet.
    (g) Wooden instrument for breaking corn. (h) String, cord. (i) Son-in-law. ( $j$ ) Shoes.

[^30]:    2 See Journal, A8. Soc. Bengal., Vol. LXII, page 4.
    ${ }^{3}$ The same is the case, of course, with the superscribed vowels $\bar{o}$ and $a u$; only with them, from the nature of the case, the distinction is not so clearly marked.

    4 In the Encyclopedia of Indo-Aryan Research.

[^31]:    5 Raised numbers indicate lines. Thus IV ai means the second line on fragment $a$, belonging to No. 4 on Plate VII.

    6 See detailed proof in my paper on the date of the Bower MS in Journal, A8. Soc. Beng., Vol. LX, pp. 83, ff.

[^32]:    16 See also Professor Bühler's Indische Palæographie, § 36, p. 86, on pagination.
    17 Here and sabsequently throughoat this paper, $a$ and $b$ inean obverse and reverse respectively; the raised nnmbers refer to the lines.

[^33]:    18 See Journal, A8. Soc. Beng., Vol. LXII, Part I, pp. 8, 9, 34.

[^34]:    20 This is a symbol which occurs at what seems to be the head of each fresh entry on the sheets．It reminds one of the Sanskrit symbol for öm．
    al The black spots under va and above bha，shown in the photographic facsimile， are really holes in the paper．This unlucky resalt of photography occurs also in other places，though only in the case of minate holes．Bigger holes show distinctly enough as white places．

    2 Ca is distinguished from va here，and elsewhere in these MSS．by a distinct tail on the left of the loop．By a similar tail bha is distinguished from ta or na；see the comparative table in Plate XXII．

[^35]:    28 This is the only aksara or word which has a distinctly Sanskrit sound．
    24 Over this akşara there is the mark of the vowel $i$ ，cancelled by a stroke drawn through it．

    25 See No．16，on page 230.

[^36]:    $\$ 7$ See Journal, As. Soc. Bengal, Vol. LXII, Part I, page 1 ff. I may here mentimon that, in the meantime, the Weber MSS. have passed into my own possession by purchase from Mr. Weber.
    ${ }^{28}$ See ibidem, p. 1.

[^37]:    29 See Proeeedings As. Soc. Beng., 1890, p. 221 ; Journal, As. Soc. Beng., Vol. LX, Part I, p. 93; the Geographical Journul (Rog. Geogr. Soc. of London), Vol. V, 1895, p. 255.

[^38]:    ${ }^{80}$ See Jowrnal, As. Soc. Beng., Vol. LXII, Part I, p. 31, and Plate II, fig. 3.
    ${ }^{81}$ See Journal, Imp, Russian Archæological Society, Vol. VIII, pp. 13, 17, and Plate 11, tig 8.

[^39]:    an The word astu in the fourth line, printed by Dr. von Oldenburg in italics as missing, really exists on the original leaf, and should have been printed in Roman.
    ss I may add that the same story of Mannibhadra is also contained in Part $\nabla$, of the Weber MSS., of which 8 leaves exist in that collection, and apparently one leaf in the Petroffski collection, No. 7 in Dr. von Oldenbarg's paper.

    84 See my edition of the Bower MS., p. 236.
    s5 See Series of the Pali Text Society, Part I, p. 208. This was firnt pointed out by Dr, von Oldenburg.

[^40]:    86 Professor Bühler in the Vienna Oriental Journal, Vol. VII, p. 261, points out that "numerous copperplate grants with one string-hole on the left" exist in Indis, and infers from it that manuscripts with one string-hole on the left "were once not nnknown in Indis." There is every probability that this inference is correct. For as the material (birch-bark or palm-leaf) shows, some of the Central Asian manuscripts, (e.g., the Bower MS.) must have been imported from India (see p. 258). In fact, in the case of such exported Indian manuscripts, the peculiar position of the string-hole is an additional proof of their great age. For no Indian manuscript, found in India itself, shows that position; they either show one hole in the middle, or one on either side. Even the Horiazi MS., exported from India to Japan early in the 6th cent. A.D., already shows the double hole.

[^41]:    63 Read mantrēen.
    68 Read pacyaté.

[^42]:    66 One line has a curions resemblance to Kufic, and reminds one of مصac ; but it is probably an angular form of Uighar.

[^43]:    b6 It is not chalk; at least it is tolerant of washing. I may here add that the black ink, too, in all these manuscripts, tolerates the application of a wet sponge.

[^44]:    ${ }^{60}$ This, if the langaage were Sanskrit, would point to a work in clözas.

[^45]:    57 See Yule's edition of Marco Polo, Vol. I, pp. 196-203. Also Beal's Buddhixt ccords, Vol. II, pp. 309 ff.
    ${ }^{63}$ See N. Elias' Tärikk-i.Rashidi, p. 10.

[^46]:    69 See Sir T. D. Forsyth's Report of a Mission to Yarkand, pp. 122-127 fi.
    60 Ibidem, p. 38.
    ${ }^{61}$ See Beal's Buddhist Records, Vol, I, p. lxxviii, Vol. II, p. 313, 314. Journal, As. Soc. Beng., Vol. LV, p. 197.

[^47]:    OR See N. Elias' Tärīkh-i-Rashidī, p. 96.
    ©s See Koeppen's Religion des Buldha, Vol. II, pp. 99, 100.

[^48]:    LThe Currencies of the Hindu States of Rājpūtānā, by William Wilfrid Webb, M.B., of the Indian Medical Service; illustrated by a Map and twelve Plates of Coing. Westminster, 1893.

[^49]:    1 Crooke, Introduction to Folklore of Upper India, p. 52.
    8 Malcolm, op. cit., pp. 482, 483.
    8 Prinsep's Antiquities, pl. XLVI, Nos. 55, 56 and 59; p. 67. In the plate the stalk of the lotus turns to the left, while on the coins it is to the right.
    J. I. 36

[^50]:    4 Aitchison's Treaties, Ed. 1876, Vol. III, p. 409.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibidem, pp. 409-411, Hunter (Gazetteer, Vol. VII, p. 471) says a portion of the Jägir was given to oue Ajit Simgh also.

[^51]:    1 See my paper on the Ganhatii grant, ante, p. 113.

[^52]:    8 From the Original. Unfortunately the photo-etching in many places is not as clear as one would wish.

    4 Metre of verses 1-4: Aryä.
    6 Here a small piece of the right-hand top-corner is broken off, rendering seven akparas illegible.

    6 Read amalam and below, in 2a7, yauvanam.
    7 The aksara pa of apaharatu is broken away.
    8 Read duritam vah.
    9 Read dōlāyitam.
    10 Metre of verses 5-7: Triя̧ubh, i.e., Indravajrä or Upëndravajra.
    Il The first päda of this verse has one foot (or three akşaras) in excess.
    12. Metre of verses 8-10: Aryī.

[^53]:    * The tranglation is rather free, as the varse is full of verbal conceits which are hardly translateable. Jagat means both 'world' and 'ground;' and there are three contrasts in $a$-tula and sa-tula, 'without and with equality,' viçala and krita-gäle, 'without and with room,' vicitra and saccitra, 'without and with piotares.' Ficiia means both 'without room' and 'roomy;' and vicitra, both 'without pictares' and 'gay with color, etc.'

    47 Vanamala means 'wearing a ohaplet of wood flowers' and is an epithet of Kpı̣̣a or Vişnu. There seems to be a verbal conceit intended. Jayamäle seems to be likened to his father Vanamäla, who is also described as a great devotee of Kripa or Vispn. There is moreover another verbal conceit in the juxtaposition of the words rajē and rajiva, whioh is untranslateable, the former meaning ' king,' the latter, 'lutua.'.

[^54]:    48 See ante, p. 18, footnote 10. The Visaya or (in full) Vispaya-vyävahärika would be the district officer corresponding to the modern 'Colleotor,' and the Karana or Karawa-vyävahärika would be the officers of his court or his clerks. The list enumerates the several grades of the nobility, breancracy and commonalty.

[^55]:    49 The sentence which breaks off here is resumed in verse 8 , below.
    to The Kaņa Çakbā belongs to the Vajasanēyin division of the Yajur Veda.
     of the word, which is evidently retained for the sake of the metre; for the correot Sangkrit spelling Qyämäyikayà would bave offended the Tristubh metre. The form Cyämäyikä, I may add, has not yet been noted in any dictionary; it occurs, however, also in the Ratnapala grant (see Vol. LXVII), as the name of a woman.

[^56]:    6s Koppa 'well' is a vernacular form of the Sanskrit kipa ; the modern Hindi has kuppä 'a leather bag for oil,' and (in a different modification) küd 'well.' The Jaman is the Eugenia jambolana, the Bel is Aegle Marmelos, the Banyan is Ficus bengalenois, the wave-leaved fig tree is Ficus Tjakela; and the red-silk-cotton tree is Bomban Malabaricum. I cannot identify, however, the suvarya or 'golden' Banyan; it is not noticed in any botanical or medical or other vocabulary, available to me. Perhape it should be su-parya, the 'beautiful-leaved' Banyan.

[^57]:    1 Rapson, "Two Notes on Indian Namismatics," (J. R. A. S. for 1807, p, 323).
    2 B. M. Catal. p. 124.

[^58]:    1 Epigraphia Indica, II, 243.

[^59]:    1 See Dr. Hoernle's Introd. Comp. Gramm. of the Gandian languages p. XXVII et esq.

[^60]:    1 See my article on IS. India in the 7th Oentury, Nntional Magazine, 1895.
    2 Babu N. N. Vasn's article, on "Nāgaras and the Nāgari alphabeta," Journ. As. Soc. Bengal, Vol. LXV, 1896, pt. I, p. 16.

    8 Fdited by Babu N. N. Vasa, above Vol. LXV, 1896, pp. 254-6 and vide Pro. ceedinga, November, 1897.

    4 My article, Journ. As. Soc. Bengal, Vol. LXIV, 1895, Pt. I, No. 3, pp. 136, 149-164.

[^61]:    1 Nannaya Bhatta who composed a Telaga Grammar and translated the Mahābhärata into Telagn is not later than the tenth century. See Sewell's sketch of 8. Indian dynanties, p. 18, note 1.

[^62]:    1 "Singbham-is the most polyglot district in the Lower Provinces, the Ho dialect of Mundari being the parent tongue of $2,23,031$ persons, Urisã of $1,14,402$, Bengali of $1,06,686$. Sonthali of 59,212 , Hindi of 25,867 and Korwa of 15,533 persons." O'Donnel's report on the Bengal Census of 1891, p. 236. It would be interesting to watch the farther straggle between the various vernaculars in this district.

[^63]:    1 For moat of these words I am indebted to Babu Bipra Oharn Chatterjea, the lending pleader of the Berhampur bar.

[^64]:    1 Throagh the inflaence of the Mahomedans, the speech of the Cuttack Bacar peopie has been altered. They speak in an affectod tone changing बाने to ETB
     changen of $\pi$.

[^65]:    1 Beames' Comp. Gramm, of the Mod. Aryan Langaage of India, Introduction, vol. I, pp. 65-6.

    2 See my article on the Origa inscriptions of the 15th and 16th centaries, Joarn. As. Soc. Beng., vol. lxii., Pt. I, No. 1, 1893, pp. 88-104.

[^66]:    $t^{\prime}$ Mr. Benmese Compt Gram. Indo-Aryan Langunges, Vol. F, pp. 88u0;
    2 Sir W. Hauter's History of Orisa, Vol. II, Pt. 1X, pp. 199-210.

[^67]:    1 These Puranpas do not fulfil the five lakfanas required by the Amarakōç and must therefore be later than the sizth century $\mathbf{A} . \mathrm{D}$.
    [The anpposition that the Anthor of the Amarakōes lived in the 6th Century A.D., rests merely on the well-known tradition of the nine Jewels at the court of Vikramāditye. On the date of the Amarakōç see now : Zachariae, Die Indishen Wörterbücher ( $k \delta$ oqa) in Bühler's Encyclopaedis of Indo-Aryan Beaearah, I, 3. B, page 18, Ed.]

    2 Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. LXIV., Pt. I, No. 2, pp. 180.1, 135.

[^68]:    1 According to Buruell, Madhāvācārya composed his numerous wurks between 1331 and 1386 A. D. 1. ©. Weber's Hist. Ind. Lit. p. 41 note.

[^69]:    1 पूर्वंचने बाषर दत्यक बत्मर दूति बत्रदे
    

    2 fिधितच p. 72 and same again मखमाषतन p. 325 ; बमाबास्सत्र p. 79; बाहिक्षत्ञ p. 163, 169, 170; Do. p. 179 and mame again in एकाइ्योतच
    
    

[^70]:    
     बम्बारों MS. Folio 88.

    8 Line 17 "डारेकि-स

[^71]:    
    

[^72]:    
    नन्यवे बालरीषोर्य रिखिंशे षोमता।
    
     तथसणुष्टार्य करोपि कितिव्. "

[^73]:    1 The introductory stansas and the olosing stansa are given in the Appendix.
    2 The times of Difyusimha Dēve and of Harēkpṣ̣a Dēva will be discussed in Part IV of this article in connection with the later Oriyà poets.

